

Vaccine Policy Questions Responses by Affiliation

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about SafetyHealth	To whom it may concern ,I understand that UNM is pushing to try to have a mandatory vaccination of the covid shot for all students. This is not only unconstitutional, but is against the Nuremberg code and is extremely discriminatory! As an alumni of this great university I speak very highly of my time at this school , however I will not be able to share those praises with others and will encourage others to find another school if this is the route you are going to take with regards to medical freedom. As a wife of someone with a seizure disorder who cannot get this vaccine and has been advised by the makers of these vaccines as well as numerous medical professionals to refrain from getting this, I would be disgusted if he could not attend college simply because of something that he has no control over and because you are deciding to promote medical tyranny instead of medical freedom and limit those who simply cannot receive this vaccine and there are many other reasons (allergies, immune disorders, anaphylaxis)! I also myself have passed out from vaccinations before and have been advised to hold off on these shots as well. I encourage ALL of you to research the Nuremberg Code as well as laws surrounding the demanding and mandating of medical products; in particular 21 US code 360bbb-3 stating that “NO EMERGENCY AUTHORIZED MEDICAL PRODUCT CAN BE MANDATED”! e 1 Aii III “the option to accept or REFUSE administration of the product” .I also encourage you to look at the sites of these companies that state very clearly that NONE of these vaccines are FDA approved. Look at the site on adverse reactions of vaccines and in particular the makers of these and see how many have died and had horrible life altering reactions and this site is estimated to only have 10% reported! College students are not at risk whatsoever from this virus and they have an effective way to combat this virus without a vaccine in the event they contract it. The medicines have been proven effective and with no risks (Hydroxychloroquine As well as ivermectin, budesinide among others) which means there is NO reason to require or even get a vaccine that isn’t through trials until 2024! Please know this is unacceptable and upsetting that ANY college campus would require this to attend and especially knowing how many have had horrible reactions and died from an unapproved product ! I am saddened to hear this and hope you all reconsider this immediately. Please understand there are many who CANNOT get this shot and it isn’t because they are choosing not to , it is a risk to their health as well, other religions do not believe in vaccines for various reasons too. Make the right choice and do the right thing! You can be the change , you can make a difference.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	I have two kids that probably will not go back to UNM if you enforce a vaccine mandate. Looking at where the rates are for NM you can see that it's not necessary, 7 day average cases are close to 1 in 100,000 and that the state is going to be fully opening July 1st. There should be provisions for those who decide to not get the vaccine especially in light of the recently reported cases of myocarditis in young people who receive the vaccine. Wearing a mask on campus or regular testing even could be offered if online classes cannot be made available. Getting vaccinated is a personal choice, it says this on the CDC website, please respect the students choices for their bodies and do not impose your will on them. Thank you.
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	I am appalled that the University would require mandatory vaccinations for students and staff. An individuals right to choose what is best for their body, religion, or ethics should not be dictated by a public university. COVID-19 cases are at such a low percentage as to make the requirement of mandatory vaccinations unnecessary. The politics of vaccinations must not overrule a persons right to choose what is best for them and their body.
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	Discrimination,you don't have the right to choose for anybody, I attended umm in 1976. Rethink your draconian policies and stop with your political BS.
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	Hello.. I'm a Lobo supporter and UNM Anderson School MBA Alumni (2002). I wanted to voice my strong opposition to the University of New Mexico requiring the Covid vaccination for its students and staff. I disagree with forcing students and staff to be vaccinated to enroll and attend in person classes, or to be a part of the University staff and family. Individuals may have many different reasons for not wanting to be vaccinated, including personal preference, health, religious, and many others. Whether someone desires to be vaccinated should be a personal and private decision. That decision should be made with consultation with a person's doctor, and should be made by an individual privately. That decision to be vaccinated or not, cannot be one that results in punitive and life changing impacts on students and their families. There are many additional arguments that can be made regarding a person's choice in this matter, but suffice to say, we live in a country based on personal freedom, life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness. UNM should do the right thing and lead in in this area by allowing people the freedom to make this decision personally and privately without repercussion and impact on their ability to gain a meaningful education and work opportunity [REDACTED]

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	I was not aware that the university has so many physicians that they are able to assign a doctor to each and every student, so they are able to offer personal medical advice to each student... Or does the university have that many attorneys that they welcome all of the lawsuits that will follow? Last time I checked what a person decides to do medically to their body is between a patient and their doctor... if the university proceeds with this overreaching quest for control and unwarranted power, I will never donate money again and I will discourage any alumni to offer any support to the university ever again.
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	Dear Provost and Executive Vice President,As a concerned citizen of NM and Alumni of UNM Taos, I am asking that you not create a mandatory COVID-19 vaccination requirement for all staff and students.Why?* This is NOT, by definition, a vaccine at all, but an experimental gene therapy. Let us not forget about the Nuremberg Code.* Mandatory injection of a gene therapy is discriminatory and UNLAWFUL!* Everyone has the right to make their own medical decisions.* Everyone has the right to informed consent.* This policy would lead me to dis-enroll, or rule out UNM as a school for myself, as well as to anyone I could advise.
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	Good Afternoon, I am writing to urge you not to pass the proposed policy to require all Students, Staff, and Faculty to have the COVID vaccination at UNM facilities. Many people are uncomfortable with or do not need these shots and requiring them will create a discriminatory, two-tiered environment around UNM. Many families will choose not to send their students there, including mine. My daughter graduated from an Albuquerque high school this year and was accepted to go to UNM, but we will be sure to send her to a college which is not asking for these shots instead. There is no compelling medical reason for her to get them, and they are not proven to be safe or effective yet. This is a personal decision that families should be left to make without undue pressure or threats from outside sources, including having your right to a local education revoked.As an Alumni, I am disturbed that my Alma Mater is considering a policy which would have precluded me from attending. Please do not adopt this policy, and keep UNM available to all Students and Faculty who would like to attend it's beautiful campus.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceReligious Accommodation	To Whom it May Concern,As a lifelong citizen of Northern NM, a lifelong NM taxpayer and NM business owner, a parent, an alumna of UNM, and one dedicated to preserving all citizens' rights as granted by the US Constitution and the Bill of Rights, I must lodge my formal complaint and voice in sharp contrast to the proposed mandated "vaccine" for any and all returning or joining students this upcoming Fall. This objection also applies to all faculty, staff, and personnel of UNM that may shortly be subject to this unjust policy and atrocity. As a State Funded school, founded in 1989 and incorporated in 1900 to represent the citizens of The Territory of New Mexico and then later our State of New Mexico, I expect more from you and your organization Based on a longstanding tradition of serving our diverse population base, I cannot fathom your proposed draft as outlined here: https://bringbackthepack.unm.edu/vaccine/vaccine-requirement.html?fbclid=IwAR24KOPVfo77ubIPIMKK1VctgPVUniJOIA62YubwPqV61nve_v50sXzVDvE. If I do not and you do not hold yourselves to a higher bar, who then will?! Should you truly wish to "Bring Back the Pack" (of Lobos that we are), you would welcome back the rich cultural and religious diversity New Mexico was founded upon and stands for! We all know that as a state that consistently has one of the most paltry and substandard education system in our nation, we need University of New Mexico to be the pioneer in changing that fact and reality. As a non-profit institution given powers by our Secretary of State and the laws of this state, your primary duty is to serve the citizens of our state and provide educational opportunities to your students, both traditional and non-traditional in all routes of degree certification, regardless of religion or creed, disability or medical choice. To comply with the myriad of rights of these individuals and all citizens of this state, I encourage you to reconsider this proposed policy on the following grounds:Under the US Constitution, the 1st amendment protects me and allows for freedom of religion. Further, as of 1925, the Supreme Court of the US demands that the State of NM afford those very same rights to me, under the Due Process Clause of the 14th Amendment to the Constitution. Any vaccination or rather, experimental gene therapy, particularly that has not been duly tested or proven to be effective and/or safe, is against my religion and therefore would preclude me from obtaining further education through UNM. Likewise, for those reason I will certainly never send my daughter to UNM or recommend it to any of my other community members for themselves or their children. As one of the 12 protected classes under the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and federal anti-discrimination laws, it is imperative that my freedom of religion and creed take precedent over any medical tyranny or mandate handed down by those with dubious agendas, as it is my choice alone regarding the health of my body and how I live my life in accordance with my own religion and creed, both. Additionally, the Establishment Clause negates the government or any government institution from establishing any national or state religion or funding or giving preference to any one religion. Should you choose to implement

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
			your proposed draft and mandatory "vaccine", you will be supporting and serving and giving preference to only those who have certain religious beliefs!The Free Exercise Clause gives all Americans the right to practice their religion freely, without interference or persecution by the government, and I remind you as a non-profit corporation, you ultimately are held to ethical and legal standards consistent with any other government entity. This clause does NOT say if we choose to exercise our unique religion, we will be denied services, human rights, civil rights and fear prosecution and persecution!Your 4000 word maximum does not allow me to fully express objections
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceImpact to Enrollment	I earned a BA as well as a Master's degree at UNM and am a lifelong Albuquerque resident.If UNM adopts a policy to require the COVID injection, I will not send my senior high student to UNM as an incoming freshman 2021. Even though she has received scholarships from UNM, we will not enroll her at UNM if there is a requirement to have the COVID injection. The "vaccine" is not FDA approved and is only allowed now to be given to people because of the emergency powers. People getting this injection are the clinical trial. There are many serious and even fatal reactions to this injection among healthy individuals.It is therefore illegal and unconscionable for anyone to require another to take this injection. I refer you to Leigh Dundas, Human Rights Attorney to review her body of work concerning the COVID injections.
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceImpact to Enrollment	I do not like the idea of a mandatory vaccination policy for students. There is too great of a number of students that either worry about the potential long term effects of a vaccine or or will not take it due to their beliefs in religion and faith. Seeing the overall trend here in New Mexico, many students will already be vaccinated by time August 2021 comes. With a significant amount that are willing to receive a vaccine already should help keep COVID-19 form spreading. The constant reminder to wash hands and keep personal hygiene a top priority will help as well. As a former student, UNM has struggled with enrollment over the past 5 years. With this potential proposal, this could further limit enrollment, which is the opposite of the long term goals for leadership. Strongly recommend a vaccine but do not require it. It will not attract new students that UNM wants to bring to begin their academic career or continue it.
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceImpact to Enrollment	As a UNM graduate I am deeply appalled by the discrimination that is being presented with mandatory vaccinations. I was planning on entering the Fine Arts Master's Program in the near future however, I do not consent to this discrimination against healthy individuals, and I will not comply. Everybody has the right to make their own medical choices. I ask that you take the time to listen to those who are for bodily autonomy and against mandated pharmaceuticals. [REDACTED]

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	So if someone gets an adverse reaction, dies, or has long term side effects from this experimental vaccine, who do they sue? Can they sue the university? Because, as all of you know, pharmaceutical companies are exempt from any and all liability for any vaccine injuries or death (the government indemnifies these big pharmaceutical companies from all liability). Does the university not trust the so called 90% efficacy rate of this vaccine to require others who do not want it to take it (because you have a 99% chance of surviving this virus and don't want to risk vaccine injury from corporations who are know as criminal entities (i.e. Pfizer who has been nailed for criminal and civil penalties totaling 3 billion dollars).This is medical discrimination at its finest. If this institution is so liberal in its views, can't it just require those who do not want this garbage vaccine to just submit to the PCR/rapid antigen response test. Yes I know UNM can say...well if you don't want the vaccine, that is your choice and go find another job or go to another institution to finish your degree. However, there are individuals who need a job or need that degree who don't like being put into a vile corner. These people have families to feed or paid thousands of dollars to attend your university and now you tell them to submit to the vaccine or else hit the road toad.This is so shameful that this nation, New Mexico, and this university has come to this...trusting an experimental vaccine from corrupt companies who have knowingly lied to the masses. What happened to my body...my choice? Oh wait...this isn't the United States of America anymore...its now the Nazi States of America where we have to show our papers to do anything anymore.Oh yes...and if this vaccine is so good, then why are people contracting the virus even after receiving both doses. If it is so good..then why are you afraid of having another outbreak with the minority of the population who choose not to be vaccinated? If it is so good...why is the occupant in the State of New Mexico's office of the governor still requiring you to wear a mask. If this is vaccine is so good then why are there so many side effects that being reported on the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (blood clots, death, allergic reactions, rashes, termination of pregnancies, menses irregularities, paralysis, brain injuries, and death. If this vaccine is so good then why are the vaccinated carriers of the virus. If this vaccine is so good then why does the government provide blanket immunity from all liabilities from vaccine injury and death (Yes Reagan passed this indemnity law back in 1980's).I smell violation of our constitutional rights and violation of the Nuremberg Code.So back to my original question, will UNM pony up to any student/employee who is injured or dies from this vaccine?

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice Concerns about Safety Health	As a former UNM student, I'm deeply disappointed by the University's decision to intend to require all students to be vaccinated. Requiring a student to take a vaccine that is only authorized for use under the EUA and not FDA approval is reckless and dangerous to the community. According to the CDC, it is unclear if the virus can shed to others AND you may still transmit the virus EVEN with the vaccine. The vaccine only reduces mortality and NOT transmission. Furthermore, the mortality rate for your average age of student is amongst the lowest in all categories. Please also consider those who CANNOT receive the vaccine due to underlying health issues. This is discrimination and forcing otherwise healthy and young individuals who aren't at risk (for a virus with about a 97.7% survival rate) is also discrimination. New Mexico's mortality rate is .2! This segregated those who feel they are unable to join because religious beliefs and medical conditions prevent the vaccination. UNM needs to take personal responsibility for providing a safe and clean environment by hiring more janitors and cleaning professionals to maintain a clean campus. That includes replacing old air filters, addressing poor plumbing issues, cleaning high touch areas, carpet care and obtaining safe serve certifications for any food services. UNM has not been very good in the area for the past few years as explained in student feedback forms. If in fact UNM will require students to get vaccinated, my 2021-2022 college freshman will seek education elsewhere outside the state. She will not be forced to take an experimental vaccine where the vaccine manufacturer and the University of New Mexico do not hold any liability in the event of a reaction, health issue or death. This will continue to drop the state's education statistics and with more students leaving hurting the economics of the state as well as the university. Please reconsider your decision. The University has no legal right to make medical decisions for their students. They are not a privately funded school and should not hold students to their ideas of medical intervention, you'd never ask students for vasectomies to help stop the spread of STD'S, which is what you are asking to do with the vaccinations.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;Concerns about Safety;	It is currently unlawful to mandate any Emergency Use Authorization product, but even once "approved", it is absolutely unconstitutional. Each and every individual has a right to freedom of choice over his/her own body, period. The FACT that there are SO many reasons to NOT mandate this, makes it difficult to prioritize and fathom how this could even be a proposal. Any thinking (not even critically thinking) person MUST question the egregious conflicts of interest with pharmaceutical companies paying universities (and everyone else who has the authority to push such mandates, including the CDC, FDA, WHO); MUST question manufacturers having zero liability; MUST question the largest number of hospitalizations and deaths reported in the CDC's Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS) in just a few months than all adverse events with all vaccines combined since the system originated; MUST question the absurdity of mandating a product for the majority of a group with a survival rate of 99.9%; MUST question that every prior corona virus vaccine attempt FAILED with horrific consequences, most consequences of which are not yet known with current vaccines because the trials are nowhere near complete; MUST question the push for mandate when NONE of the vaccines claim or prove to stop transmission or infection; MUST question why the CDC keeps changing definitions and terms of how covid deaths are reported, PCR cycle numbers for testing, how herd immunity is defined, and now how vaccinated people with "breakthrough" cases (i.e., got covid at least 2wks after receiving dose(s) of vaccine) are counted: Unvaccinated - all cases "count", Vaccinated - only those who are hospitalized or die; MUST question the censorship of ALL information that may lead to vaccine "hesitancy" despite being factual data, which is by definition, providing Informed Consent. All of this information is FACTUAL, with references, most of which may be found on the CDC website itself. STOP the nonsense and HARM by even considering such a violation of the Constitution, ethics, and humanity itself. THINK. YOU are and will be held accountable for your actions.
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	I feel that the vaccine, being an experimental alternative should not be required for admittance to the University. Because the vaccine is a choice that it should not be required to attend. Who are we to demand that people take a drug? We would be taking away the person's choice for their own body and health. We could be denying them an education. That is not our right to take away others rights.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	As a concerned citizen of New Mexico and an alumni of UNM Taos, I am sending you information about forced, coerced or mandated so-called "vaccines." That includes requiring a person get this experimental gene injection (which is NOT a vaccine) in order to attend UNM.A. Information about the experimental gene injection1. The experimental gene injection does NOT keep you from getting Covid.2. The experimental gene injection does NOT keep you from spreading Covid.3. The experimental gene injection MAY keep you from having a severe infection of Covid for ONLY 2 months.4. In other words, this is NOT a vaccine.B. Against the basic rights of human beings... and against the laws of all countries.See The Nuremberg Code (1947)Code 1. The voluntary consent of the human subject is absolutely essential.This means that the person involved should have legal capacity to give consent; should be so situated as to be able to exercise free power of choice, without the intervention of any element of force, fraud, deceit, duress, over-reaching, or other ulterior form of constraint or coercion; and should have sufficient knowledge and comprehension of the elements of the subject matter involved, as to enable him to make an understanding and enlightened decision.You will be held responsible for requiring this experimental gene injection... and there will be lawsuits against you.Look up the Nuremberg trials and see what happened to those people.Cease and Desist NOW!
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	While I appreciate the concern for vulnerable populations, this virus leaves college aged students virtually unaffected with a 99% SURVIVAL rate! This does NOT constitute forced vaccinations for an Emergency Use and approved EXPERIMENTAL shot, in which the pharmaceutical companies have ZERO LIABILITY for any adverse effects due to the treatment. Medical decisions are best left to the individual with their chosen medical provider. Each student has the right to choose their own medical procedures without the heavy hand of government and their educational facilities mandating pharmaceutical products, especially the ones with zero liability! Legally, you can not mandate the experimental Covid-19 shot for any person.
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	I am entirely opposed to this policy. How can you require a medical procedure that hasn't been approved by the FDA. This is requiring all students and teachers to be test subjects which has no place in America, the land of the free. If we don't have bodily autonomy, we have nothing. I loved my time at UNM but if this policy passed I would deter everyone I knew from going here. I believe your enrollment would tank. While you're at it, get rid of the masks as well.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	I am so grateful that I graduated before UNM became a bastion of fascism. Open minds, research, and freedom are cornerstones of a good university. This is not the case at UNM. This vaccine is only approved for emergency use. Thus far I know over 100 people who got covid with no problems, but i know people who now have bells palsy and who have miscarried normal pregnancies after getting the experimental vaccine. UNM is practicing a dangerous policy and is stepping the line over bodily autonomy and health privacy.I have a child that will be attending college next year and now UNM is NOT on our list of schools due to this draconian and seriously misguided policy.
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	As a former UNM student, I'm deeply upset by the University's decision to intend to require all students to be vaccinated. Requiring a student to take a vaccine that is only authorized for use under the EUA and not FDA approval is reckless and dangerous to the community. According to the CDC, it is unclear if the virus can shed to others AND you may still transmit the virus EVEN with the vaccine. The vaccine only reduces mortality and NOT transmission. Please also consider those who CANNOT receive the vaccine due to underlying health issues. This is discrimination and forcing otherwise healthy and young individuals who aren't at risk (for a virus with about a 97.7% survival rate) is also discrimination. New Mexico's mortality rate is .2! May I suggest that UNM instead take personal responsibility for providing a safe and clean environment by hiring more janitors and cleaning professionals to maintain a clean campus. That includes replacing old air filters, addressing poor plumbing issues, cleaning high touch areas, carpet care and obtaining safe serve certifications for any food services. If in fact UNM will require students to get vaccinated, my 2021-2022 college freshman will be forced to seek education elsewhere. She will not be forced to take an experimental vaccine where the vaccine manufacturer and the University of New Mexico do not hold any liability in the event of a reaction, health issue or death. Please reconsider your decision. The University has no legal right to make medical decisions for their students.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	When the pandemic began my son who is a sophomore in college took a leave of absence from CU Denver to be closer to home and he decided he would like to stay in New Mexico so we were preparing to apply for admission to UNM. My son has multiple health concerns including a Thyroid autoimmune issue. Given the limited scientific data on administering the vaccines to those with conditions such as my sons we have decided as a family that it is not medical decision that is feasible for him at this time until more is known about how the mRNA vaccines will interact with his immune system. Additionally, given the fact it is not fully FDA approved and only EUA we believe it is medical discrimination to require those who are enrolling into universities to be vaccinated with the COVID19 vaccine seeing as to how not even the US military is requiring it of our troops. There are now alternative therapies to decrease the severity of COVID19 cases and we believe it should be left to individual choice to decide which medical treatments are appropriate for our families. If UNM does in fact mandate that all students be vaccinated with the COVID19 vaccine we will have no choice but to look elsewhere for educational institutions for my son to finish out his degree. Our family urges the UNM policy makers and staff to reconsider making vaccines mandatory and instead leave it up to the individuals to decide.Thank you for your time and consideration.
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	This is outrageous that you would try to make someone take an experimental gene treatment shot just to attend the university. This goes against all our values as human beings. You cannot force someone to undergo medical treatment without their consent. This goes back to the Nuremberg Code from the Geneva convention in WWII. I am a graduate of UNM and this would be an absolute no go for me if I was attending. New Mexico is already bottom of the list in education, no you are pushing even more away from our state. Florida is a wonderful example of freedom. Something the left has forgotten about PANDEMIC OR NOT (NOT) THERE'S NEVER A REASON TO SUSPEND OUR GOD GIVEN CIVIL RIGHTS. YOU CANNOT FORCE A MASK ON MEYOU CANNOT FORCE AN EXPERIMENT SHOT ON MEWhen will we admit we've been conned? CDC and John Hopkins University both show no big change in US yearly death counts from 2019-2020. The death numbers are wrong, but for some reason you feel the need to virtue signal and going along with the lies by FORCING the students to do something that could very well harm their body.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	I have read the Proposed Policy on Mandatory Vaccinations for the University of New Mexico, and it approving such a proposal will have serious consequences for UNM. The "vaccines" approved for Covid-19 under Emergency Use Authorization are experimental and most of them include mRNA technology, which has never been approved to use as a vaccine previously. We know that there are many serious known side effects, and that there is no long-term data on these gene therapies in large populations. What liability is UNM willing to bear if or when students, staff, and faculty are damaged by these potentially mandated injections? There are many concerns, including immediate side effects, including death, infertility, and death via antibody-dependent enhancement, which may kill many in the fall and winter [REDACTED] [REDACTED] and I have been looking at returning for a Master's Degree. If this proposed policy were enacted when I was a student, I would have left UNM. If it is passes, I will not seek my Master's Degree at UNM. I will spend my time and money at an institution that respects bodily autonomy and has properly studied the real risks of Covid-19. If it is passed, there are many people who will never participate in anything associated with UNM again, including performances at Popejoy Hall. Medical decisions must be personal. The college experience for many young people is about maturing into their own, learning to make their own decisions. To impose a medical mandate is to go against the principles of individualism embraced in universities. If UNM mandates the gene therapies, it must be willing to be legally liable for damage to its students, staff, and faculty. If UNM is not willing to bear that responsibility, then it must be an unreasonable risk. Why is it acceptable to force students, staff, and faculty to bear the medical risk to life and health to be permitted to study, work, and teach? Thank you for your time and consideration [REDACTED]
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	I think it is wrong to mandate a vaccine that has not been FDA approved and that could potentially put someone at medical risk. Nobody has a right to tell us what to do with our bodies! UNM was a place of both understanding and respect when I attended a few years ago and almost all of my professors encouraged people to stand up for their rights. Students have a right to choose not to be vaccinated without punishment of a loss of opportunity to receive an education. Is UNM prepared to lose students who refuse to be vaccinated? Is it prepared to lose more students when it is forced to raise tuition due to low registration? This is a vicious cycle fueled by political fear and force. Don't be another sheep. Honor your community and your paying students. Give them a choice.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	To whom it may concern,Requiring vaccines for a coronavirus is a gross violation of personal liberty for students and faculty returning to UNM. I am disgusted that my alma-mater would even consider such a proposition as this one. Being the center for research and development in New Mexico, I would expect UNM to have received better insight on this absurd response. It is well known that vaccines for coronaviruses are woefully ineffective and there is no reason to believe that the vaccines which have been released for this coronavirus will be any different. Additionally, the Chinese Flu is known to not be particularly deadly in people under the age of 50 and even less so in people aged 18-30 which is the primary demographic for the campus. Oh and the CDC data will back that up. Please see the sources in this article here for further information on why this is such a bad proposal https://www.deconstructingconventional.com/post/18-reason-i-won-t-be-getting-a-covid-vaccine?fbclid=IwAR3-8YMbPjA3MG5H4iP8w7oe-pFGw2lioQ--4IGvfudNWf4beZEVOLb2jAA
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about SafetyHealth	When considering mandatory covid vaccines for students and staff, UNM must remember that an Emergency Use Authorization drug CANNOT be mandated by any government organization. Additionally, every vaccine injury, if mandated while under EUA, will fall solely on the institution. We are still under the Emergency Act which the Governor keeps re-signing - which means every adult has medical, philosophical, and religious exemptions to this vaccine. The school must tell the students and staff that these are available.
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	If the Covid 19 vaccines are only allowed under EUA (Emergency Use Authorization), how is legal to require them? Doesn't this violate federal law and the Nuremberg Code?
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	It seems fascistic to require people to obtain a vaccine that has opposition outside of medical and religious objections. What will be the consequences of as student or faculty if that person refuses to obtain the "vaccine" after FDA approval? What contingency is in place for this policy that requires one to obtain the vaccine and all vaccines are later disproved for general use?
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Impact to Enrollment	As a magna cum laude graduate from the UNM Honors program and Political Science Dept, I will forgo my allegiance to the university and send all four of my children (ages 17,15,12,12) to GCU if mandatory vaccines or testing is mandated. People have a right to make their own private medical choices. Mandating any kind of one-size-fits-all medicine is absurd and totally unnecessary. You're going to be left without anyone to foot the bill if you continue to pursue restrictive policies instead of focusing your efforts on creating more opportunities. People are fleeing the state as it is; mandatory vaccinations will be the last straw for an economy on life support [REDACTED]

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Impact to Enrollment	I will not return to UNM for my masters if a vaccination is required. Encourage your students and staff but if it's mandatory I and any future lobos in my family will no longer contribute to the university as it would be no of no benefit to myself or family to continue to do so.
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	General Complaint;	I fully disagree with the proposal to require vaccinations to return to campus.
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	General Complaint;	I am an UNM Alumni, community member, and potential future UNM student. If this was a requirement for enrollment and professional development at UNM, I would consider other degree-granting institutions for further career development and would advise that others (family, friends, etc.) would consider similarly. I really appreciated my education at UNM but I would not be able to continue at UNM due to this and I would not be able to support UNM due to this. Please do not make this a requirement for students, faculty, and staff. Thank you for your consideration.
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	General Complaint	Please do NOT require vaccination!!!
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	General Complaint	As an alumnus, community member, and potential parent of UNM students, I am opposed to a vaccine mandate.
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	General Complaint	I think it's unreasonable to require the vaccination of staff and students when it is readily available to those who want to be vaccinated. It poses little threat to the young and healthy people.
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	General Complaint	I won't be bullied into getting vaccinated either by UNM or the fool in the White House. Curtailing my alumni donations.Resist!Bright moments, [REDACTED]

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety;	Hello. I would like to say that the vaccination should not be required for students or faculty to return to school. There have been very low severe cases for the majority of the age group that attend university. Those that are worried can get the vaccine or perhaps there will be online courses available for them? Or those that are at risk and unvaccinated should wear a mask. There have been many concerns raised recently from young adults who have gotten vaccinated and regret it because they are having side effects such as heart palpitations and women are having severely irregular menstrual symptoms. Yes, if you try and research this through basic google search or listen to the news, advertisements, companies, etc. You will find that they are trying to downplay the issues that are resulting from the vaccine. But if you really search for people's real life experiences from blogs and really dig deep, it is out there. Anyways, I feel this last year has been detrimental on our society and especially on our youth. Requiring young adults to risk themselves with this emergency use vaccine will cause much more unnecessary issues. Plus the school will lose students and possibly employees, leading to a drop in how much money it will make, they will find places that are not expecting such a risk.
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety;	Get off the gestapo bandwagon, open the campus to ALL students, and stop the nonsense with the dictate lockstep policies with all the rest of the kool-aide drinkers re: those who want to force the bogus severely under-tested "vaccines" which are at best in actuality a theraputic upon everyone. I've done the homework on this topic and it is astonishing how dangerous these "vaccines" truly are. In 1976 when a "vaccine" for swine flu was administered to approx 40 Million people here, <60 died from that vaccine, and the CDC and FDA immediately halted the initiative. Contrast that with over 4000 dead in the first 5 months of administering the three different "vaccines" for Wuhan flu, and the same agencies are SILENT about this. Do your homework!! https://wonder.cdc.gov/vaers.html https://leohohmann.com/2021/04/30/highly-cited-covid-doctor-comes-to-stunning-conclusion-govt-scrubbing-unprecedented-numbers-of-injection-related-deaths/ Outraged that UNM would even consider such a ridiculous MANDATE, but not surprised.
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	Stop the nonsense, citizens are responsible for their own health. If they want to get vaccinated fine, if they don't fine. We have all had enough BIG BROTHER in our lives.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	I am greatly troubled at a potential policy requiring UNM employees & students to take a “vaccine “ which is still unproven and in the TRIAL stage. As a tax-paying and law abiding citizen of New Mexico, I write to express my reasons for opposing such a mandate. According to CDC reports (VAERS) many adverse events from this COVID shot including blood clots, anaphylactic reactions, & Bell’s Palsy, to name a few, have occurred. In addition, numbers of deaths also have occurred after people have taken the doses of one of the available shots and a number of pregnant women have miscarried following the injection. I personally know a gentleman who suffered an adverse reaction & had to be hospitalized after his 2nd injection & I have friends report of individuals who died following the injections. Given that there have been injuries & deaths attributed to COVID vaccines, the manufacturers have no liability in the US as per the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act (1986) and supported by the PREP Act (Public Readiness and Emergency Preparedness ACT per the US Dept. of Health & Human Services which has added 7 amendments as of Mar. 11, 2021.) In addition, two of the companies making the vaccines (Moderna and J&J) have never brought a vaccine to market before COVID). J&J, Astra Zeneca, & Pfizer have been taken to court and had to pay out huge sums on other products they brought to market such as Bextra, Vioxx, Celebrex, Thalidomide, and opioids. Here are only two examples of Pfizer’s past proven-in-court corruption. From a digitized version of an article from The Times: “A unit of Pfizer inc. has agreed to pay \$10.75 million to settle justice department claims that the company lied to get federal approval for a mechanical heart valve that his fractured killing...”. Reuters reported in 2004: Pfizer said yesterday that it had reached a \$60 million settlement of a class action lawsuit over it’s capital REZULIN diabetes drug, which was withdrawn from the market in March 2002 after about 100 people who took it had to have liver transplants or died from acute liver failure. In court they were found responsible for knowing their products would cause harm and still they profited from their products. They were liable for those products. Does it make sense that they have no liability for their vaccines and I can trust them? The COVID vaccines have been tried since 2000 and have not been successful. For example, The Journal of Virology in Nov of 2004 reported “Immunization with modified vaccinia virus Ankara-based recombinant vaccine against severe acute respiratory syndrome is associated with enhanced hepatitis in ferrets. Nature international weekly journal of science reported in January 2005, The study conducted by the National Institute of allergy and infectious disease in Bethesda, Maryland reported: “In the case of SARS, It remains unclear how antibodies might be helping some viral strains into cells...” The CDC just doesn’t know yet how these COVID vaccines will affect us in the future & unfortunately, people are being asked to trust that there will be no harm and given the past experiences with these companies that have made products that caused injuries and death, how can we turn a blind eye and trust the companies.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
			Until the trials are completed and we can see the results, we should not be required to take a trial-stage injection given that there are proven treatments if given in the early stages of the virus.
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;Concerns about Safety;	Mr Stokes,As a UNM graduate and a long standing member of the New Mexico business community, I strongly discourage you from making the Covid-19 vaccines mandatory in your school. I believe that all people have a right to make their own medical choices. This is a highly unproven vaccine and there are safe alternatives such as therapeutics, forcing the vaccine on students is not-constitutional. This policy would lead me to rule out UNM as a school for my teenager that is currently looking at college choices.
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	There is a HUGE difference between complete FDA Approval and Emergency Use Authorization.
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	21 U.S.C. § 360bbb-3(e)(1)(A)(ii)(III) states that individuals to whom the Covid vaccine is to be administered shall be informed: (I) that the Secretary has authorized the emergency use of the product; (II) of the significant known and potential benefits and risks of such use, and of the extent to which such benefits and risks are unknown; and (III) of the option to accept or refuse administration of the product, of the consequences, if any, of refusing administration of the product, and of the alternatives to the product that are available and of their benefits and risks.This section of code indicates that as long as the Covid vaccine is under emergency authorization, an individual can refuse the take the vaccine and it cannot be required as a standard of attendance. Furthermore, even if the vaccine is no longer under the emergency authorization, to require its use without further evidence of its efficacy would open the university and its board to lawsuits under the recent amendment to the tort claims act. Lastly, forcing students to accept the vaccine or not be allowed to attend in person would place the University in the undesirable position of excluding current and potential students from future enrollment as well as the loss of fee associated with such actions.
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	I request that those involved in enforcing proposed vaccine policy read/watch the attached information. Subjecting students/staff to potential vaccine hazards could prove to be a disastrous mistake. https://www.thelibertybeacon.com/what-all-women-and-girls-need-to-know-video/https://thenewamerican.com/covid-shots-to-decimate-world-population-warns-dr-bhakdi/?fbclid=IwAR2r5dBMzj1_M9yB5nITeiofkX9Q6DxjMOeaDhb-3VDmOofcRbg6ZC_Mq0https://nojabforme.info/?fbclid=IwAR3AxIDRwUwElDmTTCOWJYns_1Mm72u67X__8MHbi-0zVZN_YX19yYYw6c

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	I do not feel like anyone should be forced to get a vaccine that is still in a trial phase and is reporting adverse and unexpected side affects. I have witnessed several family and friends to get side affects including death. I am more scared of the vaccine than the Coronavirus at this time and we still don't know if there will be any future issues. Plus the virus is mostly survivable for the age groups in college. Secondly, you don't require pneumonia or influenza vaccines which are just as contagious and can be just as deadly. I like the wording of strongly encouraged and can understand requiring it in the medical fields as they have increased exposure, but for all other fields should be optional and maybe you offer an incentive for those that show they have gotten it.Thank you, [REDACTED]
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	If UNM requires vaccines, my 4.5 GPA pre-med senior won't even apply. (As a UNM alum, this breaks my heart.) This is a ridiculous requirement for a non approved vaccine with unproven technology within an age bracket that is relatively unaffected by the virus. The morphology in identical SARS trials within primate testing concluded with a 100% mortality rate. Read. The. published. Journals! Shame on UNM for even considering this!
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	Good Morning,I have read through the talking points pro and against this issue and for me, the bottom line is that this shot is just not FDA Approved. PeriodPlease do not mandate that anyone is forced to make this choice between an experimental procedure and enjoying their time on the UNM Campus.Please give this more time, allow for more time to see if there are side effects, repercussions.Thank You [REDACTED]
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	I would like to voice my opinion on having a "vaccination policy". While I appreciate you are allowing exceptions to requiring a vaccine I would like to remind you that these vaccines are still experimental. They have been cleared for emergency use but that does not mean they are not experimental. Research will have to be continued for the next several years to see what the conclusions of taking the vaccine are. What do you intend to do about females that want to protect themselves and their ability to get pregnant and carry a healthy child to term? There is not sufficient research available for that subgroup of people. That alone should allow someone to not take a vaccine. Thanks for reading. [REDACTED]
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Privacy;Concerns about Safety;	Why would UNM force anyone to get the experimental use covid 19 vaccine? It is against the law to force a vaccine onto a human being. As such it would be better to only recommend Covid 19 vaccine and should only be advised but not required. Further HIPPA does not allow UNM access to any person's personal and private medical records. The usual childhood vaccines should suffice a d it is up to the adult whom is over 18 yrs. if age to determine for themselves to test for or receive any vaccine or booster vaccines.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Privacy	Requiring vaccinations for students, faculty, and staff is a gross overreach if privacy and health. Even asking for proof from those that have received a personal medical procedure is a misuse of power.
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;Religious Accommodation;	Hello.I am a graduate of and former masters level instructor at UNM. As such I want to implore you to consider the impact of your proposed mandate on the experimental covid injectable for all students and faculty.First and foremost, it is unethical and most likely illegal to force people to have an experimental concoction injected into their bodies. What about "my body, my choice?" What about the Nuremberg Code of Ethics? As far as I know we are still a nation founded on liberty and inalienable rights. One of those rights is bodily Integrity. I am sure there are many students, faculty, and employees who choose not to have this experimental injectable for any number of reasons. Some have religious objections based on the use of aborted fetal cells in the production of these treatments. You will be facing many lawsuits from those people who may lose their jobs or who are restricted from their education.Please consider carefully the need for such an extreme mandate. Thank you.
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;Concerns about Safety;Religious	To whom it may concern, Please reconsider the COVID-19 vaccination mandate. New Mexico has current legislation that allows for the exemption of vaccination for religious purposes. Those that may utilize this right would be forced to disenroll or seek schooling elsewhere. Furthermore, those that chose not to get the vaccine for other reasons would be marginalized and discriminated against. Other reasons someone may chose not to get the vaccine...-Personal convictions-Allergy-Pregnancy and breast feeding (future or current)-Cultural restrictions-Other health concerns-Etc.All of these reasons are deeply personal. Even in the event that exemptions were accepted, it is in violation of a persons medical privacy and personal rights to disclose why they would deny the vaccine. Please consider your current students and future students that would miss out on the precious opportunity of higher education if vaccination becomes a requirement.Sincerely,A fellow New Mexico citizen
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	https://twitter.com/MSill3/status/1390873493185642497?s=191 f you follow the link I posted above you will see the science from the Jonas Salk Institute condemning the COVID "vaccine" which is NOT A VACCINE AT ALL. It is deadly dangerous & should NOT be utilizes for ANY PURPOSE WHATSOEVER!
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about SafetyReligious Accommodation	I'm concerned that UNM is proposing a mandatory vaccine policy. I think these issues are personal and private. Some people choose not to vaccinate for religious reasons and I am concerned UNM is not being inclusive. My sister had a bad reaction to vaccines as a child which resulted in epilepsy and mental retardation. For this reason people in my family have concerns about being vaccinated. This is a medical choice and it should not be mandated.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	To whom it may concern:I think a vaccine requirement for students wishing to expand their education is a violation of medical freedoms. Everyone should have the choice to decide what happens to their bodies. Forced vaccinations are wrong. It strips students of their individual religious, spiritual, political and personal choices. Part of the university experience, particularly at a school like UNM, is the blending of backgrounds, cultures and beliefs. Forcing all students to be vaccinated takes away the beauty of students coming together from a variety of backgrounds to learn from each other. If UNM had forced me to get a SARS-Cov2 vaccination, I would have attended a different university. This vaccine is extremely experimental. It is not even approved by the FDA. How could anyone force such an experimental vaccine in good conscience? I am ashamed to say I graduated from a school that would even consider bringing this into the platform of education. Shame on you. Keep UNM thriving by continuing to open the door to education to all! Thank you for your time and consideration.
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I am writing to ask that UNM NOT require a vaccination. My family member has only one semester left to complete. It would be extremely unfortunate if in that last semester an experimental shot was required which is something that our family does not believe in. The virus is on average 99.8% recoverable for most age groups. We are willing to practice the COVID safe guidelines when in public. If UNM does require the shot for the Fall, it should be fair in offering an on- line alternative for those individuals who choose not to be forced to get a shot that is experimental and not proven. We have a right to not have that put into our bodies. Thank you.
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	The covid vaccine is experimental and should be personal choice rather than required.
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	UNM should not make the vaccine mandatory. Every student, professor, staff member should be provided their individual right to choose.
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	To whom it may concern,Vaccine passports are unconstitutional and should not be mandated as a part of attending UNM or any school for that matter. I strongly oppose this policy and I know a lot of people who would stop attending or never apply to UNM if this policy were to take affect.Sincerely [REDACTED]

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	As a UNM alumni I find this proposed vaccine requirement in order to attend and further one's education outrageous and disappointing. This proposal to mandate vaccines is very discriminatory and will violate all individuals right to bodily integrity and choice. All individuals should have the opportunity to make their own medical choices. As a parent if this mandate is approved I will make sure that my college age and soon to be college age children do not spend a dime of mine furthering their education at UNM. I will also pull my support from UNM athletics.
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I think that this article from the AAPS holds some very interesting points the University should consider. https://aapsonline.org/open-letter-from-physicians-to-universities-reverse-covid-vaccine-mandates/ Additionally, the surveying by a Pfizer, with Universities, in order to push their profit from vaccination is scandalous. I'm curious as to what incentives they have given the Universities to push the vaccine on their staff and students. The public is no longer naive. https://www.acha.org/documents/ACHF/ACHF_VaccineCoverageSurveyReport.pdf
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	As a UNM alum, I am disappointed to hear that UNM is considering removing personal choice from the matter of whether or not to get a vaccine. This policy is authoritarian and un-American and is an over-reaction to a virus that has proven to be far less dangerous than originally expected. For instances where I may visit UNM's campus in the future as an alum, I look forward to ignoring and/or defying this policy if implemented.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Dear UNM Leadership Team, [REDACTED] [REDACTED] We obviously have had a great investment in and love for UNM for nearly 50 years. We are writing in opposition to the proposed Mandatory Covid-19 Vaccination program which we feel is discriminatory, overreaching and completely unnecessary, since anyone who wants one can receive a free vaccination right now. All adults have a right to make their own medical and healthcare decisions and choices. It is not appropriate for UNM to step into the role of making them for students, staff or faculty. We are lovers of liberty and freedom, and this policy decision would deter us from having our youngest son enroll at UNM, which would be heartbreaking. This could be very deleterious to an institution who is already losing students due to ever-increasing tuition/fees and liberal policies and programs. Please feel free to contact us for further information [REDACTED] [REDACTED] Thank you for considering this heartfelt request.
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I am writing to let you know that I am adamantly opposed to the University of New Mexico mandating Covid Vaccines for its students. Mandatory vaccination is discriminatory. All people have a right to make their own medical choices. I am a UNM Alumni and I also currently have family attending UNM. If this policy would be implemented, then It would lead me to disenroll my son. In addition, I would tell all my friends and family to boycott your school. Please do not implement this unconstitutional policy as it violates the rights of United States citizens.
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Any compulsory Covid-19 vaccination requirement is a violation of federal law. I urge you to advise all students and parents and staff that they have the right to refuse or take any vaccine that is currently experimental. Title 21, section 360bbb-3 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and the HHS gave permissive authority to grant emergency use authorization. Under this condition, individuals are given the option to ACCEPT or REFUSE administration of the product. It is illegal and irresponsible to attempt to mandate EUA medical therapies. My youngest son is will be in his senior year, fall of 2021. I will seek legal remedies if this vaccine is mandated and imposed upon him in his senior year. Respectfully, [REDACTED]
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	A vaccination requirement for the fall semester should not be required. People should be free to decide what medical procedures they want done and which they do not. Mandating a vaccine infringes on an individuals choice.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Hi, [REDACTED] and I'm a UNM Alumni. I am writing this email to let you know my opinion with regards to UNMs proposed COVID-19 vaccine policy. I received both my Bachelors and Master's degree from UNM. I'm also a current doctoral student and a local business owner. I am adamantly opposed to any forced COVID vaccine policy for students or faculty. There are many individuals who cannot get a vaccine due to religious and/or medical reasons. Also, I firmly believe it should be an individual's choice whether or not to get a vaccine. No entity (government, school, etc) should have a say in one's personal medical care. Also, my personal medical decisions are personal and should not determine whether or not I am able to access higher education at my local university. To deny me access to higher education based on my personal medical decisions is discriminatory. Thank you for taking the time to read this email.
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety;	vaccine passports? really?the vaccine in NOT fda approved. some may not be comfortable with the vaccine. you should respect that. i disagree with your draft policy. its discriminatory. [REDACTED]
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	We oppose any mandatory vaccine mandates. If UNM moves forward with this, we will be sure to send our son to a school that does not.The Critical Race Theory and Social Justice indoctrination are bad enough.Warm regards [REDACTED]
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	This email is to ask you not to endorse a policy of mandatory vaccines for your students and staff. Medical treatment, especially one that involves injecting something into the body, needs to be an individual choice. This is a volatile issue. Much of the population feels the experimental vaccines are dangerous and people's constitutional freedoms must be respected. Please do not support mandatory vaccines.
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I don't think it is right to force a vaccine on staff and students. As a health education major forcing people to do things especially when it comes to something like this it makes people not want to do it more. When you take away the right for staff and student to make their own decisions about their health it's not right we live in a free country and when a public entity wants to take that away it is controlling. So no do not make the Covid 19 shot mandatory, if you do I will be disappointed in the school I got my degree from and wished I had not. Thank you!!

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	To all: Universities are intended to be bastions of free thought,choice, and most of all, research. Mainstream media is NOT the sourcefrom which to make important decisions, but apparently that's where allinformation about Covid is being obtained. There is a plethora ofstudies from genuine doctors and researchers that are available toanyone with even the tiniest amount of motivation, which apparently noone at UNM has. They document the efficacy of Ivermectin,Hydroxychloroquine, and other methods of treating Covid These studiesare often suppressed by the media, but are findable.Are you actually ignoring the documentation that 99.85% of Covidpatients recover? Yes, it is a high risk to a relatively smallpopulation, most of which are not in the university venue. Mandating anexperimental vaccine is highly discriminatory to those who can't orwon't agree to take the jab and yet you're seriously considering thispolicy. The vaccine should be by choice only...you know the saying: "Mybody, my choice."I'm a graduate of UNM and had a fabulous experience there. [REDACTED] [REDACTED] I hope someone at UNM will dip deeper into the alternatives to mandated vaccines, and in themeantime, I'd sure be checking on the law. UNM is a state-funded schooland as such, should be held to appropriate laws regarding invasivemedical procedures. I--and many others--will be closely watching yourdecision.
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	To whom it may concern,I was recently informed that UNM may make the Covid-19 vaccine a requirement for students and faculty. Please reconsider. New Mexico has current legislation that allows for the exemption of vaccination for religious purposes. Those that may utilize this right would be forced to disenroll or seek schooling elsewhere. Furthermore, those that chose not to get the vaccine for other reasons would be marginalized and discriminated against. Other reasons someone may chose not to get the vaccine...-Personal convictions-Allergy-Pregnancy and breast feeding (future or current)-Cultural restrictions-Other health concerns-Etc.All of these reasons are deeply personal. Even in the event that exemptions were accepted, it is in violation of a persons medical privacy and personal rights to disclose why they would deny the vaccine. Please consider your current students and future students that would miss out on the precious opportunity of higher education if vaccination becomes a requirement.Sincerely,A fellow New Mexico citizen

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I appreciate UNM's desire to keep the student and faculty safe but don't believe that a vaccine requirement is necessary. As mentioned in your proposal, the vaccine is widely available currently so that any individual concerned about their risk of contracting COVID-19 due to age or underlying health conditions can or likely already has been vaccinated. I have two children attending UNM who are in the 18-29 demographic, according to the CDC, they have a 0.04% risk of death from covid just from raw data. Many of the deaths in this age group surely had pre-existing conditions and likely many others had covid and were asymptomatic and never were tested. The death rate for a healthy 20-year-old therefore must be at least an order of magnitude less than this putting it at <0.004%. For reference, the flu death rate for 18-49 year olds is 0.02% and the risk of being struck by lightning is 0.007%. Additionally, even though the risk of complications from the covid vaccine is low, it still exists. From the CDC website: "Over 245 million doses of COVID-19 vaccines were administered in the United States from December 14, 2020, through May 03, 2021. During this time, VAERS received 4,178 reports of death (0.0017%) among people who received a COVID-19 vaccine." The causes of these deaths are still under continuing investigation but a strong case can be made that for a healthy young person, the risk of adverse effects and death from getting the vaccine may not be substantially less than the risk of death from covid. To summarize, as an alumni with my own children now attending UNM, I request UNM to strongly encourage those students to get the vaccine who are at risk of serious complications from covid either due to age or underlying health conditions. For those who are healthy and in a young age demographic, they should be free to make the best personal health decision about the vaccine without coercive policies from public tax payer funded institutions.
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	To Whom It May Concerned, I am writing you as a concerned alumni of UNM. It is my understanding that you are in the process of making it mandatory for students and staff to receive the Covid-19 vaccination. This goes against everything that America stands for and you are potentially requiring people to risk their live due to the vaccine side effects which have been shared across all media outlets. The vaccine can be dangerous for some and how would you feel if a student or staff member became very or lost their life due to this requirement? Forced vaccination is discriminatory and wrong on so many levels and "We The People" have a right to make our own choices regarding our medical needs. This is the wrong precedent to set as a university as you are stripping people of their freedoms. This must stop. I truly hope you have thought this through and will reconsider this awful plan. Thank you, [REDACTED]

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	As a past UNM student I am very concerned that UNM creating a mandatory Covid 19 requirement for students and staff. Making the covid vaccine mandatory is discriminating against individuals who do not want to take an experimental vaccine. We live in a country that is free to make their own choice for their own body again you will discriminate against them. We all have the right to make our own medical choices. I have 3 children all of which have looked at going to UNM because I went there and so did my father, If you make this mandatory they will no longer consider going to UNM. I hope you rethink your decisions and keep medical decisions for each individual person [REDACTED]
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Mandatory vaccination is discriminatory. Everyone has a right to make their own medical choices. This takes away someone's choice. This policy goes against a person's fundamental rights to chose. Please do not enforce this policy.
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	MEDICAL TREATMENT SHOULD NEVER BE COMPELLED. Get your policies out of our bodies.
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	As a believer in free will, this decision is absolutely abhorrent. The vaccine should not be mandated for anything. I hope you will see sense and undo this choice you have made.
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice Concerns about Safety	Good morning All, I am wanting to voice my concern against mandatory MRNA injections for students and staff. I graduated from UNM in 2007, one day would like my children to attend UNM. I believe one should have a choice in having the experimental MRNA shots injected into their bodies and it should NOT ever be mandatory in order for our children to get an education in our state. This is wrong, immoral, discriminatory and against the law in every way. Human trials are not over until 2023 and these experimental MRNA shots are not yet approved until trials are over. We have no idea what the long term affects of this will be and need to be thinking of the safety and health of our future generations. I hope you all will make the correct choice and think of our children's safety, health and wellbeing before making any decisions.
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Disappointed that you are even considering requiring student to be vaccinated. It is a personal choice to get vaccinated.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	Hello All, I am writing to voice my concern over a possible mandatory vaccine decree at UNM. My daughters are currently attending and my third daughter will be enrolling in the near future.If vaccines are going to be mandated, we will find another university to send our girls.Covid vaccines are experimental and we all have a right to make our own medical choices. Please consider allowing UNM Alumni and students to have the freedoms of choice.I personally know people who are very sick from receiving the vaccine. My brother in lawcannot move his arm and is seeing neurologist to figure how to regain movement, this isafter receiving the vaccine. We all should have a choice as to what we put into our body. Thank you for your time [REDACTED] [REDACTED] Albuquerque, NM
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	To whom it may concern,I am writing today as a concerned parent of a potential student of UNM.First of all, I would like to start by saying I am an alumni of UNM and am grateful that we have access to such a university in New Mexico being that we are a state with limited resources and opportunities. I am a New Mexican whose family has been here for multiple generations and I take pride in our community.When the pandemic began my son who is a sophomore in college took a leave of absence from CU Denver to be closer to home and he decided he would like to stay in New Mexico so we were preparing to apply for admission to UNM.My son has multiple health concerns including a Thyroid autoimmune issue. Given the limited scientific data on administering the vaccines to those with conditions such as my sons we have decided as a family that it is not medical decision that is feasible for him at this time until more is known about how the mRNA vaccines will interact with his immune system.Additionally, given the fact it is not fully FDA approved and only EUA we believe it is medical discrimination to require those who are enrolling into universities to be vaccinated with the COVID19 vaccine seeing as to how not even the US military is requiring it of our troops.There are now alternative therapies to decrease the severity of COVID19 cases and we believe it should be left to individual choice to decide which medical treatments are appropriate for our families.If UNM does in fact mandate that all students be vaccinated with the COVID19 vaccine we will have no choice but to look elsewhere for educational institutions for my son to finish out his degree.Our family urges the UNM policy makers and staff to reconsider making vaccines mandatory and instead leave it up to the individuals to decide.Thank you for your time and consideration.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	A vaccine requirement is a ridiculous idea. Why would you require an experimental vaccine for students who are almost all at ZERO risk from COVID? My daughter attends UNM and she has had COVID, which gives her better immunity than a temporary vaccine shot. I will not have her get a shot that poses a greater risk than the disease it is allegedly protecting her against. If it is required I think we will find a different school that uses common sense and actually follows real science and not PC science.
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	Good evening,I am writing to ask that you please not make the experimental covid vaccine mandatory for students, staff or faculty.Doing so would violate a person's medical freedom, as we are all free to choose what does or does not go into our bodies. It is also discriminatory against those who do not wish to participate in a medical experiment, nor risk the potential side effects. This vaccine IS experimental- although it should never be a requirement for campus attendance or employment. I am an Alumni, and I would normally be proud to send my children to UNM. However, if this vaccine were to become mandatory, my children will never attend. What has made this country one of the greatest has been our commitment to freedom. Mandating things like this are chipping away at our freedoms, and that is not the right direction we should go as a state or as a country. Those who want it can get it, and those who do not should never be forced or coerced into it (even if/when it is approved by the FDA). Freedom of choice should be the backbone of any decision you make. Thank you for your time.Sincerely, [REDACTED]
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	Any public university that attempts to mandate an experimental vaccine on any human being is not protected from liability for any resulting harm. 2005 PREP act enacted by Congress protects pharmaceutical companies that manufacture EUA vaccines and are shielded. While vaccine manufacturers may be shielded from liability, your institution is not protected. I urge the University to comply with the FD&C Act and the terms of the EUA and it's accompanying FACT SHEET. If the university decides to attempt to make an EUA mandatory, we will take legal action as our youngest son will be a senior this fall. We will transfer him to a university that is not attempting this illegal action.Sincerely, [REDACTED]
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	It is unethical and dangerous to require all students to get the vaccine. This assumes that all students are able to tolerate a pharmaceutical injection. Given peoples' personal health status and experiences, they should be allowed to decide if they think this vaccine is a good idea for their bodies. And those who can't or even don't want to take the risk with new vaccine technology, should be given equal access to higher education. This is a slippery slope. Please don't make it a big legal fight for access to equitable education

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	Students and their families may justifiably believe these policies discriminate against individuals who aren't candidates for this vaccine, have pre-existing conditions, previous COVID-19 disease, cite religious objections, or are otherwise exercising their freewill choosing not to participate in this optional vaccine experiment. Refer to the Nuremberg code from WWII, which requires individuals, "to be able to exercise free power of choice. This is discrimination.COVID-19 convalescent students may be harmed by college and university policy requiring COVID-19 vaccines. They already have extensive immunity and would be likely harmed from a forced confrontation with COVID-19 vaccine induced spike protein causing autoimmune reactions leading to illness and possible death.I hope the university is prepared this legal and financial consequences of adverse health outcomes, they have mandated. These seems like a huge liability for a vaccine that lacks long term safety date. Definitely, taking a large risk if they decide to make it compulsory.
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	I am writing to oppose any policy requiring mandatory vaccinations for staff or students at UNM. This policy would be discriminatory and would violate one's right to choose their own Medical treatments. The vaccines are not FDA-approved and are not actual vaccines. It would be careless and Reckless to force this on to people not knowing the long-term risks. You might want to consider the possibility of lawsuits in the future. I am a UNM alumnus and will not make any monetary contributions to the university if this policy takes effect.
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	Greetings all,I write to express my opposition to UNM's proposed vaccination policy. I object for the following reasons, though this list is not exhaustive:1. The human vaccine trials won't even be over until 2023.2. The vaccines are not FDA approved.3. My body, my choice. My medical decisions should be my own, and private.4. Requiring vaccination in order to re-enroll at UNM is discriminatory.5. We are no longer in a pandemic. Our situation is endemic, that is, contained.6. There is no agreement on the administered vaccine percentage required to create herd immunity, especially since the survivors already have antibodies. As of this writing, 36% of New Mexico's population is fully vaccinated. 51% of the state has received at least one dose. https://usafacts.org/visualizations/covid-vaccine-tracker-states/state/new-mexico By the proposed August 2nd deadline, these percentages will be much higher, further weakening the case for mandatory vaccines in the UNM community. Please do not approve the proposed policy on mandatory vaccinations for UNM.
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	This is a terrible idea. Why would someone who has already had the disease require a shot.? This goes against all medical knowledge. Who is going to assume liability for this? The pharma companies have made sure they are not.This is just following the crowd rather than good science.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	Dear Provost Holloway, As the parent of a UNM student and a two-time UNM alum, I am extremely distressed to hear that you are considering a policy to require students to be vaccinated to attend UNM next semester. I find this distressing for a number of reasons: *All COVID-19 vaccines are under emergency approval and have not been approved by the FDA, and as such, the long-term effects are not known regarding these EXPERIMENTAL vaccines. *Previous mRNA vaccines have had devastating results that only appeared later. *There are indications that COVID-vaccines have possible implications for fertility in young women and possibly young men. *COVID-19 has not been shown to be a grave concern in young people and in fact, there is a 99% recovery rate. *Mandatory vaccination is discriminatory and leaves UNM open to litigation. *Medical information is protected by HIPAA regulation and you cannot force someone to reveal their medical information to you so requiring proof of vaccination is a direct HIPAA violation and leaves UNM open to litigation. *Requiring all students to have a medical procedure ignores the individual needs and desires of students and infringes on their personal decisions regarding vaccination. If the University moves forward with this dangerous and flawed regulation I will be forced to find another educational institution for my child that does not seek to infringe upon his rights in order to attend. Thank you for your time and attention.
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Vaccines are never supposed to be mandatory. If someone who has already had the virus, fought it off and built up antibodies.....they don't need the vaccine. Plus, it has already been wide spread, this stupid vaccines they are pushing on all of us to get "will not stop you from contracting the virus." They put the stupid disclaimer on it from the get-go which states "our product does not work.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	UNM Provost,I am a UNM alumni. [REDACTED] [REDACTED] I am writing today to urge you to not enforce mandatory vaccination for students and staff at UNM. I was injured by the HPV vaccine when I was in graduate school. I developed Bells Palsy. One of the side effects of the COVID-19 vaccines are also Bells Palsy. Had I not caught it early, I could've had permanent facial disfigurement. I personally would not want to go through that again, and I feel that since I've been previously injured I would be at high risk to be injured again. Since the COVID-19 vaccines are not FDA approved and have not fully completed the animal trials, I feel it should be people's right to decline this vaccine. We do not know the long term affects on fertility either. Which could have long term effects on our New Mexico communities. If this was made mandatory at UNM and I was still in attendance, I would have to disenroll. Had I chosen to get the third HPV shot, I could very well be paralyzed for life. I understand the current fears of Covid-19, however, it is important to set an example for the state as a University. Requiring mandatory vaccination could result in massive disenrollment along with potentially injuring or killing many students and staff. Thank you for your time, [REDACTED]
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	It is unethical and dangerous to require all students to get the vaccine. This assumes that all students are able to tolerate a pharmaceutical injection. Given peoples' personal health status and experiences, they should be allowed to decide if they think this vaccine is a good idea for their bodies. And those who can't or even don't want to take the risk with new vaccine technology, should be given equal access to higher education. This is a slippery slope. Please don't make it a big legal fight for access to equitable education!

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	To Whom It May Concern: I want to express my deep concern in regards to the idea of the mandatory COVID-19 vaccination requirement at UNM. Not only does it deeply sadden me but it sincerely scares me for the repercussions of this mandate especially for our young population who has a greater than 99% survival rate. Whether someone chooses to get the vaccine or not should be purely based on their own medical choice. Furthermore, mandatory vaccination is discriminatory and a violation of medical freedom. I, myself, am UNM Alumni who proudly graduated from a graduate program within the school of medicine. However, I can say without a doubt I would not have attended UNM if this policy had been in place when I was applying for schools. I got accepted to 4 other universities out of state and I would have opted to attend a school that did not mandate the experimental vaccine. Additionally, I have children who may one day opt to attend UNM; however, if this discriminatory mandate is in place, UNM will not be a consideration. UNM is at the forefront of medicine, especially in NM, and for this reason I sincerely hope that the risks associated with this experimental vaccine are considered and that the vaccine not be mandated at UNM. As of May 3 there have been 4,178 post-COVID-Vaccine deaths reported to the U.S-based Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS). https://vaers.hhs.gov The risk is real and our students do not deserve to be subjected to such risk, ever.
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	If UNM goes forward with the proposal to mandate the Covid vaccine my daughter and I will sadly be looking elsewhere for her to finish her studies. I am a native New Mexican, attended UNM myself, and my parents have three degrees between them from UNM. It is highly unethical and very distressing to see our hometown University consider forcing a medical intervention on its students and faculty. Please don't mandate this vaccine.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	Dear UNM Community As the parent of a UNM student and a two-time UNM alum, I am extremely distressed to hear that you are considering a policy to require students to be vaccinated to attend UNM next semester. I find this distressing for a number of reasons: *All COVID-19 vaccines are under emergency approval and have not been approved by the FDA, and as such, the long-term effects are not known regarding these EXPERIMENTAL vaccines. *Previous mRNA vaccines have had devastating results that only appeared later. *There are indications that COVID-vaccines have possible implications for fertility in young women and possibly young men. *COVID-19 has not been shown to be a grave concern in young people and in fact, there is a 99% recovery rate. *Mandatory vaccination is discriminatory and leaves UNM open to litigation. *Medical information is protected by HIPAA regulation and you cannot force someone to reveal their medical information to you so requiring proof of vaccination is a direct HIPAA violation and leaves UNM open to litigation. *Requiring all students to have a medical procedure ignores the individual needs and desires of students and infringes on their personal decisions regarding vaccination. If the University moves forward with this dangerous and flawed regulation I will be forced to consider another educational institution for my child that does not seek to infringe upon his rights in order to attend. Thank you for your time and attention.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	As an alumni and parent of a current student I am saddened that UNM would try to make the COVID-19 "vaccine" mandatory. I thought a University was a place to challenge students, faculty and staff to think for themselves and outside of the box. It is supposed to be a place where people can expand their thinking horizons. It is a shame that when people actually use their minds and education to make informed decisions about their own bodies they are targeted and lose privileges and the ability to finish their degree at Universities where they are limited by coercion to do as per the mandates. I think it is disheartening when you purport to give people the right to choose life because it is their body but not when their choice doesn't fit the mold of people who have an alternate perspective. You have made no provision for people who cannot receive the "vaccine" for medical reasons such as allergies or auto-immune disorders. How is that not considered discrimination? And what happened to HIPA law and the privacy act? Are you going to require each student to list any and all STDs, medications, recreational drug use, etc.? And how will you curb the activities that lead to STDs and drug use? UNM you are setting a very dangerous precedent if you mandate this "vaccine"! Your decision on mandating the "vaccine" is a precipice, are you really willing to go there? I have 2 other students who attend CNM and are about to transfer to UNM within the next year, if you mandate the "vaccine" you will lose the tuition for 3 full time students from just our family. Not to mention as an alumni, I would not donate one dime to UNM or support it in any way. I will encourage others who seek a college education to go somewhere you are not discriminated against for having a differing opinion than the masses. Last time I checked I am responsible for my own body and my own health. I must do and choose what is best for my body and my health. I hope and pray that you will not mandate the COVID-19 "vaccine" for students, faculty and staff.
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice Concerns about Privacy	I strongly urge UNM NOT to require a Covid vaccine to attend school. No student should ever feel coerced into a medical procedure in order to receive higher education. In addition, HIPPA law prohibits others from demanding access to a student or faculty member's private medical information, so requiring access to that information would be against the law. Myself and all 5 of my siblings graduated from UNM, as well as my husband. We have 8 children, the oldest of whom will soon be of college age, and rest assured that with this proposed vaccine policy in place, we will absolutely not be sending any of our children to UNM. We will find another university at which to spend our money educating our children. Hundreds of my fellow New Mexico parents feel the same. Thank you for your consideration. Again, I urge you to decide AGAINST this Covid vaccine requirement.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I am strongly against making employees/students prove that they are vaccinated. This is discriminatory, and is a violation of protected health information. Please allow people basic freedom to choose their own health decisions, and not be coerced into doing things they otherwise would not want to do. The university will be a part of making "two classes" of people. Ones who are educated and ones who cannot because of health reasons. I will not be sending my children to your university if this is the decision that is made to disclose personal health information. Please strongly consider and being a leader, not the first universities to jump to require the vaccine. Thank you.
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	We do not agree with a required vaccine OR testing. Every student should have a right to refuse either. Thank you.
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I am all for those who choose to be vaccinated, but I am against UNM mandating that students, faculty, and employees of UNM have the COVID "vaccine". To be vaccinated is a personal health decision.
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice Concerns about Privacy	We are parents of a junior, and we are also alumni. We are in direct opposition of any effort by the University to impose a mandatory vaccine on our student. If there is an "accommodation" made, any monitoring for those who chose not to receive the vaccine would be a violation of privacy and we would choose to move our son to another university for his senior year. I hope the University will reconsider these actions as we will seek legal advice on our options for credits that may not transfer.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I find it appalling UNM is buying into the narrative and flawed policies that have effectively shut down our great state and much of the United States. UNM students are in an age group that has very low risk for serious disease from SARS-CoV-2. Mandatory vaccination is an outlandish draconian policy given the FDA has not approved COVID-19 vaccines for general use and the vaccine has caused far to many vaccination induced deleterious side affects to considered taking the vaccine. As a family we have made the decision to refrain from taking the vaccine. If our daughter is required to take a COVID-19 vaccine, she will be forced to withdraw from all programs offered at UNM. She will take her funds elsewhere and finish studies at a more friendly university. Furthermore, we will no longer consider UNM a deserving charitable organizing in the future. Medical decisions are personal. Vaccinations of any kind should not be forced on an individual by any government or agency. It defies the notion of personal liberty. Organizations that are proponents of such policies should be called out and those responsible for making or proposing such a abhorrent policies should fired and replaced. I am sad to see that the UNM administration would even consider such a policy and those responsible should immediately retract it. Sincerely,A very concerned UNM Alumnus.
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Privacy	To Whom it May Concern -- Compulsory vaccination is a direct attack on personal privacy, especially health privacy, and the decision to do such should be discouraged at all costs. In light of the COVID-19 pandemic and its global effects, there is not enough evidence to approach this virus with the same rigor as others in the past. The decision to make vaccination for COVID-19 compulsory is a slippery slope; please consider the ramifications of this type of regulation and its direct assault to freedom and an individual's right to their own personal property. Consider the super precedence from the Roe V Wade trial. It was the personal privacy clause that helped rule for the woman's right to abortion.Sincerely, [REDACTED]
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Privacy	The entire state is in turquoise. There is no need for a mandate. If people want to get it fine, if they don't fine. You say that you won't share the vaccination status of students, yet will require a sticker or badge of some sort? LIES. Not a proud almuni anymore. When my kids grow up I'm sending them elsewhere.
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I am ashamed of my alma mater. For always touting their pro-inclusion, pro-diversify pro-choice in every way stance, UNM has totally missed mark. You are going to exclude a student based on their personal CHOICE? Talk about discrimination. If someone wants to get the shot, then let them. If someone chooses not to, then ok. The Covid-19 shot is not an immunity building vaccine. It's experimental gene therapy. If someone chooses to not join the experiment, then that is their personal prerogative. I am stunned that that UNM is even considering this - shame on you.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Alumni	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Please reconsider this strategy. There is no reason to vaccinate these young people for one. Secondly, there is an article out by the Association of American Physicians and Surgeons urging vaccination requirements NOT be in effect. https://aapsonline.org/covid-vaccines-should-not-be-required-to-return-to-campus-states-the-association-of-american-physicians-and-surgeons/?fbclid=IwAR1403J_ZQ5sD5JPXRBBy9sFEezZz7QkrPQdifw01H95gu5bHmiWSzXq18vEAs the parent of a daughter at UNM there is not enough long-term testing to know how mrna vaccines will effect these young ladies. Lastly, the article highlights the Nuremberg Code: "Protections expressed by the Nuremberg Code require individuals "to be able to exercise free power of choice, without the intervention of any element of force."" FORCING vaccinations is a civil liberties violation, plain and simple. Please act for the good of all.
Alumni	Question	Concerns about Choice	I believe that REQUIRING the vaccine of ALL students oversteps a person's right to choose whether or not they want to be vaccinated, especially in the case where they previously contracted COVID and continue to have the antibodies in their system. Could there be a provision allowing them the same freedoms as a vaccinated person if they can show that they have a sufficient amount of antibodies to protect themselves and the people they come in contact with by taking a lab certified antibodies test at regular intervals, say 2-3 months apart?
Alumni	Question	General Complaint	It is not appropriate to require any vaccine of any student or staff member. I attended UNM and graduated with my bachelor's in 2005 and master's 2007. No proof of having received any vaccine was required for my attendance at that time. The diseases for which vaccines are required for children to enter public schools are much more dangerous than covid-19 and those vaccines have much better established safety of history. But UNM did not even require proof that students received those vaccines. So it makes me wonder what is the motivation for requiring the covid-19 shot especially for a population which is not severely affected by the virus? It's fine for you to recommend that a student or a staff member receives the covid shot but it should never be required even if it does receive FDA approval.
Alumni	Question	Concerns about Process	Will proof of vaccination be required for alumni to attend functions at the University such as a performance at Popjoy hall or a sporting event? If so, how will the proof be verified?

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Alumni	Question	Concerns about Enforcement	Hello -How would this proposed policy impact the people who visit the campus for Popejoy shows, basketball games, football games or other public events such as homecoming? Does this mean no one could participate if they were not vaccinated? It would seem as if this might impact attendance as well as enrollment in Continuing Ed class. The summer program for children could very well be impacted with limited participation. Please consider the huge impact you will have on the larger community by requiring only vaccinated people to be on campus. UNM does more than just education and research. It is a big part of the Albuquerque community.
Alumni	Question	Concerns about EnforcementImpact to Employment	This is a TERRIBLE idea! Are you going to require vaccine for ALL other communicable diseases (some of which are significantly more severe) as well? What about other events on UNM campuses? Will you need a vaccine to attend sporting events, shows at Pope Joy, job fairs etc. What about UNMPD, do they need it? Are you willing to risk losing law enforcement over it? The fact that UNM is even contemplating this is utterly disgraceful.
Alumni	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I believe a requirement to be fully vaccinated to be on campus is a great move. This will help be a beacon for other orgs in the state who are tip toeing around the idea of having vaccination policies for their people. Additionally, it will make our community feel safer, encourage people to get their vaccines, and will keep us healthy.
Alumni	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I agree that requiring a vaccine for any person coming to campus will improve safety for everyone. UNM leads in science and medicine- Lobos set the standard that will drive our entire state.
Alumni	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	Making a mandatory vaccine requirement is a good policy- As a high school instructor, I personally know several students that put off going to college this past school year due the pandemic and are waiting to feel safe in returning. A mandatory vaccine policy will go a long ways in helping create a safe environment for all students and staff.
Alumni	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	As a healthcare provider for our community, and a spouse to a faculty member of UNM, I absolutely think that vaccines should be mandatory for students to resume in person classes. It provides a safe reentry plan for large volume classes and helps to keep everyone safe!We have both been vaccinated since December and it has given us both great peace of mind when getting out and moving towards a more normal life
Alumni	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	This is a good idea. A safe learning environment for all students requires psychological and physical safety, including from COVID. A vaccine requirement will help achieve that safety.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Alumni	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	Sounds like the only way to go.If you weren't implementing these polices, I wouldn't want to go back myself.With them in place, I'd feel confident being in a classroom all day ... and I haven't eaten indoors at a restaurant since June and that wasn't preferred then.
Alumni	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	This is a great policy that will help decrease the spread of an illness that is killing millions of people.
Alumni	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	This sounds like a great idea to require it. We need safety in our environments and this is one more way to make this a safe space. Other universities are doing the same, keep the pack safe.
Alumni	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	Is there a timeline for when to expect the FDA to issue a regular use approval? Because it is in our best interest to have everyone vaccinated before fall term starts and I am concerned this will cause delays. Having a mandatory vaccination policy is an excellent plan so I do not want to see it watered down or delayed.Also, I am both an alumni and a current graduate student, why is there no option to select "graduate student" on this form? Are TAs and instructors not included?
Alumni	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I approve of this recommended policy! Vaccines are free and there are numerous ways to get a vaccine if you sign up at health dept. Web site. My UNM student is one of the students who is already fully vaccinated.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	Why would you require a COVID vaccine when the mortality rate is .1 % per the cdc for college age students. The death rate of flu, meningitis and many other things are much higher and not required. I feel you can encourage the vaccine, even promote it but it should NOT be mandatory. Please rethink requirements on Covid-19 vaccines have not been long term tested and these young people should have the right to choose for themselves.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	Treating symptomatic Covid-19 patients who have hypoxia and cytokines with Hyperbaric oxygen therapy is very effective and honors body integrity. It is illegal under international codes to force consent, particularly for unlicensed injections with no guarantee a student will not come down with Covid-19 nor pass it to someone else.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	I feel it is important to know how many students you will have to turn away for your school if the covid vaccine is mandatory. Most people I know are declining vaccination regardless of the consequences we face. Restricting peoples lives without having this medical procedure is unconstitutional and deplorable.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	I understand you have a lot of pressure to keep people safe. I can't imagine the amount of responsibility you hold on a daily basis. I desperately need to tell you about the responsibility I hold. I am responsible for myself, my children, and their future. I am responsible for keeping them safe until they are adults where they will make that decision for themselves. Mandatory vaccines are a violation of that responsibility. Everyone has the right to choose their medical care for themselves. I will never... NEVER.... enroll, do business, or otherwise engage with a location who mandates vaccines whether I have the vaccine or not. If this mandatory vaccination requirement goes through, it will create discrimination that will set society back at least 50 years and perhaps farther. Shaping future minds is an incredible undertaking. Organizations like yourself are revered and valued. Please do not implement mandatory vaccines. It is devastating when people die for any reason. Many people die from the Flu virus every year for decades. Reminder that no mandates have ever been required for the Flu vaccine. The survivability rate for Covid-19 is over 98%. This is not a mandatory need. Thank you for taking the time to consider Not having mandatory vaccinations.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	Hello, I am an UNM alumni, and I was just emailing about some of the new policies that are trying to be put in place. There should be no mandatory mask and vaccine to go to this college. College has always been about free choices right? Where you can be your own person and grow into so much more. These kind of mandates are reasons I will have my kids attend a different school. These are unacceptable and unethical requirements. Medical records are and should remain confidential. And masks have been proven time and time again to be pointless control. Please don't add such ridiculous requirements. Any support that I would have giving unum will be pulled. I cannot support this kind of breach of freedom. Thank you for your time
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	Mandatory vaccination is discriminatory. All people have a right to make their own medical choices. These vaccines are experimental and they have very high rates of adverse reactions! Please use your head and stop this!Thank-you,
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	Dear President Stokes, Please do not make the vaccine mandatory for students or staff. It is an experimental drug. Recovery rate for Covid is 99 percent. This violates rights of Americans. Thank you.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	Medical procedure is an individual choice. Forcing them is illegal, unethical, and tyrannical at best..

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	University of New Mexico is trying to make mandatory vaccination for all staff members which is against the law it should be stopped immediately it should be the individual's choice you guys are wrong on every level stop immediately you guys are out of control!!!!
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	Dear President Stokes, I wanted to send along my thoughts on what UNM should do about the COVID 'passports'. Please try not to fall in line with the left on this issue. There are very real consequences to the choices made on this issue and I implore you to consider the big picture. To consider what comes next.I was a student and employee at UNM for a few years and always marveled at how little thought went into decisions about conformity. Please don't let this decision on the restriction of freedom become another poorly considered choice with huge ramifications. I could go on and on but will spare you all that, unless you want the conversation. In that case, I would relish the conversation.In closing, please preserve the Constitution over expediency.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	Forcing people to take experimental drugs is against all that America stands for. Covid has a99 percent recovery rate.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	Dear President Stokes,Covid 19 Vaccines should be optional especially since the vaccines are being allowed on the market under an Emergency Use Authorization. Even when granted full FDA approval the vaccination of students should be a matter of choice.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	I'm a community member that works for CNM. We usually follow a long with what UNM does. I'm very AGAINST this policy for anywhere to have. It's ridiculous! My body my choice! For everything not just this subject.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	Hope you all go to Nuremberg for CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY. The COVID mRNA vaccines ARE NOT SAFE and EFFECTIVE. The own pharmaceuticals protocols and clinical trials confirm this.Mandating an EUA is against all laws including the right to choose...Following the communist/socialist agenda by the JEWS zionists and World economic forum?We can almost predict with 100% certainty what's next on your communist agenda by reading the WEF website.. It seems most corporations are following the same script.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	Covid 19 vaccination should be voluntary. UNM should not mandate it as a requirement.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceImpact to Enrollment	Dear Ms. Cordova, Please see the comment below that was left on the UNM website. We are absolutely opposed to the proposal of mandatory vaccination and /or Covid testing. And we will *not* send our 4 children (ages 12-17) to the UNM if this is approved. Besides the obvious violation of rights and overwhelming evidence showing us that the student population is not at significant risk, you will witness an even more significant decline in enrollment if you mandate vaccines/testing. It's a self-sabotaging decision. We are already witnessing families fleeing the state's struggling economy and exporting our brightest students in favor of states that are choosing to invest in their youth. In fact, the census report recently verified what we are seeing in our neighborhoods by stating that NM has a growing elderly population and declining college-age population. Furthermore, trade schools are competing successfully for student dollars.Focus your efforts on expanding opportunities for Albuquerque & improving UNM's abysmal safety/security status instead of attempting to mandate unconstitutional policies.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	I have only seen the Nazis in Germany enforced putting foreign agents into people's body. These kids are young and healthy and do not need chemicals I do nothing to help them put into their body. coronavirus covid-19 or China virus none of them have any effect to these young kids, whatsoever. You need to quit enforcing unethical, unconstitutional, unhumanitarian practices on these kids!
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	Never in the history of this once free and great country has such an asinine vaccine push been mandated to such a level as what you are currently pushing! This vaccine is not without injury, I personally know of several that have suffered from this vaccine, one of which died last Friday!You do not have the right to force ANYONE to do something like this and the fact you are trying to anyway is absolutely abhorrent!If you had any decency, you would advocate for freedom to choose instead of FORCING something on staff and students, what a shameful disgrace your "higher education" facility is!! implore you to do the only right thing and stop this idiotic vaccine mandate lunacy by allowing EVERYONE the right to choose free of reprimand.God forbid you foolishly force this and even one student is injured or dies because of your inability to allow people to choose for themselves!DO NOT MANDATE VACCINES!
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;Concerns about Safety;	I am shocked at the audacity of any institution demanding such measures as forcing a student to accept medical treatment if they do not want it. I do foresee, and will assist in legal action against such institutions who are participating in medical tyranny! The documented ill affects from these EXPERIMENTAL gene therapy injections is overwhelming. I'm sure your institution will become the target of legal action for the inevitable medical problems that will ensue. I recommend you make a wise choice at this time and let people make their own decisions regarding this issue.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;Concerns about Safety;	Dear President Stokes of UNM and colleagues,I am writing this letter of concern to you regarding students of UNM and namely, my son, who is a member of the Class of 2021 at UNM, but is planning on starting his master's degree in the fall at your prestigious University.I am writing to inform you that he was naturally infected with SARS-CoV-2 in 2020. Because he was naturally infected, it is highly likely that he is already naturally immune. Therefore, I am very concerned that COVID-19 vaccination may be a totally unnecessary medical treatment in him with only the possibility of adverse reaction or harm.I would like to ask that you consider carefully the safety and liability implications of indiscriminately mandating COVID-19 vaccination in students, including my son in whom the vaccine is an unnecessary, or potentially dangerous, medical treatment: that is, those who are already immune or recently infected.However, because UNM, as a private corporate institution, is now considering engaging in mandated blanket vaccination, I am writing to inform you that the risk of liability for harm to your students, who are naturally immune or recently infected, from indiscriminate COVID-19 vaccination, is non-negligible.Please consider two main points of liability:First, it is abundantly clear that the vast majority of persons who are naturally immune from prior SARS-CoV-2 infections gain little to no benefit from additional vaccination. This statement is not only consistent with the basic tents of immunological clinical science, but it was also demonstrated by the results from the J&J vaccine's clinical trials AND by recent results emerging from Israel (see below links). Therefore, vaccination of the naturally immune is simply an unnecessary medical treatment in the vast majority of such persons. And you all know that any complications resulting from a medical treatment that is unnecessary, no matter how "rare", fit under the category of "unreasonable harm". Please do review the below links with care and consider the fact that many of your students receiving indiscriminate and mandated COVID-19 vaccinations are undergoing an unnecessary, and therefore only potentially harmful, medical treatment because of your indiscriminate COVID-19 vaccine mandate:https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.04.20.21255670v1https://noorchashm.medium.com/j-js-covid-19-vaccine-data-table-14-natural-immunity-is-equally-protective-if-not-better-than-d9082d6c181fSecond, as a matter of clinical standard we already know that vaccinating infected persons is potentially harmful. Certainly, recent CDC guideline indicates that persons infected with SARS-CoV-2 should wait before getting vaccinated — this is an implicit acknowledgement that such persons are at risk of harm. Certainly, we already know that a substantial number of persons are asymptotically infected with SARS-CoV-2 and, thus, will not know that they are infected at the time of their vaccination at your facilities. Moreover, recent data emerging from the UK is demonstrating that ambulatory patients with prior infections are the ones having the most intense vaccine related adverse reactions. You may review this data

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
			here:https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.02.26.21252096v1Of course, any reasonable epidemiologist, clinician or attorney would recognize that when the bell-shaped curve of adverse events is shifted to the right on the x-axis for the naturally infected, a lot more of the tail end of that bell-curve will be in "death valley". In fact, there are some very prominent examples of death and complications from indiscriminate COVID-19 vaccination of the recently infected in the press. [REDACTED] [REDACTED] These persons with prior infections, who developed post-vaccine complications, are only 3 examples of Americans harmed, and will likely be the tip of a far larger iceberg. The shame is tha
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;Concerns about Safety;	Dear President Stokes, The Students and Faculty & Staff of the University of New Mexico are Not to be used and treated like Guinea Pigs!!Please do not encourage and allow this to happen.Please exercise Your sense of Humanity and Compassion ? As a Leader of this Academic Community, please inform yourself thoroughly. This shot is NOT a tested Vaccine. It's purpose is not to prolong Life, but to shorten Life...to create infertility.What is the reason for reducing the Human population? Will it be easier to control? As [REDACTED] has clearly explained:"This is not a vaccine! It is a package of Pathogens encased in fat cells (fetal tissue of dead babies)." And he goes on to assure us that once injected into the human body, these Pathogens will flourish and multiply, creating serious illness and certain death. The estimated survival rate now of those being "vaccinated" is 3 years at the most!Please reconsider your alliances and loyalties to this program. There is Evil and great suffering in the progression of events which you are considering. The technology of nano bots is very real, and they are programmed to spread to others who are not "vaccinated," thereby creating ever greater suffering.I kindly implore you, Dr. Stokes to drop this horrifying agenda.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;Concerns about Safety;	Dear President Stokes, The proposed policy on mandated vaccines is not a good idea for the University to be imposing on its personnel and student body. The Covid-19 virus has a survival rate of 99.9% and the vaccines are only experimental and not fully FDA approved as is stated on some of the paperwork received at the time of administration of the shots. Please reconsider this policy and treat people as individuals capable of making their own health decisions. This is a terrible virus, I understand, but the long term effects of what it has on people has not been fully determined, and of special concern to me is the effect it has on women of childbearing age. Thank you for looking at all of the angles here and not forcing young people to take a risk until more information and research is known about the vaccines. NMSU is a great institution of higher learning and it is for this reason that more research needs to be done and questions need to be asked and answered. Let NMSU stand apart from other institutions of learning and be a unique place and beacon for free thinking individuals across the country and around the world to come and enroll and experience. Best of luck to you in this very difficult decision making process.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;Concerns about Safety;	Dear Mr Stokes, it has come to my attention that UNM wants to implement a mandatory vaccination for all staff and students. Let me say that I believe this is a very bad decision to make. Let me be clear, this is discrimination against people's right to decide their own medical choices. You nor anyone else has the right to force anyone to take a vaccine for any reason. These vaccines have not been approved by the FDA, and forcing people to take them, is wrong. Please understand that and choose to do the right thing. It should always be personal choice to get vaccinated.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;Concerns about Safety;	Forcing students to vaccinate with a drug that has not been "approved" by the FDA in order to attend school is unconstitutional and an overreach into private medical matters and choices. This is appalling to see that you are in a sense hijacking and ransoming a higher education for someone submitting to a medical experimental procedure with their body or else what??... all their money and years are wasted that they've invested and entrusted to UNM? These students deserve better and we deserve that you act more ethically and professionally with their best interests at heart.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	I want to express my opinion as a NM Taxpayer and Citizen that I am against any forced vaccination of UNM students. This is tyrannical and controlling. Let those who want to, get it. If it is really so effective, then this should take care of the problem.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	This us an emergency approved vaccine. You should not make it mandatory. Specially if people will begin to have heart problems. Dont force it on people. We should have the freedom to choose our own medical treatment. Our body our choice.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceImpact to Enrollment	My daughter is enrolled at UNM and this is an absolute deal breaker for us. If you mandate the vaccine we will pull her from the roster.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	Requiring vaccination for students or staff is infringing upon the civil liberties of the individuals. Each person is responsible for their own well-being; and the university should not encroach upon the constitutional right of Americans (and should respect the rights of exchange students to do the same).
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	I am an attorney who works with New Mexico Stands Up! A non-partisan, volunteer-driven organization working to protect individual rights through public interest legal action, providing support to New Mexicans facing loss of health freedom, and educating the public about their rights with regard to mandated medical interventions. You may be aware that the mandating of COVID-19 vaccination for students or employees has become a serious issue in the United States and in New Mexico. Currently, there are several lawsuits which have been filed on behalf of employees who have been fired or threatened with termination for not being vaccinated. New Mexico Stands Up filed one of those lawsuits against Doña Ana County personnel for firing employees who chose not to be vaccinated. We are preparing another suit against a private business in Albuquerque who has threatened to fire an employee who chooses not to be vaccinated for religious reasons. We hope that you will seriously consider the legal and moral ramifications before taking the action of mandating vaccines for your students or employees. The COVID-19 pandemic does not justify violations of fundamental individual, economic, and religious liberties. Both the United States and New Mexico constitutions protect the right to personal autonomy and bodily integrity. This includes the right to decide for oneself what medical treatment, drugs or vaccines one is willing to take or undergo. Please take a moment to read the attached letter. Feel free to reach out if you have any questions or concerns.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	Nuremberg trials coming at you.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	To Whom It May Concern,By authority of the Nuremberg Code on Medical Experimentation, I do hereby exercise my right to refuse to submit to or to administer ANY COVID-19 EXPERIMENTAL vaccine. The United States Governmenthas prosecuted, convicted and executed Medical Doctors who haveviolated the Nuremberg Code on Medical Experimentation. Aiders and abettors of Nuremberg Crimes are equally guilty and have also been prosecuted, convicted, and executed.In re: https://president.unm.edu/about/index.html

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	I'm planning on pursuing my Masters at UNM, my brother, [REDACTED] was an alumni. However I refuse to be dictated to regarding my freedom to choose for myself. I will not condone such manipulation and will broadcast this information to my audiences. No one is above the Lord or the law. COVID-19 Vaccines are a personal choice. Keep America free.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice Impact to Enrollment	Dear President Stokes, Do you know what the Nuremberg Code was put into place for? It is so that people will never be forced or coerced into medical treatments. If you choose to make Vaccination mandatory to attend UNM I think you will be making a huge mistake. I will not enroll at UNM next year if this comes to pass. Mandatory vaccination is discriminatory, mandatory testing for people who receive vaccine exemptions is not acceptable. Finally, all people have a right to make their own informed medical choices for their body. Thank you for your time and consideration in this matter, [REDACTED]
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	Mandatory anything is not how either you, or I were raised in America. Make it optional but strongly recommended and allow the individual to pay the price for their decision.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice; Concerns about Safety;	I am a former employee in the process of returning back to UNM, I also have children interested in attending the University. If this policy is put into place, I will not return nor will my children enroll in the university. Enforcing a vaccine that has only been allowed to be used under the EUA is not ethical nor right to be held against people and risk the loss of their job or ability to retain an education. These are still not fully approved by the FDA and are still considered experimental until the full period of testing has been achieved. People have the right to choose, the right for employment, and the right to an education. They should not have to choose between them. People have fought for decades for women's rights to be able to choose what they want to do with their bodies. It is their body, their choice. Why doesn't this same notion apply? We should have the right to choose what comes out and goes in to our bodies, it is our body our choice, is it not?
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice Concerns about Safety	I am emailing you today regarding the enormous concern over your attempt at making the covid-19 vaccine a requirement for students and staff. Mandatory vaccination is discriminatory and as human beings, we have the right to make their own medical choices. Forcing a vaccine is comparable to forcing someone to practice medicine without having a license. Is this what UNM is encouraging? As an individual who has family who attends school at UNM, I am strongly petitioning against a move like this. This policy would lead us to disenroll and cause us to not participate in supporting UNM in any way. Please consider the personal choice and decisions of others and the affect your decision will have on our community and our local economy.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceImpact to Enrollment	Hello,I am emailing you today regarding the enormous concern over your attempt at making the covid-19 vaccine a requirement for students and staff. Mandatory vaccination is discriminatory and as human beings, we have the right to make their own medical choices. Forcing a vaccine is comparable to forcing someone to practice medicine without having a license. Is this what UNM is encouraging? As and individual who has family who attends school at UNM, I am strongly petitioning against a move like this. This policy would lead us to disenroll and cause us to not participate in supporting UNM in any way. Please consider the personal choice and decisions of others and the affect your decision will have on our community and our local economy. Best regards, [REDACTED]
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	MS. Cordova, if this vaccine hasn't officially been given the ok by the F D.A. Then how, can it be legal to mandate the vaccine??? If the rights in America are Freedoms, for citizens. How does UNM. Think it's their right to mandate anything on a free society. If the vaccines, that I already took Willingly.. if they protect me from getting the covid 19 then, why should I be forced to continue and wear my mask?? None of this really makes sense.. not in a free society. I would hope, that the staff at U.N.M. could see, that they might appear to have egg on their face??
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	I would like UNM to withdraw any vaccination requirements, including covid vaccinations for students. The Association of American Physicians and Surgeons have expressed concerns over such a policy for a variety of reasons, which they have posted online. In addition, it is dangerous and highly unethical to require people to inject substances into their bodies. Such a policy sets an extremely dangerous precedent.Vaccination should be a choice. If an individual feels a vaccination will afford them protection, they should have the right to vaccinate themselves. If an individual is concerned about vaccine injury, or the lack of studies on long-term health outcomes, they should have the option to abstain. Personal freedom is the bedrock of this country. A mandatory vaccination policy violates human rights.Thank you.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	Why force healthy young students who are not at risk to take experimental vaccine of a disease that has a 98% recovery. The fact the the drug companies are not liable for injuries from vaccine is a HUGE RED FLAG. You don't know the effects of the vaccine in 5 or 10 years. These are young kids who are still growing and developing till there mid 20's. This is unconstitutional for a state funded schoolroom demand an injection.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	I very strongly oppose making the vaccine a REQUIREMENT to return to classes. It's so appalling that I don't even know where to begin explaining how sick it makes me to hear you're even considering it. Whether or not to get injected with an experimental concoction is and has always been a personal choice, and should never be considered as a requirement in order for an individual to continue education. Please come to your senses, UNM.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice Concerns about Safety	Young adults are a healthy and immunologically competent and vibrant group that is at, “extraordinary low risk for COVID-19 morbidity and mortality.” College and University students, however, are under significant mental health strain already from COVID-19 fears, circumstances, distance learning problems and the imposition of government health policy restrictions. Even though the FDA granted Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) for three COVID-19 vaccines, they are not FDA approved to treat, cure or prevent any disease at this time. Clinical trials will continue for at least two years before the FDA can even consider approval of these vaccines as effective and safe. The COVID-19 vaccines on the market in the U.S., mRNA (Moderna and Pfizer) and DNA (Johnson & Johnson — Janssen), have caused notable side effects, pathology and even death (>2300 deaths per VAERS as of April 20). These adverse reactions result in absence from school and work, hospital visits, and even loss of life. College-age women may be at unique risk for adverse events following administration of the experimental COVID vaccinations currently available. According to the CDC, all cases of life-threatening blood clots, subsequent to receiving the J&J vaccine, reported so far in the United States, occurred in younger women. The vast majority of cases of anaphylaxis have also occurred in women. In addition, “women are reporting having irregular menstrual cycles after getting the coronavirus vaccine,” and 95 miscarriages have been reported to the U.S. Vaccine Adverse Effects Reporting System (VAERS) following COVID vaccination as of April 24, 2021. Recent research data demonstrates that the spike protein, present on the SARS-CoV-2 virus and the induced primary mechanism of action of COVID-19 vaccines, are the primary cause of disease, infirmity, hospitalization and death. Students who have had self-limited cases of COVID-19 already possess antibodies, activated B-cells, activated T-cells (detectable by lab testing). This durable, long-term immunity would not only prevent them from getting recurrent COVID-19, but would also represent herd immunity to protect others in the college or university community. COVID-19 convalescent students may be harmed by college and university policy requiring COVID-19 vaccines. They already have extensive immunity and would be likely harmed from a forced confrontation with COVID-19 vaccine induced spike protein causing autoimmune reactions leading to illness and possible death. Students and their families may justifiably believe these policies discriminate against individuals who aren’t candidates for this vaccine, have pre-existing conditions, previous COVID-19 disease, cite religious objections, or are otherwise exercising their freewill choosing not to participate in this optional vaccine experiment. Refer to the Nuremberg code from WWII, which requires individuals, “to be able to exercise free power of choice, without the intervention of any element of force ...” The ADA, Americans with Disabilities Act, requires “reasonable accommodations,” be provided based on an individual’s own unique health situation. This includes rejection of an experimental vaccine intervention which may exacerbate known health problems and thereby cause harm. Colleges and

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
			Universities should consider whether they might be liable for damages, poor health outcomes, and loss of life due to mandatory COVID-19 vaccination policies. "Positive cases," as defined by laboratory testing alone, may be false positive testing errors or asymptomatic infection that is not clinically proven to spread disease. Ambulatory outpatient early treatment for SARS-CoV-2 infection / COVID-19 has been demonstrated effective in adults. Informed consent is the standard for all medical interventions. I will NOT send my son to UNM if this becomes mandatory. I will pay for his college elsewhere!

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	Hello Francis, I'm writing as a fellow New Mexican to register my strong opposition to UNM creating a mandatory COVID-19 vaccination requirement for all staff and students. While I recognize that many believe this is doing the right thing, there are far too many red flags indicating that this policy is too risky and is illegal. I hope you will take the time to read why, as I have carefully studied and considered my sources and have taken the time to detail them for you and your colleagues. I hope you will share this with others. I have a masters in public policy and have spent hundreds of hours researching these vaccines and the best and safest alternatives to them (e.g., ivermectin). My reasons are simple: 1) No long term safety data: The Covid-19 vaccines were authorized in December 2020 or early 2021, after only a few months of data were available. There is no long term safety data - and as such, no one can actually claim that they are safe and effective over the long-term. If the proposed policy is designed "to protect the health, safety and welfare" of students and faculty, then the wisest, most conservative approach would be not to require a new mRNA or other technology that has not yet met the standards of full FDA approval. Further, the studies have been compromised by giving the unvaccinated control group the vaccines, making it even harder for long term safety of the vaccinated vs unvaccinated to be scientifically evaluated. See this.Requiring them puts UNM in a position of prescribing medical treatment when that is "outside its areas of expertise" as it's not in a position to know or understand the long term safety, which has not been established for any of these emergency use only "vaccines." 2) They cause thousands of death and injury known in the short term that are underreported (on CDC's VAERS). There are many scientists speaking out against the vaccines, partly because of the thousands of known deaths and over 50,000 adverse events (as of late March) in the CDC's VAERS data, which is known for underreporting actual statistics. Some doctors speak out saying the vaccines will negatively impact fertility. If U.S. CoVID-19 vaccines are designed to increase spike protein expression and presence in the body. Unfortunately, new research suggests that increasing spike proteins in the body may be a major trigger for severe systemic inflammation in the body and brain. Two studies that suggest the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein alone is capable of causing serious disease demand avoidance of vaccination and require an immediate halt to the U.S. vaccination program:1. "Endothelial Cell Damage Is the Central Part of COVID-19 and a Mouse Model Induced by Injection of the S1 Subunit of the Spike Protein." Annals of Diagnostic Pathology 51 (April): 151682.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
			https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7758180/ 2. [REDACTED] [REDACTED] "The SARS-CoV-2 Spike Protein Alters Barrier Function in 2D Static and 3D Microfluidic in-Vitro Models of the Human Blood-Brain Barrier." Neurobiology of Disease 146 (December): 105131. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S096999612030406X 3) It's a violation of the law to require them. [REDACTED] [REDACTED] All of the Covid-19 vaccines were authorized for emergency use only, which means that people MUST have the right to refuse - as explained in

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	I am writing today as a New Mexico resident to strongly implore you to NOT make the experimental biologic called the covid vaccine mandatory to staff or students. Mandatory vaccination is discriminatory, it is dangerous, the adverse reactions website has countless deaths from healthy people who have taken the vaccine, all people have a right to make their own medical choices, and to make something poisonous and potentially deadly, mandatory for any person, should be against the law. It also has not proven to stop or slow the spread of covid in any way. They say it “may” help, again it has not been proven, and when it comes to health related choices, it should be a decision by the person taking the risk, not mandated by the institution in which you could threaten their lives. I sincerely implore you to do the right thing and not make this vaccine mandatory. I urge you to not make it mandatory. Why would it need to be mandatory? If it works, and people knows it works, and science proves it works, then people will easily want to take it. So why make them take it? Allow it to be voluntary. If it’s only about herd immunity, we’re already reaching herd immunity from the virus itself, there’s no need to force vaccines on people, it should be voluntary. Here’s some information from the FDA for you to review when making your decision. There is no U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved vaccine to prevent COVID-19??The COVID-19 Vaccine may not protect everyone.The COVID-19 Vaccine is an unapproved vaccine that may prevent COVID-19. There is no FDA-approved vaccine to prevent COVID-19.The COVID-19 Vaccine includes the following ingredients:recombinant, replication-incompetent adenovirus type 26 expressing the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein, citric acid monohydrate, trisodium citrate dihydrate, ethanol, 2-hydroxypropyl-β-cyclodextrin (HBCD), polysorbate-80, sodium chlorideThe duration of protection against COVID-19 is currently unknown. - polysorbate-80 led to changes in intestinal bacteria that promoted inflammation and colon cancerRead more about the fact sheet here: https://www.fda.gov/media/146305/download#:~:text=The%20Jan%20COVID%2D19,80%2C%20sodium%20chloride.Sincerel Y, [REDACTED]

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	Good afternoon:I am extremely concerned about the recent news that UNM is intending to create a policy to require covid vaccination for all students and staff. I am a business professional working in the Albuquerque metro and have been considering attending UNM to earn my MBA. However, the following points are troubling:1) The "vaccine" is not a true vaccine, as it does not guarantee immunity. One can still contract covid, even if vaccinated. Anyone receiving this shot is not protected against the virus. Some have reported that their re-infection symptoms have been more severe after the shot than they were during the original infection. KHN (Kaiser Health News), a publication of the Kaiser Family Foundation, reported on this (https://khn.org/news/article/the-shock-and-reality-of-catching-covid-after-being-vaccinated/), which brings me to #2. 2) It is not FDA-approved and has been released for emergency use only. It mystifies me why UNM and other organizations are trying to "require" a shot that is experimental, for an illness that over 98% of those contracting it survive. mRNA technology has never been used enmasse on humans and the long-term effects of the shot are as yet unknown. Anyone taking this in effect becomes a lab rat -- and an unpaid one at that, since people who volunteer for risky medical studies are typically compensated at a level that makes taking the risk worthwhile. Since it was fast-tracked, it skipped the clinical and animal trials that would have uncovered potential problems. Those taking it may be opening themselves up to death or irreversible health effects caused by the shot, and we will not know the extent for 3-5 years or longer. Should harm occur, the manufacturers are immune from accountability and liability. Americans experienced a similar situation in 1976 with the swine flu shot. So that you understand my position, once and if this shot completes the proper trials, obtains FDA approval and demonstrates true efficacy and safety, I and those of us without medical or religious objections would have no issue in receiving it.3) There are many who cannot or do not want take this shot, for a variety of reasons. In the cases of true vaccines, such as polio or measles, exemptions exist for medical and religious reasons. Your proposed policy states that you will allow exemptions, but those taking them will be subject to ongoing surveillance and testing. By planning to require an invasive procedure - either taking the shot or getting tested - in order to attend class or work on campus, you are medically discriminating against your prospective students and staff, invading their privacy and breaking Federal law. Like the C19 vaccine, C19 tests do not have full FDA approval. Given the requirements for FDA approval, it is unlikely that either will earn it based on the efficacy and track record they are showing. Thus, it is against Federal law to mandate either of them. All United States citizens have the constitutional right to make decisions concerning their own bodies and health. You would not force a teacher or a student to obtain an abortion, have elective surgery or use birth control as a condition of study or employment, and you likewise should not require this shot or consent to constant testing. The same laws and legal precedence

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
			apply.UNM has a long history of being an educationally superior institution for New Mexicans seeking to acquire business credentials. However, should you require covid vaccination, I will be attending another school that has more respect for my personal health and civil rights. My company reimburses employees for educational expenses and recommends UNM programs, and I will also inform our Human Resources of your proposed requirement so that others can make an informed choice.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	I have been made aware that UNM is imposing mandatory vaccinations for all their students. I think that you are making a mistake in imposing mandatory vaccinations some of the reasons why I believe that this is a mistake are as follows: Mandatory vaccination is discriminatory.All people have a right to make their own medical choices.If I were attending UNM I would certainly disenroll or rule out UNM as a school. I did attend UNM for some classes and if this were imposed while I was attending I am sure that I would have disenrolled. Folks don't like to be forced to do things. You will get more out of people if you let them make their own choices regarding vaccines. I hope that you rethink this policy as it could be detrimental to your already low enrollment at your university. Make the right choice and make the vaccine optional for students so as not to impinge upon their freedoms. I also buy season tickets to Lobo Football and will reconsider my patronage if these vaccines are made mandatory.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	I am writing to you today as a concerned citizen of New Mexico, regarding the proposal to require UNM Staff, Faculty and Students, to receive a Covid-19 vaccination prior to returning to campus in August 2021. I am deeply concerned, about the implementation of a policy such as this one. These policies have the potential to be discriminatory and create a dangerous division among the stakeholders of a State Public University. Not only would it be discriminatory to require people to undergo a forced medical treatment (forced vaccination, testing or labeling of badges with vaccine designations as are explained on your website), one that is currently experimental in nature, but requiring unvaccinated individuals to undergo invasive testing procedures and use different safety protocols that would put a target on their back, so to speak, creates an environment which works directly against the mission of higher education to embrace diversity and provide a learning space where all individuals can have an equal opportunity to obtain an education and improve their social mobility.I encourage you to err on the side of caution here. We are no longer in an emergency state of health care in the State of New Mexico. All New Mexicans aged 16 and over have the opportunity to take advantage of this medical procedure if they wish to do so. Why force others to do so in the University environment? On April 24, 2021, The American Association of Physicians and Surgeons released an Open Letter to Universities, in which they, as experts on this issue, raise many points against required vaccination in the University Environment. I've provided a link to the article for you here: https://aapsonline.org/open-letter-from-physicians-to-universities-reverse-covid-vaccine-mandates/?fbclid=IwAR3h6YI7JKLgvH_IX_wL-QAtB_zOWIXcJ4UHU98fPIPUwi8I-zilLUCMc5Q Additionally, I would like to draw your attention to an article that appeared in the Albuquerque Journal on April 14, 2021, [REDACTED] [REDACTED] I've provided a link to the article for you here: https://www.abqjournal.com/2379791/has-nm-reached-herd-immunity.html New Mexicans do not need to be forced to choose this vaccination under threat of losing employment or the opportunity to receive a high-quality education in our state.As an Educator, Parent of two college students, and citizen of New Mexico with a family history in this state that dates back to the 1880s, I am pleading with you to reconsider your position on this issue. This stance is extremely divisive and adversarial and goes against everything that we stand for in Higher Education. I fear that we will lose highly qualified Faculty and our students will choose to be educated in other states as a result of these invasive policies.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	My son is supposed to attend next year. It should NOT be Mandatory for students to take an "Emergency" vaccine to receive higher education. Young people are extremely low risk. Please reconsider your mandatory vaccination policy immediately.Sincerely, [REDACTED]

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice Concerns about Safety	Good morning Ms. Cordova, I am contacting you about the policy that UNM is considering making the experimental mRNA injection mandatory for staff and students. This is a HUGE infringement upon people's constitutional rights, bodily integrity, medical freedom and the RIGHT TO CHOOSE for themselves what is best for them! It would also set up a very discriminatory caste system between the "vaccinated" and un "vaccinated", where those who have chosen not to get the mRNA injection would be outcasts – does that align with your diversity, equity and inclusivity policies at UNM? If staff refuse to get the mRNA injection, will they be fired from their jobs? What about when "boosters" are needed every 6 months, or every year? Are you in the position of tracking every single student and employee to make sure they receive all of these additional shots? Several safety and ethical considerations exist related to this harmful policy. For example, there are NO long-term safety studies on this mRNA injection, this mRNA injection does NOT provide immunity (does not protect one from getting SARS COV 2 or prevent transmission of it to others – it MAY reduce the severity of symptoms if one gets it, but doesn't provide immunity) and all of the lab animals in the early trials died when exposed to the actual virus. And, is there going to be any consideration for people who've already recovered from a SARS COV 2 infection or COVID illness who may have robust, long-lasting immunity?? Natural immunity (T-cell, for example) is FAR superior to the mRNA injection as it can protect against several variations of this virus, while the mRNA injection creates spike proteins against just one strain. Also, mandating a treatment for which there are many harms, including deaths, that are being reported to the Vaccine Adverse Events Reporting System (VAERS) after people receive this mRNA injection, seems very risky. People need to be fully informed about the harms as well as any possible small benefits of this therapy – and then allowed to make their own decision about getting the shot based on their risk tolerance, and individual values and preferences! As an academic institution, the fact that this policy is even being considered by UNM leadership is absolutely baffling!! Most college students are young and at virtually NO risk of complications from COVID, so they are not even the demographic that should consider getting this so called "vaccine"! My son, who is 21, is considering which college he wants to apply to, but with this discriminatory policy, there is no way that I will spend money to send him there. I imagine you may also very well have several lawsuits facing your institution for discrimination and/or any harms that come to staff or students forced to take this experimental gene therapy. Will UNM accept liability for any harms that come to students or staff as a result of taking this therapy? Also, you say that those who don't get the experimental mRNA injection will be subject to additional tests and surveillance – of ASYMPTOMATIC people! That is absolutely ridiculous, as it is well established that those without symptoms do not spread the virus! Are you aware of the absolute FLAWS with the PCR tests that are used? These are not meant to "diagnose" COVID – and depending on what cycle threshold (CT) is used to

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
			analyze test samples in the lab, can produce a huge percentage of false positives! There is a wealth of information on this problematic test - and several countries have banned its use in their COVID response. Finally, these tests are also under Emergency Use Authorization and are experimental. Please reconsider this harmful, invasive, unconstitutional, tyrannical policy and allow health decisions to be made by individuals – VOLUNTARILY. Thank you. Here is a letter from the AAPS about this, as well: https://aapsonline.org/open-letter-from-physicians-to-universities-reverse-covid-vaccine-mandates/

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	To Whom It May Concern,I understand that UNM is considering requiring the COVID-19 vaccines for employees and students. I am an alumna and I disagree wholeheartedly with this idea for reasons supported by scientific findings, as well as moral reasons.Young people are at minimal risk from complications or death associated with the Coronavirus. Young people are said to handle the illness much better than older people, with mostly mild symptoms and few deaths. They are also less likely to transmit the illness. Further, young people are at significantly greater risk to long term complications simply because they have more years of life ahead of them. The FDA has not approved these vaccinations, rather they are currently under Emergency Use Authorization. While this may change before the start of the fall semester, remember that no other vaccine has ever been studied for such a short period of time. We must know more about the long-term effects of this vaccine before moving towards any kind of requirement to take the vaccine.My greatest concern is for young women. There is too little known about how these vaccines impact fertility and pregnancy. Imagine if your daughter were unable to conceive or carry a pregnancy to term as a direct result of having received a Coronavirus vaccine. We don't know that these vaccines could have such an impact, but we also don't know that they will not have such an impact.We have fought long and hard to obtain freedom to make our own decisions about what medical procedures we will accept for ourselves. My body, my choice must apply to this decision about vaccination as well.I am certain you are aware of the open letter to colleges and universities from The Association of American Physicians and Surgeons in which they state 15 reasons why vaccination should not be mandatory. Their explanations certainly hold more weight than mine, and I agree 100% with their assertions.Also, according to VAERS (which is purported to track fewer problems than are believed to be in the actual population) the number of people who died after receiving one of the Covid vaccines is 3362 (December of 2020 through April 23rd). In 1976, 45 million people were vaccinated for the Swine Flu and 53 people died after getting that shot. The program was halted immediately because authorities decided it was too risky. Yet deaths following Covid vaccines are 63 times that number, and you are considering requiring students and employees to take the shot? Finally, vaccinations have been made available to all US residents over the age of 16. If your concern is that college students or employees may contract and subsequently transmit the virus to others who are in higher risk categories, those higher risk individuals may be vaccinated for their own protection. Anyone who wants the vaccination can get it, so if the vaccinations work, there is no reason to require college students (or your employees) to be vaccinated to protect others. Those others can be protected by the vaccines that they have chosen to take. Please keep in mind that the risk from Coronavirus is different for different categories of people. Older and comprised individuals are at a much higher risk, while younger and healthier people are at a

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
			significantly lower risk. The choice to undergo a medical procedure should be between an individual and their physician with zero input from anyone else, even an employer or a school. To require someone to take this experimental procedure is requiring them to participate in the biggest drug trial in history, something that is against the Nuremberg Code. Please do not require these vaccinations for your students or your staff.
Community	Opposed to Policy	General Complaint;	President Stokes, Respectfully, I say do Not implement Any vaccine mandates. Now or any time in the future.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice; Concerns about Safety;	It is unconstitutional to force employees or students to get the experimental "vaccine" to keep their jobs... It will not be tolerated and a large lawsuit is being developed in this state for employers like yourself. The shot is dangerous and we will not allow your mandate.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice Concerns about Safety	Im not happy with the fact that covid19 vaccines have to be mandatory. This is not fair. You are not giving us the choice over our body. You are also violating the Federal Patient's Bill of Rights. Not everyone approves of this. You are pretty much saying you are ok with many people disenrolling from college. Theres not enough evidence to show that the vaccine is safe. Many lives have been lost due to the vaccine. We dont feel safe. Title II of the Civil Rights Act of 1964: Injunctive Relief Against Discrimination in Places of Public Accommodation Created by FindLaw's team of legal writers and editors Last updated September 12, 2017 The Civil Rights Act of 1964 is federal civil rights legislation that prohibits discrimination in numerous settings including: employment, education, voting, and public accommodations. See FindLaw's codes section for the entire Civil Rights Act of 1964. Specifically, Title II prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, or national origin in places of public accommodations and provides injunctive relief for such violations. See the entire Title II text below. SEC. 201. (a) All persons shall be entitled to the full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, and privileges, advantages, and accommodations of any place of public accommodation, as defined in this section, without discrimination or segregation on the ground of race, color, religion, or national origin. (b) Each of the following establishments which serves the public is a place of public accommodation within the meaning of this title if its operations affect commerce, or if discrimination or segregation by it is supported by State action: (1) any inn, hotel, motel, or other establishment which provides lodging to transient guests, other than an establishment located within a building which contains not more than five rooms for rent or hire and which is actually occupied by the proprietor of such establishment as his residence; (2) any restaurant, cafeteria, lunchroom, lunch counter, soda fountain, or other facility principally engaged in selling food for consumption on the premises, including, but not limited to, any such facility located on the premises of any retail establishment; or any gasoline station; (3) any motion picture house, theater, concert hall, sports arena, stadium or other place of exhibition or entertainment; and (4) any establishment (A)(i) which is physically located within the premises of any establishment otherwise covered by this subsection, or (ii) within the premises of which is physically located any such covered establishment, and (B) which holds itself out as serving patrons of such covered establishment. (c) The operations of an establishment affect commerce within the meaning of this title if (1) it is one of the establishments described in paragraph (1) of subsection (b); (2) in the case of an establishment described in paragraph (2) of subsection (b), it serves or offers to serve interstate travelers or a substantial portion of the food which it serves, or gasoline or other products which it sells, has moved in commerce; (3) in the case of an establishment described in paragraph (3) of subsection (b), it customarily presents films, performances, athletic teams, exhibitions, or other sources of entertainment which move in

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
			commerce; and (4) in the case of an establishment described in paragraph (4) of subsection (b), it is physically located within the premises of, or there is physically located within its premises, an establishment the operations of which affect commerce within the meaning of this subsection. For purposes of this section, "commerce" means travel, trade, traffic, commerce, transportation, or communication among the several States, or between t
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	Enforcing a covid vaccine mandate is preposterous. This vaccine is still in clinical testing until 2023. You cannot mandate an experimental vaccine nor can you mandate any medical procedure. Look up the Nuremberg Code. You are in complete violation of this. Do you know why the Nuremberg code was created? It was created to protect all future generations from forced medical procedures. Your mandate reflects Nazi Germany - this is not good! The people will not allow this. We will stand up, rise and sue you if you decide to move forward.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	Never in the history of this once free and great country has such an asinine vaccine push been mandated to such a level as what you are currently pushing! This vaccine is not without injury, I personally know of several that have suffered from this vaccine, one of which died last Friday!You do not have the right to force ANYONE to do something like this and the fact you are trying to anyway is absolutely abhorrent!!If you had any decency, you would advocate for freedom to choose instead of FORCING something on staff and students, what a shameful disgrace your "higher education" facility is!! implore you to do the only right thing and stop this idiotic vaccine mandate lunacy by allowing EVERYONE the right to choose free of reprimand.God forbid you foolishly force this and even one student is injured or dies because of your inability to allow people to choose for themselves!DO NOT MANDATE VACCINES!
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceImpact to Enrollment	I ask you to please reconsider making COVID-19 injections mandatory. I take pride in my family and children learning about what they should and should not choose to do with their body's. All my kids are vaccinated so this is not about being anti-vax. This is every persons fundamental right to make a medical choice dependent on what THEY do or do not feel is good, healthy or safe. We are a proud UNM family with generations having graduated with various degrees. Currently we have 5 young adults attending or about to attend. All 5 have stated they will dis-enroll if they aren't allowed to make their own bodily choices. New Mexico is shrinking, losing residents to near by states with more options to choose. This will no doubt contribute to the sad statistics New Mexico faces. This is a very personal choice that every person has to make. Every person has their important reasons for wanting it or not. Please stand up for these young adults and teachers, who already have dealt with enough in the last year and half by not making COVID vaccines mandatory. [REDACTED]

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceImpact to Enrollment	To whom it may concern,I was recently informed that UNM may make the Covid-19 vaccine a requirement for students and faculty. Please reconsider. New Mexico has current legislation that allows for the exemption of vaccination for religious purposes. Those that may utilize this right would be forced to disenroll or seek schooling elsewhere. Furthermore, those that chose not to get the vaccine for other reasons would be marginalized and discriminated against. Other reasons someone may chose not to get the vaccine...-Personal convictions-Allergy-Pregnancy and breast feeding (future or current)-Cultural restrictions-Other health concerns-Etc.All of these reasons are deeply personal. Even in the event that exemptions were accepted, it is in violation of a person's medical privacy and personal rights to disclose why they would deny the vaccine. Please consider your current students and future students that would miss out on the precious opportunity of higher education if vaccination becomes a requirement.Sincerely,A fellow New Mexico citizen
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceImpact to Enrollment	If you require vaccination for admission? You will no longer be a University in New Mexico. You will cease to exist. I suggest you reconsider this. It should be a choice what medical procedures are done to someone's body and income and education should not be withheld for ransom over an unnecessary gene therapy injection. Many people have been injured by vaccinations. There has never been a successful flu shot, as the strain changes every season, at least three times a year. In twenty years there will be no brick and mortar schools. You are only hastening your demise with this requirement. Stand Down. Schools and Corporations do not have the authority to create or enforce laws especially those enacted in an emergency that literally does not exist. Are you with us? Pro life or against us?Sincerely [REDACTED]
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceImpact to Enrollment	Hello just wanted to express my concern on the student vaccine proposal. As a concerned parent, I oppose this and do not support this in any way whatsoever. My reason for not supporting this proposed to policy are: • Mandatory vaccination is discriminatory. • All people have a right to make their own medical choices. • I have relatives attending UNM that strongly disagree with the proposed policy. • If this policy is passed I would tell other students and existing students opposing this proposal to disenroll from UNM. Thank you for taking the time to listen. Sincerely [REDACTED]

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about SafetyImpact to	I think that this is a very dangerous policy for the University or any public entity. Not only is it most likely unconstitutional and a violation of civil rights of your Staff Faculty and Students, but it can be a costly precedent that is being set by the University. If this becomes policy one would ask why the Flu vaccine is not also a requirement, and this can go on and on for all other Virus type vaccinations that exist today and in the future. Yes we should be looking out to the interest of the public, but not when it violates the rights of the individual, that is called Socialism. It is your right to mandate this policy, but there are consequences that may not been seen at this time. In addition by the time that this policy is enacted the volume at which the public has been vaccinated will most likely be at a point in the Country and the State of New Mexico that herd immunity will take hold and continue to suppress the Virus making the policy uselessThe risk to the University is if this policy is a condition of employment or enrollment, then the University stands to loose valuable Staff, Faculty and Students on a policy that is really not needed. In addition since these vaccines are approved on an Emergency basis with not much research and understanding of the long term effects of the vaccine, does the mandate or condition of employment of the policy make the University responsible for any long term issues the vaccine make create. Yes, you can say you can quit or not enroll, if you don't want to get vaccinated, but if an employee is released because they did not get vaccinated or a student not enrolled and if they felt threatened by the thought of being released or not enrolled and got vaccinated against their will, then it is yet to be challenged as to who is responsible. I am not sure that is a risk I would want to take as a University as this means costly law suits are coming to the door step. Wonder if anyone in the College of Medicine was consulted or all their opinions were considered and reviewed, both in favor of and against? Would be interesting to know this info. Dump the policy you don't need it!
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	Mandatory vaccination is discriminatory.All people have a right to make their own medical choices.Please stop this. We are about to lose our very civil rights on an emergency approved vaccine. Please keep in mind the US Federal bill of rights Title 2 Act SS 201(1)Neither are tests accurate and have not been fully approved by the FDA.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	It is very sobering to see institutions such as UNM even put forth the idea of requiring students and faculty to receive an experimental injection in order to participate. This is not only immoral but it is uncalled for. This virus is not at all as deadly as the media has made it out to be. We are not your test subjects. We are free people and it is against the very definition of Liberty to require a person to inject a substance into one's body in order to be granted access. I and most people that I know are disturbed by this and will be making our voices heard. We will not be turning our heads the other way or sticking then into the sand.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceImpact to Enrollment	Do not make it mandatory for the vaccine. You will not only lose many students but alot of respect. We have medical and religious freedoms. Do not go against the simple freedoms we have.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	Our problems in this country are still at it's core deeply inflamed by the observances of crimes against humanity, this includes the dire consequences of taking harmful experimental use authorization ONLY vaccines called GENE THERAPY. This is not natural but unnatural therapy meant to correlate with your natural genes while expressing superiority over the current God given code. They Build into the dna a new software that develops its own proteins that we as mankind have yet to see any successful experiments upon the animals and mammalian world. It is with possible dire consequences that mankind is asked to submit to an experiment that is impossible to submit to in good faith.God aside, this overreach is beyond anything our world has ever experienced and should cause great pause in you and your council overseeing this process. Be alarmed first of all to the fact that for the first time in history we have biotech agencies with NO SUCCESSFUL HUMAN applications In charge over the entire HUMAN race. Something to consider would be...Luciferase or LucifeRace is beyond troubling as "they" have blatantly exposed their true intention right there in plain sight. To create a Lucifer Race.How would you like to see justice in Cambodia? Do you know what goes on in Cambodia? This was a quick way to open your mind to the possibility that you may not be aware of the serious issues with this vaccine, unless we are hurt by a situation ourselves we are busy with our own lives and are unaware of what is happening in our own backyard let alone Cambodia.Below you find that what we think is a simple decision surrounding safety of ourselves and our family is often WAY BEYOND what we have perceived and in your case you have the lives of these children in your hands. Is it worth making an uneducated decision about what could be the most important decision of our and these children's lives?Please review this article, it is poignant:https://www.citizensjournal.us/18-reasons-i-wont-be-getting-a-covid-vaccine/Sincerely, [REDACTED]

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	Where there is a risk, there must be a choice. Since the new experimental CV-19 shots don't keep you from catching CV-19, don't stop you from transmitting the virus and are only designed to lower symptoms, then mandatory shots to attend in person classes or live on campus don't make any sense. The shot only gives the person receiving the shot reduction in symptoms, but doesn't build immunity to protect others. When a medical product has death as a side effect, there must always be a choice. Restricting an education for the unvaccinated infringes on personal liberties and freedoms. For a virus that has a 99.7% survival rate and a 0.4% death rate, the need for a mandatory shot is not necessary. There are treatment options for CV-19 that have been suppressed. Coercion is not informed consent. Telling people that they cannot attend in person college or live on campus without proof of vaccination is Coercion.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	To start requiring this is wrong. Clinical trials don't technically end until 2023. Talk to nurses and doctors IN hospital settings right now. The pee that are suffering after having this shot is horrific. These doctors and nurses are afraid to speak out. If you ask them in person and off the record they will tell you. Do NOT impose this on these kids who have such a high survival rate to begin with. Instead maybe make a class teaching about how to keep your body healthy and the things that support that would be better money spent and benefit all in the long run.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	Chances of dying from flu in 19-49 age range 0.2%Chances of dying from Covid19 18-29 age range 0.1%Chances of survival from Covid19 99.8%Current Covid19 vaccines are using experimental technology that has never been used in humans. They have only been on the market for 4 months.Covid19 vaccines are currently in phase 3 trials. On the fact sheet it states you have the right to refuse.Even after FDA approval the Covid19 vaccine companies will be monitoring the reported side effects of those who have taken the vaccine for another two years.Why inject perfectly healthy young adults who will be interacting with other young adults and have almost zero chance of dying with something that is brand new and never used technology? The population that needs Covid19 vaccination is the older demographic which is high risk from dying from Covid19. There has not been enough long term studies on these vaccines to inject them into our future generations.If students want to get the vaccine then let them, but if students don't want to get the vaccine let them have that choice as well.New Mexico is 36th in the nation for Covid19 cases. 4,098 deaths. Currently New Mexico has a vaccination rate of 52% with one does and 40% are fully vaccinated. By the time the school year starts in August that number will be even higher.Again do not take away a student's ability to a higher education simply because they choose to not take the Covid vaccine shot. This would be a travesty on a students rights and freedoms.Thank you and I hope UNM opts to not enforce any Covid vaccine policy at this time.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	Please do not require any vaccinations, especially the covid-19 one. These are private medical decisions for each person to make and no one has any business asking or requiring such a personal thing. Our medical privacy is protected by our freedoms, please don't try and take those rights away. Also, there is no proof that the covid vaccine helps prevent transmission. It even says that on the fact sheet from the companies. And it does not guarantee that people will be protected from covid (also stated in the fact sheet). It is a experimental drug that is not approved by the FDA and is illegal to mandate. If you care about your community, students, and teachers health, you will protect their freedoms and allow them to make their own decisions about their health.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	How is this legal? The vaccinations are not approved and are still in trial phases. Requiring these untested vaccines is illegal because it aliens students and staff who do not want to get it. All medical procedures are VOLUNTARY. The chances of a person 18-25 dying of covid is .001% and 30-60 is .007%. There is no logical reason to require healthy adults to take the shot against their will. No one should ever be forced to have a medical procedure and carry a passport of such proof to participate in society or school.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	Requiring covid vaccines for students is WRONG! It is an experimental injection! It could possibly be affecting fertility!!!! College aged kids have almost a 0% chance of dying of covid, however a far GREATER risk of dying due to the covid shot! My husband graduated from UNM, and I'm a proud wife! Our children could possibly go to your school, however, i would never send them to a place that imposes draconian measures that go directly against the nuremberg code. You should be ashamed of yourselves for even suggesting this!!!!
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about SafetyHealth	To whom it may concern, I was recently informed that UNM may make the Covid-19 vaccine a requirement for students and faculty. Please reconsider. New Mexico has current legislation that allows for the exemption of vaccination for religious purposes. Those that may utilize this right would be forced to disenroll or seek schooling elsewhere. Furthermore, those that chose not to get the vaccine for other reasons would be marginalized and discriminated against. Other reasons someone may chose not to get the vaccine... -Personal convictions -Allergy -Pregnancy and breast feeding (future or current) -Cultural restrictions - Other health concerns -Etc. All of these reasons are deeply personal. Even in the event that exemptions were accepted, it is in violation of a persons medical privacy and personal rights to disclose why they would deny the vaccine. Please consider your current students and future students that would miss out on the precious opportunity of higher education if vaccination becomes a requirement.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety;	To Whom It May Concern: I am writing this to express my deepest concerns that UNM is proposing to mandate the covid vaccine for staff and students. I find it very troubling that UNM would mandate something that is not FDA approved and that UNM would attempt to infringe on the community's right to medical privacy. However, I know that my opinions alone will not persuade you, therefore I am going to list the issues that Dr. Fauci himself has raised, as well as other issues that UNM seems to be ignoring with this proposal. The first should be enough to give UNM pause immediately. The federal Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) has updated their website to state that if an employer that requires any of the various COVID vaccines to work if there is any serious harm to the receiver of the vaccine (or death) the employer will be liable. Indeed, according to the Star Newspaper, it is illegal for employers to require vaccination with a Covid vaccine distributed under a EUA. These vaccines have only been authorized for emergency use which means that getting them is voluntary. Legally UNM isn't even allowed to do this. Now whether or not I am in the wrong, I am opened to being corrected, but I know that none of these vaccines are FDA approved. Therefore, it does not seem like I should be forced to get it. Links to OSHA's and breakdown for what this means for employers who require this can be found here: https://www.osha.gov/coronavirus/faqs https://www.lifesitenews.com/news/employers-may-be-liable-for-any-adverse-reaction-from-mandated-coronavirus-shots-osha https://childrenshealthdefense.org/defender/vaers-vaccine-injuries-climb-pfizer-seeks-full-approval/?utm_source=salsa&eType=EmailBlastContent&eld=4d3a5a92-4213-46f8-a5f5-c14b564d90cf https://www.statnews.com/2021/02/23/federal-law-prohibits-employers-and-others-from-requiring-vaccination-with-a-covid-19-vaccine-distributed-under-an-eua/ Additionally, it is reported that between 160k injuries from these vaccines as well as almost 4k deaths have been recorded since December. Also, no one seems to be talking about the fact that multiple countries like Norway, and now Halifax, Novia Scotia, have paused AstraZeneca due to the severe blood clotting issues that it has caused. It only took one case of the clotting issues in Norway for them to put a pause on this vaccine. And only three days ago, the BBC published an article saying that it is now "normal" for women to experience changes to their periods after it was stated that this was not a side effect and that women who reported that were lying. Articles can be found here: https://news.yahoo.com/norway-not-astrazeneca-covid-19-094539176.html https://www.thestar.com/politics/2021/05/12/advocacy-group-calls-ns-covid-travel-ban-unconstitutional-says-should-be-

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
			scrapped.html https://www.bbc.com/news/health-56901353 I have also heard MANY stories of people having adverse reactions almost directly after receiving one of the various options. You might say, well that's only hearsay, but I must ask, is every person on this planet a liar, or is there some truth to these claims? But what I want UNM to consider is this: is one destroyed life worth you mandating something that I know a large portion of the population is against? What if (God forbid) a young person died? Or what if they experienced such physical damage that they couldn't carry on with school? Not one of these companies is liable if anything adverse happens. UNM would be. Again, see the OSHA link above. No amount of money could ever undo such damage, however, parents or family members would be open to a lawsuit against the university. Seeing as UNM is already a struggling school, that does not seem like an issue that the university would want to potentially face. But more so, the loss of even one life should be enough to make you rethink this policy. You may think that because I am presenting not mainstream media news articles that I consi
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	Asking people as a blanket statement, to take an experimental, and not yet FDA approved pharmaceutical is not only illegal but it's dangerous and negligent. Please reconsider making this vaccine mandatory, and start examining the real numbers on both sides of the CV-19 virus and vaccine. There's a proved 99.98% survival rate for the majority of age groups. There's not long term studies done on the effects of this vaccine since it's still in clinical trials, and please research how many adverse reactions are being reported to VAERS. If you haven't heard of VAERS yet, be aware also, that only about 1% of actual reactions or adverse events are even reported. Thank you
Community	Opposed to Policy	General Complaint	Please see attached 5 Things You Should Know About the Covid-19 Vaccine so you can protect yourselves and your own families, and make an informed choice.Blessings, [REDACTED] NM
Community	Opposed to Policy	General Complaint	Not yet

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety;	To Whom It May Concern:Mandating vaccines seems to be a infringement on one's healthcare rights. If the vaccines had full FDA approval, it may be more acceptable to implement this requirement. Currently, the vaccines are only approved for emergency use, and we do not really know if there are any long term side-effects. Please do not force people to do something that may hurt their health in the future or that discourages them from attending college. People need the opportunity to pursue higher education without barriers like vaccine requirements. Thank you for your consideration. [REDACTED]
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety;	It saddens me that there is even a proposal to mandate/force this vaccine for entry into UNM. Your students had the freedom to choose to attend UNM and ae now losing their freedom to make their own health care decisions. There is a 99.98% survival rate for young people who catch COVID, while the long term affects of this Emergency Use, FDA unapproved, EXPERIMENTAL vaccine, are unknown at this point. According to the CDC there have been 4500+ deaths caused by the vaccine(s). I certainly would hate to see that number increase because of a decision made for UNM students by people who think they know what is best for them.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety;	I am an attorney representing a student who will attend UNM. It is illegal for UNM to require that students receive a vaccination that has obtained only Emergency Use Authorization ("EUA") from the Food & Drug Administration. Pursuant to the statute that authorizes EUAs, 21 U.S. Code § 360bbb-3(e)(1)(A)(ii), individuals to whom a COVID-19 vaccine may be administered must be informed of "the option to accept or refuse administration of the product." A student attending UNM who is required to receive a COVID-19 vaccination is deprived of the right to refuse administration of the vaccine, in violation of the statute. I hope and trust that UNM will not violate federal law by forcing its students to be vaccinated.
Community	Opposed to Policy	General Complaint	NEW MEXICO IS VERY NEARLY AT THE BOTTOM OF THIRD WORLD COUNTRIES OF THE WORLD....REGARDING FOOD SECURITY, AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTION, ETC. IT IS VERY NEARLY AT THE TOP OF THE WORLD FOR DRUG ADDICTION, BRAIN INJURIES, ILLITERACY AND INNUMERACY.THE UNIVERSITY OF NEW MEXICO JUST MIGHT BE ABLE TO REPAIR SOME OF THESE CONDITIONS BEFORE IT IS TOO LATE. IF YOU INSIST ON VACCINATING WITH THE mRNA-COVID-JAB-STAB-IN-THE-BACK,DO NOT ENFORCE THE mRNA-COVID-19-JABSTAB-IN-THE-BACK ON YOUR STAFF AND STUDENTS. YOU ARE NOT SUICIDAL YOURSELVES, ARE YOU? I hope not, and if you do prove to be suicidal, I hope all your Staff and Students move away ASAP before you can make them obsolete with Covid Jab-Stabs in the Back. Blessed be Intellectual Integrity and Courage among you all, [REDACTED]

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety;	So who will be held liable for any adverse reactions? Where there is a risk there must be choice. Please do not force this vaccination on your students and staff.
Community	Opposed to Policy	General Complaint	While Covid has taken the lives of many people worldwide, getting the virus is not a death sentence. 99 percent of people who acquire it survive it. It is illogical and tyrannical to require a vaccination for Covid.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety;	Dear President Stokes, As a mother of a teen looking at colleges, I have to say loudly that there will be not a penny of my money going to any university that tries to mandate an experimental, untested vaccine. There are numerous well-respected physicians warning the public about the dangers of it. I will also be quite vocal and will actively discourage others from attending or in any way supporting a university that tries to mandate it.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety;	In response to mandatory vaccinations. As a RN we have never mandated medical treatment for a 98% recoverable virus in order to exercise our right to be educated. These vaccinations at this point are no more than an experimental drug. And you would be going down a very communistic path to require this. I'm asking you to do some research and not be paid off to act in a weak manner.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety;	Mr Stokes, Please! Please! Don't make it mandatory that students, take the vaccine. It's there body, it should be there choice. Vaccine's take years with trials to make sure they are safe. This is not the case with this vaccine. My husband and I have been doing a lot of research and listening to a lot of Dr.s, and that's how I am coming up with the fear for the safety of this vaccine that has not been properly tested.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety;	Ms. Stokes, Why would an institution of higher learning force teachers and students to partake in a human experiment? This experimental gene therapy is just that. I'm sure no one needs to explain to you what truly is considered a safe and effective vaccine. This gene therapy is new and the long-term human trials have yet to be completed. Patience is clearly in order as the CDC determined that young folks are at very little risk of dying from this virus. So, I urge you to be bold, stand firm and wait for the true outcome of this experimental therapy before demanding or requiring the shot to be taken by staff and students. Remember, everyone has the right to decide what medication they choose to put into their bodies. They should not be punished for exercising their rights. By demanding this of young people, you will be harming their educational and financial potential. Thank you for your attention.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety;	Good Morning President Stokes: Please reconsider your stance on mandatory vaccinations for students and staff of UNM. I am afraid it would drive current and potential students and faculty away from the University of New Mexico. The vaccinations have not been proven 100% effective and we are unaware of all of the potential side effects. I know in the end, you will do what is right and reconsider this action.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	Dear UNM, As parent of two children that are near college age and [REDACTED] who sends funding to UNM and UNMH, I'm against any policy that requires vaccination or serially testing as a requirement for enrollment. Both my comments are based in established science and the best evidence. From a legal perspective, both the vaccine and any of the COVID19 tests are only authorized for use under an "Emergency Use Authorization (EUA)," that is they are not FDA approved and have not received full licensure. If you decide to mandate the experimental vaccines or COVID19 testing, you are violating federal law. Title 21 U.S.C. § 360bbb-3(e)(1)(A)(ii)(I-III) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act state. individuals to whom the product is administered are informed—(I) that the Secretary has authorized the emergency use of the product; (II) of the significant known and potential benefits and risks of such use, and of the extent to which such benefits and risks are unknown; and (III) of the option to accept or refuse administration of the product, of the consequences, if any, of refusing administration of the product, and of the alternatives to the product that are available and of their benefits and risks. EUA products are by definition experimental and thus require the right to refuse. From a bioethical perspective, under the Nuremberg Code, the foundation of ethical medicine, no one may be coerced to participate in a medical experiment. Consent of the individual is "absolutely essential." A federal court held that the U.S. military could not mandate EUA vaccines to soldiers. Doe #1 v. Rumsfeld, 297 F.Supp.2d 119 (2003). The court held: "...the United States cannot demand that members of the armed forces also serve as guinea pigs for experimental drugs." Id. at 135. No court has ever upheld a mandate for an EUA vaccine. The liability for forced participation in a medical experiment, including injury or death, may be incalculable. Medical and religious exemptions will be insufficient to overcome the illegality of EUA vaccine mandates. Children's Health Defense urges U.S. employers, universities and other institutions to respect and uphold the rights of individuals to refuse EUA COVID-19 vaccines. Scientifically speaking, Dr. John Ionnadis and other epidemiologists have determined the all-age infection fatality rate is 0.26% in the USA or 0.04% globally when < 70 yrs old (https://doi.org/10.7326/M20-5352, doi.org/10.1111/eci.13423), giving a survival rate of 99.98% for COVID19. Why are you willing to risk autoimmune disease and myriad of other dangerous adverse-effects (see VRBPAC-10.22.20-Meeting) in young healthy individuals for a disease with a 99.997% survival rate? Moreover, the Pfizer/Moderna vaccines only have an absolute risk reduction of $162/18310 - 8/18310 = 0.84\%$ or number needed to treat = 119. The actual vaccine benefit can be computed from using Case Fatality Rate (CFR), which is currently 1.8% (https://covidusa.net/), which means the benefit is $1.8\% * 0.84\% = 0.015\%$ or 15 per 1,000, not 95 per 100 as advertised in the media. If you decide to make this a requirement, I will stop sending funding to UNM/UNMH until the mandate is lifted. Sincerely, [REDACTED]

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	General Complaint	I highly disagree on the forcing of the covid vaccine to attend classes I was considering classes at umm but at this point me nor my family will probably never attend if this takes affect. Further more I do have family that are students there and are considering completely Leaving the school if this takes affect
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety;	NOTICE FOR EMPLOYERS, UNIVERSITIES AND OTHER INSTITUTIONS MANDATING COVID-19 VACCINES April 26, 2021This serves as notice that the requirement for any individual to be vaccinated against COVID-19 for employment or participation at a university or other institution violates federal law. All COVID-19 vaccines are merely authorized, not approved or licensed, by the federal government; they are Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) only. They merely “may be effective.” Federal law states:Title 21 U.S.C. § 360bbb-3(e)(1)(A)(ii)(I-III) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act states:individuals to whom the product is administered are informed— (I) that the Secretary has authorized the emergency use of the product;(II) of the significant known and potential benefits and risks of such use, and of the extent to which such benefits and risks are unknown; and(III) of the option to accept or refuse administration of the product, of the consequences, if any, of refusing administration of the product, and of the alternatives to the product that are available and of their benefits and risks.EUA products are by definition experimental and thus require the right to refuse. Under the Nuremberg Code, the foundation of ethical medicine, no one may be coerced to participate in a medical experiment. Consent of the individual is “absolutely essential.” A federal court held that the U.S. military could not mandate EUA vaccines to soldiers. Doe #1 v. Rumsfeld, 297 F.Supp.2d 119 (2003). The court held: "...the United States cannot demand that members of the armed forces also serve as guinea pigs for experimental drugs." Id. at 135. No court has ever upheld a mandate for an EUA vaccine.The liability for forced participation in a medical experiment, including injury or death, may be incalculable. Medical and religious exemptions will be insufficient to overcome the illegality of EUA vaccine mandates. Children’s Health Defense urges U.S. employers, universities and other institutions to respect and uphold the rights of individuals to refuse EUA COVID-19 vaccines.
Community	Opposed to Policy	General Complaint;	complaints, complaints, complaints, so many complaints.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Religious Accommodation;	Hello,I couldn't find any other email to send my question to, so if you can forward this to the appropriate parties or answer it yourself, that would be great. I just read you are considering requiring students to have the vaccine to come to campus. I also read in the same article that if someone's health or personal faith hinders them, that that student would be subject to more testing and other requirements.My question is: how is this not discrimination against specific groups of students who either cannot or choose not to get the vaccine? How is it not treating those students as "less than" students who get the vaccine? How can you avoid the lawsuits that will inevitably come from such discrimination? For such a welcoming and "inclusive" university, this potential policy seems like an attempt to control and "fit in" with the popular narrative.Thanks,Concerned about human dignity [REDACTED]
Community	Opposed to Policy	Religious Accommodation	To whom it may concern,I heard today that UNM may require Covid-19 vaccinations in the near future and I would like to kindly advocate for this to not be enforced. My husband and I have religious beliefs that prevent us from obtaining certain vaccines and all of the Covid-19 vaccine options are not an option for us. Please refer to New Mexico State legislation that supports citizens of our state being able to deny vaccines for religious purposes. We love the great opportunities UNM has given out family, please don't take these opportunities away from us because of a vaccine.A fellow citizen [REDACTED]
Community	Opposed to Policy	Health Accommodation	To whom it may concern: Please do not institute a mandatory vaccine policy. People like myself are highly allergic. Which makes it highly discriminatory. I don't believe in government mandates for health choices, especially since this vaccine is still only in emergency authorization stages. All people have a right to make their own choices and assess their own risk. I would not enroll in UNM is this was required.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Health Accommodation	Please read the following articlehttps://aapsonline.org/open-letter-from-physicians-to-universities-reverse-covid-vaccine-mandates/Please let me know where to file an exemption. I have my letter ready to submit.
Community	Opposed to Policy	General Complaint;	A vaccine requirement for UNM student/staff/faculty should not be implemented.
Community	Opposed to Policy	General Complaint;	To Whom it May Concern:Please see the attached letter from Attorney [REDACTED] regarding the legal ramifications of mandatory COVID-19 vaccination.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	General Complaint	Dear Mr. Provost. Please no forced vaccines..Ivermectin kills covid in just 48hrs...Don't Trust [REDACTED]..he's killed many already..don't trust the vaccine..if we go along with all this. many more will die..this is what they want.Its there big chance to completely take over.its agenda 21..you won't like it..the people that are left..jammed into beehive city's..no one allowed in the countryside..except them of course..your mind hooked up to AI..of you don't go along with them..your eliminated..or worse..all me Paul Revere..please look up doctors and Ivermectin..God bless..L..
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	Never in the history of this once free and great country has such an asinine vaccine push been mandated to such a level as what you are currently pushing! This vaccine is not without injury, I personally know of several that have suffered from this vaccine, one of which died last Friday!You do not have the right to force ANYONE to do something like this and the fact you are trying to anyway is absolutely abhorrent!!If you had any decency, you would advocate for freedom to choose instead of FORCING something on staff and students, what a shameful disgrace your "higher education" facility is!! implore you to do the only right thing and stop this idiotic vaccine mandate lunacy by allowing EVERYONE the right to choose free of reprimand.God forbid you foolishly force this and even one student is injured or dies because of your inability to allow people to choose for themselves!DO NOT MANDATE VACCINES!
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	Dear Ms. Cordova, I am urging you to keep vaccines a personal choice. My brother has been to the hospital and sick for 2 weeks after his second shot. My daughter is going to NMSU soon and she is informed and does not want to take the experimental shot.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	It would be a travesty to mandate vaccines for entry to education! It's against civil rights, the right to close what drugs go into our bodies,! Also, this is an emergency use drug only. Not officially approved!Sincerely, [REDACTED]
Community	Opposed to Policy	General Complaint	I see this requirement as medical discrimination against those who do not tolerate vaccinations well. Including my daughter.
Community	Opposed to Policy	General Complaint	It is unethical and unconstitutional to force people to get a shot. It is a violation of one's body. Think sexual assault.Do not require vaccinations. Lawsuits will follow.
Community	Opposed to Policy	General Complaint	Requiring anyone to have an experimental shot goes against basic human rights. Shame on all of you for trying to implement such a communist rule. It's unconstitutional.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	General Complaint	I IMPLORE you not to make lab rats out of your young students for mandatory vaccinations. When the truth of all of this comes out, it will be your institution that's bankrupted by the massive amount of law suits, not the pharmaceutical companies which have been conveniently exempted from any responsibility. Act wisely. You ARE an institution of higher learning and, hopefully, higher reasoning.
Community	Opposed to Policy	General Complaint	I am 65 years old and I had COVID in November 2020. I did not die. It was like having the bad flu. The coronavirus vaccination does not claim to keep you from getting COVID nor does it claim to keep you from spreading COVID. This vaccine only claims to keep your COVID symptoms from being so harsh. This is an experimental vaccine. It is absolutely ludicrous to even consider requiring all your young adults on campus to have this vaccination that is absolutely unnecessary. All the side effects of this vaccine is not known. I personally know more people that have died from receiving the vaccine than I do from COVID. Stop pushing a political agenda. UNM is a place of higher education NOT an experimental lab to force unnecessary vaccinations on its students.
Community	Opposed to Policy	General Complaint	No way jose
Community	Opposed to Policy	General Complaint;	Dear UNM Policy Makers, I just learned of your proposed Mandatory COVID Vaccination Policy. Something like this may be in all our futures, but right now it is too soon. This policy at this time is highly controversial, scary, and discriminatory. It would be best if you wait, give it some time, wait for more research data..
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice; Religious Accommodation;	I am opposed to having my future student getting the Covid vaccine. Our religious beliefs don't support getting the vaccine. And this shouldn't be a reason why she wants to further her education!
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	I DO NOT AGREE with the school pushing a vaccine on anyone. This is a FREE country, so let everyone make their own choice. The Covid-19 vaccines have not been cleared through proper testing, only for Emergency use. Will you be liable for the long term affects of this vaccine, if you make it a requirement to attend university?
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	Dear President Stokes, I am a concerned parent of a UNM student, and a former student of UNM. We are grateful for UNM and the opportunities it provides. It is with a great concern I write today. While we understand Covid 19 has had a great impact on the world around us, the reality is that it is a VERY survivable virus. I believe the latest number is in the 99.8% range and considerably higher for young people. I, as a parent, and as a concerned citizen, urge you NOT to make vaccination a requirement for admission to UNM. Sincerely, [REDACTED]

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	I am a faculty member at CNM, and I have in the past had rains to access UNM's campus. I also am a homeschool mom with children that have lived the Schooltime Series at Popejoy Hall. First, I want to bring to your attention that the Covid vaccines currently only have emergency approval from the FDA. If you look at the emergency approval guidelines, it clearly indicates that until full FDA approval, the vaccine cannot be made mandatory. So, legally, you should not create this policy until the FDA approval. Next, they are already starting to say that a booster will be needed 6-12 months after vaccination to ensure full protection. So those that got the vaccine in January will likely not be covered by the beginning of Spring term. Are you going to keep up with all of the boosters and records? Thirdly, there are valid reasons for not wanting the vaccine. We have seen in the past with FDA approved medicines that long term side effects can be deadly. It is logical to be concerned about possible long term side effects of these vaccines as a result. Also, it is very hard to get a medical exemption for other vaccines, as doctors fear repercussions for signing those waivers. So even with documented adverse reactions to other vaccines, patients can't get a medical exemption for the boosters to that vaccine even because doctors won't sign them. Finally, I challenge you too look at large universities in other states that have been open this year. They have not mandated vaccines and have only required minimal precautions, and there have been no large spikes in cases. Clearly it is possible to open the University without mandating vaccines!
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	To All Decision Makers, I am vehemently against a mandatory vaccination policy. This is highly discriminatory and violates our freedom of choice. Individuals have a right to make their own medical decisions affecting their bodies in the most personal way possible. Concerning this particular vaccine, you can still get COVID and you can still transmit it even after receiving the vaccine. Long term side-effects and injury are still unknown and won't be known for years. The risk of injury from this vaccine is much greater than the mortality risk in the under 80 population. Forced vaccination is a bad policy and I urge you to allow your students and faculty the right to freedom of choice. Further, I believe you are opening yourself to all kinds of lawsuits regarding the Comity clause article 6 clause 2 of the US constitution (privileges and immunities clause), as well as medical lawsuits from vaccine injury. The vaccine manufacturers cannot be sued for injury (this alone should give you pause), but employers or institutions that force this CAN be sued for injury. This is also a violation of HIPAA and the Privacy Act of 1974. My daughter is a UNM alumni and if she had been faced with a forced vaccination policy, she would have opted out of attending UNM. Sincerely, [REDACTED]

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	I have a 10th grader, and if the vaccine is a requirement at UNM, we will 100% NOT be sending him there. Long term effects from the vaccine are not yet known, and forcing someone who is at extremely low risk to take a vaccine that is not even FDA approved yet is unethical. I am disappointed that UNM would consider mandating the vaccine for students.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	Non of these vaccines has been approved by the FDA. Students and faculty will have no protection or recourse against the long list of dangerous side effects.If UNM is requiring students take these vaccines, then UNM is going to be responsible legally and financially for the reactions or deaths from these vaccines.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	How is ok for you to require this vaccine for staff and students? It should be up to each individual how they deal with the acceptance of this vaccine! This vaccine is only approved right now for emergency use not enough is known about its long term effects. There are many questions about how it will effect people in the long term and requiring young people to take this risk to attend school or work is not acceptable. Many other businesses and educational facilities are highly suggesting it but not requiring. UNM should do the same.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;Religious Accommodation;	It is constitutionally illegal to require vaccinations to attend educational institutions. The constitution Also protects the right to refuse for religious reasons. Please do not try to force compliance of vaccination. It is a severe infringement of the rights of our students and citizens of these United States of America. Thank you,In God I trust

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	Dear UNM President Garnett Stokes and UNM administrators, My name is [REDACTED] and I am a family medicine physician in Buckhorn, NM. I am emailing you regarding the decision UNM has made to require mandatory covid vaccinations. PLEASE BE AWARE THESE COVID VACCINATIONS ARE EXPERIMENTAL, AND OVER 10,000 DEATHS HAVE BEEN REPORTED to the US Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS) and it's equivalent reporting system in the EU. Additionally, ALL STUDIES DONE TO ASSESS THE PERCENTAGE OF ACTUAL ADVERSE EVENTS TO VACCINES WHICH ARE REPORTED INDICATE BETWEEN 1% AND 3% ARE REPORTED, which means that it is very likely that ONE MILLION deaths are attributable to the covid vaccines at this time. The number of deaths reported to VAERS in the past 5 months related to covid vaccines exceeds all deaths reported from all vaccines for the previous 10 years combined! Please be warned that mandating experimental covid vaccination is a violation of the Nuremberg code and is a serious breach of ethics. The covid vaccines contain mRNA (or DNA) that causes all the cells in the body to express the covid spike protein, which is KNOWN TO CAUSE BLOOD CLOTS, BRAIN INFLAMMATION AND OTHER ADVERSE EFFECTS. Here are some references for more information:https://www.openvaers.com/covid-data?start=30&fbclid=IwAR3EEllsKLfugtbyvKSABaFxFxQl2J7xoqHv1QKDI2E2qitpTyFepJtVjmEYhttps://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/ijcp.13795?fbclid=IwAR3YjvkjCool2iD-l5F016lw-vOldOGPJclhWihByAj3OfAk0WFLtCpEQlwhttps://www.salk.edu/news-release/the-novel-coronavirus-spike-protein-plays-additional-key-role-in-illness/Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;Health Accommodation; Religious	What sort of exemptions are applicable and how will students be informed about their exemption rights? Traditionally, three kinds of vaccine exemptions have been valid: medical, philosophical (personal belief), and religious. I am highly opposed to mandating vaccines, as the purpose of education (and even more so, higher education) is to allow people to exert their free will judiciously and for the benefit of everyone.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;Concerns about Safety;General	UNM should most definitely NOT mandate any medical procedure, and specific to this request, Covid-19 Vaccines. As we all know, the EUA will turn into a full authorization, likely within a few weeks, so the real argument lies in human/medical freedom and our constitution. I cannot say it better than board certified physicians, surgeons and Nobel laureates who have been censured. Please see the REAL science at Association of American Physicians and Surgeons Open Letter to Universities: https://aapsonline.org/open-letter-from-physicians-to-universities-reverse-covid-vaccine-mandates/ (and americasfrontlinedoctors.org)Here is a summary of key points and references are available on website above.1.Young adults are a healthy and immunologically competent and vibrant group that is at, “extraordinary low risk for COVID-19 morbidity and mortality.”[iv]4.The COVID-19 vaccines on the market in the U.S., mRNA (Moderna and Pfizer) and DNA (Johnson & Johnson – Janssen), have caused notable side effects, pathology and even death (4.178 deaths per VAERS as of May 5, 2021). These adverse reactions result in absence from school and work, hospital visits, and even loss of life.[vi]5.College-age women may be at unique risk for adverse events following administration of the experimental COVID vaccinations currently available. 6.Recent research data demonstrates that the spike protein, present on the SARS-CoV-2 virus and the induced primary mechanism of action of COVID-19 vaccines, are the primary cause of disease, infirmity, hospitalization and death.[xi]7.Students who have had self-limited cases of COVID-19 already possess antibodies, activated B-cells, activated T-cells (detectable by lab testing). This durable, long-term immunity would not only prevent them from getting recurrent COVID-19, but would also represent herd immunity to protect others in the college or university community.[xii],[xiii]8.COVID-19 convalescent students may be harmed by college and university policy requiring COVID-19 vaccines.[xiv] They already have extensive immunity and would be likely harmed from a forced confrontation with COVID-19 vaccine induced spike protein causing autoimmune reactions leading to illness and possible death.[xv]9.Students and their families may justifiably believe these policies discriminate against individuals who aren’t candidates for this vaccine, have pre-existing conditions, previous COVID-19 disease, cite religious objections, or are otherwise exercising their freewill choosing not to participate in this optional vaccine experiment. Refer to the Nuremberg code from WWII, which requires individuals, “to be able to exercise free power of choice, without the intervention of any element of force....”[xvi]11.The ADA, Americans with Disabilities Act, requires “reasonable accommodations,” be provided based on an individual’s own unique health situation. This includes rejection of an experimental vaccine intervention which may exacerbate known health problems and thereby cause harm.12.Colleges and Universities should consider whether they might be liable for damages, poor health outcomes, and loss of life due to mandatory

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
			COVID-19 vaccination policies.[xvii]13.“Positive cases,” as defined by laboratory testing alone, may be false positive testing errors or asymptomatic infection that is not clinically proven to spread disease.14.Ambulatory outpatient early treatment for SARS-CoV-2 infection / COVID-19 has been demonstrated effective in adults.[xviii]
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;Concerns about Safety;	I recognize the intent behind the vaccination policy is to maintain the health and safety of the community. However, I have a major concern which no one appears to consider. There is an obvious, yet despicable historical repetitiveness that may sneak it's way in without great care.How does UNM propose to avoid the same and/or similar issues as that which occurred due to any form of segregation (wherein that term holds the idea that someone is "special" in some way that another is "not") that could very clearly and obviously arise from such a policy?This should especially be considered in light of the fact that vaccinations have not yet been approved for general use. And, that there are definitely those people who are uber-cautious about something that hasn't proven itself long-term, due to being so new.Is not the real purpose of a university to help educate the masses? Such policies counteract that central purpose. Rather, why not assert that this return to in-person classes also be accompanied by a permanent shift to hybrid, in-person/interactive remote courses?Or, minimally, shift the policy from required to strongly encouraged. The risk of social degeneration must be weighed, also, but as far as I've seen, no one has started in on that conversation.UNM's thoughts?Thank you.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;Concerns about Safety;	I do not believe college students should be forced to be vaccinated because it is not safe for them, science tells us it's not safe for young adults, there's no reason for it to be an either/or and what has happened to my body, my choice.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;Concerns about Safety;	If being a New Mexico resident and tax payer means community member, and I have a granddaughter planning on attending UNM in the fall, then I have a valid right to make the following comments: Forced vaccinations are first of all unconstitutional. Vaccinations are second of all an invasion of a person's body. If required in order to be able to instruct, work or attend classes/and or live on campus, then it is unconstitutional and an invasion of a person's physical body. The front-line doctors are saying the covid "vaccines" are not actually vaccines at all; they are MRNA's containing a protein molecule structured within the RNA molecule designed to release into the body and overtake and restructure the DNA of a person's body. These "vaccines" have shown bad test results in the trials that are not publically published and many people are suffering life-long, very bad ramifications from them, for which the medical community has no answers nor will they admit to knowing if they do. "The 'Vaccines' have not been out long enough to prove any effectiveness. Do the research!!!! I believe that the vaccines and the masks are another way for the government to control the population.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;Concerns about Safety;	You can't mandate an experimental technology. Have you seen the medical journals exposing the dangers and lack of safety data on these mRNA vaccines? OSHA will require you to be responsible for any damages to anyone who has been vaccinated as a result of your mandate. There is plenty of information to show this disease is not a threat that is portrayed in the news. The people implementing these mandates will be held accountable for the damages they cause and the lack of research they put in to understanding the threat level from both the vaccine and the virus. I Do not support any vaccine mandates. This has gone too far and needs to end. [REDACTED]

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;Concerns about Safety;	To New Mexico schools, institutions and businesses:By way of introduction, New Mexico Stands Up! is a non-partisan, volunteer-driven organization working to protect individual rights through public interest legal action, providing support to New Mexicans facing loss of health freedom, and educating the public about their rights with regard to mandated medical interventions.We are writing to you as a New Mexico school, institution, or a private business. You may be aware that the mandating of COVID-19 vaccination for students or employees has become a serious issue in the United States and in New Mexico. Currently, there are several lawsuits which have been filed on behalf of employees who have been fired or threatened with termination for not being vaccinated. New Mexico Stands Up filed one of those lawsuits against Doña Ana County personnel for firing employees who chose not to be vaccinated. We are preparing another suit against a private business in Albuquerque who has threatened to fire an employee who chooses not to be vaccinated for religious reasons.We hope that you will seriously consider the legal and moral ramifications before taking the action of mandating vaccines for your students or employees. The COVID-19 pandemic does not justify violations of fundamental individual, economic, and religious liberties. Both the United States and New Mexico constitutions protect the right to personal autonomy and bodily integrity. This includes the right to decide for oneself what medical treatment, drugs or vaccines one is willing to take or undergo.Although it may not be clear from the push towards universal vaccination, COVID-19 vaccines have not been approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA). They have not gone through the long-term efficacy and safety testing that would be required for full FDA approval. The federal law which allowed COVID-19 vaccines to be distributed before being fully tested is an emergency use authorization. Federal law 21USC§360bbb-3 requires that recipients of unapproved medical products (including vaccines) be advised “of the significant known and potential benefits and risks of such use, and of the extent to which such benefits and risks are unknown” as well as “the option to accept or refuse administration of the product, of the consequences, if any, of refusing administration of the product, and of the alternatives to the product that are available and of their benefits and risks.”At the Centers for Disease Control Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) meeting held at the CDC in Atlanta, Georgia, in August 2020, the CDC-ACIP Executive Secretary Amanda Cohn, MD confirmed the non-mandatory nature of an EUA vaccine: "I just wanted to add that, just wanted to remind everybody, that under an Emergency Use Authorization, an EUA, vaccines are not allowed to be mandatory. So, early in this vaccination phase, individuals will have to be consented and they won't be able to be mandated."Adverse reactions to COVID-19 vaccines are being downplayed in the media. In the United States, negative reactions to vaccines can be reported to the CDC's Vaccine Adverse Events Reporting System (also known as VAERS). As of April 30, the VAERS database has 157,277 negative reactions

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
			that have been reported for COVID-19 vaccines. That includes:• 16,014 serious reactions, such as anaphylactic shock, blood clots, Guillain-Barre syndrome, convul-sions, and heart attack• 946 cases of Bells’ Palsy, or facial paralysis• 235 miscarriages and/or pre-term births• 3,837 deaths, with the youngest death being in a 15-year-old.One-third of these deaths occurred within a few days of vaccination. It is known that adverse vaccine reactions are greatly underreported, and it has been estimated that only 1-10% of these reactions are actually reported to VAERS system. That means that the VAERS data potentially represents hundreds of thousands of adverse reactions and tens of thousands of deaths related to COVID-1
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	As a public state university that receives federal funding, how can you require vaccinations when the federal government has stated COVID-19 vaccination is not mandatory?
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	I encourage you to please use the above link to look at the deaths (all verified in the public record) of previously healthy people who have died from the Covid vaccine. Please know that if you mandate this vaccine there will be tragedies that you are directly responsible for. I would also encourage you to look a the CDC's VAERS (Vaccine adverse effects reporting system) from this you will see that these vaccines are anything but safe and in people under 65 are much more dangerous that getting infected with Coronavirus.I implore you to do some research and then do the right thing.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	Please do not make the vaccine mandatory. This would be a violation of basic human rights. Students should be allowed to make their own decisions about their health.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	My brother has been sick for 3 weeks after his 2nd shot, they do blood tests and find nothing. Don't impose an experimental vaccine on anyone who doesn't want it.My daughter is going to NMSU soon and thank god she is smart enough to know the facts!
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	I am writing today to ask that you not require vaccines for students and staff at UNM.These “vaccines” are creating a myriad of physical issues for many, many people who’ve been injected. To force these shots, which have not undergone vigorous testing, is akin to forcing students and staff to inject random poisons into their systems.Should you force people to get this shot you will be participating in a crime against humanity. Be wise and make the right choice.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	I am writing today to ask that you not require vaccines for students and staff at UNM. These "vaccines" are creating a myriad of physical issues for many, many people who've been injected. To force these shots, which have not undergone vigorous testing, is akin to forcing students and staff to inject random poisons into their systems. Should you force people to get this shot you will be participating in a crime against humanity. Be wise and make the right choice. Regards, T. Corcoran
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	I have learned that UNM is in the process of creating a mandate to require the experimental COVID-19 shots for all staff and students. This would be a tragic and unfair requirement, based on faulty science. Many reputable scientists and doctors have spoken out against this vaccine, which has been released only under an "Emergency Use Authorization" by the FDA. If there is "science" to support this vaccine, please send me the double blind placebo study results. No one has yet been able to produce these. I ask that you reconsider making the COVID shots a requirement for attendance at your school. Thank you. Andrea Redd
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	The Administration of University of New Mexico needs to reconsider mandatory Covid vaccinations for students, faculty and all staff. The Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS) has reported over 4,000 deaths attributed to Covid vaccinations from late December 2020 until present. Even that figure is considered to be under-reported. Compare that to just 53 deaths attributed to the Swine Flu vaccine after millions were vaccinated before that vaccination program was halted due to the death rate. According to VAERS there have been more deaths attributed to Covid vaccinations in the last four months than from all other vaccines injected from 1997 to present. All scientific evidence aside, mandatory vaccinations would be an infringement on one's constitutional rights. By now, this is less about public safety and more about fear and control. Please reconsider. Sincerely, [REDACTED]
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	I've got two kids coming up through APS and both are considering UNM, HOWEVER, I would never send them to a school that would be so heavy-handed as to require an unnecessary and potentially dangerous vaccine. NOBODY knows the long-term effects of this and we DO know thousands have already died from this "jab". So, you can expect your enrollment to drop further. Great thinking! Caleb Gluck Albuquerque
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	I think it's wrong to require a vaccine that is not approved and has not been around long enough to know the long term effects that it may have. It is wrong to be unnecessarily vaccinated young adults. I am not a nonvacciner but I do not agree with this experimental vaccine or the push to try to force it upon the American people.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;Concerns about Safety;	1. When would the proposed policy go into effect?Response: "This policy would become effective as soon as a COVID-19 vaccine is approved for regular use. In anticipation of FDA approval, the UNM community would proactively make all efforts to comply with this policy by August 2."But until then, it is illegal to require this mRNA gene therapy, nor can you discriminate against someone who chooses not to receive the experimental mRNA injection. One of the FDA approval dates is April of 2023 – by then, almost everyone will have probably been infected anyway! By admitting you are willing to wait until April 2023, you are also entertaining the ridiculous notion that this is going to be a never ending “pandemic” – which it won’t, because we are already at the end of it and have been for quite some time. Tax payers, parents, students and staff that support your institution are curious to know if your policy development team consulted with any experts that don’t adhere to the official COVID narrative. In other words, those who don’t have an agenda, have intellectual curiosity about what has been done to us this past year and have been willing to conduct their own research about things like “cases”, “deaths”, the infection fatality rate (IFR) vs. the case fatality rate, the wildly inaccurate modeling conducted in March of 2020 by the Imperial College which GROSSLY overstated the IFR, and highly effective, cheap, treatments that have been available for years. Have you noticed that there has been NO debate or dialogue for the public’s benefit at any level about our COVID response? Any alternative information that counters the narrative is censored or deleted from the public’s view. This kind of dishonest behavior is very suspect and creates mistrust and suspicion by the public - and for good reason. 2. Why is UNM proposing requiring vaccines for students, faculty and staff accessing University facilities and programs?"UNM believes that doing so will support the health and safety of our campus community. It will lower the rate of infection and further the goal of having an on-campus experience that is critical to academic success and personal growth." This whole global fiasco has never been about “health and safety”. Using threats, intimidation, coercion, manipulation, fear, lies, goal-post moving and censorship to institute medically-useless, politically beneficial mandates/lockdowns for a virus that has a 99.97% survival rate for most age groups is NOT an evidence based public health approach. Also, it DOES NOT (as you know) affect college age students!! If you think implementing this requirement is going to allow anyone to return to normalcy, you are mistaken. It does not infer immunity, nor does it prevention transmission! This gene-therapy does not reduce deaths from the infection. Double-Vaccinated infected with Covid have also died. There are few benefits to the mRNA injection compared to the risks as there are many reported negative side effects – and deaths - with more reported every day. At a minimum, getting the mRNA injection should be voluntary – as with masks (which are also completely useless, per decades of research and literally dozens and dozens of studies showing this). 3. Would I be required to be vaccinated to attend school?Response: " Under

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
			the proposed policy, all Lobos must be fully vaccinated against COVID-19 in order to access University facilities, classrooms, housing, programs, services and activities in person, unless they have been granted a reasonable accommodation."Funny how UNM claims to support equality and then you propose to actively transform your campus into a segregated one based on "vaccine" status! Also ridiculous and unnecessary is to vaccinate people who have already been infected with SARS COV 2 or developed COVID.DO NOT Make this experimental, unnecessary treatment mandatory for students and staff!!!
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	Dear UNM Decision Makers,I have three children who will be attending college soon enough. I would like them to stay in New Mexico for their college years, but I absolutely will not send them to any institution that coerces students to take an experimental vaccine as a condition of admission. As you should know, all of the available COVID-19 vaccines are currently approved for emergency use only, and have not yet received full FDA approval as the trial phase will not be complete for at least another year. As such, anyone who takes the COVID vaccine is essentially participating in a medical trial. That is certainly their right, but it is also a person's right not to participate if they choose not to. It is highly unethical to force anyone to participate in a medical experiment against their will, as we learned from the Nuremberg Trials.College-age people have an extremely low risk from COVID-19. But there are risks being discovered associated with the vaccine. The one that concerns me the most for my children is that there are many thousands of women reporting changes to their monthly cycles, and several hundred reported miscarriages post-vaccine. Several doctors have raised concerns that the vaccine may be affecting the reproductive system, and may even make some people infertile. There are even warnings about this on the vaccine inserts. I will advise my children against taking the COVID-19 vaccine until the long-term effects of the vaccine on the reproductive system are answered. It would be truly horrible if UNM, or any institution in New Mexico, made the COVID vaccine mandatory to find out in a few years that many of these students cannot have children as a result. It would also leave UNM open to liability for forcing students or employees to take the vaccine, when they may not have chosen to otherwise. For all these reasons, I ask you to not make vaccines a requirement for attendance or employment at UNM.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	Hello, at this point, the university doesn't have the right to require students or staff members to be required. The vaccines are all under Emergency Use Authorization and until it is fully FDA approved, it would be unreasonable to require people to do so. The university doesn't require students and staff to get the annual flu shot, which also protects against a deadly disease. It could be a nightmare trying to enforce a policy that is not in line with FDA approval.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	To Whom it may Concern:Please do not approve your policy mandating the staff and students of UNM be fully vaccinated for COVID 19 to return to work or school.Young adults are healthy and have strong immunities and are at very low risk for contracting or dying of COVID-19. Even though the FDA granted emergency use for three COVID-19 vaccines, they are not FDA approved to treat, cure or prevent any disease at this time and are, I believe experimental.Although I did not graduate from UNM, I did attend courses in order to complete my BS in Computer Science. Both of my great nephews plan to attend college in the near future. If there is a mask mandate, I will encourage them to attend a college out of state where there are no mask madates for attendance.All people have the right to make their own medical choices.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	I am glad that UNM is making plans to ensure student safety. And I do understand that we are in a pandemic. However as a parent of 2 students attending college, I don't believe that people should be penalized for not getting the vaccine. Yes FDA states it is safe, and they will back it....BUT it has not even been 1 year, to see what effects show up in the long run, meaning there are many medications out there FDA approved and some years down the road you see lawyers coming on TV stating people can file claims because these "safe" medications have now shown to cause other health problems!!!Life is too precious, and yes while I believe protocols need to be put into place such as surveillance testing, etc. I don't believe that penalizing people like not allowing them to go to college, unless they are vaccinated is fair. Thank you for your time!
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	All,I am sickened to learn that you are considering requiring your staff and students to take the COVID-19 "vaccination." These injections have not completed clinical trials, and have created a huge surge in incidents reported to the VAERS. In addition, they have not been proven to provide immunity OR prevent transmission. Even if they were proven and safe and FDA approved (which they are not), every human being has the right to make their own medical choices. This proposal is wrong on every level, and it's illegal. Way to drive students to other universities in other states. Brilliant.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	Those who receive the injectables for this medical experiment are not immune from getting COVID and can still transmit it according to the CDC, in fact, they are constantly transmitting ill health to those they encounter, especially to the unvaxxed. Taking the jab happens to create a walking, talking bioweapon. Mandating an experimental bioweapon to the university population is extremely irresponsible. In a place of learning it is reprehensible that no research has been done regarding the effects this jab produces. Please tell me why an experimental mRNA injectible, never tested on animals, is now being jabbed into college students who have a 99.97% of recovering if they do get COVID? I would hope if you in charge of this decision injure someone that you are held personally responsible for crimes against humanity and will be duly prosecuted as the vaccine companies have absolutely no liability.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	I am a very very concerned Grandmother of a student scheduled to attend UNM in the Fall. We have specifically chosen New Mexico because they did not demand a vaccine. These vaccines are at least notably dangerous and affect a very personal aspect of a persons essence - the messaging RNA of a persons permanent DNA. For a governing board to demand the changing of a young persons messaging RNA of their DNA affecting their entire body's cellular life and any children they may have into the future is wrong. Please, please do not mandate these vaccines. You are an educating institution that strives to raise up free thinkers and free choosers to contribute to a wonderful dynamic diverse world; don't develop a robotic atmosphere of oppression and division. I beseech you, don't mandate these vaccines.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	Here is a 150-page compilation of media reports and social media postings of deaths, injuries, permanent disabilities, miscarriages, etc. from vaccinations: https://www.cellphonetaskforce.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/Informed-Consent-Matters-May-3-2021-Update.pdf . It is continually updated. I am sending you the May 3, 2021 update. It includes the current statistics from CDC's Vaccine Adverse Effects Reporting System. These vaccines are killing and injuring far more people than the coronavirus. You should NOT require UNM students, faculty and staff to do this to themselves in order to be on campus. Thank you.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Good morning I received the information about the proposal of mandatory vaccination for everyone on the UNM campus. Personally I believe that every person has the right to make their own choices in their lives but especially in the area of health. One of my children is considering UNM in the near future, but if UNM decides to push mandatory vaccination, then our family will not consider UNM as a real possibility. I hope you honor the personal choices of the New Mexicans and anyone interested in the program that UNM provides.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	██████████ son is considering enrollment at UNM. I strongly reject the mandatory requirement of the COVID vaccine as a passport and means to gain acceptance. The vaccination is a choice that must be made by the individual (and family) based on his/her beliefs and medical /physical condition and not be forced upon him. I dont want my son to have to choose between his education and well being.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Hello, I am a concerned New Mexican and do not support your effort to make Covid19 vaccines mandatory. This is a fundamental issue of our basic civil rights to body autonomy. I understand that, as a business, you have the right to require certain things from those who choose to use your services. However, I want to let you know that my children will no longer consider UNM as a potential school to attend.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Regarding the possibility of requiring vaccines and or masks in order to attend classes at UNM: Please do not go along with deciding that you know better how people should live. That is partof dictatorship. The government is not to be telling people what they have to do medically. It willonly lead to more government control. If you who have higher education think it is ok todictate other's lives we are in for a very bad time ahead !!! Do you want to be told how to live your lives?? Please to not go down the road you are considering. A citizen who believes we have a right to use our own common sense.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	To Whom it Concerns: I was recently notified that you are considering a mandatory vaccine. This is an appalling idea. In the US, everyone has the right to make their own medical choices. The health threats posed by COVID 19 to healthy individuals does not merit the risk of using an experimental medicine that has not been approved by the FDA. Despite tremendous, constant and hysterical media coverage, COVID is not a serious threat to healthy people. Because of the media it is hard for anyone to actually see and understand the FACT that 90% of those who have faced death, serious complications and long term impacts due to COVID were already seriously ill or in advanced age. Thus COVID is not a general pandemic but one that is of threat to a small portion of the population, who have generally already received their vaccine or prefer to take their chances. Take a stand against this hysteria and insanity. Look at the facts. And PLEASE as our institution of higher LEARNING: Stop this Big Brother movement led by dictator Lujan.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	To whom it may concern:I am in opposition of the policy regarding mandatory vaccinations! I find this absolutely appalling that this education system would even consider implementing those Personal Healthcare decisions! My grandchildren will be considering colleges in the near future and I will highly advise them not to consider the University of New Mexico if this is implemented!
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	UNM I do not support the mandatory COVID vaccine to UNM student. The recovery rate is over 99% and it should be at choice. This is getting way out of hand. Why can a woman chose to abort a child because it's her body but we cannot chose to take the so called vaccine. How many people take the flu vaccine each year? Please reconsider this. Thank you
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	YOU CANNOT FORCE SOMEONE TO TAKE A MEDICAL EXPERIMENT WITHOUT THEIR CONSENT. THIS NOT ONLY GOES AGAINST OUR WESTERN VALUES AND THE CONSTITUTION BUT ALSO AGAINST THE NUREMBERG CODE FROM THE GENEVA CONVENTION.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	There is no reason to require this vaccine for students and staff. This "pandemic "Is hardly worthy of all these restrictions. Please review the infection rate and death rates -nationwide, worldwide, or statewide. This isn't the Black Death.Sincerely,Sent from my iPad
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Hello, I write you to respectfully ask that you reconsider any language, policy, or action that would mandate any medical procedure (including injection, vaccination, immunization of biologic agent, or surveillance testing) for students and staff at your institution. As a native New Mexican, a mother with children who will enter college, and a healthcare worker- I feel obligated to advocate for our rights to medically autonomous decision making. We must value the freedom to bodily autonomy without coercion and the right to make our own medical choices. These choices will lead to discriminatory enrollment practices and targeted students and staff who chose alternatives for their health.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Please stop this unconstitutional health threat.No vaccines for' cOvid' or anything related! No testing with PCR test kits that produce false positives, no shots, nothing....against US constitutional health!Our US citizens and those people who are living here in the US are not Human Test subjects!

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Please stop trying to take away our rights. Mandatory vaccination is discriminatory. Mandatory testing for people who receive vaccine exemptions is not acceptable. All people have a right to make their own medical choices. This new policy you are trying to enforce would lead me to disenroll or rule out UNM as a school for me and my family. This is not a wise policy! Let people make their own decisions. Again mandatory vaccination is not a good idea. Making people do something you want to please someone else or to look good is never right. This isn't even a true vaccine yet and doesn't protect like a true vaccine would. How about we focus on being clean and respectful with our germs while they work on making this vaccine safer for all of us. Then you won't have to force it down people's throats. I hope you read this email.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	People have the right to do their own Research and make their own medical decisions. This is a body given by God not man. We all go to college and learn to make critical decisions. Health is one. Yours [REDACTED]
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	We the people Live in the United States of America! When did it become a place where we aren't allowed to go to school if we don't take a vaccine? Why would we want to be apart of the problem and become the solution. We all have medical and religious freedoms. Coming from a school that could easily promote abortions and work on aborted fetuses but won't allow students to attend school because of a mandate that you have control over. Many students have the right to choose whether they want it or not. My body my choice hits differently this time. Don't do this to the future
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	You all are over stepping the line! You have been watching too much Covid porn on the TV, on the freeway, in the restaurant front doors, and everywhere you enter in you see Covid porn all over your face and you're taking in every bit of it you all are pitiful. This mandate is unconstitutional and you know what you're doing the governors dirty work because she won't pass a vaccine mandate because she knows it's unconstitutional but intern she has you all doing her little dirty work like a bunch of puppets. You all are a bunch of big babies 99.85% of people recover 3% have died and it's over, you got your vaccine but I tell you what if they enforce this we are coming up about 2000 strong to protest right in front of your administrative office this is unconstitutional and you know what you let them keep doing this they're going to take away your freedoms to so quit being filled with Covid porn and go on with your life and leave everybody else alone. And there will be lawsuits filed against you all and most of your students will be leaving your school because of this nonsense. If you open this site below you'll see what good governors do knock out the fear stop wearing watching the porn the Covid porn you watch every night and quit fearing and quit being a bunch of babies! Grow up! UNM Covid Porn Waychers, https://www.lifesitenews.com/news/florida-gov-signs-bill-banning-schools-from-requiring-covid-vaccines

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	All people have a right to make their own medical choices about their own bodies.Mandatory vaccines are a fascist policy that have no place in the United States. I would never support the UNM in any way or allow anyone in my family to attend if this obscene and ludicrous policy is enacted.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	This E-book consists of a Preface and Ten Chapters. We are dealing with an exceedingly complex process. In the course of the last twelve months starting in early January 2020, I have analyzed almost on a daily basis the timeline and evolution of the Covid crisis. From the very outset in January 2020, people were led to believe and accept the existence of a rapidly progressing and dangerous epidemic. I suggest you first read the Highlights (below), the Preface and Introduction before proceeding with chapters II through X. Alternatively you may wish to View the Global Research Video entitled: The 2021 Worldwide Corona Crisis (released in February 2021), which provides a 25 minutes summary. Each of the ten chapters provides factual information as well as analysis on the following topics: What Is Covid-19, what is SARS-CoV-2, how is it identified, how is it estimated? The timeline and historical evolution of the Corona Crisis, the devastating economic and financial impacts, the enrichment of a social minority of billionaires, how the lockdown policies trigger unemployment and mass poverty Worldwide, the devastating impacts on mental health. The E-book also includes analysis of curative and preventive drugs as well as a review of Big Pharma's Covid-19 mRNA vaccine which is an "unapproved" and "experimental" drug affecting the human genome. (It is a dangerous drug. See Chapter VIII) Also analyzed are issues pertaining to the derogation of fundamental human rights, censorship of medical doctors, freedom of expression and the protest movement. The last chapter focusses on the unfolding global debt crisis, the destabilization of national governments, the threats to democracy including "global governance" and the World Economic Forum's "Great Reset" proposal. This E-Book is made available free of charge with a view to reaching out to people Worldwide. Please help us in this endeavor. Kindly forward to family, friends and colleagues, within your respective communities. If you wish to make a donation click here, that would be much appreciated. All Global Research articles including the E-Book can be read in 51 languages by activating the "Translate Website" drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version). Please forward to friends and colleagues in foreign countries (while pointing to the translation plugin). Readers can reach Prof. Michel Chossudovsky at crgeitor@yahoo.com Video click the lower right corner to access full-screen Highlights. We are at the crossroads of one of the most serious crises in World history. We are living history, yet our understanding of the sequence of events since January 2020 has been blurred. Worldwide, people have been misled both by their governments and the media as to the causes and devastating consequences of the Covid-19 "pandemic". The unspoken truth is that the novel coronavirus provides a pretext and a justification to powerful financial interests and corrupt politicians to precipitate the entire World into a spiral of mass unemployment, bankruptcy, extreme poverty and despair. More than 7 billion people Worldwide are directly or indirectly affected by the corona crisis. The COVID-19 public health "emergency" under WHO

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
			auspices was presented to public opinion as a means ("solution") to containing the "killer virus".If the public had been informed and reassured that Covid is (according to the WHO definition) "Similar to Seasonal Influenza", the fear campaign would have fallen flat. The lockdown and closure of the national economy would have been rejected outright.The first stage of this crisis (outside China) was launched by the WHO on January 30th 2020 at a time when there were 5 cases in the US, 3 in Canada, 4 in France, 4 in Germany. Do these numbers justify the declaration of a Worldwide public health emergency? The fear campaign was sustained by political statements and media disinformation.People are frightened. They are encouraged to do the PCR test, which is fl
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	This is dictatorship at its finest. I will never send my children to UNM, nor will I ever support the university who violates constitutional rights of students.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I'm against making an emergency use vaccine mandatory for access to UNM Higher Ed. Thanks, [REDACTED]
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	We have decided our son will transfer out of UNM when and if the vaccine mandate occurs at UNM. In order to prepare, if the FDA approves the Pfizer vaccine in the middle of the fall semester, will the vaccine become mandatory for the Spring semester or immediately? We need to know when we should plan to transfer if the goes through.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	This is an utter violation of personal rights. There's a violent felony crime that entails inserting something into someone else's body against their will. Mandatory shot policies are essentially the SAME THING. Do you want to be known for promoting that kind of violence? My body, my choice. [REDACTED]
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Hello,The AAPS recommends that COVID Vaccines Should Not Be Required to Return to Campus, ----article here. https://aapsonline.org/covid-vaccines-should-not-be-required-to-return-to-campus-states-the-association-of-american-physicians-and-surgeons/?fbclid=IwAR1403J_ZQ5sD5JPXRB9sFEezZz7QkrPQdifw01H95gu5bHmiWSzXq18vE Your policy goes against my own health decisions. Getting vaccines is not something I choose to do to further my health. My body, my choice. I can stay home when I am sick and wash my hands. I am not interested in taking an experimental medical treatment for a virus with a 99.8% SURVIVAL rate just because a political agenda says I should. You can "strongly recommend", but please reconsider your position on this in the interest of each individual having a sovereign right to make his own health decisions. Thank you.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I don't feel like the vaccine should be mandatory it is a personal choice and that's it. Just like all other vaccines.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	UNM Admissions,I understand that the University is now proposing mandating an experimental, unapproved FDA injection for COVID 19. FDA approved the COVID 19 injection for emergency use only. Since this is experimental and does not have a final FDA approval, it should never be mandated and only recommended. Mandating this experimental injection is against all human rights to sovereignty. Universal Declaration of Human RightsArticle Three: Everyone has the right to life, liberty, and security of person.It's OK to recommend along with informed consent, but mandating an unapproved experimental therapy is extremely dangerous.By mandating, you are crossing a line into a form of ownership and slavery.Universal Declaration of Human RightsArticle Four: No one shall be held in slavery or servitude; slavery and the slave trade shall be prohibited in all their forms.No one owns another human body, so no one has the right to mandate an experimental, unapproved vaccine to anyone.Instead of mandating, I ask you to rethink to a recommendation on the COVID 19 injection [REDACTED]
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Hi, I object to mandatory vaccinations. It is discriminatory. Also, all people have a right to make their own medical choices.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Please do not make the vaccine mandatory. If you believe in pro choice over someone's body please do not make a choice that would open a gateway to my body being ruled over by others. You're removing my choice to choose what is best for me. Should you do this then like minded people will seek alternatives outside of UNM for both my wife and I's education.Thank you for looking at my feedback.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	My son is supposed to attend in the fall. It should NOT be Mandatory for students to take an "Emergency" vaccine to receive higher education. Young people are extremely low risk. Please reconsider your mandatory vaccination policy immediately.Sincerely [REDACTED] ent from my iPhone
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	•All people have a right to make their own medical choices. •Nazi- like requirements not funded by alumni.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	UNM Admissions: I am completely against the idea of a forced vaccine for students or staff at UNM. You have no right to force any medical decision on individuals who are absolutely opposed to putting this vaccine in their bodies, it is discriminatory to do so. You do not have the right to inject yourselves into the privacy of another person's medical decisions. UNM has become an institution that I will strongly discourage anyone I know to attend, ever. Signed [REDACTED]
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I was born and raised here in New Mexico I am writing to let you know that I am adamantly opposed to the University of New Mexico mandating Covid Vaccines for its students. Mandatory vaccination is discriminatory. All people have a right to make their own medical choices. It would be so disappointing if this policy would be implemented, yet another negative thing coming from this school and another piece of our freedom attempting to be taken away this policy is unconstitutional as it violates the rights of the United States citizens. Do the right thing do not pass this . Sincerely, [REDACTED] Sent from my iPhone

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	With a 99.7% recovery rate from COVID-19 why would anyone even consider an untested vaccine? When you consider all the tainted and false positives with the testing, everything from heart attacks and motorcycle accidents being counted as COVID deaths because the hospitals no longer have character, honor or principle when faced with a choice of truth vs up to \$53,000 per COVID positive patient if place on a ventilator, who would believe anything the medical community ever said again? Dr Fauci's first act in his position in 1985 was to get liability immunity for pharmaceutical companies and when told to stop his work on 'gain of function' (making a virus more deadly) he takes the project to Wuhan, China. Does anyone believe the people in the labs where this thing was said to be cooked up, wear the masks you and I are told to wear? Why are the Doctors that counter the main stream narrative concerning the safety of the vaccines censored? They will tell you the masks is like trying to stop a mosquito going through a chain link fence. Countries around the world are recognizing the issues with the vaccines and banning them. While those with compromised immune systems (usually the elderly) can die from this virus just like thousands die in this country and around the world every year from whatever virus happens to be around that year, why is it that we never got so concerned about it before? Because the main thrust of COVID-19 has never been about the medical concern, that was the guise, the catalyst to bring about the real end game of economic destruction to the U.S. by an admitted enemy and the total control of everything you and I do by those behind this global agenda. Unfortunately aided by the 'go along to get along' crowd all the way down the line. What does that say for institutions of higher learning that know better and those in their leadership positions? Have we no longer the appetite to push back against tyranny and peacefully, yet firmly, refuse this vaccine? If you don't understand that this is a question of liberty and that there is never an easy way or convenient time to counter oppression, and think if given enough time it will all go away, you are more naive than you ought to be. It will never be easy decision to counter this, but easier now than it will be later, if not impossible later. We need leaders to step up and be leaders of liberty. Naivete is no virtue.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Personally I believe that taking the covid 19 vaccine should be a choice not a requirement. Not everyone wants the vaccine and being forced to take it is not right.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Mandatory vaccination is discriminatory and as human beings, we have the right to make our own medical choices. Forcing a vaccine is comparable to forcing someone to practice medicine without having a license. Is this what UNM is encouraging? What about individuals who have already had the virus and have natural immunity? Aren't we all entitled to make our own choices for our own health as we see fit?As an individual who has family who attends school at UNM and someone who has been considering furthering my education at UNM, I am strongly petitioning against a move like this. This policy would lead me to disenroll and cause us to not participate in supporting UNM in any way. Please consider the personal choice and decisions of others and the affect your decision will have on our community and our local economy. Thank you for your consideration.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I am extremely opposed to mandating a vaccine in order to attend your school. Please allow Americans the right to choose whether they should or should not be vaccinated. This is a very personal choice. I have 3 teens who may want to attend your school in the future. At this point we are not planning on being vaccinated and don't want to lose the opportunity to attend your school because of this choice. Thank you for your consideration.Sincerely, [REDACTED]
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I am greatly concerned to hear that you are considering a mandatory vaccination policy. Such a policy would be discriminatory! How dare you try to impose mandatory vaccination! All people have a right to choose what medical procedures to undergo, and you cannot discriminate against people for exercising this right.Many people already have natural immunity to this virus, and many others prefer to use alternative treatment strategies. Plus, the CDC has expressly said that these vaccines have not been tested on pregnant or lactating women, immunocompromised people, or people with autoimmune conditions.As of April 16, the CDC's Vaccine Adverse Events Reporting System database has 86,080 negative reactions that have been reported for COVID-19 vaccines, including 3,186 deaths including an 18-year-old who died 3 days after vaccination. Informed consent without coercion or threat is absolutely essential to our free society.If this mandatory vaccination policy is approved, I will advise my niece in Albuquerque (who is graduating from high school this year and determining which college she will attend) to strike UNM from the list of schools to consider.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I am writing to you in opposition of mandatory vaccinations. This practice is discriminatory, as all people have the right to make their own medical choices. Those that choose to get the vaccine have nothing to worry about from those that do not. Those that are vaccinated are told that they can still get COVID-19 but will not die from it and that they will have little to no symptoms. They can be asymptomatic and still pass the virus to others. The vaccine does not in any way guarantee that vaccinated folks will not get or spread the virus. In fact, looking at the science, vaccinated folks are more of a danger to those not vaccinated as vaccinated folks may not show any symptoms. This comes down to freedom of choice and the fact that those choosing not to be vaccinated are no more of a danger than those that do get the vaccination. Please oppose this discriminatory practice and allow freedom of choice to continue.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Dear UNM Provost, Please say no to mandatory Covid-19 shots. These shots are experimental and enacted under "emergency" status, and requiring them for work or education would violate human rights under the Nuremberg Code, created due to experiments done that should never have happened. The shot itself is not proven as effective as the human immune system, and does not prevent the sickness, but instead makes the body manufacture spike proteins. People have the right of refusal and also to bodily autonomy, and should not be forced to take the shot. Please do not lead NM backwards into policies that would lead to discrimination and as of yet unknown long term effects. Maintain freedom ultimately for the people of New Mexico by refusing to mandate this [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	This is to express my strong disagreement with a mandatory vaccination requirement for staff and students for COVID-19.1.COVID has a 99.7 recovery rate; most fatalities occur only in the aged (70+), and then only in those with comorbidities. Serious illness or death is largely non-existent in other demographic groups. There is NO NEED for the young and healthy to be vaccinated against this virus.2.mRNS vaccines are purely experimental; they are authorized for use only under emergency procedures and therefore cannot be lawfully required to be taken. All forms of COVID-19 vaccines are mRNA and are experimental. Compelling individuals to take these violates Nuremburg Conventions.3.If the vaccines are effective, then those who chose to take them are protected and have nothing to fear from those who do not take them. If they are not effective, then there is no reason anyone should take them as they provide no protection against any disease.4.There is no such thing as asymptomatic spread; this was floated as a theory early on in the so-called pandemic; but is contradicted by all scientific journals. If a person does not have a high enough viral load to show symptoms, they do not have a high enough viral load to spread disease.5.My body; my right. No one should be compelled to take medical treatments that violated their religious or other personal objection; mandatory vaccinations for COVID are discriminatory. [REDACTED]
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	PLEASE VOTE AGAINST MANDATORY VACCINATIONS.GOD BLESS OUR FREE AMERICANS AND CHOICE!!!!
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	It has come to my attention that the University of New Mexico is considering a policy of mandatory vaccinations for staff and students alike. I want to express my and my organization's strong opposition to this proposed policy. The COVID 19 pandemic was a frustrating and challenging time for all of us, but forcing people who work and go to UNM to be vaccinated against this virus is simply not the correct path forward for the school. Vaccine passports and the like are not the right path forward for our society either. Mandatory vaccination is discriminatory. All people have a right to make their own medical choices. There is no public policy that will lead to perfect safety. But mandates and vaccine passports will have clear, negative impacts on our medical freedom. Thank you for your time.-- [REDACTED]

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Hello, As a New Mexican I am very concerned that the largest university in the state would make vaccines mandatory. It is discriminatory! People should be allowed to make the choices that are best for their lives and their bodies. As a mother of 3 daughters, two of which are teenagers, I will not send my children to a university that has such mandates in place.Sincerely [REDACTED]
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Mandatory vaccination is discriminatory.All people have a right to make their own medical choices.Employee and students of UNM deserve better, and I, as a loyal and committed member of the UNM family, am horrified that the University would even consider such discriminatory atrocity against those who support the University. If this policy ever reaches into the freedom of choice world of UNM, I can assure you that I will see that my family and friends rule out UNM as a school or a place of employment in the future. I expect more of UNM and am deeply horrified and saddened that this invasion and assault on the fundamental rights of human beings to make their own medical choices would ever be considered. Please ensure that such atrocity [REDACTED]
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Are you and the University part of the Marxist. Socialist's political groups? A dear young friend of mine was just asking me about UNM. He is from Pennsylvania.Wait till I send his parents what you are proposing for your school they won't let him NEAR your University! • Mandatory vaccination is discriminatory. • All people have a right to make their own medical choices. PLEASE RECONSIDER FORCING THIS ON THOSE WHO SEE THIS AS MEDICAL TYRANNY! Sent from Mail for Windows 10

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I am 80 years old [as a cancer survivor, at the highest supposed risk] and for years have been greatly enjoying auditing courses at UNM despite my already having two master's degrees. I am appalled that no one in a position of responsibility at UNM regarding this matter of mandatory "vaccination" is unaware a) that this is NOT a vaccine but "gene therapy" as yet lacking formal approval by our otherwise totally corrupt FDA and CDC, and b) the Nuremberg Council of 1948 declared such "vaccinations" an unlawful violation of personal integrity. Do you favor policies like those of Nazional Sozialismus? Dr Mengele would, I'm certain, approve your stance.I am, or at least was, a fluent Chinese speaker who spent five years as normally the only Caucasian in an office full of native Chinese (of very high standing who would have been imprisoned or worse in the People's Republic) and these people taught me many lessons about how that government works. There is little responsible question but that the spread of this virus was deliberate, and I remind you that China's cat's paw Comrade Fauci, the highest paid employee of the US government (more than Biden if we exclude Comrade Xi's under the table bribes of \$1.5 billion to Biden's family law firm), now owns three patents for research paid for by the US taxpayer and plans to die a multimillionaire if he can force endless numbers of shots. This is a case of massive corruption worthy of the sleaziest fiction but alas quite real, here in what used to be the good old USA. Could you please use your learning to make some slight patriotic effort to research Nuremberg, viruses, vaccines, gene manipulation(aka "therapy") and Chinese espionage? COVID-19 is a corona virus, as are the common cold and the flu. In more than a century of trying, we have not come up with a foolproof vaccine for these. Why do you accept the propaganda that we now have a hitherto-impossible cure of yet another corona virus, and more amazingly developed at "warp speed"? Could we please cure cancer before Christmas? Please.Since you are supported by NM taxpayers, that is the least we can demand of you.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I am writing to express my outrage at your proposed mandatory vaccination policy that is in clear violation of the Nuremberg Code.All people have the right to make their own medical choices, and not to be forced or coerced or discriminated against for exercising those rights.These shots are currently still under trial and anyone who takes them is consenting to be in the trial (experimented on) which will not complete until 2023.I will absolutely and without hesitation rule out UNM as a school for my child if you implement this policy.Respectfully [REDACTED] [REDACTED] Sent with ProtonMail Secure Email.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Dear UNM associates,I understand that mandatory vaccinations may be implemented at UNM. As a concerned citizen, I would like to express my opinion in this matter. To require vaccinations for attendance is to discriminate against those who choose not to get the vaccination. Or else, to enforce such makes one have to choose their education over their right to choose what happens to their bodies. Taking away this choice is the part of the inevitable domino effect of having our freedoms taken from us. Eventually, this vaccine will be forced upon everyone and then what else? Some might think to not get the vaccine as selfish, putting lives at stake for something so simple. But it isn't so simple. It's our freedom that is at stake, and isn't that more important? If I can send my husband to Afghanistan to possibly die for someone else's freedom, isn't this risk worth my freedom? I hope that you will all take this matter seriously and consider these concerns as I am not the only one with them.Thank you for your time in reading this,ArielSent from my iPhone
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	We should not be allowing our communities, schools, places of employment to ostracize citizens that CHOOSE not to vaccinate. We have a CHOICE to choose in this Great Nation and State. I ask that you do not FORCE mandatory vaccines on the staff and students of UNM. You WILL be taking away our freedom to choose our own medical and religious choices. We have a VOICE, rights and liberties to live peaceable how we choose. Melissa Gutierrez We hold these truths to be sacred & undeniable; that all men are created equal & independent, that from that equal creation they derive rights inherent & inalienable, among which are the preservation of life, & liberty, & the pursuit of happiness; ... Tomas Jefferson
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Proposing mandatory vaccines is disgusting, unconstitutional & puts us all in danger since it has been scientifically proven that vaccinated people SHED the covid 19 virus & uninfected people can then contract it from vaccinated people. Don't be a fascist institution or patriots will certainly make sure your campus has anti vax protests & all your funding will be stripped. This ridiculous idea does more harm than good.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I can not agree with a mandate. If you choose to receive a vaccination that is your choice. There is not nearly enough information to prove the long term effects of a brand new, never used type of vaccination. We have gone over a year and already proven that we can be smart and protect ourselves and others from the virus, unless the last year has been a lie. If UNM proceeds with these restrictions my children will be taking our money elsewhere. There are many other institutions that can provide an education. Let educated and smart people make their own decisions. Do not force people to comply with your wants.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I am absolutely against this mandatory vaccination in order to attend UNM in person. For the past year and a half this school has continued to charge its students non-reduced tuition and fee costs while significantly reducing the quality of the education programs and accessibility of facilities. The faculty has not met the challenges to provide solid (forced)online learning curriculum, guidance, and just plain good teaching to help these students through this very difficult time both academically abs mentally. The students are teaching themselves and the professors skate through just making demands without offering a proper education. Violating rights and enforcing receiving a vaccination, that while on the surface seems safe and effective, but could have long term impacts that are not yet known, is checking a box to cow to the governor and the narrative so called experts have used to control. If you impose this rule and force those who are making a choice with their own bodies to receive the vaccine, the school had better lower tuition costs to full time online learners. I'm just appalled. Thank goodness the local K-12 schools did not follow these same thought processes. If individual UNM staff, faculty, and students CHOOSE to get vaccinated because they are concerned for their health, I fully support that CHOICE! But taking away our rights and punishing when non-compliant, I DO NOT SUPPORT! Can this institution afford to lose students to those universities out of state not imposing such authoritarian dictates?
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	My current senior in high school, from Sioux Falls SD, has applied and been accepted to UNM in Fall 2021. As a parent, I am horrified that UNM would require people to take an experimental vaccine with undetermined long-term side effects as a condition of enrollment to the school. This is dispicable.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	I am writing as a mother of a UNM student and as a member of the Albuquerque community. I want to express my opposition to UNM's proposed vaccination policy. I ask you to please consider the following: 1. The human vaccine trials will not end until 2023.2. The vaccines are NOT FDA approved.3. Medical decisions belong to the individual alone, and cannot be dictated by the University.4. Requiring vaccination in order to re-enroll at UNM is discriminatory. My daughter will be forced to transfer to a University in Texas if this policy is put into place. 5. We are no longer in a pandemic. Our situation in endemic, that is, contained. The new map for NM indicates that the majority of the state is at the turquoise level, which is an indication that New Mexicans are on their way to normalcy again.6. There is no agreement on the administered vaccine percentage required to create herd immunity, especially since the survivors already have antibodies. As of this writing, 45% of NM population is vaccinated. By the proposed August 2nd deadline, these percentages will be much higher, further weakening the case for mandatory vaccination.Please do not approve the proposed policy on mandatory vaccinations for UNM.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	I disagree with forcing anyone to get a vaccine!Every employee and student should have a choice. I will never send my children to any school that forces them to vaccinate. Do you realize the true science says people who have had Covid have antibodies and the vaccine is worthless in these cases? What happened to my body, my choice?We're not forced to get a flu shot to attend school and more people die from the flu each year. I think it's even more ridiculous that online staff and students have to vaccinate!If it was that great people wouldn't have to be bribed to get it! That should be enough common sense. Nobody knows what the long term affects are so stand up and let each individual decide not the pressure of government!!!
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Greetings UNM staff,Word has gotten out that you are considering mandating these experimental injections for staff and students. Despite the fact that FDA "emergency authorized use" is NOT FDA approval, and that the Nuremberg Code exists.There are plenty of people who have legitimate medical, religious and personal reasons for not wanting to offer themselves up as guinea pigs for criminal, historically fraudulent oligarchs like Pfizer and Moderna, who shouldn't be allowed to do business on planet Earth given their history of deception, corruption and straight-up murder.You've clearly NOT done your due diligence into the reality of this virus (99.7% recovery rate), or the history of these injection manufacturers, let alone the danger of these injections, which include aborted fetal tissue, heavy metals and DNA-mangling technology. As such, it is utterly egregious that you would think to mandate these experimental injections for your students and staff.While the pharmaceutical cabals are indemnified against the lawsuits that the death and injury their injections are already causing would otherwise inspire, YOU are not.Not only would the mandating of these experimental injections violate every international human rights law on the books, but it would render you EXTREMELY vulnerable to a slew of lawsuits, which would likely bankrupt both the school, as well as all the leadership who would make this insane decision.Thank you for coming to your senses, and for honoring the rights and choice of your staff and students. It would be smart to catch yourself up to speed as to the history of these injections and their manufacturers before taking it upon yourself to do their genocidal bidding for them, while dishonoring your community.AB

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Hello UNM President & Administration, You may or may not be able to STOP this mandatory vaccine push but I have done a lot of research and this vaccine hurts our immune systems, spikes your proteins and causes your T killer cells to fight against themselves. If you have the best interest in humanity you will research this further and realize we are headed to a New World Order. I would hate to tell my family I helped this depopulation cause. Please print and review attached. Please see attached information and links. Credible Doctors below https://rumble.com/vg3ik5-4.23.21-interview-with-dr-sherry-tenpenny-and-dr.-p-following-our-same-day-.html https://brandnewtube.com/watch/covid-19-vaccines-are-weapons-of-mass-destruction-and-could-wipe-out-the-human-race_GcjtJu9dY1RcSNh.html https://youtube.com/watch?v=t3P9CYGq9M4&feature=share https://rumble.com/vb21hn-renowned-microbiologist-covid-vaccine-is-downright-dangerous-you-are-going-.html https://rumble.com/vfmufz-vaccine-companies-own-data-shows-zero-difference-in-stopping-covid-but-may-.html https://rumble.com/vd2y2j-banned-from-youtube-dr-simone-gold-shares-the-truth-about-the-covid-19-vac.html Thank you for re considering mandatory vaccines.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Please read...Date: May 5, 2021 Subject: Human rights in America in question...To all concerned and committed educators, As a recent visitor to your state I experienced two things. The beauty of New Mexico can not even be captured in a lens because it is so disarmingly stunning.The second thing I saw hovering over your population, was a blanket of fear. It occurred to me that you all live many many feet above sea level. Oxygen is already in short supply. The fear amongst your people created off balance behaviors. This was experienced in very unpleasant ways by me and a friend. As tourists we left with compassion and thought perhaps a year of masks, and further oxygen deprivation,was the cause of the mass fear. The billboard campaign could have added to the decline in critical thinking as well. A friend from NM has alerted me of the new measures being considered at UNM.We are one United States so yes, this effecting NM effects us all. Human rights are under attack in NM, NY across this country and on a global scale. That is why I am sending this letter. Stop and look out of your windows. Is distancing ourselves from the glory of nature really wise ? There are many who believe in natural medicine. As one of those folks I also respect those who disagree with me. I do not respect those who disrespect the United States Constitution. I sometimes comment on instagram. I recently commented about revisiting history through the WW2 films made between 1938 and 1946. There in lies the key to how an entire people can be forced into camps, into ghettos, into experimental chemical meds, into time lines that seem so disgraceful that they seem fictional. Taking away rights to an education based on religious or medical exceptions to a centralized belief is not fiction, it is dangerous pure and simple. I have been experiencing my faith, in the courage of human kind, fade. There are those who know they can make a difference but do not. Where does fear end, and the realization of what the implications are if a stand is not taken, then begin ?As educators, parents, citizens of a country built on freedoms.... I ask again, where does fear end, and the realization of what the implications are (to mandatory inoculations) then begin ?There are many alternatives to bullying, to ending dreams of learning, to iron clad rules that trample on our US Constitution. Use the alternatives, your creativity and preserve the liberty of those who write tuition checks. Use your critical thinking and your knowledge of history to breath in some oxygen before Mandating something that, in the future, could very well read as quite tragic and finite in our history. We are living in unprecedented times that require courageous safeguards.An alarmed citizen,CSSent from my iPad

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	How ridiculous of an idea to FORCE people a medical procedure on students. You are selfish as an educational organization. You should be ashamed. Good luck getting students. Y'all are as about brainwashed as it gets. You probably didn't know this joke virus has a 99% survival rate for students who are in the college age?! You're telling me you're getting a shot to protect you from a virus you haven't had all year? I truthfully can't believe it. You need to wake up before it's too late.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	A mandatory vaccine is an infringement on freedom of choice. Your staff and your students should not be placed in this conundrum. My children are on the verge of applying to universities and have always strongly considered UNM a top choice, but if this mandate is passed we will no longer support you. I hope administration considers the lives and domino effects of this decision.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	To whom it may concern,I was recently informed that UNM may make the Covid-19 vaccine a requirement for students and faculty. Please reconsider. New Mexico has current legislation that allows for the exemption of vaccination for religious purposes. Those that may utilize this right would be forced to disenroll or seek schooling elsewhere. Furthermore, those that chose not to get the vaccine for other reasons would be marginalized and discriminated against. Other reasons someone may choose not to get the vaccine...-Personal convictions-Allergy-Pregnancy and breast feeding (future or current)-Cultural restrictions-Other health concerns-It is still in the emergency use only stage-It is still in the testing phase-Etc.All of these reasons are deeply personal. Even in the event that exemptions were accepted, it is in violation of a persons medical privacy and personal rights to disclose why they would deny the vaccine. Please consider your current students and future students that would miss out on the precious opportunity of higher education if vaccination becomes a requirement.Sincerely,A fellow New Mexico citizen
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	All people have a right to make their own medical choices.Nazi-like requirements not funded by alumni.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	https://aapsonline.org/open-letter-from-physicians-to-universities-reverse-covid-vaccine-mandates/
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I disagree strongly with this plan. I, for one, do not plan on getting the vaccine due to many reasons and for this to be a determining factor in returning to campus has me furious. I took a year off school until we could return to in person learning, but I will not be continuing my education at UNM if this policy is in place. I know I speak for more than myself when I say that. Thank you for your time.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I urge you to not force vaccinations on people. It is unconstitutional and unethical to do so. Injections are invasive. Individuals should have the choice as to what is done to their bodies; otherwise, it is an assault. Do the right thing. Stop the vax attacks [REDACTED]
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I beg you to allow students and staff to have the option of personal choice to take the COVID-19, or any, vaccine. If I remember, we have always taught, "My body, My right", so I ask why is this any different? You are not demonstrating leadership by this, you are showing control and over reach of powers. I would hope you deeply reconsider this decision.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	We should not be allowing our communities, schools, places of employment to ostracize citizens that CHOOSE not to vaccinate. We have a CHOICE to choose in this Great Nation and State. I ask that you do not FORCE mandatory vaccines on the staff and students of UNM. You WILL be taking away our freedom to choose our own medical and religious choices. We have a VOICE, rights and liberties to live peaceable how we choose. [REDACTED] We hold these truths to be sacred & undeniable; that all men are created equal & independent, that from that equal creation they derive rights inherent & inalienable, among which are the preservation of life, & liberty, & the pursuit of happiness; ... [REDACTED]
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I ask you to please reconsider making COVID-19 injections mandatory. I take pride in my family and children learning about what they should and should not choose to do with their body's. All my kids are vaccinated so this is not about being anti-vax. This is every person's fundamental right to make a medical choice dependent on what THEY do or do not feel is good, healthy or safe. We are a proud UNM family with generations having graduated with various degrees. Currently we have 5 young adults attending or about to attend. All 5 have stated they will dis-enroll if they aren't allowed to make their own bodily choices. New Mexico is shrinking, losing residents to near by states with more options to choose. This will no doubt contribute to the sad statistics New Mexico faces. This is a very personal choice that every person has to make. Every person has their important reasons for wanting it or not. Please stand up for these young adults and teachers, who already have dealt with enough in the last year and half by not making COVID vaccines mandatory.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Hello, I am a community member and a very concerned resident of New Mexico. I am completely against any mandatory vaccination. It violates every right that I have as a citizen and a human being. All the people here in New Mexico should have the sole decision making on whether they are injected with something or not. This policy goes against everything that is good and just. Everyone should be entitled to a good education, employment, and healthcare without discrimination. Forcing people to get injected with a vaccine or they are withheld from needs or education or employment or proper healthcare is complete discrimination. People shouldn't be discriminated against on whether they get the vaccine or whether they don't get the vaccine, either way. By enforcing this you can be taking life away from people, you are definitely taking away liberties, you are taking away people's pursuit to happiness, and you are absolutely discriminating against people. I am 100% against it and I know many, many others who are 100% against this also. Please do not approve this policy. Thank [REDACTED]

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	You have stated that this policy is made with the assumption that health conditions will improve and that a significant proportion of students and staff will be vaccinated, which at first glance makes the requirement moot. Additional information and reasoning would be appreciated. Also I would really prefer if there is mention of students and staff able to have room to intelligently discuss the issues that educated people have about the vaccine and the surrounding issues. In your FAQ you responded to the question of "how will I know if my co-workers or fellow students are going unvaccinated" with a "...however, there may be badge attachments, stickers..." comes across as you encouraging others to announce that they are vaccinated. This will make those who are unvaccinated stand out. Will you also be including in your policy ways to fight against the discrimination, bullying and or ostracizing that will probably occur due to this? People may not be able to get vaccinated due to a number of medical reasons that they should not be made anxious about, nor should they be harassed or feel the need to explain what may be a personal medical and private issue in order to be accepted. Will you be including in your policy anti-religious discrimination policy for those who feel spiritually convicted (and not because they don't care about others) to not be able to take the vaccine? Currently vaccines are being paid by the government, but this will be another additional cost for those students who struggle with health insurance and other bills already. This would be an area to check into if the cost of vaccines end up being expensive. For your asymptomatic surveillance, will you be questioning students and staff if they are vaccinated and only choosing those who are unvaccinated? Will there be privacy policies for these students (both unvaccinated and vaccinated) and staff? Will UNM be doing asymptomatic surveillance even if the city/state does not require or need it? Will you be including a date for this policy to expire? If not, will you be including a required reevaluation? Thank you for your time and consideration for ALL your students and staff
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Encouragement is great, but disagree with mandatory. This infringes in personal medical choice rights.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Dear UNM Provost, Good Morning, I am writing to ask as to Why your faculty is enforcing ALL people in the UNM area to be Vaccinated? Mandatory vaccination is discriminatory!!! ALL people including yourself have the right to make their OWN choices!!! Sit and think as to why this is normal for anyone to push unknown chemicals on or in peoples' bodies??? Thank you for your time [REDACTED]

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Dear UNM Admissions, Good Morning, I am in disbelief that your faculty is demanding Mandatory vaccinations? I wanted to inform you your Admissions team is being discriminatory against ALL people who attend UNM! Think about your children, if anyone emailed you and wrote your child MUST be vaccinated in order to do anything? Would you look into your rights as a parent? Maybe your Constitutional Rights? Thank you for your time. [REDACTED]
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Dear UNMLobos, I would like to thank you for your service to our community! I would also like to bring up a huge concern I have. I urge you to retract the idea of mandatory shots for staff and students! This is a huge VIOLATION of our Constitutional rights! Medical decisions are to be left to the individual and their Doctor!!! According to the CDC's own numbers, covid has a 99.74% survival rate. Vaccines are a direct violation of The Nuremberg Code. Forced, coerced, and mandated vaccinations are in violation of these principles a violation of article 6 of the UNESCO 2005 statement on bioethics and human rights. Article 6, section 1: any preventive, diagnostic and therapeutic medical intervention is only to be carried out with a prior, free and informed consent of the person concerned, based on adequate information. The consent should, where appropriate, be express and may be withdrawn by the person concerned at any time and for any reason without disadvantage and prejudice. Article 6, section 3 In no case should a collective community agreement or consent of a community leader or other authority substitute for an individual's informed consent. Thank you for your urgent consideration in this matter. [REDACTED]
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Dear UNM Official: It has come to my attention that the university is considering making covid shots mandatory. This is an utter violation of personal rights. There's a violent felony crime that entails inserting something into someone else's body against their will. Mandatory shot policies are essentially the SAME THING. Do you want to be known for promoting that kind of violence? My body, my choice.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	Greetings, I am a resident here in Portales, NM and I would like to express my absolute outrage that you're considering mandating vaccinations. Education should be available to people of all beliefs, religions, colors, and class. What gives you the right to deny education to people who desire bodily autonomy, and who feel differently than you do about COVID 19?If you feel so strongly about safety, I advise you to find another way, such as separating vaccinated and unvaccinated classes, but to entirely ban the unvaccinated from your school is unacceptable and goes against everything we stand for as Americans and New Mexicans.You are discriminating, same as the Jim Crow laws discriminated against Americans based solely on the color of their skin. Your proposal is a complete reversal of all the Civil Rights movements our parents championed, and you should be ashamed of yourselves.I will say this, if you persist in this, I will throw everything I have behind any movement to keep you away from our tax dollars, as this kind of discrimination has no place in our society, and should never be funded by the People of this State, or this Nation. I will also encourage everyone I know not to attend your school as you are an enemy of human rights.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	I just took my daughter out of a CA college to attend UNM in the Fall. I have asked several staff in admissions about mandating vaccines to attend in the Fall. I was told repeatedly itv was HIGHLY unlikely, which is why I enrolled my daughter. Please do not mandate a vaccine that is under EUA and not FDA approved. I think the vaccine should be encouraged but not mandated. My daughter should be allowed to do weekly covid testing if needed but not forced to get a vaccine. I am pleading you not to change your policy and deny my daughter a chance to go to college in the Fall. If you do change your policy i would want a full refund as this changes everything.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	Dear UNM Policy Makers,I just learned of your proposed Mandatory COVID Vaccination Policy.Something like this may be in all our futures, but right now it is too soon.This policy at this time is highly controversial, scary, and discriminatory.It would be best if you wait, give it some time, wait for more research data..

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	My daughter attends UNM living at home not on campus. We do not feel comfortable getting the vaccine when long term studies haven't been conducted. We have a high history of multiple sclerosis in our family and it has been known to lie dormant and could easily be triggered by a vaccine, such as a flu vaccine and covid vaccine. We have people we personally know that this has happened to. We feel the risks of the unknown are greater than the risks of covid. We would rather adhere to covid testing as often as necessary to prove negative results rather than be mandated to take a vaccine that should be our personal choice. Especially with cases decreasing and the worst behind us, I don't feel it should be made a requirement for in person courses. There is no way to control the people on campus going to Popejoy hall and other area of the campus for events so how can UNM justify this requirement for students? It is our body and should be our choice. I work for the public school system in NM and it is optional for staff and students. That's how it should remain. I feel UNM will lose many students if this mandate is passed. I feel it is becoming safer to be in public settings as we have now progressed into green and turquoise and movie theaters and other businesses are opening up fully . There is no guarantee that the vaccine will be 100% effective, just like the flu vaccine is not 100%. It's a virus with 99% recovery rate. It should not be mandated nor be required. The students are paying a lot of money for their education and should have the choice! It is not any more dangerous than going to Walmart or the mall, or a movie theater or flying. Any public place poses the risk of exposure but if taking precautions with PPE, that should provide the necessary protection for those in a classroom without having to have the vaccine.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	To Whom it May Concern:It is my duty and authority as a resident of the State of New Mexico, and parent to respond to your proposed tyrannical, unconstitutional and discriminatory vaccine mandate. As one who has paid nearly 100K\$'s into the coffers of UNM for tuition, fees, books and other assorted costs associated with one of my children receiving a UNM medical school degree it is incumbent on me to impress on you the many reasons to stop this foolish over-reach and abuse of power that your proposed policy chooses to exploit. Here are just a few:•Mandatory vaccination is discriminatory.•All people have a right to make their own medical choices, as clearly stated and cautioned to be aware of those who would deny them, by our founding fathers in the US constitution.•If the experimental vaccines are so effective, there should be no concern by those who have been vaccinated about being exposed to the CV-19 virus. Accordingly, forced vaccinations are clearly irrational and unjustified.•If your attached policy is implemented I nor my spouse will choose to have any elective or required medical procedures performed at any UNM facility as long as the policy is in force.•If implemented I will advise, any who inquire, to avoid any and all contact with UNM as this policy is a strong indicator of UNM's dictatorial and oppressive conviction.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	To UNM: As a resident of New Mexico, I am appalled that UNM is considering making a covid vaccine mandatory for students and faculty. I am not an alumnus of the university, but I do have children that will start graduating starting next year. We are currently looking at colleges for my oldest daughter. If UNM makes this vaccine mandatory it will make a college decision a little easier for my family as we can no longer consider UNM as an option. I have a total of 6 children, all of whom I would hope plan on furthering their education. I would not consider UNM at any point as an option if this is made mandatory for any period. Mandating medical treatments, especially on which is not approved by the FDA (only emergency approved) is just wrong. Medical decisions should be a personal matter and decided by an individual. I have no problem with vaccines in general but feel that the covid vaccine was rushed and may have long term effects that are not seen. The fact that it only has emergency approval warrants further consideration before I would take a vaccine or any medical treatment. Most of the students who would be attending college are young and what risks would out weight the benefits of the vaccine. If the vaccine is made mandatory, I will also not attend any events for UNM. While I do not attend all sporting events, I have been to football games and basketball games in previous years. I can ensure there will not be continued support from myself or household.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	Greetings,I am a resident here in Las Cruces, NM and I would like to express my absolute outrage that you're considering mandating vaccinations. Education should be available to people of all beliefs, religions, colors, and class. What gives you the right to deny education to people who desire bodily autonomy, and who feel differently than you do about COVID 19?If you feel so strongly about safety, I advise you to find another way, such as separating vaccinated and unvaccinated classes, but to entirely ban the unvaccinated from your school is unacceptable and goes against everything we stand for as Americans and New Mexicans.You are discriminating, same as the Jim Crow laws discriminated against Americans based solely on the color of their skin. Your proposal is a complete reversal of all the Civil Rights movements our parents championed, and you should be ashamed of yourselves.I will say this, if you persist in this, I will throw everything I have behind any movement to keep you away from our tax dollars, as this kind of discrimination has no place in our society, and should never be funded by the People of this State, or this Nation. I will also encourage everyone I know not to attend your school as you are an enemy of human rights.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	I have heard there is a plan to make University of NM a vaccine only school. This is discriminatory and a violation of our medical rights, and I would be more than willing to enter a lawsuit to fight this absurdity! To insist that young people who have little or no chances of dying from this virus be vaccinated with a vaccine that does not even have FDA approval is horrifying! At this point, long term studies have not been done, and there are reports on fertility issues. My children will NOT be vaccinated until more long term studies have been done, this is an FDA approved drug, and I have discussed it thoroughly with my doctor. We are still living in a free country where medical choices are between us and our doctors. People should not be constantly having to defend their medical decisions any more than they should have to explain whether or not they have had an abortion and why they made that decision. This policy is more than disturbing and opens you up to many lawsuits in the future should issues arise with side effects from a required unapproved drug imposed on the young. I urge you to oppose this policy. Sincerely, [REDACTED]

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	Dear All Who are Part of this University but Do Not Research or Stand Up for Health, just for MONETARY ISSUES: The vaccinated are harming the unvaccinated.The push to make certain people don't get the truth is EXTENSIVE.Nothing is more important right now than to push these EXPERIMENTAL VACCINES. Young men in their 20s cannot get it up anymore because they were vaccinated. My friend overheard to young men in their 20s speaking in the gym and one said after he got the vaccine he couldn't perform. The vaccines attack the immune systems of the unvaccinated. My friend's friend who is 64 wrote her to let her know that after menopause it began again. No, she didn't get the vaccine but she spent time with her daughter's mother-in-law who did and she was in close proximity and her period began again and went for 8 days after years of none at all.My FB friend from Australia had her period for 4 weeks and she didn't have a vaccine either but spent time close to someone who did. She went to the hospital and they told her she didn't even have enough blood to get a blood sample. Perhaps eventually they did but when she posted that, my FB friends started praying for her. She came home from the hospital and is seeing a specialist today.All of the INJECTIONS of foreign genetic matter contain the SPIKED PROTEIN that causes Sars Cov2, which is Covid. All of them do. The reproductive systems of women are at stake, as well as men.Non-vaxxed people cannot be in close proximity with the vaxxed for extended periods of time. Children of the vaxxed are getting black and blue toes and bloody noses from their very own parents.I hope someone sues the pants off of you.Or better yet, I hope someone in this group of emails does some research and pulls back from considering mandatory vaccines for all connected to the University. I know the monetary system runs the university and money is king and not education or truth but if there is an integrous person amongst you, I hope he or she fights hard.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	Dear UNM Fellows,After WW2, there were trials in Nuremberg, which outlawed any medical procedure being performed on people against their will. You cannot disregard this and try to make a policy that forces injections upon people.Are you not aware that this vaccine is experimental? As such, it definitely cannot be vetted, and you are likely to have some liability in this matter should you attempt to proceed, and people begin to have adverse reactions.Are you not aware that there are numerous deaths already associatedwith this “vaccine”? It is estimated that incidents(Approaching 3000 deaths now) reported to the VAERS system(part of CDC) only represent 10% of the actual adverse incidents.Please wake up! You are becoming part of the plan that WILL NOT have a beneficial outcome for Humanity.Please do a lot more research ASAP.How do you explain such dramatic steps that we have taken to battle a virus from which 99.9% infected recover? If you will please open your eyes, you will see this for what it is, and realize that you are on the wrong side if you actually care about human beings. If you really want to be part of this in the capacity that you are entertaining, God Help Your Souls,

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	Dr. Holloway: I am deeply disappointed and shocked that UNM is choosing to violate the rights of all personnel accessing university resources in person by forcing them to take the covid injection. Are you unaware that this is discrimination?As a doctoral-level Epidemiologist, former Public Health Professional and Medical Researcher, I have been researching since last year the pharmaceutical products that are now being referred to as covid "vaccines." You must be aware that covid injections are not actually "vaccines" but are more akin to experimental drugs or gene treatments. These two facts should be enough for UNM to act in good conscience and suspend its unjust and discriminatory covid injection mandate. The UNM personnel making this mandatory decision have the responsibility to actually look at the facts concerning what these shots are putting into people's bodies as well as the pharmaceutical companies' THEORIES as to how they MAY work in the human body.Surely you know that these injections have not been approved. "Emergency Use Authorization" is a far cry from actual FDA approval. This fact turns all covid-injected people into the "guinea pigs" (or other lab animals) that the covid injection manufacturers chose not to test these new products on. This, in turn, profoundly violates long-standing safety protocols, as you likely know.In addition, all UNM covid mandate decision-makers must also be aware of the many recent reports of healthy non-covid-injected people suddenly becoming ill after spending time with covid-injected people? If you believe that such transmission or transfection from injected to non-injected people is preposterous, I advise you to read the Pfizer covid injection product proposal, which discusses in detail how such transmission can (and does) occur.How can UNM choose to force people to give up their bodily integrity and bow to UNM orders? Didn't UNM consider how its mandate colludes in making UNM personnel helpless victims in this massive human experiment? This UNM decision makes the university and its decision-makers culpable for all harm that ensues.I call upon all UNM personnel involved in creating this medical mandate to reverse this profoundly harmful and discriminatory decision. UNM must then prove to its community that all of you respect the community and recognize every individual's rights, including each person's inalienable right to make their own health choices without duress, mandate or any outside pressure.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	I understand that UNM is looking into a mandatory vaccination requirement for staff and students. This is a discriminatory practice at best and blatant disregard for people at worst. People should have the right to make their own medical choices, whether it's just because they do not want the service provided or because they have a pre-existing condition preventing them from having the service provided. I have a student that is coming up to college age and would not even have UNM be a consideration if vaccination will be a mandatory practice for students and staff. Please consider that America was founded on the principals of freedom and by making vaccination mandatory, you are removing those freedoms, which seem to be disappearing at a frightening pace these days. Stand against the tide and keep medical procedures a choice for your staff and students.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	• Dear UNM President, Administration and Staff:• • Mandatory vaccination is discriminatory and should never be forced on students or any Americans. We know now that the "vaccines" are experimental and have not been approved by the FDA. You are endangering the health of your students and staff by mandating the "vaccine". Please do not do this. • • These experimental "vaccines" are causing death and sickness across the world. Please research the opposition standpoint by many mainstream healthcare professionals around the world including Frontline Doctors, Dr. Mercola, Dr. Tenpenny, Dr. Judy Mikovits, Dr. Jim Meehan, Dr. Thomas Levy, etc. • • In this country, all people have a right to make their own medical choices. Not only is it unethical, but It is highly unlawful and against many statutory laws to even consider this criminal activity of forcing students and staff to potentially harm their bodies when it doesn't protect against the virus. It actually can make a person shed the virus to others. Don't believe it? Just do some honest research outside of the current political/government/medical media culture. • • We have many UNM Alumni in our family, as well as family and friends currently attending school there. We are appalled that you would put them into a dangerous position like this. They may have to decide to quit their studies or endanger their health by taking an experimental shot. You are infringing their rights over their own bodies if you do this. This is a civil rights issue of the highest importance. Remember, "My body, my choice."? We will urge our grandchildren not to attend UNM if this injustice occurs.As taxpayers and citizens of New Mexico for many years, we strongly request you to retract this path of nonsense and instead make a decision to protect and advocate for the rights and health of our students and staff, and citizens of New Mexico. It is up to UNM leaders to fight and resist this tyranny of the great reset and globalism, and let's face it, downright communism. We don't want any of this in New Mexico or in our country.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	Dear President, UNM Provost, UNM Admissions, UNM Associated Student Association, UNM Graduate and Professional Student Association: The mandate being considered by the University of New Mexico for the untested supposed Covid vaccines is egregious, unconstitutional and unwarranted. The need for such a mandate is unsupported by science and will do damage to your students and the reputation of your institution. You will, as well, open yourself and your institution to future liability as these vaccines are already proving far more dangerous than Covid itself. Opposition to these vaccines is high and no doubt you will lose both students who will refuse the vaccination and contributors who will understand the danger you would impose. What could be the point when the average college student is in an age group little threatened by Covid? Please consider these factors and do not establish a mandate that makes no scientific sense or even logical sense and will constitute a precedent dangerous to American freedoms.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	Forcing them to take the Covid-19 vaccine. First of all, it is against the Nuremberg code to force anyone to take this. It is a choice.Covid doesn't effect most people under the age of 50 years. This is an experimental vaccine that has not been approved by the FDA. It has not gone through the proper testing process to prove that it even safe to give to people. It is against the constitution to force anyone to take this vaccine. If you follow through with this. The school could be sued. I would hope that you would [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	To Whom It May Concern,We have recently learned of the proposed mandatory vaccination of UNM students and faculty. We ask you to reconsider this proposal. We have many concerns, one of them is discrimination against those who chose to not vaccinate at this time. UNM is known for freedom of medical choice, this goes against that freedom. This vaccine is currently not approved for general use only emergency approval, meaning it should not be made mandatory for everyone.Our son attends UNM, but if this is made mandatory we will be reconsidering where he continues a his education.Sincerely,[REDACTED]

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	Director Hulett:I am deeply disappointed and shocked that UNM is choosing to violate the rights of all personnel accessing university resources in person by forcing them to take the covid injection. Are you aware that this is discrimination?As a doctoral-level Epidemiologist, former Public Health Professional and Medical Researcher, I have been researching since last year the pharmaceutical products that are now being referred to as covid "vaccines." Are you aware that covid injections are not actually "vaccines" but are more akin to experimental drugs or gene treatments? These two facts should be enough for UNM to act in good conscience and suspend its unjust and discriminatory covid injection mandate. The UNM personnel making this decision have the responsibility to actually look at the facts concerning what these shots are putting into people's bodies as well as the pharmaceutical companies' THEORIES as to how they MAY work in the human body.Surely you know that these injections have not been approved. "Emergency Use Authorization" is a far cry from actual FDA approval. This fact turns all covid-injected people into the "guinea pigs" (or other lab animals) that the covid injection manufacturers chose not to test these new products on. This, in turn, profoundly violates long-standing safety protocols.In addition, UNM covid mandate decision-makers must be aware of the many recent reports of healthy non-covid-injected people suddenly becoming ill after spending time with covid-injected people. If you believe that such transmission or transfection from injected to non-injected people is preposterous, I suggest you to read the Pfizer covid injection product proposal, which discusses in detail how such transmission can (and does) occur.How can UNM choose to force people to give up their bodily integrity and bow to UNM orders? Didn't UNM consider how its mandate colludes in making UNM personnel helpless victims in this massive human experiment? This UNM decision makes the university and its decision-makers culpable for all harm that ensues.I call upon all UNM personnel involved in creating this medical mandate to reverse this profoundly harmful and discriminatory decision. UNM must then prove to its community that all of you respect the community and recognize every individual's rights, including each person's inalienable right to make their own health choices without duress, mandate or any outside pressure.And, rest assured, I will never consider enrolling in any UNM program for any reason with such a discriminatory and unjustifiable decision in place. And, I would similarly never recommend a UNM program to anyone for any reason.Sincerely, [REDACTED] D.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	> I write to protest UNM's proposed policy to make Covid-19 vaccines mandatory.>> No one, absolutely no one, should be forced to take a medical treatment.>> The Who and the CDC have made it clear that young people are at extremely low risk of becoming ill from this virus, which means that your proposed policy defies not only constitutional rights, but common sense.> It defies, also, the first principle of the Nuremberg code, that VOLUNTARY consent for a medical procedure is ESSENTIAL.>> Millions of us are not blind to the fact that the vaccines, even though not yet approved, are enormously beneficial to Pfizer and Moderna; the push to force them on even those who are not vulnerable is, to say the least, suspect.>> I and every other US citizen are free to make our medical choices.>> We are also free to make choices about which universities we will send our children to. My nephew is a UNM student. If he is faced with a forced vaccination, I will encourage him to continue his education at a school that will respect his rights as a free man.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	Dear UNM Admissions, This email serves to voice my concerns (and many others in our state) of the proposed policy on mandatory vaccinations. My granddaughter just decided to attend UNM this fall from among several other college choices. She was prepared to quit her job a few months ago if the covid shot was required, which it was not. She realizes that the covid shot is experimental and not FDA approved. She is aware of the risk of becoming sterile with the covid shot. If UNM goes forward with this policy, I will be encouraging her to go elsewhere for her education. Below are the points regarding your proposed policy and "mandatory vaccinations." Mandatory vaccination is discriminatory. All people have a right to make their own medical choices and to keep those choices private (HIPPA laws) mandatory vaccination is unconstitutional the covid-19 shots are not FDA approved. They are being tested on humans with many devastating consequences currently, and many that will become apparent in the next year, including death. There are reports coming out that unvaccinated people are having adverse symptoms from being around covid vaccinated individuals, including blood clotting issues, miscarriages, etc. So it is possible that the vaccinated are a risk to the non-vaccinated. This is a human experiment. Regarding accommodations in your proposed policy, non-vaccinated may be required to participate in or implement additional CSPs "to mitigate risk to others," is basically stating that the shots don't give the vaccinated any benefit or protection. I have seen the following statement in medical records regarding the covid-19 PCR test. "The covid-19 PCR test is for in vitro diagnostic use under the FDA Emergency Use Authorization (EUA). This test has not been FDA cleared or approved." Considering this, the test cannot be forced upon anyone as well. Thank you for your time and consideration in not creating this policy that would be heading down the path that was taken in Germany many years ago with devastating consequences. [REDACTED]
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	I live in New Mexico, I really am sad to think that UNM is possibly mandating the vaccines. It's not a good decision, for 3 reasons. 1. It's their body. No one should have the right to mandate a somewhat, experimental vaccine, on anyone. (SOME PPL HAVE HAD RANDOM ill effects.) 2. Apparently the vaccine hasn't even been stamped, with approval by our FDA. How can this action be legal. 3. Each individual, should always be assured of their rights as free citizens. If we even.. still have rights in our country. (with all this moronic, cultlike... cancel culture .) Then, the right to refuse a vaccine.. is included.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	To whom it may concern,My daughter is a senior in high school, my son is a sophomore. They will be going to in-state colleges, focusing on the degree best suited for each. My daughter has her sights set on becoming a nurse, and will be attending ENMU-Roswell, for the first several years. My son is looking at teaching or political science. We are still undecided where he will attend.There is a good chance one, or both, may be looking at UNM, in a year or so, but the mandatory covid-19 vaccinations will be a deal breaker and we will look elsewhere, or possibly online or trade school.The vaccine is still in clinical trials, still unapproved by the FDA, still experimental, still consider an emergency vaccine. I am not an anti-vaxxer, but I am a selective-vaxxer after my best friend got Guillain-Barré syndrome after getting a routine flu shot six years ago. She still deals with the after effects of paralysis and limited mobility. And that is from a vaccine that had been in research and development for decades.The covid vaccine consists of some research on a broader scale, and the vaccines available are either the two/three shot mRNA from Pfizer or Moderna, or the one shot Johnson and Johnson (which, to my understanding has been halted due to blood clot issues), but they stopped the double blind control group testing far too soon, along with unprecedented shortcut safety issues, to churn these vaccines out quickly.Yet, it's for an illness that have proven not to have a high mortality rate, hospitalization rate, or injury/damage rate for the normal person with a healthy immune system. Our family had it in December of 2019. Three out of four of us were very sick for three weeks, the fourth never had any symptoms. All of us have the natural antibodies. Why would any of us be forced to have the vaccine?It comes down to sovereignty. Who owns our bodies? It comes down to free market and private businesses doing as they think best for their organization or company. If you choose to move forward with force, don't be surprised to have protesters voice their concerns with equal energy.I ask you to reconsider your stance and the impact it will have on the availability to educate those wanting to grow their knowledge with higher education. Allow these adults to make the decision of what's best for them and their bodies.This hits very close to home for me, personally, as my mother survived Hitler and Nazi occupation. We do not want to repeat that history. We. Do. Not. Want. To. Repeat. That. History.Thank you for your time.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	I am very disappoint to read the UNM wants to force students and staff to get a vaccine. Being an education institution, please allow students and staff to make an educated decision if they want the vaccine, especially in light of the adverse reactions (e.g. blood clots). I am a RN and I support and advocate for medical rights. You are taking away the most essential right of all: freedom. Please do not have this policy, give your students and staff the right to choice. Epifania Saurette, community member

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	Dear Admissions Department, I understand UNM is considering a mandatory vaccination program. This would be a terrible mistake, akin to requiring everyone to use contraception, or attend a certain church, or not attend a certain church, or declare themselves transgender, or have a colonoscopy. It would be a violation of individual rights, of privacy, of medical freedom, and of a person's control over his or her own body. We know that none of the vaccinations are safe; they are maiming and killing many people around the world. Vaccine makers have no liability, and under our current vaccination schedule there are more children with learning disabilities and autism than ever before. Covid-19 is not a significant health threat at this time, with a 99.85% survival rate. Its fatality rate of 0.15% is close to that of influenza's, which is 0.1%. Nowhere are flu vaccines mandatory. Thus covid 19 vaccines are completely unnecessary. See this March 2021 study: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/eci.13554Reconciling estimates of global spread and infection fatality rates of COVID-19: An overview of systematic evaluations - Ioannidis - European Journal of Clinical Investigation - Wiley Online LibraryAbstract Background Estimates of community spread and infection fatality rate (IFR) of COVID-19 have varied across studies. Efforts to synthesize the evidence reach seemingly discrepant conclusions...onlinelibrary.wiley.com I will not allow my son to be vaccinated in order to attend UNM, as there are other schools that do not require vaccinations. I hope you will take a stand against the dangerous policy of requiring vaccines for students, faculty, or staff. Thank you, and please reply.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	I think it is a HORRIBLE IDEA to require the covid-19 vaccination for all students to enter the campus next year! This vaccine has only been given to the American and world wide population under "Emergency Use Authorizations" and has NOT been fully FDA approved. These various vaccines have NOT undergone ANY sort of human long-term studies. Not a single one! The adverse reactions reported to the VAERS database for these vaccines is off the charts compared to "routine" vaccines such as Dtap, MMR, etc. You would potentially endanger your students by subjecting them to this mandatory policy! Also consider, receiving a vaccine--especially an mRNA vaccine--must be considered a medical procedure. There's no way it can't be. Without long term safety studies in humans, these vaccines are still experimental--especially the mRNA technology. The Nuremberg Code, which states that nobody shall be involved in a medical experiment without their knowledge and consent, has been codified into Article 7 of the U.N's. International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights: Article 7 No one shall be subjected to torture or to cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment. In particular, no one shall be subjected without his free consent to medical or scientific experimentation. It is unethical, highly immoral, and and irresponsible for the University of New Mexico to even suggest mandating an experimental vaccine for which NO LONG TERM safety data has been gathered. If you want to make sure that people have tested negative before entering buildings in order to mitigate the chance of cases spreading in the future, that's one thing. But unvaccinated people ARE NOT A THREAT to the vaccinated. Correct? Additionally, the vaccines DO NOT PROVIDE IMMUNITY from covid-19. They merely try and make "symptoms lessened" when a person is infected. Retink this policy! It is a BAD IDEA and it's shameful to even think that UNM would entertain it.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	As a community member who has a son attending UNM, I would like to respectfully request that vaccines not be made mandatory for the upcoming fall semester. I have concerns about the safety and even the ethics involved in taking the some of the vaccines and would like to request that consideration be taken for those who have those concerns. The decision to take the vaccine or not should be left up to the individual, so that they can make educated decisions based on the facts and their own individual situation. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, [REDACTED]

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	I am a concerned parent of two UNM students Please see the link to the website for the Association of American Physicians and Surgeons, requesting Universities to allow students back without COVID vaccine mandate. There are 15 reasons listed, but this space does not have room, so I have included some of them. https://aapsonline.org/open-letter-from-physicians-to-universities-reverse-covid-vaccine-mandates/Dear Deans, Governing Boards and Trustees,On behalf of the Association of American Physicians and Surgeons, I am writing to ask you to reconsider your new policy mandating COVID-19 vaccination of students prior to returning to campus.[i] Institutions of higher learning are divided on this issue.[ii] ,[iii] Although, at first glance, the policy may seem prudent, it coerces students into bearing unneeded and unknown risk and is at heart contrary to the bedrock medical principle of informed consent.There are multiple reasons to reverse your policy. I ask you to consider the following:1. Young adults are a healthy and immunologically competent and vibrant group that is at, “extraordinary low risk for COVID-19 morbidity and mortality.”[iv]3. Even though the FDA granted Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) for three COVID-19 vaccines, they are not FDA approved to treat, cure or prevent any disease at this time. Clinical trials will continue for at least two years before the FDA can even consider approval of these vaccines as effective and safe.4. The COVID-19 vaccines on the market in the U.S., mRNA (Moderna and Pfizer) and DNA (Johnson & Johnson – Janssen), have caused notable side effects, pathology and even death (>2300 deaths per VAERS as of April 20, 2021). These adverse reactions result in absence from school and work, hospital visits, and even loss of life.[vi]5. College-age women may be at unique risk for adverse events following administration of the experimental COVID vaccinations currently available. According to the CDC, all cases of life-threatening blood clots, subsequent to receiving the J&J vaccine, reported so far in the United States, occurred in younger women.[vii] The vast majority of cases of anaphylaxis have also occurred in women.[viii] In addition, “women are reporting having irregular menstrual cycles after getting the coronavirus vaccine,”[ix] and 95 miscarriages have been reported to the U.S. Vaccine Adverse Effects Reporting System (VAERS) following COVID vaccination as of April 24, 2021.[x]9.Students and their families may justifiably believe these policies discriminate against individuals who aren’t candidates for this vaccine, have pre-existing conditions, previous COVID-19 disease, cite religious objections, or are otherwise exercising their freewill choosing not to participate in this optional vaccine experiment. Refer to the Nuremberg code from WWII, which requires individuals, “to be able to exercise free power of choice, without the intervention of any element of force....”[xvi]12. Colleges and Universities should consider whether they might be liable for damages, poor health outcomes, and loss of life due to mandatory COVID-19 vaccination policies.[xvii]15. Informed consent is the standard for all medical interventions. The FDA factsheet for the healthcare provider reads,

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
			"The recipient or their caregiver has the option to accept or refuse (Pfizer-BioNTech) vaccine." Please reverse your decision to mandate experimental COVID-19 vaccines before more students are harmed and make the vaccines rightfully optional. Both unvaccinated and vaccinated students should be permitted on campus. Thank you for your time and attention. We would appreciate hearing back from you as soon as possible and welcome further discussion with you and other leaders at your institution. Sincerely, [REDACTED] Ph.D. – AAPS President (2021)
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice Concerns about Safety	To Whom It May Concern, My husband is a student and part-time employee at the University, and I am concerned about the possibility of UNM requiring students to take the experimental COVID vaccine. Should this happen, my husband would have to discontinue his education. Discrimination for one's medical choices is not something that should characterize the University. This is akin to requiring students or employees to abstain from all personal use of alcohol or to force them to take a prescription medication. These choices are personal. Similarly, it should be the right of each individual to partake or decline in the experimental COVID vaccine. COVID-19 is on the decline and there is no need for such drastic measures. Thank you for your consideration [REDACTED]
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Greetings, and HECK NO! TO MANDATORY VACCINE TYRANNY! Such nazi health despotism must stop, NOW!! Coercion to take experimental biologicals is against human rights and OUR CONSTITUTIONAL FREEDOM. THIS IS THE USA - WE MAKE OUR OWN DECISIONS. What horrible, despicable, crazy cruel, disgusting, evil and lowest of human beings would even suggest such "requirement"? The body is sovereign. OUR BODIES, OUR CHOICE UNEQUIVOCALLY, ABSOLUTELY, ALWAYS. Be better informed, and not mindless, malicious socialists. The utmost shame on this institution for even proposing this.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice Concerns about Safety	To Whom It May Concern:I urge you to seriously reconsider your policy on mandatory medical intervention with this experimental 'vaccine' and ongoing surveillance as a condition of admission and employment at your campus.If I may.Where is your conscience?Interfering with somebody's right to do what's best for their body, health and well-being is in violation of basic human rights.No pharmaceutical product or procedure should ever be forced, particularly a fast-tracked, experimental medium that is free from liability for any harm it might cause — be it a reaction, injury, including reproductive harm, or death. Young women and men attending your college who plan on having a family one day may be harmed from this experimental medium. Millions of doses of COVID-19 vaccines have been administered in the USA thus far. As of April 8, 2021, a total of 68,347 negative reactions related to COVID-19 vaccines have been reported to the CDC's Vaccine Adverse Events Reporting System (VAERS) [1], including:•2,602 deaths, with the youngest being 18•8,285 serious reactions, such as anaphylactic shock and cardiac arrest•526 cases of Bell's Palsy (facial paralysis)•114 miscarriages and preterm births Is this something you want on your conscience for being a part of?Vaccine reactions are known to be greatly under-reported to VAERS. It has been estimated that fewer than 1% of adverse reactions are reported to the VAERS [2].Medical mandates and surveillance (testing, tracking) have no place in a free society and violate free, prior and informed consent, right to privacy, and right to religious freedom.It doesn't matter whether this experimental medium works or not.It doesn't matter whether this is even a vaccine or not.What matters is that each individual has sovereignty and authority over their own body. Without health freedom there is no true freedom.Among many laws that protect individuals from the excess power of those in authority, here are three...1. Federal statute FDA 21 U.S. Code § 360bbb–3, which covers Emergency Use Authorization for medical products, requires that individuals who receive a medical product approved for emergency use must first be informed “of the option to accept or refuse administration of the product”...and of the alternatives to the product that are available” [3, 4]. 2. Forced vaccination and surveillance violates the right to privacy, which is protected, secured and guaranteed by the 4th Amendment of the US Constitution. The Othena website that is to track the vaccine verification requires a plethora of one's personal information.The Othena.com website states it collects the following information:Name email, Id, mailing address, phone number, date of birth, access to your CAMERA, all Photos, all images, all audio, files video and other details.Would you want somebody else to have access to certain aspects of YOUR PRIVATE CONTENT without your consent? Think about that for a minute.https://curapatient-webapp.s3.amazonaws.com/data/privacy.pdf3. Forced vaccination and surveillance tactics are unlawful DISCRIMINATIONS, discriminating against those who have cell phones or want to participate in AI.Again, I urge you to seriously

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
			reconsider your policy on mandatory medical intervention with this experimental 'vaccine' and ongoing surveillance as a condition of admission and employment at your campus. This affects everyone.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Sir, I am writing to express my concern at UNM's consideration of mandatory vaccines. Vaccinations have always been a matter of free choice among free people. I consider forcing a vaccine on student's violates their constitutional rights. I am vaccinated and I believe it prudent to be vaccinated, however it is a personal choice. Please reconsider this.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	Hello Stokes;I have been attending classes at the Santa Fe Community from time-to-time for over 20 years; significantly longer than you have worked there. I received EMT certification and I gained a degree in Paralegal Studies, among others. I have the training of an RN, but do not practice. I mention this to give credence to my medical and law assertions made in this email to you.I urge you to share this mail with your colleagues and associates. This alleged pandemic is a colossal criminal fraud and is an attack on America and the world. The stated intent is to reduce population and impose tyranny. International lawsuits are in progress. The hidden elite have informed us that they intend to reduce the population to 500 Million. See the Georgia Guidestones. This alleged virus has never been isolated. Koch's Postulates have not been demonstrated. The alleged viron genome is a computer-generated guess. Flu has been renamed, and anyone who tests positive is counted as a "case" even though they are completely asymptomatic. The tests yield 96% false positives and its inventor stated it was not designed for the current use and that it is worthless for this purpose. People with terminal cancer who test positive are counted as a "Covid Death". Shooting deaths and motorcycle deaths of positives are counted because the doctors are bribed to diagnose that condition. Amazingly, this virus has completely cured Flu and Pneumonia. Look at the statistics. Masks provide no protection and cause inter alia: 1. Anoxia...confused thinking ; toxic build-up, brain neuron death. 2. Hypercapnia...multiple effects on all organ systems.3. ...which causes acidosis.4. High blood pressureAnd in small children, permanent brain damage. Further, masking the very young interferes with socialization because they cannot interpret the face of others. Children's education is being severely harmed. Our economy is destroyed. The damage to small business and workers is yet to be seen. All of this is intentional. It is now understood that most of the Spanish Flu epidemic deaths were not caused by the viron but were caused by bacterial pneumonia made worse by the masks. Fauci was a co-author of the study. He knows the masks are harmful and continues to promote them. He is heavily invested in vaccines. To a particle carrying virions, the mask looks like a chain link fence appears to a mosquito. I have about 15 peer-reviewed studies showing that masks in the surgical theatre are useless. Most of them have no difference between post-op infections with and without masks... except one of the studies; there the infection rate was 50% less without masks. The use of masks in surgery is psychological theatre, and wearing them reduces the clear thinking of the medical team. If you proceed with this action you will violate the Nuremberg accords against human experimentation. This places you in the same category as the NAZI scientests. This deadly concoction that includes the cells of dead babies and monkey kidney cells and god-knows-what else has never been tested for safety or efficacy. In many recent and current studies a significant percentage of people died and others were seriously injured. In 1986 the vaccine industry was losing money because of the injuries and deaths their product

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
			caused. Our Congressional "public servants" took care of this for them for removing all of their liability and creating "Vaccine Courts" where the maximum award for your dead child or your own crippling damage---if you can prove causality---almost impossible; is 250K. Have you looked at the numbers of people all around the world who are dropping dead shortly after the injection? Or going into convulsions? Or, do you view CNN and the like and think you are informed? Bill Gates has openly said he intends to significantly reduce the world population with vaccines. His father was one of the most influential eugenicists in America and w
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice Concerns about Safety	Our US people are not 'human test subjects'. This is unconstitutional that UNM is trying to enforce that our US people, take ' Covid shots and even unsafe PCR testing'. Please do research and stop this now. Americasfrontlinedoctors.com is a good place to start. Well renown doctors and scientists globally are putting their professional reputations at risk to bring all the false science to the surface. Please do not weaken under political pressures and allow this to happen. [REDACTED] Edgewood, NM Mother of 5, 3 college graduates, with two more sons soon to be attending college. They will attend colleges that are not enforcing unconstitutional 'Covid and other unconstitutional health mandates'. Stop this unconstitutional protocols while you can.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice Concerns about Safety	Dear Deans, Governing Boards and Trustees, On behalf of the Association of American Physicians and Surgeons, I am writing to ask you to reconsider your new policy mandating COVID-19 vaccination of students prior to returning to campus. Institutions of higher learning are divided on this issue. Although, at first glance, the policy may seem prudent, it coerces students into bearing unneeded and unknown risk and is at heart contrary to the bedrock medical principle of informed consent. There are multiple reasons to reverse your policy. I ask you to consider the following: Young adults are a healthy and immunologically competent and vibrant group that is at, “extraordinary low risk for COVID-19 morbidity and mortality.” College and University students, however, are under significant mental health strain already from COVID-19 fears, circumstances, distance learning problems and the imposition of government health policy restrictions. Even though the FDA granted Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) for three COVID-19 vaccines, they are not FDA approved to treat, cure or prevent any disease at this time. Clinical trials will continue for at least two years before the FDA can even consider approval of these vaccines as effective and safe. The COVID-19 vaccines on the market in the U.S., mRNA (Moderna and Pfizer) and DNA (Johnson & Johnson — Janssen), have caused notable side effects, pathology and even death (>2300 deaths per VAERS as of April 20). These adverse reactions result in absence from school and work, hospital visits, and even loss of life. College-age women may be at unique risk for adverse events following administration of the experimental COVID vaccinations currently available. According to the CDC, all cases of life-threatening blood clots, subsequent to receiving the J&J vaccine, reported so far in the United States, occurred in younger women. The vast majority of cases of anaphylaxis have also occurred in women. In addition, “women are reporting having irregular menstrual cycles after getting the coronavirus vaccine,” and 95 miscarriages have been reported to the U.S. Vaccine Adverse Effects Reporting System (VAERS) following COVID vaccination as of April 24, 2021. Recent research data demonstrates that the spike protein, present on the SARS-CoV-2 virus and the induced primary mechanism of action of COVID-19 vaccines, are the primary cause of disease, infirmity, hospitalization and death. Students who have had self-limited cases of COVID-19 already possess antibodies, activated B-cells, activated T-cells (detectable by lab testing). This durable, long-term immunity would not only prevent them from getting recurrent COVID-19, but would also represent herd immunity to protect others in the college or university community. COVID-19 convalescent students may be harmed by college and university policy requiring COVID-19 vaccines. They already have extensive immunity and would be likely harmed from a forced confrontation with COVID-19 vaccine induced spike protein causing autoimmune reactions leading to illness and possible death. Students and their families may justifiably believe these policies discriminate against individuals who aren’t candidates for this vaccine, have pre-existing conditions, previous COVID-19 disease, cite religious

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
			objections, or are otherwise exercising their freewill choosing not to participate in this optional vaccine experiment. Refer to the Nuremberg code from WWII, which requires individuals, “to be able to exercise free power of choice, without the intervention of any element of force ...”Institutional policies that permit faculty to choose or refuse vaccination, but do not allow students the same options, raise equal protection constitutional issues.The ADA, Americans with Disabilities Act, requires “reasonable accommodations,” be provided based on an individual’s own unique health situation. This includes rejection of an experimental vaccine intervention which may exacerbate known health p
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	Good morning! I heard recently that UNM is considering mandatory vaccines for its students. This is very concerning to me. Most college students are incredibly unlikely to be harmed by a covid infection and the vaccine is not FDA approved. This should be a medical decision between the parent and the child. Forcing vaccines upon students is completely unethical. If vaccines are effective, then the school should not take an anti-science and anti-choice stance. They should trust that those who want to be vaccinated will be safe from the un-vaccinated. I'm shocked that a university would even consider forcing vaccines upon young students. Please let me know if you will be anti-science in this regard and I will look to send my four children elsewhere. I look forward to your response. Thank you,

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	Dear UNM Provost, Hello. It has been brought to my attention that UNM is considering making CoVid19 Vaccinations mandatory for all of its students faculty, and staff. Although some students, faculty, and staff may decline the vaccination and be allowed an exemption, these people will then be required mandatory surveillance testing. I urge you to strongly consider voting 'No' for both of these policies and not implementing them in the fall semester of 2021. First, these vaccinations are in the third trial stage and still labeled experimental. Not one of the corporations creating these experimental injections has been approved by the FDA. One of the companies will finish its trial cycle at the end of October 2021; another January 31, 2022, and the third in the year 2023. Our students, children, and adults should not be subjected to an experimental injection for which the side effects and harmful injuries are not yet fully known nor fully recorded by medical experts and practitioners on the VAERS website. The Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS) is known throughout the health care professional system to record only one percent of cases. This false sense of security of low statistics leaves the public in the dark about the true and actual adverse effects of any vaccine and therefore leads to a false sense of efficacy, safety, and informed consent regarding medical choices. As of April 30, 2021 (four months of vaccinations): 3,607 post-vaccination deaths; 2,527 recorded life-threatening cases, over 1,600 cases of permanent disability, and nearly 8,500 cases where patients reported hospitalization. On the CDC site as of April 16, 9,245 people tested positive for CoVid19 after receiving their final vaccination (information is voluntarily submitted). Secondly, all students who are American citizens have the right to choose his or her own medical/health care as we are still a democracy under the U.S. Constitution. The first Amendment protects every single student from harming him/herself especially if ignorant of non-disclosed consequences. Under the Fourth Amendment in the Constitution is the right to privacy. Gathering personal medical statistics, including temperature, vaccination schedule, and requiring a mask to be worn, is in violation of a person's right to privacy. No health order, emergency order, state of emergency, or policy may violate the Constitution which is the highest law of the land. New Mexico Administrative Code 12.3.1.8: Businesses are required to comply with the law. Activating this policy may violate established law both federally and with the State of New Mexico. As with the mask mandate for the State of New Mexico, all businesses implementing a "policy" or "health order" or "city ordinance" or "executive orders" are in violation of five federal laws under the U.S. Constitution and thirteen New Mexico State Laws. Implementing this policy is discriminatory. Period. Implementing this policy under the false pretense that the United States is still under a state of emergency and that UNM as a business must follow the CDC guidelines is misleading, at best, and hinges on propaganda. The CDC is not a government run agency

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
			but a stand alone entity. The CDC and many of its representatives are heavily invested in the corporations creating these injections and will profit considerably. By design, these injections are not correctly defined as vaccinations and are defined instead as gene therapy (Pfizer and Moderna websites). As experimental 'vaccines', please use extreme caution implementing such a policy on UNM's campuses. Please do not claim 'just doing business' in the name of capitalism in exchange for the lives and health of your students, faculty, and staff. Thank you for your time.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice Concerns about Safety	My son has been approved and was planning on going to your school in the fall. It is completely unreasonable to require an 18y.o. child to get a vaccine that has no long term studies as to what complications could happen in 10, 20, 30 or even 40 years down the road. He has expressed these concerns to us, independently from my own opinion, and will not be attending school there if it is required. The school systems and government have already done massive damage by shutting the economy and schools down. If you require this, you are not only doing the students, but a disservice your school as well.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice Concerns about Safety	Dear UNM President and Administrators:I oppose UNM's move to require mandatory vaccination for students and staff. Here's why: 1.All of the coronavirus vaccines on the market today are experimental. They are still in clinical trials, which are, in general, scheduled to go on until 2022 or 23. As such, the longterm effects are absolutely unknown. How can they be known with only about ten months of trials (or about six at the time of EUA authorization in Dec, 2020)? Therefore, mandating an experimental vaccine whose long term effects are unknown, for a virus that, based on seroprevalence studies, has a global IFR of about 99.8%, (and for those under 30 more like 99.99%) (see: https://swprs.org/studies-on-covid-19-lethality/ list of studies) is a mindbogglingly reckless step. It calls into question the reasoning of the UNM administration. This is overwhelmingly so for students, who, in general are under 30 and have many years of life ahead of them. 2.The vaccines, being experimental, are authorized for use ONLY on via Emergency Use Authorization (EUA), 21 U.S.C. 360bbb-3. Accordingly, they cannot be given absent informed consent regarding their risks, and the consequences of not taking them. How can there be informed consent if the vaccine has only had about ten months of trials to date, the trials are ongoing, and therefore, (again) long term effects are unknown? Without informed consent, a vaccine mandate amounts to coercion, in violation of, among other things, the Nuremberg Code. In addition, the trials to date have NOT included certain groups, such as pregnant women. Therefore, even in the best case scenario, it is impossible to say whether the vaccines are a "one size fits all" intervention. This argues against essentially forcing them on a population anxious to either further their education or stay employed. 3.Aside from any unknown (or known) medical risks, mandating an experimental vaccine is discriminatory. If there are to be exemptions, who decides which exemptions are available, and how are these decisions made and applied? And will those with exemptions be stigmatized by forcing them to undergo other draconian restrictions such as mandatory testing, temperature checks, etc., at regular intervals? And who decides on when, if and how those are implemented? And will these "alternatives" be so onerous as to essentially force kids and staff to be vaccinated in order to attend school or work without constant pressure to conform? That certainly makes for a healthy learning environment, doesn't it? 4.Mandating an experimental vaccine violates bodily integrity. As former MIT professor Sanjoy Mahajan has said--" 200 American colleges will mandate experimental gene therapies ("COVID vaccines") for students starting in the fall, mostly in violation of federal and international law and all in violation of the right to bodily autonomy. he administrators and trustees making these decisions are little Eichmanns across the country making the trains run on time but not asking where these trains are headed. . . . I have never been more ashamed of my own profession." https://twitter.com/SanjoyMahajan2/status/1389539980268220416. Well put. 5.The vaccine manufacturers have almost total immunity from lawsuits. Given the NCVIA of 1986, and the 2020

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
			PREP Act, it is very difficult, if not impossible, for an individual to bring suit for vaccine injury. Also, under those acts, recovery is extremely limited, if one succeeds at all. Shouldn't manufacturers of medical products be held accountable for injuries caused by their products? The mere fact that they aren't argues against mandating such a product, as above, for a virus the vast majority of people survive. I would advise any student considering UNM for her university education to think twice about a school whose administration intends to mandate an experimental vaccine. Such a decision belies a recklessness and an authoritarianism at odds with the goals of higher education. Finally,
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Hello, my name is [REDACTED] And I'm calling in regards to the policy that you back. Once you implement making vaccines mandatory, and I'm totally against making it on vaccines mandatory because I believe that medical decisions should be left up to the individual and never forced upon anybody from any institution. I'm also against mandatory testing for people who have a religious or medical vaccine exemption because I feel like if somebody was sick, they would know it off and that's my comment. Thank you.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	UNM, I am terribly disappointed by your cohesion practices. The students body and what they do with it, is their personal right and privilege. I was appalled, when I saw, that you might be requiring a shot of some questionable vaccine for students to return to campus. Medical freedom and personal choice are things young people should be growing up with, and not the Nazi tactics, and in a country that fought that regime. Please, reconsider this. What's next? mandatory birth control? Thank you!
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	My husband and brother are currently students who will return in the fall. I don't think requiring them to get vaccinated is fair. Not because we believe in conspiracies about the vaccine or are anti mask. But simply because as individuals we have always had the right to choose what we put into our bodies. We follow all the rules of social distancing and sanitization, but forcing people to get a vaccine that they aren't comfortable to put in their bodies just so they can attend school and learn seems very cruel. Plus this vaccine is not yet tested on transplant patients along with a handful of individuals who have other health concerns, and to tell these people they can't attend classes and learn seems a little discriminate. I understand this is being considered for the publics health. But not everyone is the same and thats what makes our schools and state beautiful. Please reconsider the mandatory vaccine policy. Thank you for your time.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Mandating vaccination is "Practicing medicine without a license." Private personal health care decisions should be determined only by a person's chosen physician and that person. 24-7A-2 of the New Mexico Uniform Health Care Decisions Act, Uniform Health Care Decisions, Chapter 24, Human Rights, states that an adult - has the right to make his or her own healthcare decisions. These decisions are not the right of bureaucrats nor health department officials. Requiring vaccination to enter into a public facility violates H.R. 5586 - The Customer Non-Discrimination Act - that prohibits discrimination in public accommodations - for other purposes, & is an open door for future litigation against the university and personal freedoms. The NMDOH's statistics suggest only 1/2 of the state's populations have been vaccinated, either once or twice, with numbers constantly changing. That would also indicate 1/2 of the Population choose to not have a flu shot. Looking to the future, that could guarantee your enrollment will drop 1/2 if the current EUA approved flu vaccinations, which are not actually vaccines but medical treatment for the flu, are mandated for entry into UNM. It may be time and prudent for a deeper study of infectious diseases and their roll in health and possibly future law suits against UNM.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I dont believe the covid 19 vaccine should be made mandatory, it should be each Individuals choice to either get it or not!
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Requiring this vaccine is criminal. Requiring tests is criminal. Cease and desist. We are watching you.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Francie, I am shocked and appalled that UNM is even considering such a blatant violation of one of our most basic human rights!!! Each and every one of us need to be in charge of our own bodies. Our health care is a personal and private thing and needs to stay that way.I am a UNM Alumni, and frankly I am ashamed and appalled that this blatant violation of Human Rights!! If this process continues I will be forced to reassess my stand on support of this institution going forward.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I really don't believe this is a wise decision to mandate a student receive the Covid vaccine. The Covid was less than 1% contagious The vaccine is not effective to keep from getting Covid just have less severe symptoms So why mandateThis is all about fear and control

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I would like to Remain AnonymousAccording to the Nuremberg Code, human beings are not required in any way to take vaccines (or in this case MRNAs), this would have to voluntary. This should not be forced on anyone at UNM. It should remain a voluntary decision. In addition we know that those who are of a certain age and without health issues (0-60 years old or greater) survive this virus by 95% or greater depending on age and health.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I am writing this letter to you to ask you not to endorse a policy of mandatory vaccination for your employees or students attending the university. Medical treatment, especially one involving an injection into the body, should be a personal choice. This, so called vaccine, is an experimental treatment and should never be mandated. There are many physicians who feel that it is a threat to our health and that much more research and safety testing needs to be done before it's released to the General Public. The vaccination mandate is part of a bigger agenda. Please don't take part in this. Stand up for your individual freedom and the freedom of others to make these choices about their bodies.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	To whom it may concern: I am dismayed that UNM is in the process of creating a mandatory COVID-19 vaccination requirement for all staff and students. Primary reasons for my feeling that this is anabhorrent measure, are: •Mandatory vaccination is discriminatory. •All people have a right to make their own medical choices.I beg you to reconsider such an unjust measure, it is not a proper role for educators to deny education to those who feel differently.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	It would be a travesty to require Mandatory Vaccines. First it is against all civil rights!! Second this is still an emergency use drug only!
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Dear President Stokes:The mandate being considered by the University of New Mexico for untested Covid vaccines is egregious, unconstitutional and unwarranted. The need for such a mandate is unsupported by science and will do damage to your students and the reputation of your institution. You will, as well, open yourself and your institution to future liability as these vaccines are already proving far more dangerous than Covid itself. Opposition to these vaccines is high and no doubt you will lose both students who will refuse the vaccination and contributors who will understand the danger you would impose. What could be the point when the average college student is in an age group little threatened by Covid? Please consider these factors and do not establish a mandate that makes no scientific sense and will constitute a precedent dangerous to American freedoms.Thank you.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I feel like making this mandatory is against what we as Americans stand for. Freedom of choice. To make it mandatory for the vaccine is discriminating against many medical and faith based beliefs. As UNM it's not your job to push any government or personal agendas on anyone. As a NM resident and mother of 6, I would hope that my children would be able to make that judgement for themselves as to whether they want to vaccinate, eat McDonald's, or whatever they choose. But if you do mandate this, I believe that you will lose alot of students, staff and future students. Do not do this [REDACTED]
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	I am writing to remind you that Covid vaccines are currently under experimental use authorization meaning that when you mandate them you are breaking the Nuremberg code by mandating experimental treatments. I'd also like to remind you that although there is no liability for vaccine manufacturers for death and disability from these vaccines, there is liability for those mandating them. Lawyers are already lining up to fight these cases. In long-term animal studies of these types of vaccines deaths and severe autoimmune illnesses were prevalent during the first year after vaccination. Do you really want to require that all your staff and students take part in this experiment for a disease that very rarely affects the young? Please do the right thing and honor the bodily autonomy of your staff and students and wake up and recognize that true health is not in a vaccine vial it is fresh air, clean water, and good food. Maybe you could teach a class about that.Sincerely, [REDACTED]
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	Dear UNM Admissions Department, The COVID shot (I refuse to call it a vaccine because it is not – it is gene therapy) is not the answer to a anti-COVID strategy. I challenge you to read the attached document and see the reality of what we are all dealing with. Don't buy into the agenda of BIG PHARMA and BIG TECH that will take all of our freedoms away. I understand you have a huge responsibility to keep your constituents "safe", but the hard data this is coming out of the pandemic paints the real story. Please take 5 minutes to read the attached document and become informed of the true facts. [REDACTED]

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Hi Francie and Heather, Please see/listen to the following voicemail. It did not seem like an OEO issue but probably compliance?: Yes, my name is Jill Inana. I am in New Mexico citizen, a New Mexico tax payer, a UNM alumni and I am wanting to speak with somebody about my absolute horror regarding the draft for the mandated vaccine for our beautiful young bright potential students of New Mexico. I absolutely disagree with your proposal to mandate vaccines on a myriad of fronts. This is a violation of our children's u.s. Constitutional rights. It is a violation of their first amendment rights and the 14th Amendment rights. It is against many's religion and Creed. It is against what is in their best health interest. This vaccine is a experimental vaccine. It can't even be called a vaccine but for semantics it is actually gene therapy to subject our youth. Our safe future, the bright minds of this state, to a mandatory vaccine or even if they do grant a religious exemption to these individuals to subject them to surveillance, is such a violation of our all of our Bill of Rights in this state and particularly our children. I am horrified that you all are going to entertain this. I am lodging formal complaints in every way shape and possible and going to make my voice heard and will carry this to the federal government if I need to. I just want to indicate that you all are treading on very very dangerous turf here. Thank you for hearing my complaint. [REDACTED] [REDACTED] I would love a call back. I will certainly be sending an email to the same effect today. Thank you.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice Concerns about Safety	Good morning, I just read an email that caused me great concern especially since I am attending NMSU and I am pretty sure this will trickle down to us. A request for mandatory vaccination that is not FDA approved and still considered EUA (Emergency Use Authorization) is unconstitutional and discriminatory. We have the right to make our own medical choices on what vaccines to take or not, our medical choices are private or have you not gone thru HIPPA training? I am a New Mexico State University Student and if we are moving towards this level of coercion I will disenroll and take my business to another University where my rights as a student and a Hispanic Woman are not trampled. Regards, [REDACTED]

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	I am writing today as a New Mexico resident to strongly implore you to NOT make the experimental biologic called the covid vaccine mandatory to staff or students. Mandatory vaccination is discriminatory, it is dangerous, the adverse reactions website has countless deaths from healthy people who have taken the vaccine, all people have a right to make their own medical choices, and to make something poisonous and potentially deadly, mandatory for any person, should be against the law. It also has not proven to stop or slow the spread of covid in any way. They say it "may" help, again it has not been proven, and when it comes to health related choices, it should be a decision by the person taking the risk, not mandated by the institution in which you could threaten their lives. I sincerely implore you to do the right thing and not make this vaccine mandatory. Sincerely, [REDACTED]
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	To the public servants cc'd here. You are officially on notice that you and any subordinates that approve of the use of the Covid-19 vaccine and force faculty, staff, employees, subordinates and students alike to take this not FDA approved (conditional emergency use authorization) shot.. Referred to as the Covid-19 vaccine...Will be held accountable under US and international law based on the Nuremberg code.See attached. [REDACTED]
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Good afternoon,UNM is one of my son's top picks for his college education. What caught his eye was not only the quality of education he will receive, but the diversity of the university. You promote self-thinking and encourage students to stand up for what they believe in.When he received the information about the idea of a mandatory vaccine requirement, UNM was quickly pushed to the bottom of his list. This is very disappointing, as I know UNM would provide a great education for my son. I am very troubled by this mandate as we now know so much more about this virus. The data has proven to have a 99% recovery rate for people without the vaccine. Also, the vaccine does not protect all people from still getting or spreading the virus. As a medical school, you know better than most that our medical decisions are a choice. This vaccine is no different. I am asking you to please Reconsider your mandate for the COVID-19 vaccine.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	Dear officials of UNM, Please reject the notion that all young healthy students need the covid vaccine. They are not in danger from the virus, and a many have already had it, making it a non-issue. Please respect each individual's freedom to choose. No one should be forced to inject something in their bodies they don't want. It could take their life, or have an effect far worse than the virus itself, as has been shown. The long-term effects of this vaccine aren't known, as it is vastly different from other vaccines. We will not send our youth to any school that discriminates. Please respect our freedom to choose, by keeping education open to all, and not mandating vaccination.Thank you for your time, [REDACTED]
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	You guys should not mandate the vaccine. It is unfair to those who wish to not support the vaccine. The flu vaccine was never mandated and more people have died from that. You're taking away peoples rights of refusal and are discriminated against those who don't want to take it. I hope you guys don't force people. I will be very disappointed
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	Dear UNM Provost,This is atrocious that you would mandate the Covid-19 vaccination! Doesn't everyone have the right to their own medical choices? Apparently not at UNM! It is just wrong that you would require this experimental vaccine to your faculty, staff and students. Let the people decide if they want it. I am very disappointed in your decision.Yours truly, [REDACTED] resident

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	You are personally liable for any injury to any person as a result of a mandate!There is sufficient and abundant scientific and individual documentation of side effects, including death, extreme illness, and side effects in those exposed to others who have allowed themselves to be injected. Due to the enormity of this evidence and your decision-making authority, you hold personal responsibility for protecting your staff and students from this hideous medical atrocity.Take this as your notice that if you were previously unaware of such side effects, you are now notified.Susan MooreFor further information:1) Dr. Tenpenny and Dr. Palevsky further discuss adverse events in the unvaccinated. It seems like it might not even be viral. Critically Thinking with Dr. T and Dr. P - Episode 45Critically Thinking with Dr. T and Dr. P - Episode 45Critically Thinking with Dr. T and Dr. P - Episode 45I would recommend that you save the following link because this is to the weekly “Critically Thinking” program on Rumble with Dr. T. (Tenpenny) and Dr. P (Palevsky). They are following the issue of adverse events in the unvaccinated as closely as anyone.https://rumble.com/user/CriticallyThinking2) A Miami school has advised that their teachers not get the vaccine, and the press jumped all over it. The press attacks may backfire, drawing attention to an issue they don’t really want the public to know about.https://childrenshealthdefense.org/defender/miami-school-might-be-right-asking-teachers-not-get-covid-vaccine3) Carrie Madej, MD, does an interview with Alex Jones in which she calls this vaccine out as a bioweapon / weapon of control. (48 minutes)https://www.bitchute.com/video/811Of3xzaGHt/4) This is from Richard Fleming MD, PhD who seems to be relatively new on the scene. (I hadn’t even heard of him until a month ago.) It’s a brilliant and detailed summary of evidence to date that largely dismantles the mainstream narrative. It addresses gain-of-function origins, effective (and ineffective) treatments, and demolishes the entire "vaccine" agenda.https://www.flemingmethod.com/Go to the second video on the page. It’s his masterclass, 2.5 hrs long. It’s long but many feel it’s a strong presentation.You can also find the same video on YouTube using this link:https://youtu.be/ZJ0MYmKY8_U5) ICAN (Informed Consent Action Network) filed a “Petition for Administrative Action” with the FDA to stop the CDC and other agencies from repeatedly making false claims regarding the COVID vaccines, such as that they have been “approved” or that “they have gone through the same rigorous process all vaccines have undergone”. If you go to this link you can download the 9 page formal petition. The examples of establishment misinformation are very good.https://www.regulations.gov/document/FDA-2021-P-0337-0001

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	As a New Mexican and having had children of mine and myself using attended UNMH! I am asking you, in your position, to NOT make the covid vaccine mandatory for persons to attend UNMH! I feel strongly this is a personal decision and should not be mandated by anyone! I understand covid is contagious, but it is 98% survivable and doesn't really affect young adults or children. There needs to be more "science" in all aspects of covid! Thank you for attention and time.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice Concerns about Safety	The covid-19 vaccine is only authorized under EUA only. It is not approved and it is federally illegal to require anyone to take it. It does not stop transmission of the virus. There should always be choice when it comes to injecting anything into the body. This also goes against the Nuremberg code. I am hoping u will retract this before legal authority gets involved.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Good afternoon, I am writing to give my input on the possible mandatory covid-19 vaccine. First and foremost this is something that should be choice. People should be making this decision with their drs.it should always be about choice. Secondly it's illegal for anything to be mandated as an emergency use authorization. Even if it becomes fda approved you don't require any other vaccinations to attend college. I know I went to school at UNM main campus for a year. The vaccine does not stop transmission it only makes symptoms less severe. There is no liability for the manufacturers so then who is going to be liable when someone gets injured? or dies I would say the school should be held responsible. This is not a decision to take lightly but with everything happening you should always have the choice to decide what's injected into the body. Where there is risk there must be choice. ALWAYS!!!!
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice Concerns about Privacy	I write to appeal to you to reconsider instituting a mandatory vaccine requirement at UNM. The vaccine should be a choice for each individual, just like the flu shot. A mandatory vaccine policy will violate an individual's privacy, freedoms, choice, and much more. Such a policy goes against all the principles for which you stand. Such a policy would decrease your enrollment as freedom-loving individuals, whether they receive the vaccine themselves or not, would choose schools promoting intellectual freedom.Thank you. [REDACTED]

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Privacy	Dear Sirs, As a New Mexican, I care about our state and the institutions which help to sustain it. I am deeply concerned to hear that UNM is considering a coronavirus vaccine mandate. The privacy of personal medical information is an important right in our country. If UNM mandates the coronavirus vaccine, this will force students, faculty and staff to choose between their personal right to privacy and association with the University of New Mexico. I have students who will in a few years be choosing the university which they wish to attend. Creating a coronavirus vaccine mandate at UNM will eliminate their local university from consideration. If other families also believe in protecting their medical privacy, or if they choose not to receive the coronavirus vaccine for any reason, they also will not send their students to UNM. Our state already has a severe problem with talent flight as our children leave the state for better opportunities elsewhere. Please do not enact a policy that will further exacerbate the talent flight away from New Mexico and disregard people's right to medical privacy. Thank you, [REDACTED]
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	Good morning. I am the mom of a UNM undergraduate student who will graduate this year. I want you to know that if you impose any mandatory vaccinations she will not attend UNM as a grad student. Mandatory vaccinations are a violation of medical freedom and the right of individuals and their chosen health care practitioners to make medical decisions that are right for them. The covid vaccines are all experimental, they pose significant risks, contain ingredients that some people are allergic to and may oppose personal religious beliefs. Please do not impose mandatory vaccination requirements. They are wrong on so many levels. [REDACTED]

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	While I realize that UNM is concerned about maintaining health and safety on campus and perhaps encouraging students, faculty, and staff to obtain a COVID Vaccination is a good idea, conditioning enrollment, access to classes or services on taking an experimental vaccine is wrong and potentially dangerous. The FDA has not approved the vaccine, it is approved for EUA and the vaccine trials do not end until 2023. To condition access to education on and the use of an experimental drug is dangerous and a violation of the rights of New Mexicans to make healthcare choices they feel are appropriate. Limiting exemptions to those approved by the FDA while the FDA has not yet fully approved the use of the drug seems to be contradictory and hypocritical on the part of both UNM and the FDA. UNM does not know the long-term effects which could in a few years result in Lawsuits to UNM and the taxpayers of NM that pay for UNM. Most UNM students have a very low risk for serious illness or death from COVID and for this reason alone should not be required to obtain a vaccine. High-risk staff, faculty, or older students should be accommodated to prevent contraction by remote option or vaccination, it should be their choice just as it is for students. UNM is risking a great deal by requiring rather than recommending vaccines - enrollment may fall further, lawsuits, falling revenues, donors may choose to give their dollars to schools that do not have such restrictions. Give people a choice. If they are at risk accommodate that risk. Risk assessment can result in better outcomes than a rush to do the wrong thing. I know you can do the math.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about PrivacyConcerns	Mandatory vaccines requirements are in direct violation of our personal freedoms and hippa rights. Medical treatments and decisions should be left between a patient and their doctor. Science has proven that children and young adults do not have serious effects from the virus. And with young adults, immunity is better and more effective through contraction rather than vaccination. Thus, leading to a safer and more effective immunity community. Many of the students have already had Covid. Therefore vaccinating them is futile and exposing them to more adverse reactions unnecessarily. This policy will cause many to leave the state for higher education and is an attack on personal freedoms.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Privacy	To whom it may concern, I was recently informed that UNM may make the Covid-19 vaccine a requirement for students and faculty. Please reconsider. New Mexico has current legislation that allows for the exemption of vaccination for religious purposes. Those that may utilize this right would be forced to disenroll or seek schooling elsewhere. Furthermore, those that chose not to get the vaccine for other reasons would be marginalized and discriminated against. There are many other legitimate reasons someone may chose not to get the vaccine: personal convictions, allergies, pregnancy and breast feeding (future or current), and other health concerns. All of these reasons are deeply personal. Even in the event that exemptions were accepted, it is in violation of a persons medical privacy and personal rights to disclose why they would deny the vaccine. Please consider your current students and future students that would miss out on the precious opportunity of higher education if vaccination becomes a requirement.
Community	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	•Mandatory vaccination is discriminatory. •All people have a right to make their own medical choices. •If you are UNM Alumni or currently have family working or attending school there, please make sure to mention that. •If this policy would lead you to disenroll or rule out UNM as a school, make sure to mention that. •This "vaccine" has NOT been approved by the FDA! It is wrong to force anyone to get a "vaccine" that has not been approved. Are you willing to be responsible for any employee, staff, or student that does not take [REDACTED]
Community	Question	Concerns about Safety	Currently the vaccine is approved for emergency use. If/when approved by the FDA, will there be a 4 week time period to get the shot? What if the FDA doesn't approve by Aug 2?
Community	Question	General Complaint	To Whom It May Concern,We have decided our son will transfer out of UNM when and if the vaccine mandate occurs at UNM. In order to prepare, if the FDA approves the Pfizer vaccine in the middle of the fall semester, will the vaccine become mandatory for the Spring semester or immediately? Thank you your help in this matter.
Community	Question	General Complaint	Feedback/Comments/Questions should be allowed to be submitted anonymously instead of requiring name, email and affiliation. Administration won't receive honest feedback from both sides of the discussion if you require these items. The same people who do not want to disclose their vaccination status will opt out of providing their personal information tied to their feedback/comments/questions.
Community	Question	Concerns about Safety	How can you mandate that someone has to get a non FDA approved (waiver does not imply approval)? Thanks

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Question	Concerns about Process;	How does the draft policy accommodate international student needs? Their home country has not yet made vaccinations available for their age group. Saying UNM will not enforce a policy until vaccine is FDA- approved leaves them at mercy of unknown FDA schedule. Vaccinations are not immediately available to them upon arrival and also have a built-in 2 week or more delay to be effective.
Community	Question	Concerns about Privacy	UNM should follow federal guidelines and trends when returning to full operations. If the federal government requires a vaccine to return to schools or the worksite, then UNM should follow suit. We already know this to not be the case since the Biden Administration has requested that all schools (K-12) reopen at the soonest possible time. HIPAA allows disclosure of personal health information for a serious threat to health and safety, but does not allow for banning students and employees from campus or the worksite due to noncompliance with taking a vaccine. If, by the end of June 2021, the state of NM is fully open, this will be the indication from the Governor that she is confident that the pandemic has lessened within the state of NM enough to be able to return to work. Grocery stores, movie theaters, restaurants, schools, etc, will have have been open to full capacity well before an expected return to full operations on August 2nd. Therefore, because the risk of contracting covid-19 will have substantially dwindled, the exceptions to protecting private health information allowed under HIPPA would also no longer apply. In other words, there would not be a "serious threat to health and safety" to require protected health information disclosure. How long would this policy be in place? A temporary policy should not be put in place without a predetermined re-evaluation date. If the predetermined re-evaluation date is expected to be January 1, 2022, then students and staff should have the option to continue to learn/work remotely (without penalty) until a vaccine is deemed no longer required to return to campus. UNM has had security breaches of private data in the past. What new security mechanisms have been put in place to ensure the safety and privacy of personal health information both at a high level, and also at a smaller, departmental level?

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Question	Concerns about Safety;	I have a family member that is a part of your school and I have very important questions regarding your outreach regarding the vaccine and what you are writing on Social Media.yesterday you posted a q&a and this is what it said:Q: Why is the vaccine not FDA approved?A: It is. The vaccine has met the FDA's rigorous standards for safety, effectiveness, and manufacturing quality needed to support emergency authorization. Once it gets full approval, manufacturers can begin marketing the shots directly to consumers and selling them to individuals and private companies in the U.S.The information provided directly on the FDA website for all 3 vaccines with EUA clearly states the vaccine is not approved below are the statements copied directly from the FDA website https://www.fda.gov/media/144638/downloadThe Moderna COVID-19 Vaccine is a vaccine and may prevent you from getting COVID-19. There is no U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved vaccine to prevent COVID-19The Moderna COVID-19 Vaccine has not undergone the same type of review as an FDA approved or cleared product. https://www.fda.gov/media/146305/downloadThe Janssen COVID-19 Vaccine may prevent you from getting COVID-19. There is no U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved vaccine to prevent COVID-19.The Janssen COVID-19 Vaccine is an unapproved vaccine that may prevent COVID-19. There is no FDA-approved vaccine to prevent COVID-19.The Janssen COVID-19 Vaccine is an unapproved vaccine. https://www.fda.gov/media/144414/downloadThe Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine is a vaccine and may prevent you from getting COVID-19. There is no U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved vaccine to prevent COVID-19.Can you please tell me who is gathering data and why when someone asks if the vaccine is FDA approved, the answer is: it is. Even if you write that it has EUA authorization this is not the same as approval and if someone asks if it is approved, the answer is no. Was this purposefully misleading and are you being honest to your community?
Community	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I would like to see vaccines required for students and staff at UNM. UNM students come from all over. The UNM community could be factor that promotes the spread of the virus, or if vaccination is required, could help the whole city control the virus. Please require vaccination.
Community	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	Unrelated, I saw UNM is considering vaccination as a requirement to return to campus. I think that's a great idea and was glad to see that's under consideration. I've seen first hand the difference it makes in various care facilities when staff are vaccinated.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	Allow vaccinated students to attend in person classes and events, also live in on campus housing. Unvaccinated students should not be able to do these things but can take on line classes. This is a public health issue that reaches far beyond the UNM campus.
Community	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	Vaccines should be required for all students and staff at UofNM. If there is any hope of approaching a state of normalcy, this is a minimum. There are people who will continue to be at risk, despite their receiving the vaccine, so they rely on herd immunity. Everyone needs to do their part.
Community	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	Dear President Stokes, In-person teaching is better than remote teaching. In-person teaching is possible only if faculty, staff and students are fully vaccinated. Therefore UNM should require faculty, staff and students to be fully vaccinated before the start of the fall semester. Thank you for the many ways you have improved UNM.
Community	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	As a UNMH patient, I was appalled that the young woman who performed my mammogram at OSIS in March told me she had declined the vaccine. I spent at least 15 minutes with her in an enclosed space. She wore a single physician's mask. Fortunately, I'd been vaccinated. But what about others who hadn't been or for whom vaccination may not be entirely effective (e.g., the immunocompromised)? UNMH needs to change its policy immediately and the rest of UNM should do so as soon as possible. In this society and community we have responsibilities to each other.
Community	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	Very much approve of this draft policy. School outbreaks are a big risk. Let's minimize risk!
Community	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I am a parent of a UNM student. I fully support this!!! It will serve to protect our children, all students and teachers, as well as all those employed there at UNM!!
Community	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	My recommendation is that students and staff be required to be vaccinated. There are many people, including students and staff, who have compromised immune systems or are immuno-suppressed. Their only protection against contracting Covid-19 is herd immunity, because Covid vaccines offer them very little, in some cases negligible, protection.
Community	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	Not sure if I qualify as a community member if I'm a parent of an out-of-state undergrad, but I wanted to add my full support of the vaccine policy: Everyone who isn't deemed medically unable to take a COVID-19 vaccine should be required to be eligible to return to campus. Thank you for proposing this. - [REDACTED]

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Community	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	As a parent of a student, I don't believe you should require everyone to be vaccinated to access an education at your university. It is taking away people's right to choose what goes into their bodies. Especially since none of these covid vaccines have been properly tested or approved. The covid vaccine has only been fda clear for EUA which means it didn't go through proper testing. Students shouldn't be required to be guinea pigs for this vaccine just so they can have an higher education. Would you tell a woman to have an abortion to attend your university? No, you wouldn't, because it is their body and not yours. Everyday they are finding new and sometimes deadly side effects of this vaccine, and no one knows the long-term effects this could have. The vaccine should be a choice!
Community	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	As a parent, I'm ok with this proposed requirement although my wife has reservations. My son (incoming freshman) also tells me he's ok with this requirement
Community	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I am in favor of a vaccine requirement.Your plans are well-thought-out and benefit all of us in the community.
Community	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I feel as though everyone coming back should be vaccinated or there should be consequences for those who choose not too be and don't properly wear a mask or face covering.
Community	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	As a parent of an incoming freshman, I fully support requiring COVID-19 vaccination of UNM personnel and students, with limited exceptions, including periodic boosters if deemed necessary. I feel strongly about preserving medical autonomy in the majority of situations. However, the need for a decisive response to the current pandemic requires us to set aside personal autonomy and act for the good of the community.
Faculty	Neutral	Concerns about Process	Many students complete clinical rotations and/or fieldwork. During these experiences they are supervised by preceptors, who are volunteers. Would these individuals be required to be vaccinated? They are not employees and the activities are off-campus. If so, how would UNM require this? If this is a requirement we need to let these individuals know ASAP because fieldwork for fall has already been assigned and the availability of fieldwork sites relates to student graduation/course completion progress.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Faculty	Neutral	Concerns about Process;Impact to Enrollment;	I advocate vaccination but I am extremely concerned about negative consequences of requiring covid vaccination for Fall 2021. Many young people are skeptical of the vaccine and it is currently under emergency authorization, even if the emergency authorization status changes that will leave a short time window for decisions and many students will not want to feel forced. I think that requiring the vaccine has a high potential to drive students away, either to other schools that do not require it or away from a university education in Fall 2021 altogether. Both of those potential outcomes are bad for education in NM and the future of UNM. A recent email communication from the provost indicated that ~25% of UNM students report being fully vaccinated. If that's true, I think it could be a disaster to require vaccination in 8 weeks among a population that only reports 25% vaccination several weeks after everyone in NM has had free access. Those reports about vaccination seem like a clear message from the existing UNM students that many if not most of them would not want it to be required. The numbers could be even less favorable among 'potentially' incoming students. Please consider ways to strengthen incentives for vaccination and improve education about the benefits and risks of vaccination without requiring vaccination for Fall 2021. Could UNM find funds to enter any vaccinated students in drawings (lotteries) for scholarships, tablets, or laptops? Anything to encourage the vaccine without immediately requiring it seems worthwhile to make UNM an inclusive campus for the NM population.Thank you for seeking feedback on the draft vaccine policy.
Faculty	Neutral	General Complaint;	I think it's a joke to put forward a policy that you are not going to enforce. Policy on the horizon is no policy at all. That puts everyone at risk, especially the staff who will be required to return to campus ahead of the faculty by roughly the incubation time of covid.
Faculty	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	Please don't make this a policy. I struggle with vaccine reactions and I would rather make that choice for myself and after there are more studies to back up research. Thank you

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Faculty	Opposed to Policy	Health Accommodation Impact to EnrollmentReligious	As [REDACTED] [REDACTED] I manage a large group of faculty and students who are enrolled in traditional healing arts practices grounded in cultural, religious and spiritual practice. Many of our faculty and students are not in support of vaccinations for medical and spiritual reasons. I am concerned if UNM mandates the "Vaccine Policy" these orders for attendance will ostensibly "kill" our programs. On a side note, we have had live classes with COVID Safety Practices since August of 2020 for our medical massage and structural integration programs without incidents with regards to COVID. Our fields have already had protocols for disinfecting, hand washing and we simply added the wearing of masks indoors. I believe this demonstrates that a common sense approach to disease management is all that this needed, even in a "high contact" profession. I would hope that the university would consider the value of diversity and approach to traditional healing arts and medicine as valid. I am concerned that the Religious and Medical exemptions as they are written in the draft will be too arduous and have a strong bias toward Allopathic approach to medicine. Warm regards, [REDACTED]
Faculty	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice; Concerns about Enforcement; Concerns about	1. Enforcement of this policy would be difficult to administer. The students who sign up and employees of the campus could be tracked, but anyone who comes on campus to eat, visit, tour, advertise, collaborate would be difficult to enforce or track without violating HIPAA. 2. Personal rights. For a campus environment who believes in the right to choose, one could infer that by creating a mandatory requirement that could (one study had a 34% miscarriage rate) endanger pregnant women or those trying to get pregnant from making free choices about education and health. If the campus operated solely on the premise on the safety of others, it would operate as a pro-life campus, and enforce this policy with the same 100% compliance. 3. False sense of security. The vaccine does not completely stop the spread of the virus, nor does it prevent you from getting the virus. The vaccine creates an immune response to fight the virus, creating less symptoms or asymptomatic carriers. Having a 100% vaccine policy would only create the perception that students are safe on campus to conduct activities as normal. 4. Additional strains. How would the university continue this policy of an additional strain's presence on campus, would those previously vaccinated no longer be covered by the policy, would this create further requirements or expectations that would drive policy decisions in the future? 5. Precedent. A few of your best minds on campus with the most diverse attitudes will leave UNM due to this policy, and would leave campus with students in a groupthink mentality, intolerant to differing opinions. Exactly the opposite of the culture the university has tried to create. A virus with such an extremely low risk to the specific university population is overly risk adverse. A university that does not accept risks and take risks during the execution of its mission, will fail to achieve its full potential.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Faculty	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	Shouldn't I be the one to assess the costs and benefits of risks to my own body?Risks (Source: Mayo Clinic.org)Gene therapy has some potential risks. A gene can't easily be inserted directly into your cells. Rather, it usually has to be delivered using a carrier, called a vector.The most common gene therapy vectors are viruses because they can recognize certain cells and carry genetic material into the cells' genes. Researchers remove the original disease-causing genes from the viruses, replacing them with the genes needed to stop disease.This technique presents the following risks:Unwanted immune system reaction. Your body's immune system may see the newly introduced viruses as intruders and attack them. This may cause inflammation and, in severe cases, organ failure.Targeting the wrong cells. Because viruses can affect more than one type of cells, it's possible that the altered viruses may infect additional cells — not just the targeted cells containing mutated genes. If this happens, healthy cells may be damaged, causing other illness or diseases, such as cancer.Infection caused by the virus. It's possible that once introduced into the body, the viruses may recover their original ability to cause disease.Possibility of causing a tumor. If the new genes get inserted in the wrong spot in your DNA, there is a chance that the insertion might lead to tumor formation.The gene therapy clinical trials underway in the U.S. are closely monitored by the Food and Drug Administration and the National Institutes of Health to ensure that patient safety issues are a top priority during research.
Faculty	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;Impact to Enrollment;	The UNM passport is terrible idea. It promotes inequity. It is discriminatory. It seem the definition of privilege and elitism to have a campus policy that requires 100% vaccine conformity of its faculty, students, and staff.It discourages young people whose risk from COVID is nearly non existent from attending UNM. People calculate risks and should be free to do so, and will do so regardless of UNM policy. In a variety of studies, young people, who colleges cater to, are some of the least likely adults to indicate they plan to get the vaccine. Students have a great deal of choice; we are a shrinking campus. Since states and universities are making different choices about a vaccine passport this could be a deciding factor in whether to come to UNM or not. Therefore, it is likely that some students will choose an alternative campus that doesn't require the vaccine harming enrollment. Other students who cannot attend other campuses will likely decide college is not for them. The vaccine is EUA only, requiring someone to take a vaccine for something that has not been fully tested or vetted by the FDA is very concerning. It may leave UNM open to legal challenges. In the past few days the evidence is growing that there is a heart issue after vaccination in young people. There may be other unknown concerns that are yet to be determined, especially for young people. We should be very careful about setting policies that limit who can attend college. What will be the next requirement?

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Faculty	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	Vaccines should NOT be required, as so many have allergies and should not have to reveal their medical history to get an exemption.
Faculty	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety;	I do not agree with requiring the Covid-19 vaccine, or the option to be remote must be offered. As a vaccinated person already I also understand there are many reasons why people may not feel comfortable with getting the vaccine yet. There are a lot of questions around these vaccines still in play and a lot of unknowns about adverse effects on subpopulations. These sensitive subpopulations may not even be known of yet. This requirement places some people in a very uncomfortable situation and they may be forced to make the decision to remove themselves from UNM or compromise their peace of mind. If it is mandated, I think you must provide the option for remote work/study for those individuals that are not vaccinated, at least for the next year or 2 depending on how things progress.
Faculty	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety;	It's a shame that this question about mandatory vaccination is open for discussion. But I ask a key question to the University: Will you get monies and/or "incentives" of any kind for mandating covid vaccines? If the answer is yes, that should cause you in good conscience to question why. This house of cards is about to fall as numerous sources are now reporting horrific damage and death resulting from a lack of long-term safety trials in these emergency use authorized products. There are effective treatments and the absurdity of mandating vaccination for a 99.98% survival rate for those between 20-49 is highly suspect, according to CDC data. There are unthinkable conflicts of interest relating to these experimental products. For one, the NIH is a patent owner for the Moderna covid product and is providing grants to prevent vaccine hesitancy. The conflicts of interest should raise enormous concerns for anyone-- how much more, the University of New Mexico that prides itself as an R1 university. Again, these truths about the dangers and conflicts of interest will not be censored much longer to the public at large and UNM should be a leader standing on the right side of CHOICE on this issue.
Faculty	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety;	The proposed policy completely ignores the natural immunity developed by people who became infected and have recovered from COVID-19. That is not consistent with the stated goal of a science-driven policy. I suggest people who recovered from COVID-19 should not be required to take a vaccine.
Faculty	Opposed to Policy	General Complaint;	You all should be ashamed of yourselves for pressuring students to take a vaccine that they may not want in order to get an education. An absolute disgrace. I am disgusted with UNM.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Faculty	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	I am strongly opposed to this dictatorial policy. Whatever happened to "my body my choice?" I suspect you may have lawsuits on your hands over this totalitarianism.
Faculty	Opposed to Policy	Support Policy;	I fully support this policy, [REDACTED]

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Faculty	Opposed to Policy	General Complaint	After further reviewing the proposed policy, it would be in UNM's best interest to add the following additional stipulations to support the health and safety of our campus community and ensure that our on-campus experiences promote academic success and personal growth. 1.) UNM should install metal detectors at every campus entrance. On-campus gun violence is a risk to everyone's safety. These metal detectors should also have scanners for vaccination cards. The detector alarm will sound if someone is carrying a potential weapon or firearm or if no vaccination card is presented and emit an electric shock pulse that sends the offender tumbling backward into a useless smoking heap. This would make me feel safe.2.) Every UNM staff, student and faculty member with a BMI above 30 should be fitted with a Fitbit or other device where UNM administrators can monitor their daily activity, heart rate, body temperature and calorie intake. All such overweight and obese people should be subjected to mandatory rigorous exercise three times daily. UNM should supply employees with chairs that emit a low-level, uncomfortable shock to ensure that people get up and exercise. Cardiovascular disease kills 18 million people prematurely every year (far more than the 3 million killed by COVID), puts them at a higher risk of dying of COVID, and is an unnecessary tax on the public healthcare system. This would make me feel safe.3.) UNM should implement a mandatory public Two Minutes Hate on campus three times daily, during which images of the COVID virus and unvaccinated people are projected onto screens so that we all can express our hatred for them. This would make me feel safe.4.) UNM should divest all of its investments in fossil fuels and reinvest in sustainable energy sources. This would make me feel safe.5.) UNM should make all students between the ages of 18 and 25 ingest a medication that dampens their sex drive. The CDC estimates that young people aged 15–24 years acquire half of all new STDs and that one in four sexually-active adolescent females has an STD, such as chlamydia or HPV. This is avoidable and could lower birth rates in the future. It's also an unnecessary tax on the healthcare system. This would make me feel safe.6.) UNM should ban all fast-food establishments on campus and set new standards for healthy food choices to reduce obesity rates of its students, faculty and staff. Nutrition courses should be a part of our annual compliance training. This would make me feel safe.7.) UNM should remove all grass areas from campus, replacing them instead with community gardens that produce eco-friendly and low-water crops that are healthy and edible. UNM should also water only in the evening hours, per city policy, and ban all pesticide and herbicide use. This would make me feel safe.8.) UNM should implement an annual draft (sort of a Selective Health Service) where students, staff, and faculty are required to become uncompensated public health agents and participate in at least one pharmaceutical clinical study a year as a required public health service and as a civic obligation to fight disease. This would create an unlimited pool of candidates who can serve as guinea pigs for experimental or emergency use

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
			authorization drugs. Only pharmaceutical studies with companies who maintain their sovereign immunity from litigation should be allowed. This would make me feel safe.9.) Every UNM employee that is retirement eligible should be forced to retire immediately. They've fulfilled their obligation to the University and, anyway, old people are more at risk of dying from COVID. This would keep them safer and make me feel safe.Thank you for your consideration, for supporting the health and safety of our campus community, and for ensuring that my on-campus experience promotes my academic success and personal growth. Thank you for your commitment to making me feel safe.
Faculty	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	This is quite possibly the most horrifying thing that I have ever read. What comes next? Shall the unvaccinated don red robes and white bonnets? UNM will be discriminating against and coercing individuals who are exercising their inalienable right to not inject themselves with a substance -- any substance. If it comes down to choosing between being forced, threatened or coerced into publicly declaring my health status in order to keep my job or living under a bridge, I choose the bridge.
Faculty	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	Hello, policy decisions should always be for the people. Making it mandatory for all attendees of UNM to be Vaccinated from the SARS-CoV-2 vaccination while it is still undergoing trials in an emergency use system is unconstitutional. Treating others differently who are not vaccinated is discriminatory in all possible ways. When has the Unites States or UNM ever treated individuals differently for not being vaccinated of the common Flu? Never. When has there been "bonus's", "gifts", "incentives" for being vaccinated? Truly a state crime in action to gain power over those people whom are vulnerable in the State of New Mexico AND those students attending UNM luring them into vaccinating with monetary prizes.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Faculty	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I am concerned about a mandatory vaccination policy. Please note: (a) I was deployed to New York City in April-May 2020 to provide care and assistance at a hospital in the Bronx for COVID-19 support, (b) I have been supporting the local VA in in-patient and out-patient settings for COVID-19 support (approved by my leadership), and (c) I have been vaccinated, as has every member of my family. I am not a science denier or an anti-vaxer. My concerns: --All COVID-19 vaccines are only approved by the FDA for emergency use (EUA). They do not have full FDA approval, even if it is recommended by Public Health professionals. --The Provost has stated that there are no 'mandatory' vaccines required by UNM (MMR, TDAP, Polio; Seasonal Influenza). We have these vaccines because these diseases have either high levels of morbidity and/or mortality. of note, Public Health professionals are vocal in recommending people take these vaccines also. --During the season influenza, hospitals require non-vaccinated employees to wear a mask if they can't/won't vaccinate. Seasonal influenza and COVID-19 share the same form of transmission. --Based on the above information, I believe it would be unethical to establish a mandatory COVID-19 vaccination policy. A mandatory COVID-19 vaccination policy has a high likelihood to be challenged in court, and I think this is not a good use of university funding.
Faculty	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	According to the constitution people should not be forced to any medical procedure without their consent.
Faculty	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Dear UNM President, Provost, Admissions, and Student Associations:• • I am a past adjunct professor at UNM-Taos, teaching art and design and art history (in 2015). I have been an educator in Tennessee and New Hampshire, also teaching media studies and computer design.• Critical thinking is the foundation of sound personal decision making, and something often lost on some of us who are self-identified as more mature educators. My observation is that we have become too comfortable with media messaging and techniques. We have stopped noticing that alternative viewpoints are not being offered in media outlets. Vaccination and the compelling efficacy and need for it is such a topic. The UNM plans underway for mandatory vaccination does not protect anyone, since at best, it offers the chance of decreased symptoms once infected with this virus. For your education body to make such actions is discriminatory and takes away the personal choice of those you propose must receive it. There are equally effective methods of enhancing one's immune system that many people use and this applies to the pandemic. Studies exist but they are hard to find - media suppression exists.• All people have a right to make their own medical choices.• I will not continue my patronage and monetary support of UNM if you were to enforce mandatory vaccination. Sincerely,

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Faculty	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	In re: my previous comments about the legality of requiring vaccines, see:the article "Yes, it's legal for businesses and schools to require you to get a coronavirus vaccine" in the Washington Post today. https://www.washingtonpost.com/outlook/2021/05/04/vaccine-mandate-legal-schools-businesses/It has some good information about what the Emergency Use Authorization by the FDA really means.It also corrected an error I made in my previous comment as I was unaware that the UC system in California, while requiring vaccines, is waiting until regular authorization by the FDA. Based on the article, I don't believe that's necessary or wise.Thank you, [REDACTED]
Faculty	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	My body my choice! This is unlawful! Why not promote healthy life style and choices. Stay home if you're sick, etc
Faculty	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about EnforcementConc	I am very concerned with interfering with the individual right to choose when it comes to health issues regardless of whether or not it is a religious reason or a health reason that a doctor would provide a waiver for. It will be many years before we understand the long-term side effects of both recovering from COVID-19 and/or having the vaccine. Individuals should have the choice of choosing whether or not to take the risks of the vaccine as well as the risks of contracting COVIC-19.I also have concerns about how this will be implemented and enforced. I work in adult education, a non-credit instructional program that is available at the branches. Our students are not a part of the Banner system. What will the burden be on our programs and other programs that serve community members regularly? I think the big question UNM should be addressing is how can we provide the highest level of protection to faculty, staff, students, and community members without taking away the freedoms and without discrimination?
Faculty	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	I am against COVID vaccine requirements as this practice is against our freedom. While I respect those who choose to get the vaccine, others have serious concerns about the safety of the vaccine and should not be forced to do something against their will in order to keep their job. Long term effects are still unclear and a critical and serious decision such as getting the COVID vaccine should never be forced on anyone. An alternative might be a pass or license for those who got the vaccine, which would allow these people more freedom on campus including not having to wear masks. Those who do not have this pass must adhere to current COVID safety measures.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Faculty	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	I don't agree at all w required vaccination. There are many reasons why: There is a derivative of fetal tissue within the vaccine The vaccine is not approved by FDA or CDC Also, I have a right to choose what goes in my body or does not go in my body and my further employment should not be affected by that choice. These are just a few reasons for now. Thank you for your time
Faculty	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	As an online faculty member of UNM for 12 years and as a student going back to school to earn a Ph.D. in instructional technology, we should have the freedom to choose to get vaccinated or not. We can still wear masks to keep others safe. People that get the vaccine can still transmit COVID. The only industry not responsible for injuries or death are vaccine makers. This vaccine is still experimental and is not fully approved. Even if it gets approved, how many successful trials are being collected? My family in Europe is dying from getting the vaccine. I do not feel comfortable being forced to get a vaccine. I mainly teach online, but as a student in a Ph.D. program, I will need to go to a face-to-face course this fall. Please let our students, staff, and faculty have the right to choose and if we don't get vaccinated, provide us with guidelines to keep others safe is wearing a mask is not enough, what more can we do?Thank you for your consideration!
Faculty	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I feel this is an unfair requirement for citizens of the United States of America and of the University of New Mexico. I have natural immunity and am exercising my right to use that immunity rather than have a COVID shot.
Faculty	Question		I am UNM retiree and adjunct teaching faculty member. I am teaching in the fall. I got both of my COVID vaccinations during spring semester, but I can't find a way to report that to the UNM Dashboard. Is there a place where I can put that information in?
Faculty	Question		I direct a community music school in the Department it Music that (pre-COVID-19) serves about 400 children, infants through 12th graders, and their families per semester. How will the vaccine policy be adapted for young children who are not eligible for vaccines at this time and participate in UNM community outreach programs like mine?
Faculty	Question		I am wondering about mask-wearing in Fall 2021. As a vaccinated instructor teaching two in-person classes in the fall, I would LOVE not to have to wear a mask while lecturing. If masks are still going to be required, I'm wondering if there can be some wiggle room--for instance, could vaccinated instructors lecture without a mask, but if they approach individual students (coming closer than, say 6 feet) maybe they'd have to put the mask back on...Let us know!

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Faculty	Question	Concerns about Enforcement;Concerns about Process;	To Whom It May Concern,I am grateful to you for providing opportunities for the Lobo community to provide feedback on this draft vaccine policy. Thank you.I am very concerned about being indoors with unmasked individuals and with unvaccinated individuals. UNM is allowing people to seek medical or religious exemptions to the mandatory vaccination policy, thus it is possible that people will be indoors with others who have not been vaccinated. I have written previously to strong urge that the university allow those who will be in the presence of unvaccinated people to be alerted to this fact. We do not need to know who the unvaccinated people are, but we need to know if we will be in their presence.I would also like to know how the mandatory vaccination policy will be implemented. Will it be an honor system? If so, then I do not trust all others to be honest. Given this, I want to know if I will be allowed to require masks in my classroom. I ask this because 1) even vaccinated people can contract and transmit the virus; 2) I have a child at home who cannot be vaccinated and I fear transmitting the disease to that child; and 3) I do not want to have the virus, even if my experience of it might be more mild given that I have been vaccinated. Even masked individuals can transmit the virus, thus I do not feel safe being indoors a) with others who are vaccinated but unmasked and b) with unvaccinated individuals at all. What assurance can you provide that these conditions can be met in my classroom so that I can come back to teaching classes in person? Will each faculty member be allowed to set their own guidelines in their classrooms? Will you alert faculty, staff, and students if they will be in the presence of an unvaccinated person? I need to know this information in order to be able to return to in-person teaching.Thank you again for your consideration of my feedback.Sincerely,

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Faculty	Question	Support Policy;	Part II.FAQ re volunteers and contractors. “Employees of contractors, independent contractors, and volunteers who work side-by-side with staff, faculty or students or who have direct contact with patients or students, would be asked to participate in the program.” I am concerned about the phrasing “asked to participate.” For consistency, and particularly since the University has a choice in the matter, unvaccinated contractors and volunteers should not be permitted to work on campus.FAQ re visitors to campus. “Would visitors to UNM facilities and campuses need to show proof of a vaccine? TBD.” I believe that the answer to this should be ‘YES’. As in the case of volunteers and contractors, visitors (including the many children who visit UNM) have no inalienable right to be on campus, and potentially put members of the UNM community at risk as disease vectors. Question re Popejoy and other performance spaces. Would the visitor policy apply to those who wish to attend performances at Popejoy and other venues?FAQ re vaccination status. “How will I know if my co-workers or fellow students are going unvaccinated? UNM will not disclose the vaccine status of other individuals to co-workers or fellow students; however, there may be badge attachments, stickers, pins, or other indicators that vaccinated individuals may choose to use to show that they have received the vaccine. Be advised you should not ask other individuals if they are vaccinated.” As an instructor, in order to feel safe in a confined space with my class, I would want to know that my students are all either vaccinated or constantly being tested due to a medical accommodation. To avoid HIPAA concerns, perhaps this could take the form of a general clearance, input at time of registration, e.g., that would not reveal the specific basis for the clearance.
Faculty	Question	General Complaint	I teach a studio class in Art education. My class is scheduled to meet in person in the fall. The class caps have been raised for fall. I assume we will still be wearing masks in the fall. Will we still be required to social distance in the fall semester?
Faculty	Question	Health AccommodationReligious Accommodation	Some programs of study require extensive numbers of fieldwork hours in various types of settings (e.g., schools or clinics). If students in these programs are not fully vaccinated due to sincere religious or health issues, can they continue in those placements? And if so, under what circumstances or with what requirements (e.g., wearing additional PPE).
Faculty	Question	General Complaint	Why is there no option to comment anonymously on this controversial issue? Thank you.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Faculty	Question	Concerns about Safety	To the administration: Thank you for this draft proposal and for the opportunity to provide feedback on it. I very strongly support requiring that people who will access campus be vaccinated against covid. However, given that the university has chosen to allow for both medical and religious exemptions to this policy, I believe that faculty, staff, and students should be notified if they will be in the presence of a non-vaccinated person who has received an exemption. This can be done while protecting exempted individuals' privacy. That is, if there is one non-vaccinated person in my class, then everyone in that class should be told, and we can then choose if we want to find an alternative way of meeting. The person's identity does not need to be revealed in order to tell others of the existence of that person. I have a child at home who cannot be vaccinated and I cannot risk being exposed to unvaccinated people. Thank you for your consideration of this feedback.
Faculty	Question	Concerns about Safety	I suggest that the University consider be prepared by developing the following:1) an evidence-based summary statement about the efficacy of, need for, and justification of mandatory vaccination specific to the college-aged population;2) a reply to those who may object on the basis that the FDA has granted Emergency Use Authorizations (EUAs) for several SARS-CoV-2 vaccines, but the vaccines have not yet undergone the standard FDA review and approval process (I note that the draft policy states that enforcement will be delayed until the FDA "approves/licenses for regular use at least one COVID-19 (SARS-CoV-2) vaccine", but such approval/licensure may not be achieved before the policy's specified deadlines, so at least at this point compliance could only be achieved with an EUA vaccine);3) consideration of what the University's position will be regarding 'booster' vaccination, additional vaccination(s) for SARS-CoV-2 variants, and possible annual vaccinations;4) consideration of what the University's position will be once the state achieves herd immunity (assuming that it does).I note the statement in the FAQ that, "UNM supports the principle that all EUA-authorized vaccines are safe and very effective in preventing symptomatic COVID-19 and in decreasing the odds of hospitalization and death. As stated by the CDC, the best vaccine is the one that you are eligible to receive and that you can get today." While unlikely, it is possible that additional safety concerns may emerge in the future, particularly as the number and diversity of vaccines increases. I wonder if it would be prudent for the FAQ to state instead that vaccine selection should best be determined in consultation with the person's medical caregiver.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Faculty	Question	Concerns about Enforcement	I am wondering if/how we will monitor if/who has been vaccinated across staff and faculty? Will there be a vaccine mandate at some point akin to our annual flu vaccine requirement at the HSC?Also, will virtual/hybrid work situations - that are working well - continue to be permitted? Staff are becoming very anxious anticipating they may be required to come back to offices as in the past and lose flexibility that has been quite functional for many, and, in some spaces has improved productivity and morale. I am hoping we will directly address this and allow for flexibility in how folks do their work within our systems and with guidance to leaders.Thank you!
Faculty	Question	Concerns about Enforcement	For students who work with preceptors at clinical education sites as part of their programs, do preceptors have to follow these guidelines? How will this be enforced? As the coordinator of clinical education for my program (athletic training), what resources are in place to help me manage this?
Faculty	Question	Concerns about Safety	How will the new policy affect graduate students coming to UNM this fall from other countries? Our department, for example, has just admitted a new PhD student from Spain, where the vaccine rollout is proceeding slowly and in a horribly disorganized way. What should we tell her?
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I strongly support the new vaccination requirement as necessary to safely reopen the main campus and return to face-to-face instruction. The allowances for health conditions and religious beliefs seem appropriate and sufficient to me.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	Yes I would be in favor of a policy that requires faculty, staff and students to be fully vaccinated before being allowed to return to UNM in person. While it may seem that things are getting better and will open up more in the coming months, the circumstances and chances that there will still be unvaccinated individuals amongst our working environments and in our classes is still a very real possibility. Many of us who are faculty over 70 years of age and have spouses in our households with underlying health conditions continue to be at most risk from COVID. I would want some assurance that my return to campus is not going to jeopardize my health or members of my household as well.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I am strongly IN FAVOR of UNM mandating vaccines for faculty, staff, and students (with the only exceptions being for specific health conditions). If UNM does this, it will save lives: more people will be vaccinated, and fewer will have Covid-19. It will also prevent people who get Covid and survive from ending up with the chronic conditions some suffer afterward. I am a professor at UNM-Valencia. Valencia County is not doing well with vaccination rates as compared with the rest of the state--we have more vaccine hesitancy. This policy will help our county and our campus. Other benefits: faculty will be more willing and able to teach in person. The campus will be safer. Students who might not otherwise have attended in person classes will choose to, knowing it is safer. It would be marvelous for UNM to choose to do this and thus show strong leadership in the state. It will also improve enrollment. But most importantly, it will save lives. Please do this.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	As a UNM faculty member, I strongly support the vaccine policy as currently drafted.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I am strongly in favor of UNM instituting a vaccine policy which requires students, faculty, and staff to be vaccinated before engaging in Fall 2021 academic duties. Not only is this an increasingly common requirement amongst universities, but it is also not without precedent since the university requires a set of other vaccines prior to taking classes here (e.g., DDT, MMR, etc). As a faculty member I would be significantly less concerned about face-to-face teaching if I knew that students, staff, and my fellow faculty members were vaccinated. I would hope that this policy also follows the evolving CDC guidance over time - e.g., requiring booster vaccines if this is decided to be necessary moving forward.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	Part I. As a long-time faculty member at UNM, who has also supervised both staff and students over the years, I would like to thank you for drafting this excellent and thoughtful policy regarding COVID-19 vaccination and other protections. Thank you also for this opportunity to offer comments on the draft document and FAQs. General comments. My personal situation is that I am at higher-than-average risk for suffering severe health consequences if I contract COVID-19. For this reason, I am continuing to shelter at home (groceries delivered, no travel, no take-out coffee or food, no in-person socializing) and teach remotely, despite being fully vaccinated. My intention is to continue in this manner until community spread has dropped to isolated (and contact-traceable) incidents, as at the start of the pandemic in February 2020. As a scientist who has worked in genomics, cancer research, and related computational modeling, I am deeply concerned about the rise of variants, 'vaccine resistance,' and the long road ahead to something resembling herd immunity. The COVID-19 risk in my neighborhood (a short walk from campus) remains "high". I have only been on campus three times since the start of the pandemic (to bring home plants from my office in March 2020, and bring my main laptop to IT for diagnosis and repair, then pick it up.) On the last occasion, during the height of the recent wave, I saw a person from Physical Plant driving around in an open cart without a mask (he waved). There were numerous runners and cyclists crossing through campus also not wearing masks. With approximately 20% of the U.S. population currently having no plans to vaccinate, I am concerned that it will be a long time before the world returns to 'normal.' For this reason, I applaud the proposed draft policy. UNM is its own village and community. As such, I believe that the University is entitled to maintain its own standards, embodying Lobo spirit and values. If a student, staff member, or faculty member is ardently opposed to vaccination for non-medical reasons, they have the right to join a different community that shares their values. Arguments about civil liberties do not apply here. Setting aside the moral imperative and social contract to protect others' lives, consistent with Lobo values, those who resent the UNM requirement to vaccinate should remember that notwithstanding the Second Amendment, one cannot carry a gun onto an airplane. Every plane flight exists as its own, temporary 'small community' with its own rules of behavior, for the collective safety of that small community. Passengers who do not wish to adhere to those rules are free to seek other means of transportation. I believe that the same argument applies here. Religious exemption. I grew up in (and retain strong ties to) a deeply-religious community in New York City that was initially, and in many cases continues to be, extremely resistant to notions of quarantine, masking, and social distancing. The advent of vaccination has changed the conversation: there remain strong pockets of anti-vaxxers in this community, for diseases such as measles as well as for COVID-19. While this community does not have representation at UNM, I cite it as an example that in many cases, requests for religious

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
			exemption may be founded in anti-vaccination, government-conspiracy, and political propaganda beliefs, rather than bona fide religious beliefs. Consequently, I believe that the bar for a religious exemption should be set very high.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I approve of the Vaccine Policy as written. I would be much more comfortable returning to the classroom next fall if I knew that all the students in the classroom were fully vaccinated. I appreciate the exemptions for medical or religious reasons. Historically we have required proof of vaccination for a variety of diseases in the K-12 education system. I think this policy mirrors that system that has been in place for years.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	Yes, please enforce the vaccine! We want to get back to F2F music-making as soon as possible! Thank you!
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I am for the mandatory covid policy at UNM
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I am in favor of a vaccine requirement for everyone next fall. As a professor of voice and singing. This requirement would allow me and my colleagues in the voice, choral, and theatre areas of the College of Fine Arts to fully do their jobs. The masks really interfere with performance and instruction. Having a vaccine requirement allows us to sing together in person which is invaluable in our field. Please make a vaccine requirement for the university so that we may get back to work in a complete way.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I would feel most comfortable if everyone is vaccinated as it is important for the voice area to return to singing without masks. This would only be possible in a safe manner, if a vaccine requirement was mandated. Thank you for your consideration!
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I support a university policy that requires full vaccination for students, faculty, and staff to return to campus. Understandably, those working under complete remote conditions, plus health and religious concerns, are the exceptions.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	Thank you for putting forth this proposal and for allowing feedback from the UNM community. As [REDACTED] I regularly interact with a diverse group of young people who come together in our choirs for class rehearsals to sing and to create community. When the pandemic shut down many activities, choral singing was identified as a "superspreader" event, and we were forced to cease all in-person ensembles. Since that time, we have been unable to meet as we normally would. Only this past March (2021), after almost exactly twelve months, were we able to meet outdoors, distanced and masked, for abbreviated class rehearsals. While we were all grateful for this step toward normality, no one felt like it was a substitute for our pre-pandemic activities. Knowing that this virus may be with us for years to come, the ONLY way our choirs will be able to meet again in safety is to require the vaccine for all faculty, staff, and students, so thank you for putting together this bold proposal. While I do understand some may see it as an infringement on individual freedoms, it is only by requiring the vaccine that our music students will ever have the freedom to safely and effectively do what they came to UNM to do: make music and build community with others. With gratitude, David Edmonds
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I support the vaccination requirement.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I support mandatory vaccinations for all students, staff and faculty. In addition to isolation and being online, part of what made this past year difficult as a faculty member was the fact that students experience such high levels of stress, anxiety and worry, in good part about the spread of covid. Having received the vaccine myself, I know that the anxiety about the spread of covid has diminished significantly. Student stress will go down. This supports student mental health, at an individual level, but also supports student mental health across the class. I also hope that we can get back to teaching class normally -- without masks, for instance, and in a way that facilitates peer-to-peer learning, discussion, and other types of active learning. If we have to wear masks in class, this will significantly disrupt our capacity to generate strong classroom communities to facilitate learning. Thank you, [REDACTED]
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	Dear UNM, I am writing to strongly encourage you to require (when possible) student vaccinations. Such a requirement, would (in my opinion) create an open, friendly, and relaxed face-to-face classroom atmosphere similar to before the pandemic, and greatly aid in student learning and achievement. Obviously, some students have serious issues that don't allow them to be vaccinated. All the best, [REDACTED]

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	Thank you for putting this policy forward. I strongly support it. If it moves forward I will feel much safer in the classroom. This will allow us to focus on educating our students instead of learning to navigate many quarantine situations for students and colleagues.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	We absolutely need a fully-vaccinated campus. We should require vaccinations of all UNM students, staff and faculty. We need to have their vaccination certificates on file
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I am in favor of requiring vaccination, allowing those with valid reasons to have an exception. This will result in a very high vaccination rate and something close to herd immunity on campus. It will minimize unnecessary risk.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I support the university requiring vaccines for students, staff, and faculty on our campus.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	UNM should require vaccination for students who want in-class learning. Although there could be downside to this, it will also help increase the number of vaccinated population towards the goal of achieving herd immunity. My understanding is we are in a critical stage that vaccination rate will slow from this point on. UNM policy will help increase the rate. If the students are vaccinated, some skeptical family members could also be encouraged. In addition, considering shelf lives of the vaccines, UNM enforcement can help reduce waste of a crucial resource pretty much rest of the world desperately in need of.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	Fully in favor of a vaccine requirement to keep all of UNM safe and healthy.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I support the proposal to require returning students, as well as all UNM faculty staff, to be vaccinated before the beginning of the 2021-2022 academic year.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	Thank you for the effort that was put into the Proposed COVID Vaccine Requirement policy. If implemented, this policy would not only reduce the risk of a COVID outbreak that is otherwise almost sure to occur, but will create a better learning environment because students (and instructors) returning to physical classrooms will not need to choose between attending class and placing themselves at risk. I wish you nothing but support in dealing with the inevitable backlash to what is a clearly sensible policy.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I am 100% for a policy in which vaccinations are mandatory (except for few well-defined exceptions for people who cannot be vaccinated for health reasons). Everything else is reckless and playing with people's lives.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I am in strong favor of the vaccination policy as outlined. Thanks for working to keep us safe!
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	Yes, anyone returning to work and study in Fall 2021 should offer proof of vaccination completion. I don't worry about myself (now vaccinated for several weeks now) but for my partner, who is immunocompromised and unable to mount a vaccine-induced immune response. I do not want to have to socially distance from my partner after each day when getting a vaccination is simple. So of course I understand that some UNM community members are unable to get the vaccine and that others have legitimate faith-related concerns. These members of our community, I believe, should wear masks whenever they are outside a solitary space. Those who refuse to get vaccinated for other reasons simply need to do their work and learning entirely remotely. By August 2021, at least one of the vaccines will surely have received full approval (not just EUA) from the FDA (same as for measles or the flu). With hundreds of millions of vaccinations administered and responses closely monitored, there is no legitimate *medical-safety* excuse for refusing the vaccination. I know this is rough to say, but for those who are not interested in "protecting the pack," they can reside on the outskirts of the pack.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	Please require the vaccine for all.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	Dear UNM Vaccine Coordinators, I feel strongly that vaccination should be mandatory for all faculty staff and students. I understand that some people might feel hesitant or even believe that it's not really necessary once things open up during the summer, but if it were a requirement they would go ahead and get the vax, and we would all be safer because of it. Although I am fully vaxxed, I still feel uncomfortable in crowded situations and enclosed classrooms. And I worry about the oncoming Covid variants which seem to be more vicious than the original. I plan to observe hygiene and social distancing as much as possible when we return to campus. These things must be kept persistently in people's minds, especially among younger students who may not truly understand the vulnerability we continue to face.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I fully support the requirement that all students, faculty, and staff provide proof a vaccination before being allowed back on campus, and for a strict science based set of criteria for an exemption. I do not support any religious exemptions. I am not sure if I would be willing to teach in person unless students in the classroom were all vaccinated, and those with a medical exemption wear a medical grade mask.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I STRONGLY support mandatory vaccination. As an institution of higher education, we must stand with science. The science is clear that vaccination the the best tool we have, and that everyone who can get the vaccination must do so in order to (1) protect those who are physically not able to or for whom the vaccine will not be effective; (2) prevent/reduce the circulation of new strains; and (3) limit the development of new strains. We cannot rely on students to make good choices—nor on citizens at large. This has become repeatedly clear over the past year (may I remind you that not long ago, people put gasoline into plastic bags?). It is also clear that top down rules aligned to science affect everyday behaviors. In New Mexico, where we had science-informed policy, we saw much better adherence to mask wearing, compared to states where it was voluntary. UNM MUST take a science-informed approach. Please do not prioritize (assumed) impacts on enrollments. Many universities have already committed to making the vaccine mandatory. Show that you respect the faculty, staff, and students by making the vaccine required. We still do not know the long term consequences of COVID, though early studies provide significant reasons to be concerned, as even those with mild cases show damage to their lungs, hearts, and brains. Other viruses, like chicken pox, stay in the body, resulting in potentially deadly and devastating cases of shingles. UNM should not treat COVID as if it is over, as if the danger has passed. Pay attention to warnigns from the WHO, not just the CDC. PLEASE do not attempt to place the UNM community in harms way, needlessly.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I strongly support the draft policy of requiring faculty, staff and students to be fully vaccinated once the CDC gives final approval to one of the vaccines. Exceptions for health or deeply held religious beliefs should be allowed but with appropriate requirements such as wearing a mask on campus. We desperately need to be able to meet and teach face to face again, but without risking our health!
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	It is crucial that UNM require vaccination of all students (with some exceptions of course). With the new Delta variant, not having our students vaccinated is a recipe for disaster. We are a community that must protect our own. It would be an ethical failure for the administration not to require vaccination.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I do not feel comfortable coming to campus to teach classes if people are not vaccinated. I don't want to have to guess. I want to feel confident that I can interact with students and staff without getting exposed to Covid. It's been a long sad pandemic. And it's not over yet. Let's don't screw it up now that we are heading for the home stretch.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	Hello, I am a Family & Sports Medicine physician and an assistant team physician for UNM Athletics. As a family physician I am well versed in vaccine safety and counseling patients/patients about vaccines. There is published literature on the topic of "Religious objections" to vaccines. Quite frankly, there is no legitimate religious text in the major world religions that objects to vaccines. Therefore, I would recommend removing the Religious Objections clause in the draft policy. Please feel free to contact me with questions. Sincerely, [REDACTED]
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I fully support requiring vaccines for the Lobo Community.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I support requiring Covid vaccination for all faculty, students, and staff. I work in a collaborative field (music performance) and we cannot function normally if some students are at risk of spreading or contracting Covid through participation.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I think we should mandate vaccination for anyone who comes to campus, and we should not wait for FDA approval. The EUA has worked well, and hundreds of millions of doses have been administered already. This issue is important, and delay is not wise. Although medical exemption should be allowed, religious exemption should not be. No major religion has a problem with any of the vaccines, and the idea of people fabricating "religions" so as to obtain exemptions is something that we should not allow. Douglas Binder, M.D. Professor of Emergency Medicine UNM SOM
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I will feel a lot safer packed into a room at capacity with 100+ of my students if vaccinations are required, particular with the delta variant spreading and a surge predicted for fall.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	As an epidemiologist with 20 years of experience, I strongly support the draft policy requiring all students, faculty and staff to be vaccinated if in-person instructional activities and other professional interactions are to take place this fall (subject to limited exceptions and exemptions). Following WHO recommendations, I would also strongly encourage university community to wear masks in large indoor gathering (classes over 15 students) given uncertainties associated with new SARS-2-CoV variants. Thank you for your consideration and implementation of effective public health measures! [REDACTED] MD, PhD, MPH Professor

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	Excellent policy. I recommend it without reservation.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	This seems like an excellent policy that addresses safety concerns for students, faculty, staff, and patients during this pandemic. Thank you.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I fully support a mandatory vaccine policy for Fall 2021. Safety is a priority for all of us on campus - faculty, students and administration. If students are apprehensive to get vaccinated for whatever reason, we can make accommodations for them for a remote instruction.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I'm feeling very concerned about where it seems we're headed re: the pandemic and Fall semester. I'm being told that I need to teach in person for Fall. I'm also hearing (I think it was in one of the Provost's recent newsletters) that something like only 25% of students and 60% of faculty and staff have been vaccinated, yet the plan is for no masks in the Fall. Also the news tells us of new variants that are spreading and that a fully vaccinated person has died after contracting one of them. We either need a vaccine policy (i.e., vaccination required to come back to campus with a few specific exceptions) or we all need to be wearing masks. (Personally, I think the vaccination policy makes the most sense for the good of the community.) Yes, I can wear a mask, but I won't feel at all comfortable being in the midst of 75% unvaccinated students - or even 50% assuming that the number vaccinated increases. I have underlying health conditions and young kids at home. I'm urging the administration to take a conservative approach here. Thanks for considering this.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I am in full support of this policy asking people to be fully vaccinated before coming to campus unless they meet the exceptions (e.g., religion). I appreciate all the work that has gone into drafting this policy. I imagine it will need to be updated once the science determines the schedule for booster shots or annual vaccinations.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I think the policy is a great idea.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	This is an excellent policy and will allow UNM students, faculty, and staff to safely return to the in-person educational experience they want. This will be even more important for high contact programs like the music department where standard masking is not possible for all activities. A question that has come up is around students who arrive at UNM prior to becoming vaccinated due to lack of access. This could be a problem for international students. How will we accommodate these students during the 5 -6 weeks of their vaccination period from first dose to full vaccination? Will they be allowed to participate in in-person activities during this time? What additional measures would be required for them to do so?
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	We should have mandatory vaccination of everyone on campus. This is a no-brainer. In our individualistic society, we forget that vaccines are a public health measure, meant to protect communities rather than individuals. Vaccination itself is not 100% effective for a single individual; it is only when the entire community vaccinates that a deadly disease can be eradicated. Thus, vaccination should be a safety measure required by the community--like safe driving laws or the mandate against public defecation.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I read the draft vaccine policy and applaud UNM Leadership's position to require vaccinations for all UNM faculty, staff and students except in specifically defined circumstances. The policy sends the message that we value science, and the safety of our community. Many thanks for this!
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	Everyone, without a valid medical reason to not be vaccinated, should be vaccinated. Given the known benefits of other vaccinations, why this is up for debate is bewildering.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	It is important to send the message that vaccines are expected. I will feel much more comfortable teaching this Fall knowing that UNM has a policy in place, joining many other universities across the country.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I think vaccination should be a mandatory part of safe return to campus. Vaccines should be required, and proof of status required.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	Covid Vaccinations should absolutely be required in order for individuals to work and/or attend classes on the UNM campus. There are people who cannot benefit from immunization and depend on others to be vaccinated to limit transmission. Moreover, each time the virus is transmitted there is risk for mutation and the development of a variant that is either less or even not susceptible to the vaccines.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	Please please please require vaccinations. It will make our community so much safer and I think is also a moral imperative given the inequitable impacts that COVID has had across our community.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I believe this policy is very necessary in order for classes to move forward as currently planned in Fall 2021. Personally, as a faculty member, I will not feel comfortable teaching in person without a vaccine requirement for all persons utilizing campus facilities. I hope that this would also apply to any booster shot that may become necessary to maximize immunity to COVID19. Currently, most of our classes are set up without any of the enrollment caps for capacity limits that correspond to CSPs for spaces. In case of an upswing, outbreak, or less than 100% vaccination rate for students registered in a class, it is unreasonable for instructors to be required to split up class sizes in order to safely teach in person--this amounts to double the teaching load.I strongly urge you to pass this vaccination requirement.Thank you.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I strongly believe that ongoing requirements for up-to-date vaccination should be required of all faculty and staff. The future of the pandemic is uncertain in two respects: 1) the development of new and existing variants, 2) the long-term efficacy of the vaccine is still not well understood. Given these uncertain and potentially precarious conditions, not to require up-to-date vaccination would endanger the well-being of staff, faculty, students, and their families. Please set aside partisan issues and focus on doing whatever is most likely to safeguard the health and life of those who depend on this institution for their livelihood.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Health AccommodationS upport Policy	I fully support the vaccination policy. I believe this is a reasonable policy given the seriousness of COVID-19 and the availability of vaccines. I trust the University to provide reasonable accommodations in accordance with CDC and other health guidelines.Thank you.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	Please implement this COVID vaccine requirement. The safer faculty and staff feel on campus the easier it will be to return to in person operations and the more face to face classes we will be able to hold.Most reluctance to get vaccines has no basis in science or facts. It is reasonable and important for UNM to take the path that many colleges and universities are following to require vaccination.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	As an instructor of classes with 150+ students, there is no other way I would feel safe returning to campus. Faculty, staff, and students on campus should be required to be vaccinated with only medical exemptions.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I strongly support the proposed policy on mandatory vaccinations. Any students, staff, or faculty who refuse to get vaccinated, for any reason, should be either denied physical access to UNM facilities or required to continue wearing masks and observing other COVID protocols. Maintaining a safe environment should always remain a top priority for our institution.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I cannot state strongly enough that vaccination is essential to protect our students, faculty, and staff. Moreover it protects our UNM community and our greater NM community. As a pulmonary/critical care physician, who has cared for the sickest of the sick, I feel there are very few if ANY excuses why someone should not be vaccinated. If they cannot be vaccinated, they should not be coming to campus. On a personal note, I feel that every person who rejects the vaccination is a slap in the face of every health care worker who has tried to save lives, in the face of every family who has lost someone, and every person who has died of COVID. Why have we become a society that does not value one another.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I personally will be excited to be back in the classroom, with more technology than ever. I feel rather confident if we are all vaccinated in the room, we should be healthy. It would be helpful if UNM could institute a mandatory vaccination for COVID requirement. Thank you!
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I just wanted to state that I'm personally in favor of vaccine requirements. I don't think I can do another year of remote teaching 400-level biochemistry courses to hundreds of students remotely, so for my own teaching efficacy and mental health, I really need classes to be back in person. Most of my students are not fairing well when forced to learn solely online. Additionally, academic integrity violations are rampant and the lengths an instructor needs to go to prevent cheating while still maintaining an equitable testing environment for all students are not reasonable nor sustainable for another year. And unfortunately, anecdotally, I've recently spoken to quite a few UNM students and their parents who really want to be back in person but have no intention of getting a vaccine unless forced to do so by UNM. I think preparing to require vaccination is a good idea, in case the minimum voluntary vaccine threshold is not met by August.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I have read the draft policy on mandatory vaccinations, and I support it 100%. I am relieved and proud of our administration for being resolute in this matter. As a professor who teaches medium to large UG classes, this is exactly what I was hoping for. Over the past weeks, I have followed news reports of other higher ed institutions across the country adopting mandatory vaccination policies, and I have been waiting for our university to follow suit. Thank you.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I fully support the policy as stated in this document to require vaccination for all in-person services on UNM campus.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I strongly support the requirement of proof of vaccination to utilize on-campus facilities when they are open again. Major issues of public health like COVID-19 are acceptable reasons to require such compliance considering that there is the opportunity to opt out for genuine medical reasons. It is those very reasons - those who are immuno-compromised, too young, or otherwise are incapable of taking the vaccine - that makes refusing to get vaccinated unacceptable and dangerous. No one can choose to opt out of laws, rules of the road, or zoning codes due to political beliefs or misunderstandings of science, and therefore no one should be able to opt out of taking the vaccine and still retaining the right to be on campus either.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	1000% SUPPORT having ALL students, faculty and staff required to show proof of Covid vaccination. Please don't soft-pedal this by making it a 'recommendation'. For the good of all of us who have worked so hard to stay safe during this terrible. pandemic year, it should be mandatory.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Impact to Enrollment	I am happy to comply with a vaccine mandate. However, I do have questions about the effects, ethics, and logic of the proposed policy, as follows.-Has the administration considered the effect that this policy will have on enrollment? With poll data making clear that many young people (especially in the 18-22 age group) are either hesitant or simply unwilling to be vaccinated, a non-negligible number of students who may otherwise wish to attend UNM will simply choose another institution (and even if many institutions establish such a policy, we know many will not whether for ethical or practical reasons). As the administration knows, we cannot afford to lose students.-The vaccines are currently only guaranteed to be effective for around six months. Does the administration envision a scenario in which vaccines "expire", as is currently suggested? If so, will it mandate renewed vaccinations? This is especially important as those vaccinated in February and March will already be nearing the end of their vaccine's proven efficacy in August and September.-Those who have had proven cases of COVID-19 and subsequent natural antibodies have been shown to have significant immunity and very low reinfection rates (better than the J&J vaccine, and apparently better than one shot of Pfizer/Moderna, based on current data). If an individual can prove (via antibody test) that they are positive for antibodies without proof of vaccination, will the administration not allow such a person to participate in campus activities? -Our goal as a campus is surely to reach herd immunity. However, we know that herd immunity never requires 100% immunity, or anything close. Between the large number of faculty and staff who will be voluntarily vaccinated by August (anecdotally, I would place that number between 70% and 80%) and others who have naturally occurring immunity, does the administration believe that the ethical issues inherent in mandating vaccinations (even for the unwilling) are worth the likely marginal increase in safety that such a mandate would bring?I want to stipulate that I would feel very safe on a campus where four in every five people have significant protection. This is especially the case if the administration chooses to continue a mask mandate, as is currently rumored. I would also feel genuinely unhappy to learn that even one of my colleagues felt forced to be vaccinated simply to be allowed to perform their job on the campus of UNM.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Health Accommodation; Support Policy;	I strongly support the proposed policy mandating vaccination against COVID-19 for all students, faculty, and staff with limited documented exceptions for serious medical contraindications and religious prohibitions. If the proposed policy is implemented, I recommend that the University provide access to COVID-19 testing for the small number of individuals who may request and document a vaccination exception that does not impose a financial burden on the student or employee. Although I support a vaccination requirement, I do not support financially burdening any student or UNM employee with a documented vaccine exception with the cost of COVID-19 testing.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I strongly support this proposed policy as written and am grateful UNM is going to make the vaccination mandatory.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Health AccommodationS upport Policy	Unless you have a health issue that means you are not able to get the vaccine, I strongly believe that vaccines should be mandatory to come onto campus. The vaccine is widely available and only has a short period in which you feel side effects. As someone who caught COVID-19 in New York in March 2020, it is not worth risking the health of others.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	Fully support this commonsense policy, based on good science and best understanding of vaccines available. This is the way to protect all members of the UNM community, and thus get back to full intellectual life of the University as soon as possible. Requiring vaccinations to work live or study in person at UNM, with a reasonable accommodations available, violates no one's rights.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Health AccommodationR eligious AccommodationS	I fully support mandatory vaccination against COVID (except for the rare medical conditions where it is not indicated). I do recommend and request UNM also:-require proof of other vaccines such as MMR-clarify what the religious exemption is. Vanishingly few religions are against the vaccine, but some individuals have chosen to be against it (eg, I am Catholic and many Catholics are against it out of concern for fetal cells -- but Pope Francis disagrees and recommends vaccination).
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Concerns about Safety	As I understand it, in order to require vaccinations for UNM students, staff, and faculty, the vaccines must receive full FDA approval (rather than the emergency FDA approval they have currently secured). That said, if/when that full approval is secured, UNM should absolutely require all students, staff, and faculty to get vaccinated, with exceptions for those who have rare medical conditions, allergies, or the like.Keep UNM safe!Best,Evan
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Concerns about Process;Support Policy;	Vaccination should be mandatory to protect the safety of others especially those who have medical conditions that put them at higher risk. Those with valid religious or medical reasons for not being vaccinated should be required to wear high-quality masks not the valve masks that we sometimes see. All students faculty staff etc. at this point should be wearing official identification. This identification should be clearly marked whether the individual is vaccinated or not and that way the people who are not will be clear that they need to be wearing face coverings at all times to protect the health of others.It is illogical to allow exceptions for when people are eating or drinking. People take their masks off for prolonged periods of time when they do that and are exposing others. Masking should be required at all times when around other people and individuals will need to retreat to a private place well away from others in order to eat or drink.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Concerns about Process;Support Policy;	I support this proposed policy. I want to be back on campus. I do hope particular disciplines will have some latitude with respect to implementation of covid safe policies (including support for high quality clear masks such as bend shape makes for language teaching etc). Further I hope the university will provide guidance toward interim policies for internships/practicum placements, again, while considering varieties of placements. I would be happy to meet with folks to discuss internship specific issues.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Concerns about Process	I am totally in favor of requiring staff, students and faculty to be fully vaccinated against Covid-19. Bravo to the university for considering this measure! I hope that UNM will follow the example of other institutions who have adopted similar vaccination requirements. I feel that the requirement is necessary to ensure that UNM will be as safe an environment as possible for everyone. I also firmly believe that this does not constitute an infringement on anyone's personal freedom and that the need to protect people from the danger of contracting this virus far outweighs individual preferences. I do have questions about what policies are being considering for visitors to campus. I teach in the Department of Music, and would like to know what requirements might be possible regarding audiences at concerts, for example. We also normally have programs for school-age children in our facility on a regular basis and I would like to know whether vaccination protocols would be considered feasible for them and for the parents who accompany them to their lessons and classes.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Concerns about Process	CommentsYes, vaccinations should be mandatory for all students, faculty, staff, etc that are on campus, with the rare medical exemptions. Require proof of vaccination, which should be easy for NM residents. No personal exemptions should be allowed for anyone returning to campus. Personal choices should not endanger the rest of us and our families. The vaccines are safe and effective, but only if enough of us get them.SuggestionsUNM will also need to monitor the data on booster shots too. We may need them every 1-3 years to keep us safeUNM should make it very easy for anyone needing a vaccine to get it on campus for free. Walk-ins welcome.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Concerns about Process	I have been hoping that UNM would make COVID vaccines mandatory and I think the draft sounds reasonable. My one caveat is that we should not wait until the FDA grants regular approval to do so. I have not heard one thing about the timeline of their doing so and I fear that it will not be in time for the Fall semester. This Fall semester is probably the most important semester for tamping down spread of COVID on campus and in the community and any policy which does not allow for a vaccine requirement by then will not be nearly as effective. If the concern is a legal one, there are dozens of colleges and universities who have already made a vaccine requirement for Fall who obviously have received guidance that they are within their legal rights to do so, most notably the entire UC and Cal State systems.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Concerns about Privacy;Support Policy;	I am the leader of a Division within HSC that employees over 60 faculty, staff and students. Our Division works with rural and tribal communities and it will be critical to ensure safety. We do not want UNM to be responsible for bringing the virus to these communities. It will be important for us to have a way to determine whether employees have been vaccinated before they go into communities or we open our office to our community partners. Thank you for your consideration!
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	I am concerned that there is a delay of the policy pending full FDA approval when one is not needed, as well as the possibility for exemptions. Individuals who refuse vaccination are willfully placing those of us who have been responsible and been vaccinated at risk, as the vaccine is not perfect, particularly among those with immunocompromising conditions, as well as with the increased spread of variants. There is a profound unfairness to placing the rest of us at risk to accommodate those who refuse to take the minimal steps required to allow for a safe working environment. Indeed, allowing the unvaccinated to be present in high risk areas such as a lecture hall or other indoor area would demonstrate grave irresponsibility on the part of those responsible. The EUA law and regulations clearly outline that individuals can be required to be vaccinated as a condition of continued employment, enrollment, or even presence in a location. https://blog.petrieflom.law.harvard.edu/2021/02/24/employer-mandate-covid-vaccine-eua/"This EUA statute includes a "required condition," which stipulates that the Secretary of HHS (or, in practice now, the FDA Commissioner) must "ensure that individuals to whom the product is administered are informed —(III) of the option to accept or refuse administration of the product, of the consequences, if any, of refusing administration of the product, and of the alternatives to the product that are available and of their benefits and risks." "The "consequences of refusing the vaccine" can be clearly laid out: Exclusion from campus or on campus housing for students, termination if you job cannot be done fully remotely. We cannot allow the vaccine rollout and the safety of the campus to be compromised by vaccine refusal. We allowed this to happen with childhood vaccines, we should not repeat our mistakes. The coronavirus vaccines are overwhelmingly safe, and there are no absolute medical contraindications to either type of vaccine. Anyone who does not receive the vaccine is doing this by choice, and their choice cannot be allowed to place the rest of us at risk. [REDACTED]
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Concerns about Choice	While I completely believe in vaccinations and myself having sought the vaccination at my earliest opportunity, I also believe in personal liberty where people should be free to make their own decisions regarding their own healthcare and their body. Frankly it would not be a smart decision to refuse the vaccine, but it is their own individual choice.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I am glad UNM is considering a policy requiring vaccination for students, staff and faculty. Students live and work in close quarters and have interactions with faculty and staff in close quarters and NM has done such good work limiting the transmission of the virus, that with a re-opening of the university, I believe we need to have all precautions in place to be sure we can remain open and protect our community.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I agree with the policy and would add that students working in health related fields are required to have the vaccine in order to participate in clinical areas.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Health Accommodation; Religious Accommodation;	COVID vaccinations should be mandatory for all UNM students and employees, with exemptions for medical or religious reasons only. Thank you for asking.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I am fully in favor of requiring vaccination of faculty, students, and staff to be vaccinated. We live in a state that unfortunately is underresourced when it comes to health care. We have done an AMAZING job managing the pandemic, recognizing our limitations. I believe that it is our reasonability to do everything we can to protect the state and mandatory vaccination is part of that strategy. Our reach into our communities is wide - we interact with our families and friends. We send our children to schools throughout the area. We provide health care to people from throughout the state. By vaccinating all Lobos, we protect ourselves and this extended group of people.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I support this policy, that EVERYONE accessing campus should be REQUIRED to be vaccinated except under only absolutely necessary and proven conditions requiring accommodation.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I strongly support this proposed vaccination policy. It will be difficult for us to return to a degree of normalcy without it.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I fully support UNM's proposed rule that all students, staff, and faculty on campus be fully vaccinated. With all the uncertainty regarding variants of the COVID virus and the possibilities of fresh outbreaks, it seems like a very sensible basic precaution, especially since some members or family members of our community can not be vaccinated and are at risk from those around them. Perhaps UNM, like some other institutions, could develop a compensation plan as inducement to the vaccine-hesitant.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I am completely in favor of requiring vaccinations of all faculty, staff, and students. As a music professor and conductor of large ensembles I believe it is the only way we can return to normal operations. What we do, by nature of our activity, requires in-person, group interaction, in relatively close proximity. Though our students accomplished good things in spite of having to rehearse outdoors, socially distanced, with special face masks, and in small groups, the problems of cold weather, wind, outdoor noise, occasional quarantine and reduced instructional time due to inclement weather compromised the quality of the musical experience for every music student.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I entirely support a vaccination mandate for anyone working in campus.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	YES! Thank you for making these wise decisions on vaccination policy for UNM! I strongly approve that everyone on campus should be required to be vaccinated to the full extent possible.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	Yes, everyone should be required to be vaccinated. This helps ensure the safety of all of us, our families and loved ones, and community. It will also give an 'out' to those who might be being pressured to NOT get a vaccine or who might be on the fence.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I am glad to see the university is considering this option and support making COVID vaccinations required to be on campus (and frankly we probably need mandatory meningitis vaccinations for students too).
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	Once the FDA approves and the vaccines are no longer considered experimental, and there are certain situations for which there are exemptions--I am totally in favor of the requirement.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I will feel MUCH more comfortable spending time in a classroom with students knowing that everyone is vaccinated. I know that there are complications involved with creating and enforcing such a policy, but knowing that it is in place will allow me to focus on preparing to teach in person rather than worry (as much) about safety.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I am in support of a CoVID vaccination policy that requires students and employees who wish to come to campus be vaccinated - with exceptions for those who cannot receive any of the available vaccines for health reasons. There is no way to mitigate virus exposure within the diverse architecture and building age on campus. A building-by-building policy would be too complex. Recently facilities suggested that we could open windows to improve airflow. I have two offices on campus. One office has no windows and the other has windows that cannot be opened. Thanks for your attention.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I applaud UNM leadership on taking this step to ensure the safety of our students, staff, and faculty. Thank you.As the Executive Director of the Health Sciences Library & Informatics Center, I know that our team is considering what re-opening the campus might look like for us. We are the only publicly accessible academic health sciences library in New Mexico, and therefore, we want to make our resources available to our community. However, there is significant concern about the risk that allowing potentially unvaccinated community members into our space could entail. We would appreciate clear guidance from UNM on when and how it will be appropriate to reopen our doors to the public. As noted in the FAQ, it has not yet been decided whether vaccination will be required for visitors on our campus. I am personally in favor of this requirement, at least temporarily, as I believe it will help us both serve our mission to support public access to high quality health information and provide a level of safety for our UNM community.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I am in full support of requiring a vaccine for COVID-19 of all students, staff, and faculty. This is not a new requirement among universities. Given that UNM serves one of the largest populations of Native American students, staff, and faculty in the country, and that Native communities were hit the hardest by this vaccine, I feel it is UNM's responsibility to ensure the protection and safety of our Native communities.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I just wanted to express my strong support for the proposed policy. I think that it is really important for faculty, staff, and students' health and safety, and I would feel much more comfortable teaching in person with this policy in effect.Thank you!
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	Policy looks good.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I strongly support the mandatory vaccination policy. We will never be able to return to a fully functioning institution of higher learning and generation of knowledge until we can be confident that it is safe to be on the campus without fear of developing a lethal infection. Universities combine populations that are particularly likely to carry and spread SARS CoV2 (students) and populations that are especially vulnerable to COVID-19 because of demographics (faculty and staff). We are likely to encounter strong resistance to teaching in person among those faculty who are more vulnerable to adverse outcomes from COVID-19 until such time as there is assurance that transmission of the disease is no more likely on campus than in everyday living in the community. In the absence of this policy, I would expect to see unwanted early resignations and retirements among our most seasoned UNM faculty. Because much university teaching and research involves close contact in indoor spaces, it is appropriate for UNM to require vaccination. I expect there will be political opposition to this policy. However, as a parent, I would note that my child is about to go off to college, and we made our enrollment decision in part based on her selected school having announced a mandatory vaccination policy. For every student whose family is opposed to mandatory vaccination, there are others who will only feel comfortable committing to UNM if such a policy is in place.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	Hello, I totally support the request for vaccination for staff, faculty, and students. This is the only way we can return to "normal" in the Fall. Thank you, [REDACTED]
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I like the draft policy! Only if vaccines are required and most students have them will I be comfortable having students in a classroom working next to each other, whether it be 80+ students in a large classroom or 15-50 in a relatively poorly ventilated DSH room, for example. Only then will we be able to do our job face-to-face without contributing to the spread of this disease. I am currently scheduled for Hybrid teaching in Fall 2021 but, if this policy holds, would be open to move to F2F if that is possible. I do have a question: would we be allowed to query our class and ask student to tell us, anonymously, whether they are vaccinated or not? Thank you for all the work that you are doing to keep us at UNM safe!
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I think this policy is a great idea and I will feel more comfortable teaching in the Fall with something like this in place. Regarding student expectations and requirements, other universities are creating similar policies, so it's not something out of the ordinary, and our K-12 students have similar vaccination policies that they have to follow (although not for Covid-19). It seems sound to me! Thanks for considering feedback on this important issue.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I fully support this proposed vaccination policy.Thank you for your efforts drafting it.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	Great policy. I fully support it. Vaccine should also be required to campus visitors
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I strongly support a UNM vaccination requirement for all members of the community without contraindications. It is our duty to protect the health and livelihood of our fellow UNM community members. As a Center Director I am hesitant to relax the current pandemic restrictions within my laboratories without such a policy. I thank all of the UNM Staff, Students and Faculty who have already been vaccinated and applaud the HSC community and other volunteers who have made the NM vaccine campaign a success.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I strongly support this measure. In a recent STAT-Harris Poll (March 19-21), about 21% of Gen Z respondents said they won't get vaccinated, and 34% said they would "wait awhile and see" before getting a vaccine. The students and staff need the extra push and deadline to actually get vaccinated. As someone who will be in a room with 160 students twice a week next semester, I would feel much safer knowing that we had reached herd immunity by vaccine. I think UNM has the power to protect our community and city by helping us reach the vaccine goals that are needed to return to life as normal, and I strongly urge UNM to use that power.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I fully support a vaccination policy and am vaccinated. I would feel safer in an environment where all are vaccinated.However, if you are going to allow exceptions for religious observances/practices, it might be valuable to also include some exceptions for those in the Healing Arts (faculty and students) whose approach to healing modalities derive from non-western traditions and energy work, or whose life's work relies on homeopathy and a distrust of allopathy.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	The quicker UNM moves to required vaccinations, the better. More and more universities had made the announcement and UNM should not hesitate. Too much disruption was suffered for the good of all the campus community and not pushing vaccinations hard belies that success.
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	As a UNM physician, I am in strong support of mandatory vaccines on campus

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	As a faculty physician at UNMHSC and a Lobo team doctor, I implore you to require the Covid Vaccine for all [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
Faculty	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I would feel much safer teaching in person if all staff, faculty and students are required to be vaccinated. I teach in the basement of popejoy hall so I don't have the option to keep windows open. My space is small so even social distancing will be difficult with my class cap. Please require everyone on campus to be vaccinated.
Parent of UN	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;Concerns about Safety;	My daughter is 18 and enrolled at UNM for this fall. I am a pharmacist and I oppose mandatory Covid vaccinations. The vaccine is important for those who are at higher risk from Covid, but not for healthy, young students. The inventor of mRNA says the risk of the vaccine does not outweigh the benefits in those 18 and younger or those who have already had Covid. If people are concerned about contracting Covid, they certainly should get the vaccine, but those of us who are healthy and don't have any risk factors, like many students at UNM, should be able to make our own choice. There is ALWAYS a risk when injecting a medication into the body. When a healthy person without risk factors get Covid, often the symptoms are mild and transient. There are also better treatment options now available if someone does catch it. Covid is not the death sentence of the past. You can't guarantee that my daughter will not have long term side effects from getting something that you are making her take. My stepson had heart pain after getting his vaccine and had to go to the ER because he thought he was having a heart attack. Please don't say the students have the option to take classes online. As you know, many students don't learn well that way. My daughter had to take classes online for the last year and a half, which didn't compare to in person classes. She needs to go to class in person, but she doesn't need a vaccine when the disease would most likely be very mild and similar to a cold, which is what happened to my 20 year old son and my 27yo nephew when they got Covid.
Parent of UN	Opposed to Policy	General Complaint	I do not agree with a forced vaccine OR testing for students.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Parent of UN	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;Concerns about Safety;	Hello Everyone, I am writing you today to express my concern about the Vaccine Policy being proposed. I am the family member of a UNM employee of 7 years, as well as a parent of a 3 year UNM student. We love UNM dearly and want you all to know how upsetting this proposed policy is. My grave concerns are as follows: *Mandatory vaccinations are discriminatory. *Mandatory testing for people that receive exemptions is unacceptable. *All people have the right to make their own medical choices. Thank you for the opportunity to voice my concerns about this disastrous [REDACTED] [REDACTED] Please also consider the following reasons to reconsider mandating these shots. This is taken from an open letter from the Association of American Physicians and Surgeons: 1.Young adults are a healthy and immunologically competent and vibrant group that is at, "extraordinary low risk for COVID-19 morbidity and mortality." 2.College and University students, however, are under significant mental health strain already from COVID-19 fears, circumstances, distance learning problems and the imposition of government health policy restrictions. 3.Even though the FDA granted Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) for three COVID-19 vaccines, they are not FDA approved to treat, cure or prevent any disease at this time. Clinical trials will continue for at least two years before the FDA can even consider approval of these vaccines as effective and safe. 4.The COVID-19 vaccines on the market in the U.S., mRNA (Moderna and Pfizer) and DNA (Johnson & Johnson — Janssen), have caused notable side effects, pathology and even death (>2300 deaths per VAERS as of April 20). These adverse reactions result in absence from school and work, hospital visits, and even loss of life. 5.College-age women may be at unique risk for adverse events following administration of the experimental COVID vaccinations currently available. According to the CDC, all cases of life-threatening blood clots, subsequent to receiving the J&J vaccine, reported so far in the United States, occurred in younger women. The vast majority of cases of anaphylaxis have also occurred in women. In addition, "women are reporting having irregular menstrual cycles after getting the coronavirus vaccine," and 95 miscarriages have been reported to the U.S. Vaccine Adverse Effects Reporting System (VAERS) following COVID vaccination as of April 24, 2021. 6.Recent research data demonstrates that the spike protein, present on the SARS-CoV-2 virus and the induced primary mechanism of action of COVID-19 vaccines, are the primary cause of disease, infirmity, hospitalization and death. 7.Students who have had self-limited cases of COVID-19 already possess antibodies, activated B-cells, activated T-cells (detectable by lab testing). This durable, long-term immunity would not only prevent them from getting recurrent COVID-19, but would also represent herd immunity to protect others in the college or university community. 8.COVID-19 convalescent students may be harmed by college and university policy requiring COVID-19 vaccines. They already have extensive immunity and would be likely harmed from a forced confrontation

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
			with COVID-19 vaccine induced spike protein causing autoimmune reactions leading to illness and possible death. ⁹ Students and their families may justifiably believe these policies discriminate against individuals who aren't candidates for this vaccine, have pre-existing conditions, previous COVID-19 disease, cite religious objections, or are otherwise exercising their freewill choosing not to participate in this optional vaccine experiment. Refer to the Nuremberg code from WWII, which requires individuals, "to be able to exercise free power of choice, without the intervention of any element of force ..." ¹⁰ . Institutional policies that permit faculty to choose or refuse vaccination, but do not allow students the same options, raise equal protection constitutional issues. ¹¹ . The ADA, Americans
Parent of UN	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice; Impact to Enrollment;	I have submitted a letter to the university stating opposition to UNM's proposed policy to making a COVID vaccine for attendance a requirement. I have not received a response. School starts next month and my son is in his final year. Our family intends to seek legal remedies if an accommodation is not made, for exemption in both mask wearing, testing and encouraging an unlicensed unsafe vaccine. CDC VAERS Sincerely, [REDACTED]
Parent of UN	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Enforcement;	my son will not attend UNM college if that requires a mandatory vaccine that is not FDA approved. let families know asap, we dont want to waste our time or yours. thank you
Parent of UN	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	I am Absolutely Against Untested vaccine to be made mandatory.
Parent of UN	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	Our family doctor has recommended our daughter does not receive the vaccine due to reproductive health risks. Our physician says there is not enough known about the vaccine risks. If this policy is put in place our daughter will withdraw from UNM with her tuition. Both or her parents are UNM alumni and we will not donate to UNM in the future.
Parent of UN	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety;	I am glad that the proposed policy includes waiting for the FDA approval (as it is my understanding that requiring an emergency use only drug is illegal). Also, I am concerned about fertility problems related to the vaccine administration when given to females and feel that until these issues are resolved it is immoral/unethical to require this vaccination. I, as one of the more vulnerable populations, haven taken my vaccinations, but as my daughter is only 21 and not a member of such populations both she and I agree more studies need to be completed before a blanket statement of "everyone must take the vaccination" can be made into policy.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Parent of UN	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety;	Our son attends UNM. We have no interest in an experimental vaccine with no long term studies or trials that have been required of all other vaccines. If UNM requires the vaccine to attend then he will not be attending.
Parent of UN	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety;	not forcing my child to get an experimental vaccine
Parent of UN	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety;	I do not think requiring an emergency auth vaccine is ethical for young people. As these are novel vaccines without detailed long term studies, making them a requirement for being on campus is irresponsible. I have no doubt that the UNM population will reach close to or even above a 60% vaccination rate without this overstep

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Parent of UN	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety;	During the pandemic, Professor Sucharit Bhakdi , an MD in infectious disease and immunology (Germany) came out of retirement to join 11 other prominent scientists (including a former vice-president of Pfizer)to write an open letter highlighting 7 chief concerns relating to the covid-19 vaccines. The bottom line was that the danger of clot formation and developing clotting anomalies, especially in young people, had not been sufficiently considered. The covid-19 virus usually penetrates the body through the nose and throat where the cells are renewed. It will replicate there unnoticed, providing a ground for your immune system to train itself. If the virus replicates to a higher extent than what the immune system is responding to, and if it gets into your lungs where the cells are not renewed all the time, then the immune system will come attack the infected cells. Says Dr. Bhakti, « By injecting the gene of the virus, you are meddling with the conductor and confusing the immune system. You are telling it that it should react against the virus part. And the problem with the immune system is that if you train them to play louder, they are going to play louder and louder everytime.» The vaccines introduce genes that will be make their way into your blood stream. These virus parts end up sitting in locations where the virus would have never reached, such as the vessel walls in your brain. Once in the blood stream, it will never get out. The genes are taken up by the cells that line the blood vessels. The vaccine instructs the cells to produce spike proteins on their own. The danger of the spikes is two-fold : the spike appearing on the cell's surface, and the waste produced. The spikes make that place on the vessel wall a place for clotting to occur. Spikes activate platelets (coagulation) just by touch and this produces clotting. Secondly, the lymphocytes will see the waste products produced by the cells and will try to attack the blood vessel wall cells producing it. « This is too much of a good thing happening in a place where it should not be taking place (in the brain's blood vessels). » « You have a double path to the tragedy », says dr. Bhakti. « I think we are heading for a catastrophe (...) it is screaming at us». Reports started pouring in of clotting problems following vaccination. Young and previously healthy people who were vaccinated are presenting with bleeding problems under the skin, splitting headaches, jerking and twitching over the whole body, vomiting, some people have gone blind, deaf and have lost speech. Many of these people have tried to have their stories heard but have not found media attention. A facebook group of 200 000 people who had adverse effects was removed. In conversation, Robert Malone, Steve Kirsh, Bret Weinstein discuss how this prevents doctors from being alerted and recognizing adverse effects when they see them. 15 countries thereafter suspended the use of Astrazeneca vaccines. Apparently, it's not just the Astrazeneca vaccine which causes these problems. It happens with all gene-based vaccines, whether MRNA or vector, because the genes are being introduced to the blood vessel walls at locations that are forbidden and where spikes are never produced (they are usually produced in the lungs). Many of the

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
			people having important reactions are recovering. Dr. Bhakti warns however that if their understanding is correct, the immune system has been made overreactive to the virus parts. The scene is set so that when any virus resembling covid will trigger an overly aggressive immune response whereby the lymphocytes will try to destroy the cells that have spike - that are now part of your own vessels everywhere. https://l.facebook.com/l.php?u=https%3A%2F%2Fyoutu.be%2FpyPjAfNNA-U%3Ffbclid%3DIwAR1O0ogk1xz-5rIWduly-5QrjWjj08RS1kaz8WilHzkRui8MLYwyvG2V6U&h=AT21BZ3thfzyZRiaR1le79nmP_5bc4nYlmlJdddF5rKrYCRzn8IZ12gmytHNbNO-6ubH4gc6PP58T5n8FGoEZOZFstrdITI5ec8NdPDhS_56B
Parent of UN	Opposed to Policy	General Complaint	Absolutely not. My student will be attending University of Georgia as a direct result of this policy. This is way over the line!
Parent of UN	Opposed to Policy	General Complaint;	There is no need to mandate a vaccine when there are treatments that reduce Covid severity and deaths. This American Journal of Therapeutics study just came out and it is peer reviewed. Ivermectin saves lives. Please do not mandate vaccines on young adults. The vaccine is doing more harm than good in this age demographic. https://journals.lww.com/americantherapeutics/Abstract/9000/Ivermectin_for_Prevention_and_Treatment_of.98040.aspx
Parent of UN	Opposed to Policy	General Complaint;	Current World Health Organization recommendations. Scroll down to their recommendations. This was last updated June 3rd. My child is currently still a minor and does not turn 18 until end of this year.https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/covid-19-vaccines/adviceChildren should not be vaccinated for the moment. There is not yet enough evidence on the use of vaccines against COVID-19 in children to make recommendations for children to be vaccinated against COVID-19. Children and adolescents tend to have milder disease compared to adults. However, children should continue to have the recommended childhood vaccines.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Parent of UN	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	Hello, I just wanted to put my concerns out here as I truly care about my child's experience here at the university. I am very uncomfortable with the proposal of a vaccine requirement. I don't think it should be a requirement as it has been a vaccine only out less than 6 months in this country. Public spaces do not require this and the numbers have lowered still for cases. The military doesn't even have it as a requirement, just a suggestion. I am all for masks and distancing but I just feel strongly that the vaccine is too far. There are many who are not wanting it and making the students have no choice is frightening. What will they do if they want to continue their education at UNM but do not take the vaccine? It is a very touchy subject in the nation right now and I just think it should not be decided by the university, only by the students individually.
Parent of UN	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;Concerns about Safety;	This experimental drug is not FDA approved! Nobody knows what the effects will be, especially long term. AGAINST THE NUREMBURG PROTOCOLS to force experimental medical procedures on human beings.
Parent of UN	Opposed to Policy	General Complaint	Absolutely NO to vaccine passport!
Parent of UN	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about PrivacyConcerns	I am totally against this proposed policy. The so-called COVID-19 'vaccine' is NO vaccine, even according to the CDC's own definition.The COVID-19 'vaccine' is an experimental mRNA gene- therapy treatment, with totally unknown long-term health effects. What's just as bad is that manufacturers of this experimental gene therapy even state themselves that it does NOT provide immunization, like a true vaccine does. Rather, the experimental gene therapy merely reduces the possible side effects of the COVID virus, but does NOTHING to produce immunization. This is why the CDC still recommends face masks and all social distancing guidelines.Finally, to require my UNM student to declare their private and personal health decision is unconscionable. It is analogous to requiring them to declare their race, religion, sexual orientation, voting status, etc.Please DO NOT institute this unconstitutional policy by requiring students to declare private information.Regards [REDACTED] UNM Alum- UNM Parent- UNM Supporter (unless you enact this wrong policy)
Parent of UN	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	I am opposed to the policy regarding mandatory vaccinations. I find it absolutely appalling that this education system would even consider implementing those personal healthcare decisions! My children will be considering colleges in the very near future and I will advise them not to consider UNM if this is implemented. Regards [REDACTED]

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Parent of UN	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	The so called vaccine for Covid I deem to be an invasion of my rights and my students rights. The so called vaccine has not completed the normal two to three trials and testing to determine its safety for long term affects on the human body. I feel the mandate is not in the best interest of the students, faculty or workers of the university. I do support the right of choice should an individual choose to take the vaccine but a mandate without the proven years of study just makes the students and faculty guinea pigs in a governmental experiment. I find it irresponsible of the university to jeopardize students education who have invested there time and money in attending the school.In addition, I would suggest the university evaluate closely the possible liability under such a forced mandate of a trial vaccine.A more logical approach of the school would be to provide if a student or faculty member chooses but DO NOT MANDATE.
Parent of UN	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Vaccination is a personal and family choice and should not be made mandatory for university attendance.
Parent of UN	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I will pay for my daughter to go to another university if you require mandatory vaccines. It is not up to you to choose what we decide to do with our health choices. It is an experimental vaccine and I do not agree to you forcing anyone to take it. I am highly opposed to this and will seek legal council if necessary to fight this.
Parent of UN	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I have had two children attend UNM-LA and I have five more children that I was hoping could do the same. I am very disheartened by your proposed vaccine policy. I hope you reverse course and do not apply any vaccine restrictions. In addition, it makes no sense that your proposed policy does not at least include those who have acquired natural immunity. Natural immunity has always been superior to artificial immunity from a vaccine. You should not force or incentivize someone to make personal medical decisions. No one should be coerced to choose between an education and full informed consent for medical decisions.
Parent of UN	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I am against UNM requiring students to get the COVID vaccine in order to attend UNM. This goes against students to make health choices for themselves. The “vaccine” itself does not provide immunity to the infection, and it doesn’t prevent one student who has been vaccinated from spreading the virus. Singling out students who choose not be vaccinated will discriminated against for their own personal health choice.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Parent of UN	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	Please please please do NOT make the covid-19 vaccine mandatory. We have absolutely zero idea of the longterm/latent implications of this vaccine because not enough time has passed. This is the greatest experiment performed on humans in the history of humanity and being an agency that denies bodily autonomy, will put us on a very very slippery slope toward removing bodily autonomy as well and putting our fate and our health exclusively in the hands of pharmaceuticals and politicians. To assume that every single body/genetic difference etc. will handle the covid-19 vaccine (or any vaccine for that matter) in the exact same way is to disregard critical thinking and common sense entirely. While it might make sense for some, it might also not make sense for others' bodies. Please honor bodily autonomy and personal choice. Thank you, [REDACTED]
Parent of UN	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	Your policy is a little contradictory and confusing. At one point you require all personnel to be fully vaccinated by no later than August 2, 2021 but then later you indicate enforcement will be delayed until the FDA approves regular use of at least one of the vaccines. We appreciate that you recognize the Federal law in this instance which makes it illegal to mandate an EAU vaccine. But what does delayed enforcement or no enforcement mean if no single vaccine is approved before the August 2 deadline or the fall semester begins? Do students have the option to test for antibodies if they have already had a Covid infection?Thank you.
Parent of UN	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	Forcing students to get the vaccine does not seems like a wise path. The risks for each individual are very different. If someone believes that they are at a high risk from the virus then they should be able to choose to get the vaccine. However, it is also true that the vaccine comes with its own risks, and for young healthy people the risk from the virus is very low. People of a wide range in ages have been hospitalized for complications from the vaccine and many have died. Also when the CDC and WHO have open stated that they know little of the effects of the vaccine, I think it is best that the adult students at your university are allowed to choose what is best for their personal feelings and risks. Forcing people into what is still a medical trial is not a good look or safe idea.
Parent of UN	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	THE VACCINE SHOULD NOT BE FORCED OR ENCOURAGED ON ANYONE WITHOUT BEING FULLY FDA APPROVED. EUA IS NOT FDA APPROVAL. NO I DO NOT AGREE WITH IT BEING MANDATORY FOR ALL STUDENTS. IT SHOULD BE A CHOICE AND NO ONE SHOULD FACE ANY BACKLASH FOR REFUSING THIS VACCINE.
Parent of UN	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I am very disappointed to see this information on the possibility of mandating a vaccine to attend UNM. Please reevaluate as this will cause harm to our city and state! We are not in any danger and this goes against human rights! I know many students that will seek out of state schools if this passes. Many valuable citizens are already exiting New Mexico this will add to the numbers.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Parent of UN	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	Forcing a new and little time tested vaccine onto students and staff is a bad idea. There are risks for both and I think it is best that people's freedom of choice is preserved when it come to their own health. Each person has their own risks for both the virus and the vaccine. For healthy, young individuals it is already proven by the CDC that the virus is a much lower risk, so why force people into a place of unknown risk with the vaccine. The CDC has the statistics for vaccine hospitalizations and they are not looking good. If some feels that they are at high risk if they get the virus, I think they should be free to get the vaccine to protect themselves, but it is not other people's duty to put themselves at risk with the vaccine to protect someone that has likely already gotten it through free choice.
Parent of UN	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	Please do not require Covid vaccination for students.The vaccines are still in a phase three trial and there have been thousands of adverse affects and deaths. Participating in a trial is voluntary and everyone has the right to refuse. Healthy college students with low risk of death from Covid should not be forced to take an experimental never before used on humans vaccine. As a parent I would be devastated if my child took this vaccine to be able to go to college and then something bad happened. The pharmaceutical companies cannot be held liable for any injury from the vaccines.Check out VAERS and the reported incidents on the CDC website, which is updated every Friday. https://wonder.cdc.gov/vaers.html

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Parent of UN	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	1227 North Peachtree Parkway, Suite 202. Peachtree City, GA 30269 www.childrenshealthdefense.org NOTICE FOR EMPLOYERS, UNIVERSITIES AND OTHER INSTITUTIONS MANDATING COVID-19 MASKS April 26, 2021 This serves as notice that the mandate for any individual to wear a mask against COVID-19 for employment or attendance at a university or other institution violates federal law. All COVID-19 masks, whether surgical, N95 or other respirators, are authorized, not approved or licensed, by the federal government; they are Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) only. They merely “may be effective.” Federal law states: Title 21 U.S.C. § 360bbb-3(e)(1)(A)(ii)(I-III) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FD&C Act) states: individuals to whom the product is administered are informed—(I) that the Secretary has authorized the emergency use of the product; (II) of the significant known and potential benefits and risks of such use, and of the extent to which such benefits and risks are unknown; and (III) of the option to accept or refuse administration of the product, of the consequences, if any, of refusing administration of the product, and of the alternatives to the product that are available and of their benefits and risks. EUA products are by definition experimental and thus require the right to refuse. Under the Nuremberg Code, the foundation of ethical medicine, no one may be coerced to participate in a medical experiment. Consent of the individual is “absolutely essential.” A federal court held that even the U.S. military could not mandate EUA vaccines to soldiers. <i>Doe #1 v. Rumsfeld</i>, 297 F.Supp.2d 119 (2003). In a letter dated April 24, 2020, the Food and Drug Administration stated that authorized face masks must be labeled accurately and may not be labeled in a way that misrepresents the product’s intended use as “source control to help prevent the spread of SARS-CoV-2.” The letter specifies that the labeling “may not state or imply that the product is intended for antimicrobial or antiviral protection or related uses or is for use such as infection prevention or reduction.” Any EUA mandate requiring individuals to wear face masks conflicts with Section 360bbb-3(e)(1)(A)(ii)(I-III), which provides that the person must be informed of the option to refuse to wear the device. Liability for forced participation in a medical experiment, including possible injury, may be incalculable. Children’s Health Defense urges U.S. employers, universities and other institutions to respect and uphold the rights of individuals to refuse to wear EUA masks.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Parent of UN	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	Hello Everyone,I am writing you today to express my concern about the Vaccine Policy being proposed. I am the family member of a UNM employee of 7 years, as well as a parent of a 3 year UNM student. We love UNM dearly and want you all to know how upsetting this proposed policy is. My grave concerns are as follows:*Mandatory vaccinations are discriminatory.*Mandatory testing for people that receive exemptions is unacceptable.*All people have the right to make their own medical choices.Thank you for the opportunity to voice my concerns about this disastrous policy,Jessica Lopez
Parent of UN	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	This is ridiculous! Students should have the right to choose whether or not they want to be vaccinated. The vaccine has not even been approved by FDA. They're are many studies that have shown it to be harmful. If this is mandated, we will pull our daughter from UNM and she will attend school elsewhere. Is the August 2nd date a for sure date? We will make our decision about continuing education at UNM after the decision has been made by the university about mandating this vaccine.
Parent of UN	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	As a New Mexico resident and parent of a high schooler I'm completely against the proposed COVID Vaccine policy. 1) The COVID vaccines are for EUA only. It can not be mandated--that's illegal.2) The COVID vaccines are not safe. The VAERS system reports more *DEATHS* from the COVID vaccines in the last 4 months compared to deaths from ALL other vaccines.3) COVID test protocols (such as PCR and antigen tests) only have EUA. Asymptomatic surveillance of those with an exemption is discriminatory.4) My high schooler (and soon-to-be college freshman) is at little-to-no risk of dying from COVID. Statistically, the risks of an adverse reaction to the vaccine far outweigh the risk of dying from COVID.5) Any decision regarding the vaccine is between an individual and their physician. Not the government and not the University.If the University passes this vaccine policy we will not send our child to UNM for dual-enrollment and will not send our child (tution dollars) to your school. Additionally, if UNM *mandates* the vaccine UNM can and will be held liable for any adverse reactions of students/faculty--NOT the vaccine manufacturers.Best regards, [REDACTED]
Parent of UN	Question		If my child is vaccinated by the fall semester, are all the restrictions going to be lifted (masks,in-person classes,etc).
Parent of UN	Question		I understand the policy will take affect for the Fall 2021 semester, but when will the final decision be made if this proposal passes? My son is not vaccinated yet and will need 6 weeks to be fully vaccinated. Ideally that means this decision will be made by early July so students can schedule their shots. Thank you.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Parent of UN	Question	Religious Accommodation;	On May 19 I submitted a letter to the president, provost, ASUMN and UNM GPSA.I have asked for a response and have not received a response. This is regard to the option to refuse administration of this product. Reasonable accomodations should include this option based on religious or philosophical reasons.Thank you for responding to my request as my son will be a senior and we need to make other arrangements if this accommodation is not made for him.Thank you [REDACTED]
Parent of UN	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I am the parent of a junior, but also disability retired staff and alumna. Please follow the science and require covid vaccines, as you would for any life-threatening disease. It is not anyone's right to spread a deadly contagion. Thank you.
Parent of UN	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	The Covid-19 vaccine must be made mandatory prior to the Fall '21 semester whether or not the FDA has granted final approval by that time. Anything short of this will indicate beyond question that UNM is knowingly providing an unsafe, potentially deadly, environment for its students, faculty, and staff. As a parent and as an alumnus I expect UNM to not unnecessarily put lives at risk. Don't wait on the FDA for final approval, make vaccinations mandatory. Do it now.
Parent of UN	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I support the University in requiring all faculty/staff and students be vaccinated against Covid-19. I would feel safer sending my son to school in the fall knowing that everyone around him has been fully vaccinated, as he has been. I will reconsider allowing him to live on campus if this policy is not implemented. While young people may not be at as great a risk of dying of this disease as older people, they nevertheless too often suffer serious and potentially long-term health consequences. Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Parent of UN	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I do not want my student to return to in-person classes unless UNM requires everyone on campus to be vaccinated (with medical exemptions, of course). A fully vaccinated population will provide a very safe environment for everyone, while a situation with a mix of vaccinated and unvaccinated people where everyone's status is unknown, will create anxiety and the very real possibility of an outbreak.
Parent of UN	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I support having a vaccine requirement. It will be safer for students and faculty. Also there will be less risk of students returning home with a covid variant which could spread the virus all over the state.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Parent of UN	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I'm a parent of two UNM students (undergraduate and graduate programs), as well as a nurse at UNM Hospital. I experienced first hand the impact COVID had on our ability to provide care to our community and believe we cannot risk having another setback in the fall. Having a well defined policy for mandatory COVID vaccination will continue to allow for progress in reaching herd immunity.I applaud UNM for considering this and truly hope the policy is adopted!

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Parent of UN	Supportive of Policy	Concerns about Safety;	Hi. I got an MS in Health Ed at UNM years ago and subsequently got aa Masters in Public Health Nursing so this topic is incredibly important to me. I am also bringing my daughter from Hawaii to attend UNM in August.I am so very appreciative of your transparency with the covid-19 vaccine policy and the opportunity to provide feedback. Hawaii continues to have very strict guidelines in place, including indoor mask mandates. We had the unique opportunity to virtually stop traffic to the islands since everyone obviously has to travel by plane to get here. Anyway... I feel very strongly that my daughter (who was vaccinated) should not be exposed (in her residence hall, classrooms, other campus venues). I work as a nurse with Veterans and have been keenly aware that my choices and those of my family members (i.e. distancing, mask wearing, etc) could mean the difference between life and death to my elderly patients. While I understand CDC, FDA, etc, are continuing to monitor risk and transmission with/ without vaccination, the stakes are still too high to take risks. As is the case with any vaccine, some in our midst just can not get vaccinated and it is our moral imperative, in my opinion, to protect them.So...I would encourage you to consider revising your policy to:1) Move "EXISTING POLICIES CONCERNING COVID-SAFE PRACTICES:" to the beginning.. Reading this last part was reassuring but I didn't get to it until the end. Please consider reinforcing the steps you have already taken and then addressing these additional proposed policy components.2) Consider stronger language where it states, "MAY BE required to adhere". This makes the policy seem less meaningful and that there may be some subjective determination about who has to follow policies and who doesn't... very unlikely the intention, I realize. Can it state that these folks "WILL BE" or "SHALL BE" required with a caveat about when that would occur? a) Pg 1, paragraph 2 under "Application""Individuals who are not vaccinated MAY BE required to participate in or implement additional CSPs to mitigate risk to others whenever they access University facilities, housing, services, programs or activities in person" CAN THIS READ SOMETHING ALONG THE LINES OF, "in the case such additional measures are necessary to reduce exposure to variant of the sars co-v2 virus" or "if federal and/or state health officials determine such additional precautions are needed to reduce community spread..." or something along those lines? b)Pg 2, ADA Accommodation:"A person who is granted a reasonable accommodation MAY BE required to adhere to additional safety measures to ensure the health and safety of the individual and the University community,...." c)Pg 2 paragraph 1 under "Religious"A person who is granted a reasonable accommodation MAY BE required to adhere to additional safety measures to ensure the health and safety of the individual and the University community..."3) Pg 2:"Students requiring accommodations in their academic environment must submit a request to the Accessibility Resource Center." How about adding, "prior to start of any in-person classes or on campus employment"?I AM NOT OKAY with my kid having an unvaccinated roommate in the dorms

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
			and I think it is incredibly strange that students are being advised (in the faqs) not to ask each other about vaccination status. I think this may fuel anxiety in some cases...People can decline to answer but college is about free speech, learning to communicate respectfully with those who have differing views, etc.. This seems to be a great opportunity for Fall group discussions (i.e. guided by SW grad students & UNMH faculty?) regarding public health and so much more!"there may be badge attachments, stickers, pins, or other indicators that vaccinated individuals may choose to use to show that they have received the vaccine. Be advised you should not ask other individuals if they are vaccinated."
Parent of UN	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	So glad to see you finally speaking out and at least contemplating a vaccine requirement. I think you should have done it months ago but "better late than never" as they say. Please implement immediately and make sure the exception process isn't abused. Our son is an honor student at UNM and works on campus. Other family members work for other universities and all of them have long since announced they will require all faculty, staff and students to be vaccinated for Fall 2021. We'd have felt a lot more confident in UNM's leadership if you had done so too! We've asked our son for months if he knows what UNM will do and he just kept saying no. He got vaccinated as soon as he could, as did we. He deserves a safe environment for his work and studies, as do the other students, faculty and staff. I respect the fact that some may choose not to get vaccinated and that is their right but they DON'T have a right to infect others or spread C19. Kids are required to be vaccinated for the measles and a host of other diseases that are less prevalent than C19 and generally less harmful. Part of being a citizen of a State or Nation is accepting certain constraints on individual behavior in order to facilitate collective safety. Nobody shows up at their State Houses with guns or attacks Congress to argue that governments can't specify we drive on the right side of the road. Insisting citizens be vaccinated is no different. It follows from the same principles of philosophy and government. A State in which people claim a "right" to actions which spread C19 is no different than a State in which you can drive any way you want with no regard for the injuries you cause. That's not a State I want to live in even if some of our neighbors do think it would be great. Kindest regards, [REDACTED]
Parent of UN	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I chose "Parent of Student" even though I am currently a student, undergraduate alumni and graduate alumni as of tomorrow. I think this is an excellent vaccine policy and I fully support it! Requiring vaccines for students, faculty, and staff means that UNM can get back to being the fine educational and research institution it is and offer students a quality learning experience. Public institutions have had policies that require proof of vaccinations for many decades now and the Covid-19 vaccine should be no different. In the interest of public health requiring vaccines is a perfectly reasonable and commendable policy. Thank you!!

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Retiree	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I totally disagree with any attempt to force the UNM community to be vaccinated against anything! Our health is not your responsibility nor subject to your decree. I'm so glad I'm no longer subject to this nonsense. Your policy proposal selects one hazard out of thousands. Are you going to dictate requirements for all flus? Polio? Smallpox? Any other potentially contagious disease? The university is a place for learning and opportunity, not communist-style diktat. Provide knowledge and opportunity, not propaganda and commandments. You want people to get vaccinated? Use honey, not bayonets. Let those vaccinated live normal unmasked lives, and those who don't remain subject to state-dictated limitations (with which I also disagree.)
Retiree	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	There is no one that I know that feels like MANDATORY vaccinations OF ANY TYPE need to be a part of the USA and our rights according to Law. Please do everything you can to stop this CRAZINESS!!!!
Retiree	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	Their body their choice! Rush to produce and cax before FDA APPROVAL is unconscionable
Retiree	Opposed to Policy	General Complaint;	UNM has always been the champion of the marginalized. This policy creates a Marginalized Group within UNM's staff, students, faculty and community- those who are not vaccinated.
Retiree	Question	Concerns about Enforcement	As usual, retirees (I am a Professor Emeritus) seem to have been forgotten. I'd like to be able to come on campus and use the library and other resources. I have been fully vaccinated. How will the new policy apply to us? Thank you [REDACTED]
Retiree	Question	Concerns about Safety;	As a former student, staff, and faculty member I am very anxious to return to campus and see everyone I've missed over the last 2 years of quarantine. I have also developed heart, lung, kidney, and diabetes complications due to several congenital conditions and am very medically fragile... I am completely vaccinated and will continue to practice masking/distance (etc...) for a long time to come! How does someone in my situation protect themselves from the questionable motives and/or behaviors of anti-maskers (anti-government) protesters in the community?
Retiree	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I am a UNM Retiree & Alumnus. I strongly support the requiring of a vaccination for in person learning. I encourage UNM to set this policy. In fact I hope more organizations will follow suit. Thank you for your time
Retiree	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	Yes, it looks good. Why shouldn't we all have to protect ourselves and others? thanks for asking.....

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Retiree	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	This is an excellent idea. Reasonable accommodations are included for those who have medical or religious qualifying exemptions, and there should be no other reason for not getting the vaccine. Thank you for instituting this policy, making UNM a safe campus for all.
Retiree	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	Hil think it's a very reasonable policy to expect everyone to be vaccinated. This disease is so nasty that protecting everyone by requiring a vaccine is sound policy. You'll have detractors and they complain the loudest but I think remaining steadfast in your approach is a good idea. As we know, antivaxxers take a loud and unreasonable approach and the university will have to be strong in conviction. I hope you can protect most of us and not let the minority rule over everyone.
Retiree	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I think this policy is reasonable and carefully constructed. It is important for people on campus to commit to protecting themselves and each other by getting vaccinated. Only then can we have the confidence to return to the activities that make the UNM experience viable and valuable.I do hope that the responses to this call for comment will be positive and forward looking, and that nearly everyone will understand the importance of mandatory vaccination. Thank you for your consideration.
Staff	Neutral	Concerns about Process;Concerns about Safety;	My concern is safety, protocols, fairness, etc. I work in an office that the Dean allows two of the managers to work remotely (before the pandemic) and all hourly employees are not allowed. The moral in our office is horrible and I cannot imagine how it will be going back after this pandemic is over. Managers should not be allowed to work remotely if hourly employees cannot. We have ALL proven that we can do our jobs remotely this past year. The office I work in is not fair to all the employees and after this past year, that is a MUST. It will not be fair if some employees are allowed to continue to work remotely and others are required to come into the office. This is critical and needs to be addressed. I worry about my safety in my office and worry that COVID protocols will NOT be followed. Thank you.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Neutral	General Complaint;	"The present assessment raises the question whether it would be necessary to rethink policies and use COVID-19 vaccines more sparingly and with some discretion only in those that are willing to accept the risk because they feel more at risk from the true infection than the mock infection. Perhaps it might be necessary to dampen the enthusiasm by sober facts? In our view, the EMA and national authorities should instigate a safety review into the safety database of COVID-19 vaccines and governments should carefully consider their policies in light of these data. Ideally, independent scientists should carry out thorough case reviews of the very severe cases, so that there can be evidence-based recommendations on who is likely to benefit from a SARS-CoV2 vaccination and who is in danger of suffering from side effects. Currently, our estimates show that we have to accept four fatal and 16 serious side effects per 100,000 vaccinations in order to save the lives of 2–11 individuals per 100,000 vaccinations, placing risks and benefits on the same order of magnitude."https://www.mdpi.com/2076-393X/9/7/693/htm
Staff	Neutral	General Complaint;	Must read:http://www.nakim.org/israel-forums/viewtopic.php?p=276314file:///C:/Users/sobieski/AppData/Local/Temp/Exp%20Herve%20Seligmann%20Eval38-H-Lepirsum.pdf
Staff	Neutral	General Complaint;	https://www.bitchute.com/video/biEzDLQ0Jp0r/
Staff	Neutral	General Complaint;	What can be done to more adequately discourage critical thinking among those sectors opposed to mandatory vaccination?https://lockdownsceptics.org/2021/05/12/mit-researchers-find-that-skeptics-value-data-literacy-and-scientific-rigour/https://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1IUhyKVEAMWuDV?format=jpg&name=900x900

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Neutral	General Complaint;	Some researchers have criticized a lack of adequate informed consent in relation to certain risks (my emphasis):Aims of the study: Patient comprehension is a critical part of meet-ing medical ethics standards of informed consent in study designs. The aim of the study was to determine if sufficient literature exists to require clinicians to disclose the specific risk that COVID-19 vac-cines could worsen disease upon exposure to challenge or circulating virus.Methods used to conduct the study: Published literature was re-viewed to identify preclinical and clinical evidence that COVID-19 vaccines could worsen disease upon exposure to challenge or cir-culating virus. Clinical trial protocols for COVID-19 vaccines were reviewed to determine if risks were properly disclosed.Results of the study: COVID-19 vaccines designed to elicit neutralis-ing antibodies may sensitise vaccine recipients to more severe dis-ease than if they were not vaccinated. Vaccines for SARS, MERS and RSV have never been approved, and the data generated in the devel-opment and testing of these vaccines suggest a serious mechanistic concern: that vaccines designed empirically using the traditional ap-proach (consisting of the unmodified or minimally modified corona-virus viral spike to elicit neutralising antibodies), be they composed of protein, viral vector, DNA or RNA and irrespective of delivery method, may worsen COVID-19 disease via antibody-dependent enhancement (ADE). This risk is sufficiently obscured in clinical trial protocols and consent forms for ongoing COVID-19 vaccine trials that adequate patient comprehension of this risk is unlikely to occur, obviating truly informed consent by subjects in these trials.Conclusions drawn from the study and clinical implications: The specific and significant COVID-19 risk of ADE should have been and should be prominently and independently disclosed to research sub-jects currently in vaccine trials, as well as those being recruited for the trials and future patients after vaccine approval, in order to meet the medical ethics standard of patient comprehension for informed consent.Cardozo T, Veazey R. Informed consent disclosure to vaccine trial subjects of risk of COVID-19 vaccines worsening clinical disease. International journal of clinical practice. 2021;75(3):e13795. doi:10.1111/ijcp.13795A flood of adverse reaction reports is already pouring into VAERS (Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System, a US government database of self-reports, not necessarily confirmed by any third party, including the Department of Health and Human Services). One independent analyst has posted the following sobering breakdown of this increase in reports:https://www.virginiastoner.com/writing/2021/5/4/the-deadly-covid-19-vaccine-coverupAn online article

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
			(https://www.jennifermargulis.net/halt-covid-vaccine-research-scientist-urges-cdc/) features excerpts from the transcript of a recent oral public comment to the CDC by molecular biologist and toxicologist Dr. Janci Chunn Lindsay, Ph.D.: First, there is a credible reason to believe that the Covid vaccines will cross-react with the syncytin and reproductive proteins in sperm, ova, and placenta, leading to impaired fertility and impaired reproductive and gestational outcomes. Respected virologist Dr. Bill Gallaher, Ph.D., made excellent arguments as to why you would expect cross reaction. Due to beta sheet conformation similarities between spike proteins and syncytin-1 and syncytin-2. I have yet to see a single immunological study which disproves this. Despite the fact that it would literally take the manufacturers a single day to do these syncytin studies to ascertain this [once they had serum from vaccinated individuals]. It's been over a year since the assertions were first made that this [the body attacking its own syncytin proteins due to similarity in spike protein structure] could occur.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Neutral	General Complaint;	By the end of May, nearly the entire establishment, including many health officials, came together to agree that while lockdowns and social distancing were essential, special exceptions could be made for mass protests (provided the cause was establishment supported) and politically charged funerals (but not the funerals of everyday, unknown people). This underscored the fundamentally political nature of “pandemic” policy. Perhaps not coincidentally it was at this point, skepticism about Covid-19 began to gain the upper hand with me. By early August, I was appealing to library administrators to re-open the library and return to normal, as soon as possible, not least because of the threat of the continuing lockdown to everyone’s economic well-being. Meanwhile, many experts pointed out that lockdowns and masking had long been opposed by epidemiologists for combatting respiratory epidemics, and that existing pandemic plans excluded such recommendations. Suddenly all of this was overturned in 2020, and lockdowns and masking became unquestionable and holy—The Science to which every knee must bow. The public health establishment decided to follow the example of China’s totalitarian response to the virus, rather than following long-established protocols for controlling airborne diseases. The Science also meant that we must continue quarantining returned books in the library and overusing disinfectant spray everywhere, despite research having pretty clearly demonstrated by that point that surface transmission was practically non-existent. Over time, it became clear that the “novel” coronavirus was not as novel as all that. It behaved in some familiar ways, and human memory T-cells already provided some immunity to it, due to previous exposure to other types of coronaviruses. Also, the human immune system was alive and well, and had other lines of defense, especially among the healthy. Studies cast doubts on any number of non-pharmaceutical interventions. The example of Sweden defied the prophecies of disaster that had resulted from its chosen policy of comparative openness. In the United States, dire predictions about the results of beach parties, mass gatherings, and eventually, the lifting of lockdowns in certain states, failed to materialize.* I was never sold on the whole idea of Operation Warpspeed. As a Trump voter, it touches my heart that so many Trump-haters are willing to trust the Trump vaccines on faith, but I have been skeptical of them from day one. I do not see regulation, as such, as either all good or all bad. There are good reasons why vaccines, and medicines in general, should not be rolled out without extensive testing. Even when there has been testing, it often takes time for long-term side effects to emerge. Of course, it doesn’t help when pharmaceutical companies suppress their own research results that show things they would rather not see. It cannot be emphasized enough that the pharmaceutical industry is very big business indeed, and it uses its money to influence politicians and media. I thought this was something anyone remotely only the left usually had a grasp of. Also noteworthy are the complex ties of Trump’s “vaccine czar” to the pharmaceutical industry, including companies specifically involved in the manufacture of the

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
			“vaccine”:https://www.nytimes.com/2020/05/20/health/corona-virus-vaccine-czar.htmlTo make matters worse, these are not conventional vaccines. These “vaccines” use various mechanisms to instruct our bodies to produce spike proteins. There are serious questions about the risk of turning people’s cells into spike protein generators. This mechanism comes with a number of risks.
Staff	Neutral	Concerns about Choice	While I am in favor of the vaccine and hope that most of the Staff/Students/Faculty at the university receive one, I hope that UNM leadership takes into account the many viewpoints and situations that our Lobos have. UNM prides itself on its diversity and inclusion, and I worry that divisive action, treating a social matter as right or wrong, will only cause further division among a country and a community that have been pushed to braking points over the past several years. There's clearly not an easy answer to the question, and there are seemingly countless variables and backgrounds that must be accounted for and worked with, yet I hope that UNM leadership makes a decision that brings Lobos together again instead of farther apart. If I learned anything while studying at UNM, it's that with humans, there's always another variable. It's never this or that, there's always something on either periphery. The safety of every Lobo is the university's top priority, and while I reside somewhere in the grey middle ground of either option on vaccine requirements, I hope that we can choose an option that unites, rather than divides.
Staff	Neutral	General Complaint	I don’t feel that I can provide true feedback or present a comment unless it’s anonymous.
Staff	Neutral	Concerns about Safety;	I am not comfortable returning to work with buildings at 75-100% capacity unless UNM requires vaccines. It seems incredibly irresponsible to allow full operations knowing that COVID-19 becomes more severe as it mutates in unvaccinated populations. Further, vaccines are not proven to offer a high level of protection for people who are immune-compromised. So the message seems to be that we are not willing to protect those members of the UNM community.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Neutral	Concerns about Choice;	Greetings! While I am in favor of vaccinating our worlds population to help control pandemics and diseases, I am not sure requiring the COVID19 vaccine is a fair proposition because there will be those in our midst who refuse to be vaccinated simply because they do not trust the science behind the effort. Wouldn't we be making it more difficult for these individuals to exercise their freedom and choose how to live their lives? Now we would have to make accommodations for these folks to continue to do their jobs effectively and for some occupations this does not make sense. For example: a janitor. We may say, "Just find someone else to do the job", but this may have legal implications tantamount to discrimination. Just because someone earns a pauper's wage does not exclude them from the same rights as others who earn a living wage. For those who choose to not be vaccinated, simply force them to wear a mask and stay socially distant for the rest of their lives. I think you can see where this is going. Thanks for reading my response and have a great day!
Staff	Neutral	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	FYIThe two points of consideration regarding this proposal being most talked about are:1.) Is the vaccine safe?2.) Is this proposed requirement legal?I offer these observations in service to the community. I will continue to advocate for the use of the vaccine per the information from the FDA and CDC. I would like to add...I have generally been very impressed with and thankful for the high degree of excellence illustrated by the actions of the UNM Administration, HSC and all ancillary positions of responsibility during these unprecedented times!Good luck going forward. Best,

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Neutral	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Process	Concerning the mandatory requirement for vaccines:1. It would be nice if UNM offers vaccine appointments directly with UNM students, faculty and staff. At this time, it is convenience, or rather in-convenience, that has me not being vaccinated. I live outside Albuquerque and many people in my area are being sent 4 hours away to get a shot. Also, I have been waiting for the one-shot vaccine to be more readily available. I know UNM was talking about setting up vaccine options for students, it would be nice to spread that to cover faculty and staff.2. I am not sure about the request for this to be mandatory, especially for younger healthy individuals. In reviewing the CDC website, they state that 80% of Covid-19 deaths are in those over the age of 65, 95% in those older than 45. From what I have read and been told by those who had Covid, younger healthy individuals are not affected that much - a few bad days and then are fine. The 2nd shots, per the CDC, have similar affects: "Side effects after your second shot may be more intense than the ones you experienced after your first shot. These side effects are normal signs that your body is building protection and should go away within a few days." We had two younger people in our department who had to take sick leave after receiving their 2nd shot because of the side effects, one of which states he had been told to expect those effects by others who had their 2nd shot. The other question that comes to mind is there are now discussions where regular booster shots will be needed in the future. Will this be mandatory every year? Is this something we really want UNM to be requiring for employment? Is this something UNM needs to require for normal operations? We do not require the flu shot which does cause some to miss work every year. Just as those who are more vulnerable (older or with medical conditions) tend to get the flu shot, these same people will be getting the Covid-19 vaccine. I believe this should be an individual choice, though making the vaccine more readily available to the UNM community would help those who haven't received it yet or those who are undecided.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Neutral	Support Policy;	The first paragraph could be reworded to be more clear. It could be interpreted that the deadlines only apply to students, faculty and staff not currently working, living and learning on campus. If people ARE currently working, living or learning on campus, when do they need to be vaccinated by? "As soon as possible" is helpful, but it's not an enforceable deadline. If UNM will have a vaccination deadline, there should be a consistent deadline applied to all people coming to campus. It's not clear why faculty and students are given a later deadline than staff. Faculty and students could be on campus earlier than August 23. Students can have move-in week, early starting classes, orientation, etc. I'm sure faculty could come to campus early to do research, prep for classes, meet with their department staff and other faculty, etc. Also, staff can be students; students can be instructors. That creates some confusion as to which deadline applies. Most COVID vaccines take 1-2 weeks to reach full effectiveness. If a student gets vaccinated on August 20, they wouldn't be fully immune yet when starting their on-campus classes August 23. It seems like some consideration of the incubation time should be added to the policy.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Neutral	General Complaint;	After following the unfolding story of the SARS-CoV2 virus in January and early February of last year, I started asking my supervisor and her supervisor questions about how the university was preparing for it. I made an agitated appeal to my boss's boss to start thinking about the issue, overenthusiastically claiming that the virus spread even more easily than the flu, and, of course, that asymptomatic transmission was a big issue with this virus. I'm not sure even the incorrect claims I had read from others ever went as far as to claim the virus was more easily spread than the flu. I talked with other staff members about the possibility of working remotely. By this point I had seen a lot of frightening videos of unknown provenance showing things like people collapsing in the street. Surely they couldn't all be fake? (Really? Was I that gullible?) It turns out many of these videos have since been identified as having nothing to do with Covid-19. When I saw China's drastic response to the Covid-19 outbreak, I assumed that it had to be a serious threat, because I didn't think China would hurt its economy so much over something minor. Also, given the very plausible case that the virus might have emerged from the Wuhan lab where gain of function research was being carried out made the situation seem particularly high-risk. If this was some sort of bioweapon, who knows how bad it could be? (Of course, even if it was lab made, it was not necessarily a bioweapon.) At the time, making the case that the virus might have escaped from the Wuhan lab was taboo, and quickly became the sort of thing that might get you banned from social media. If I recall correctly, Zero Hedge's Twitter feed was taken down as a result of their discussing that possibility, not even asserting it as proven fact. In May of 2021, speculation that the virus originated in a lab has become more mainstream. To return to 2020, I also was exposed to the quasi-apocalyptic Covid-19 predictions based on Neil Ferguson's infamously flawed model of the likely course of the disease. Many individuals outside the mainstream whose opinions I respected regarded Covid-19 as a serious threat, leaning toward support for some of the direst predictions. Indeed, the theme of Covid-19 as threat probably picked up steam more quickly in alternative than mainstream media. Given my biases against mainstream media, when sources such as the Washington Post dismissed concern with Covid-19 in favor of emphasizing the threat of the flu, I was all the more prepared to believe the threat was serious. (I'm not saying this is what happened, but if you wanted to launch a psy op that would have me fooled for a while, you could do worse than have the mainstream media deny and dismiss claims, while flooding social media with reports of a growing catastrophe, while some government, the Chinese government in this case, appeared to downplay the extent of it.) In March I was regrettably one of many library employees to sign a letter petitioning UNM to temporarily close the libraries. I mostly avoided reading skeptical takes on Covid-19, even in alternative media sites I normally followed, but eventually I became curious about what those skeptics had to say. When I started reading it, much of it made sense to me. Some experts, for example, were pointing out that

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
			the virus was already following familiar seasonal patterns that appeared in different countries regardless of what non-pharmaceutical interventions they had instituted.
Staff	Neutral	Concerns about Enforcement	I think it would be useful if people had to take their vaccination card to the Lobo Card office so that a marker could be put on their ID as to whether or not they are vaccinated. Then, EVERY entrance on campus buildings would require a Lobo ID swipe, and only those with the vaccinated marker on their ID are let in. I feel like this is the only realistic way to track those on campus who are vaccinated to allow them to use campus facilities.
Staff	Opposed to Policy	General Complaint;	You should be following this regularly: https://lockdownsceptics.org/2021/06/07/vaccine-safety-update-4/ Don't just be a thoughtless cog helping to realize an agenda you don't even necessarily understand. It's not too late to come to your senses and back away from the idea of mandating such risky experimental gene therapies. Declare victory over Covid-19 in New Mexico, and back away from the oppressive, health-threatening proposals you are considering.
Staff	Opposed to Policy	General Complaint;	New study adds at least some support to Sucharit Bhakdi's claim that T-cells will kill the cells which create spike proteins as a result of these "vaccines." "SARS-CoV-2 proteins were measured in longitudinal plasma samples collected from 13 participants who received two doses of mRNA-1273 [Moderna] vaccine. 11 of 13 participants showed detectable levels of SARS-CoV-2 protein as early as day one after first vaccine injection. Clearance of detectable SARS-CoV-2 protein correlated with production of IgG and IgA." "We hypothesize that the cellular immune responses triggered by T-cell activation, which would occur days after the vaccination, lead to direct killing of cells presenting spike protein and an additional release of spike into the blood stream." https://lockdownsceptics.org/2021/05/21/new-study-from-harvard-shows-systemic-presence-of-spike-proteins-in-blood-following-vaccination/ Maybe this will turn out not to be that big of a deal, but it's one reasonable ground for caution, and for NOT coercing people into being lab animals for this experimental "vaccine."
Staff	Opposed to Policy	General Complaint;	Dear Vaccine Policy Committee, Thanks for your effort in making the draft. It's reported that there are 3% people of American take immunosuppressive drugs may not have COVID vaccine response https://www.washingtonpost.com/health/2021/05/18/immunocompromised-coronavirus-vaccines-response/ . And on the other hand, a person may get antibodies after recovering from COVID. Take together the two factors above, would antibody tests be a more clear standard than vaccination proof? Thanks for your time! Best, [REDACTED]

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Opposed to Policy	General Complaint;	https://twitter.com/AlexBerenson/status/1396960546134573062 Butchers! No regard for young or old alike. All must be tossed into the experimental vaccine grinder!
Staff	Opposed to Policy	General Complaint;	"Preliminary data collected from hospitals indicate that there is "excess morbidity" [of myocarditis] in ages 16-30. The morbidity rate is 1 in 3,000 to 6,000 (depending on age) - this compares to the significantly lower morbidity rate without the vaccine in previous years..." https://twitter.com/RuminatorDan/status/1397288448239837186

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety;	Pregnancy losses reported to VAERS lead to demand to halt Covid vaccine. We have seen 100 pregnancy losses reported in VAERS as of April 9th. And there have [also] been reports of impaired spermatogenesis and placental findings from both the natural infection, vaccinated, and syncytin knockout animal models that have similar placental pathology, implicating a syncytin-mediated role in these outcomes. Additionally, we have heard of multiple reports of menses irregularities in those vaccinated. These must be investigated. We simply cannot put these [vaccines] in our children who are at .002% risk for Covid mortality, if infected, or any more of the child-bearing age population without thoroughly investigating this matter. [If we do], we could potentially sterilize an entire generation. Speculation that this will not occur and a few anecdotal reports of pregnancies within the trial are not sufficient proof that this is not impacting on a population-wide scale. Secondly, all of the gene therapies [Covid vaccines] are causing coagulopathy. [Coagulopathy when the body's blood clotting system is impaired.] This is not isolated to one manufacturer. And this is not isolated to one age group. As we are seeing coagulopathy deaths in healthy young adults with no secondary comorbidities. There have been 795 reports related to blood clotting disorders as of April 9th in the VAERS reporting system, 338 of these being due to thrombocytopenia. There are forward and backward mechanistic principles for why this is happening. The natural infection is known to cause coagulopathy due to the spike protein. All gene therapy vaccines direct the body to make the spike protein. Zhang et al in [a scientific paper published in the Journal of Hematology & Oncology] in September 2020 showed that if you infuse spike protein into mice that have humanized ACE-2 receptors on blood platelets that you also get disseminated thrombosis. Spike protein incubated with human blood in vitro also caused blood clot development which was resistant to fibrinolysis. [Fibrinolysis is the body's process of breaking down blood clots]. The spike protein is causing thrombotic events, which cannot be resolved through natural means. And all vaccines must be halted in the hope that they can be reformulated to guard against this adverse effect. The article continues with a continuation of her comment in written form (since her oral comment was interrupted due to time limits): Third, there is strong evidence for immune escape, and that inoculation under pandemic pressure with these leaky vaccines is driving the creation of more lethal mutants that are both newly infecting a younger age demographic, and causing more Covid-related deaths across the population than would have occurred without intervention. That is, there is evidence that the vaccines are making the pandemic worse. It is clear that we are seeing a temporal immune depression immediately following the inoculations [see World Meter Global Covid deaths counts following inoculation dates] and there are immunosuppressive regions on spike proteins, as well as Syn-2, that could be likely causing this, through a T-cell mediated mechanism. If we do not stop this vaccine campaign until these issues can be investigated,

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
			we may see a phenomenon such as we see in chickens with Marek's disease. We have enough evidence now to see a clear correlation with increased Covid deaths and the vaccine campaigns. This is not a coincidence. It is an unfortunate unintended effect of the vaccines. We simply must not turn a blind eye and pretend this is not occurring. We must halt all Covid vaccine administration immediately, before we create a true pandemic that we cannot reign in.
Staff	Opposed to Policy	General Complaint;	Detailed discussion of how Covid-19 fatalities were inflated: https://off-guardian.org/2021/06/02/counting-covids-deceptive-deaths/ The rollout of experimental "vaccines" is justified on the grounds of an "emergency" that is no emergency, once examined.
Staff	Opposed to Policy	General Complaint;	UNM has already become an evil institution by threatening to mandate vaccination with these experimental and dubious Trump vaccines. Consider the arguments on this Twitter thread. Yes, it's "just" a Twitter thread. It also makes far more sense than the establishment narrative. https://twitter.com/goddeketal/status/1384544526174498816 You are serving an evil, totalitarian agenda. Period. No qualifiers needed.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Opposed to Policy	General Complaint;	While this site is far too pharmaceutical industry friendly for my taste, this is a must read piece on the inadequate, non-standard testing that was done by Pfizer on their experimental "vaccines," skipping crucial steps. Yes, it was rushed. Don't tell us it wasn't rushed. Why is our university's president continuing to push these high-risk shots, when Covid-19 results in no more than mild flu-like symptoms in most people?" Among the most critical tests, which must be performed prior to testing any drug or vaccines in a human being, is whether it can cause mutations in the DNA (genotoxicity), or whether it could cause problems with cells or tissues of the reproductive tract – including ovaries (reproductive toxicity). In the case of the Pfizer COVID mRNA vaccine, these newly revealed documents raise additional questions about both the genotoxicity and reproductive toxicity risks of this product. Standard studies designed to assess these risks were not performed in compliance with accepted empirical research standards. Furthermore, in key studies designed to test whether the vaccine remains near the injection site or travels throughout the body, Pfizer did not even use the commercial vaccine (BNT162b2) but instead relied on a “surrogate” mRNA producing the luciferase protein. These new disclosures seem to indicate that the U.S. and other governments are conducting a massive vaccination program with an incompletely characterized experimental vaccine. It is certainly understandable why the vaccine was rushed into use as an experimental product under emergency use authority [BULLSHIT!], but these new findings suggest that routine quality testing issues were overlooked in the rush to authorize use. People are now receiving injections with an mRNA gene therapy-based vaccine, which produces the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein in their cells, and the vaccine may be also delivering the mRNA and producing spike protein in unintended organs and tissues (which may include ovaries). Unfortunately, there is no way to know if this is related to vaccine safety signals or reports of menstrual irregularities; the required studies were either not done or not done properly." https://trialsitenews.com/did-pfizer-fail-to-perform-industry-standard-animal-testing-prior-to-initiation-of-mrna-clinical-trials/
Staff	Opposed to Policy	General Complaint;	But a vaccine mandate would be a convenient way to remove some political dissidents from the leftist political club that is UNM, now wouldn't it?

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Opposed to Policy	General Complaint;	BMJ op ed and petition to FDA. Please read:https://blogs.bmj.com/bmj/2021/06/08/why-we-petitioned-the-fda-to-refrain-from-fully-approving-any-covid-19-vaccine-this-year/(Petition link in op ed.)"In this petition, we outline efficacy and safety measures that must be met before serious consideration is given to granting a BLA of any COVID-19 vaccine. These measures include:1. Completing at least 2 years of follow-up of participants originally enrolled in pivotal clinical trials, even if the trials were unblinded and now lack a placebo control. All vaccine manufacturer phase 3 trials were already designed with this planned duration.2. Ensuring, prior to including in the list of populations for which a vaccine is approved, that there is substantial evidence of clinical effectiveness that outweighs harms in special populations such as: infants, children, and adolescents; those with past SARS-CoV-2 infection; immunocompromised; pregnant women; nursing women; frail older adults; and individuals with cancer, autoimmune disorders, and hematological conditions.3. Requiring thorough safety assessment of spike proteins being produced in-situ by the body tissues following vaccine administration, and spike proteins' full biodistribution, pharmacokinetics, and tissue specific toxicity.4. Completion of vaccine biodistribution studies from administration site and safety implications of mRNA translation in distant tissues.5. Thorough investigation of all severe adverse reactions reported following COVID-19 vaccination, such as deaths, reported in the United States and global pharmacovigilance systems.6. Assessment of safety in individuals receiving more than two doses.7. Inclusion of gene delivery and therapy experts in the Vaccines and Related Biological Products Advisory Committee (VRBPAC), in recognition of the fact that the novel COVID vaccines work on the premise of gene delivery, in contrast to conventional vaccines.8. Enforcing stringent conflict of interest requirements to ensure individuals involved in data analysis and BLA-related decision making processes have no conflict of interests with vaccine manufacturers."Note "even if the trials were unblinded and now lack a placebo control," which really moots the entire approval process, in my opinion. At best the FDA can back off from the damage its already done, but regaining my trust is out of the question.
Staff	Opposed to Policy	General Complaint;	Children's Health Defense's more petition for more radical change regarding Covid-19 "vaccine" approval:https://childrenshealthdefense.org/wp-content/uploads/FDA-2021-P-0460-0001_attachment_1.pdf
Staff	Opposed to Policy	General Complaint;	I do not think it should be mandatory to receive a vaccination. I am perfectly willing to wear a mask and social distance if need be.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Opposed to Policy	General Complaint;	https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/coronavirus-covid-19-update-june-25-2021 https://www.israelnationalnews.com/News/News.aspx/308664 Will UNM continue to flatly describe these vaccines as "safe?"If you don't care about people's health and autonomy, perhaps you could consider the potential for lawsuits, and the complete discrediting of your authority down the line?
Staff	Opposed to Policy	General Complaint;	Why we trust the CDC so much, Part 1,100: https://www.revolver.news/2021/06/revolver-part-one-covid-vaers-deaths-cover-up/
Staff	Opposed to Policy	General Complaint;	I have lost almost all respect for health professionals over the past year. What I have seen is a profession with zero professional ethics.Good work.
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I believe the vaccine to be a personal choice and should be left to each individual to decide whether they chose to get it or not. It should not be mandated nor required.
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety;Religious Accommodation;	I feel strongly opposed to receiving the vaccine. Religious reasons as well as the fact that the long term effects of this vaccine are still unknown. What are the restrictions to those who choose to opt out of the mandate? How detailed will the request to opt out need to be?
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety;	There is so much information available showing that there are viable treatments for covid viruses.There is so much information available showing that people are developing health conditions that are making their lives very difficult after receiving a vaccine.Please look very seriously at the information opposing the vaccines. https://tinyurl.com/56jz8vhv https://tinyurl.com/njd5s9kk
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety;	As I find more information concerning the corona virus I will continue to share. https://evolvetoecology.org/2021/06/29/dr-simone-gold-on-politicization-of-medicine-and-nuremberg-code-violations/ https://factcheckvaccine.com/2021/06/dr-simone-gold-aflids-frontline-forum-with-michelle-malkin-june-19-2021/

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety;	There is so much information available concerning the reality of the so called COVID vaccines that raise many questions about the real purpose of the so called vaccines. Again, I want to reiterate what I have said in previous responses that there is evidence that a vaccine is not necessary due to the fact that there are treatments for corona virus infections that have been suppressed. Below is a link to an interview with Dr. TenPenny who explains in detail what has been discovered concerning the effects of the "vaccines" on the human body. https://rumble.com/vhweld-dr-tenpenny-explains-in-plain-english-english-engels-nederlands-dutch-sub-.html PLEASE DO YOUR OWN RESEARCH before you finalize the return to campus policy. There is evidence that the "vaccines" are causing cardiac inflammation in youth. There is evidence that the "vaccines" will most likely make things worse for people who have received the shot when the flu season returns. https://www.drtenpenny.com/ https://americasfrontlinedoctors.org/ https://vaers.hhs.gov/
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety;	Recent data has come out finding that several of the major vaccines being distributed are caused severe heart inflammation and even death in young people. This has been observed in a wide range of ages from 12 to 20+. This is concerning because students leaving high school to learn at UNM fall into this age range. If the University requires these students to be vaccinated and these adverse reactions or deaths occur the University will be responsible. OSHA has made the entity that requires the vaccine to be responsible for the side effects. Another finding that is not being widely talked about is that both the delta variant and COVID-19 is infecting individuals that are FULLY vaccinated. Hospitalizations and rapid spread is occurring for fully vaccinated people. The "vaccine" is a permanent change in an individual's DNA and irreversible. The university needs to be weary of making this mandatory for anyone as even the wording for mandatory could make them responsible for any adverse side effects or death. The CDC is accounting for the adverse side effects and deaths as a direct result of the "vaccine". I would not make this mandatory as people are responsible for the side effects if it is their choice. If you do make it mandatory there needs to be exemptions and the exemptions need to be brought to everyone's attention and not hidden.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety;	More evidence for T-cell immunity to SARS-Cov-2 in individuals who haven't been exposed to that virus. More reason why the risks of the "vaccines" are unacceptable (given lots of "hidden" pre-existing immunity). "The COVID-19 pandemic has revealed a range of disease phenotypes in infected patients with asymptomatic, mild, or severe clinical outcomes, but the mechanisms that determine such variable outcomes remain unresolved. In this study, we identified immunodominant CD8 T-cell epitopes in the spike antigen using a novel TCR-binding algorithm. The predicted epitopes induced robust T-cell activation in unexposed donors demonstrating pre-existing CD4 and CD8 T-cell immunity to SARS-CoV-2 antigen. The T-cell reactivity to the predicted epitopes was higher than the Spike-S1 and S2 peptide pools in the unexposed donors. A key finding of our study is that pre-existing T-cell immunity to SARS-CoV-2 is contributed by TCRs that recognize common viral antigens such as Influenza and CMV, even though the viral epitopes lack sequence identity to the SARS-CoV-2 epitopes. This finding is in contrast to multiple published studies in which pre-existing T-cell immunity is suggested to arise from shared epitopes between SARS-CoV-2 and other common cold-causing coronaviruses. However, our findings suggest that SARS-CoV-2 reactive T-cells are likely to be present in many individuals because of prior exposure to flu and CMV viruses." https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-021-92521-4 Of course, I guess this won't mean anything to people who think they are "following the science" by dismissing the natural immunity of those who have had Covid-19! If there is any give away that your proposal is not following the science, it is your insistence that those who have already had the virus be vaccinated. A most unimpressive performance on your part, demonstrating a complete lack of rational leadership where health policy is concerned.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety;	When are you going to reverse course? How bad do the adverse events have to become?"Background: COVID-19 vaccines have had expedited reviews without sufficient safety data. We wanted to compare risks and benefits. Method: We calculated the number needed to vaccinate (NNTV) from a large Israeli field study to prevent one death. We accessed the Adverse Drug Reactions (ADR) database of the European Medicines Agency and of the Dutch National Register (lareb.nl) to extract the number of cases reporting severe side effects and the number of cases with fatal side effects. Result: The NNTV is between 200–700 to prevent one case of COVID-19 for the mRNA vaccine marketed by Pfizer, while the NNTV to prevent one death is between 9,000 and 50,000 (95% confidence interval), with 16,000 as a point estimate. The number of cases experiencing adverse reactions has been reported to be 700 per 100,000 vaccinations. Currently, we see 16 serious side effects per 100,000 vaccinations, and the number of fatal side effects is at 4.11 per 100,000 vaccinations. For three deaths prevented by vaccination, we have to accept one inflicted by vaccination. Conclusions: This lack of clear benefit should cause governments to rethink their vaccination policy." https://lockdownsceptics.org/2021/06/24/one-person-dies-for-every-three-lives-saved-says-peer-reviewed-vaccine-study/Incidentally, this has come closer to home in the past week. I found out that my sister's husband immediately started feeling low energy after he had the vaccine back toward the end of March (something which has not changed since), and he has now been diagnosed with atrial fibrillation, his doctor says was "triggered by the vaccine. Both my sister and he had Covid-19 last year and got through it without any trouble. They are both in their 60's.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety;	In a March, 22, 2021 editorial in the British Medical Journal, Idrani Roy (identified only as a scientist) writes: Here I would like to bring attention to an urgent and very important issue of its indirect effect. Apart from the direct side effect after vaccination, if any; the secondary effect that might be caused due to mutation of the virus after mass vaccination needs attention too. After the initiation of vaccine programme, almost all countries experienced a sudden surge of transmission and most countries had to impose strict lockdown measures. Professor Paul Bieniasz from Rockefeller University, USA, expressed his concern that vaccines themselves can also drive viral mutations and hence COVID-19 vaccines can add fuel to the evolution of mutation of Coronavirus. According to him the time between initial vaccination and the time of second shot to maximize the immune response might serve as a sort of breeding ground for the virus to acquire new mutations [1]. A highly populated country India was having a steady decrease for five months. India did not have any lockdown. Though neighbouring countries Pakistan and Bangladesh experienced the 2nd wave this winter but India did not. India passed major festive seasons where social distancing was very difficult to be maintained, still cases and deaths continued to decline. Surprisingly, vaccination started on 16th January and from around 16th February, India started showing a rise in cases. Now there is a steep rise in deaths too [2]. As India nearly managed the disease without any vaccine or lockdown, it attracted global attention. However, scientists failed to associate any obvious cause for the sudden surge in the recent period when winter passed. India's neighbouring countries Pakistan and Bangladesh also started a rise in cases in recent period, after vaccination started, though they already experienced a 2nd wave last winter. For Brazil, vaccination started in mid-January and a sharp rise in cases is observed since mid-February. Such a steep rise in deaths in Brazil that happened for the last one month never happened in the whole period of pandemic. It already reached twice the height of previous peaks [3]. Globally, the cases started increasing after 5 weeks of a steady decline and coincidentally, the period of rise matches when major vaccination programmes were initiated worldwide. Some countries are now showing a decline, where lockdown and seasonal temperature are playing strong roles. Even for the UK and Israel, where massive vaccination took place, the total deaths in the last three months after vaccination now reached the overall death of the past 10 months before vaccination [2]. https://www.bmj.com/content/371/bmj.m4037/rr-20

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety;	There have been many attempts to make viral vaccines in the past that ended in utter failure, which is why we did not have a coronavirus vaccine in 2020. In the 1960's, scientists attempted to make an RSV (Respiratory Syncytial Virus) vaccine for infants. In that study, they skipped animal trials because they weren't necessary back then. In the end, the vaccinated infants got much sicker than the unvaccinated infants when exposed to the virus in nature, with 80% of the vaccinated infants requiring hospitalization, and two of them died. After 2000, scientists made many attempts to create coronavirus vaccines. For the past 20 years, all ended in failure because the animals in the clinical trials got very sick and many died, just like the children in the 1960's. You can read a summary of this history/science here. Or if you want to read the individual studies you can check out these links: In 2004 attempted vaccine produced hepatitis in ferrets In 2005 mice and civets became sick and more susceptible to coronaviruses after being vaccinated In 2012 the ferrets became sick and died. And in this study mice and ferrets developed lung disease. In 2016 this study also produce lung disease in mice. The typical pattern in the studies mentioned above is that the children and the animals produced beautiful antibody responses after being vaccinated. The manufacturers thought they hit the jackpot. The problem came when the children and animals were exposed to the wild version of the virus. When that happened, an unexplained phenomenon called Antibody Dependent Enhancement (ADE) also known as Vaccine Enhanced Disease (VED) occurred where the immune system produced a "cytokine storm" (i.e. overwhelmingly attacked the body), and the children/animals died. Here's the lingering issue... The vaccine makers have no data to suggest their rushed vaccines have overcome that problem. The entire post is worth reading, in my opinion.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety;	This is not the first time J&J has violated FCPA laws. In 2011, J&J was charged by the Department of Justice with conspiracy for paying off Greek doctors to advance its product sales. The SEC also charged civil complaints. The company had to pay out a \$70 million penalty for buying off officials in Greece, Poland and Romania. In 2010, an executive for J&J's subsidiary DePuy was sentenced to a year in prison for corrupt payments to physicians within the Greek national healthcare system. As one of the world's leading medical device companies, J&J has had its share of recalls for faulty products including contact lenses and hip implants. In 2013, it paid nearly \$2.5 billion to compensate 8,000 recipients for its flawed hip implants. Again in 2016, another \$1 billion was awarded to plaintiffs injured from this device. One particular dubious activity the company became involved with in 2008 was to launch a "phantom recall." When its Motrin IB caplets were discovered to not properly dissolve, it hired outside contractors to buy up store supplies in order to avoid making public declaration. No one would have known of this activity and it would have gotten past the eyes of FDA inspectors had the deception not been exposed during a Congressional investigation. https://www.globalresearch.ca/j-js-one-shot-and-youre-done-vaccine-a-new-experimental-covid-vaccine-we-need-to-challenge-jjs-reputation/5739286 In a blog post entitled "18 Reasons I Won't Be Getting a Covid Vaccine," a blogger named Christian Elliot writes (again, please consult the original, linked to below, for live links to his sources): The four major companies who are making these covid vaccines are/have either: Never brought a vaccine to market before covid (Moderna and Johnson & Johnson). Are serial felons (Pfizer, and Astra Zeneca). Are both (Johnson & Johnson). Moderna had been trying to "Modernize our RNA" (thus the company name)--for years, but had never successfully brought ANY product to market--how nice for them to get a major cash infusion from the government to keep trying. In fact, all major vaccine makers (save Moderna) have paid out tens of billions of dollars in damages for other products they brought to market when they knew those products would cause injuries and death--see Vioxx, Bextra, Celebrex, Thalidomide, and opioids as a few examples. If drug companies willfully choose to put harmful products in the market, when they can be sued, why would we trust any product where they have NO liability? In case it hasn't sunk in, let me reiterate...3 of the 4 covid vaccine makers have been sued for products they brought to market even though they knew injuries and deaths would result. Johnson & Johnson has lost major lawsuits in 1995, 1996, 2001, 2010, 2011, 2016, 2019 Pfizer has the distinction of the biggest criminal payout in history. They have lost so many lawsuits it's hard to count. You can check out their rap sheet here. Maybe that's why they are demanding that countries where they don't have liability protection put up collateral to cover vaccine-injury lawsuits. Astra Zeneca has similarly lost so many lawsuits it's hard to count. Here's one. Here's another...you get the point. And in case you missed it, the company had their covid vaccine suspended in at least 18

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
			countries over concerns of blood clots, and they completely botched their meeting with the FDA with numbers from their study that didn't match. Oh, and apparently J&J (whose vaccine is approved for "Emergency Use" in the US) and AstraZenca (whose vaccine is not approved for "Emergency Use" in the US), had a little mix up in their ingredients...in 15 million doses. From: https://www.deconstructingconventional.com/post/18-reason-i-won-t-be-getting-a-covid-vaccine The same blog post continues by recounting the history of failed research into corona virus vaccines:

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety;	This is not the first time J&J has violated FCPA laws. In 2011, J&J was charged by the Department of Justice with conspiracy for paying off Greek doctors to advance its product sales. The SEC also charged civil complaints. The company had to pay out a \$70 million penalty for buying off officials in Greece, Poland and Romania. In 2010, an executive for J&J's subsidiary DePuy was sentenced to a year in prison for corrupt payments to physicians within the Greek national healthcare system. As one of the world's leading medical device companies, J&J has had its share of recalls for faulty products including contact lenses and hip implants. In 2013, it paid nearly \$2.5 billion to compensate 8,000 recipients for its flawed hip implants. Again in 2016, another \$1 billion was awarded to plaintiffs injured from this device. One particular dubious activity the company became involved with in 2008 was to launch a "phantom recall." When its Motrin IB caplets were discovered to not properly dissolve, it hired outside contractors to buy up store supplies in order to avoid making public declaration. No one would have known of this activity and it would have gotten past the eyes of FDA inspectors had the deception not been exposed during a Congressional investigation. https://www.globalresearch.ca/j-js-one-shot-and-youre-done-vaccine-a-new-experimental-covid-vaccine-we-need-to-challenge-jjs-reputation/5739286 In a blog post entitled "18 Reasons I Won't Be Getting a Covid Vaccine," a blogger named Christian Elliot writes (again, please consult the original, linked to below, for live links to his sources): The four major companies who are making these covid vaccines are/have either: Never brought a vaccine to market before covid (Moderna and Johnson & Johnson). Are serial felons (Pfizer, and Astra Zeneca). Are both (Johnson & Johnson). Moderna had been trying to "Modernize our RNA" (thus the company name)--for years, but had never successfully brought ANY product to market--how nice for them to get a major cash infusion from the government to keep trying. In fact, all major vaccine makers (save Moderna) have paid out tens of billions of dollars in damages for other products they brought to market when they knew those products would cause injuries and death--see Vioxx, Bextra, Celebrex, Thalidomide, and opioids as a few examples. If drug companies willfully choose to put harmful products in the market, when they can be sued, why would we trust any product where they have NO liability? In case it hasn't sunk in, let me reiterate...3 of the 4 covid vaccine makers have been sued for products they brought to market even though they knew injuries and deaths would result. Johnson & Johnson has lost major lawsuits in 1995, 1996, 2001, 2010, 2011, 2016, 2019 Pfizer has the distinction of the biggest criminal payout in history. They have lost so many lawsuits it's hard to count. You can check out their rap sheet here. Maybe that's why they are demanding that countries where they don't have liability protection put up collateral to cover vaccine-injury lawsuits. Astra Zeneca has similarly lost so many lawsuits it's hard to count. Here's one. Here's another...you get the point. And in case you missed it, the company had their covid vaccine suspended in at least 18

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
			countries over concerns of blood clots, and they completely botched their meeting with the FDA with numbers from their study that didn't match. Oh, and apparently J&J (whose vaccine is approved for "Emergency Use" in the US) and AstraZenca (whose vaccine is not approved for "Emergency Use" in the US), had a little mix up in their ingredients...in 15 million doses. From: https://www.deconstructingconventional.com/post/18-reason-i-won-t-be-getting-a-covid-vaccine The same blog post continues by recounting the history of failed research into corona virus vaccines:

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety;	4. The vaccines are experimental by definition. They will remain in Phase 3 trials until 2023. Recipients are human subjects entitled to free informed consent under Nuremberg and other protections, including the Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe’s resolution 2361[43] and the FDA’s terms of emergency use authorisation[29]. With respect to safety data from Phase 1 and 2 trials, in spite of initially large sample sizes the journal Vaccine reports that, “the vaccination strategy chosen for further development may have only been given to as few as 12 participants”[32].With such extremely small sample sizes, the journal notes that, “larger Phase 3 studies conducted over longer periods of time will be necessary” to establish safety. The risks that remain to be evaluated in Phase 3 trials into 2023, with entire populations as subjects, include not only thrombosis and bleeding abnormalities, but other autoimmune responses, allergic reactions, unknown tropisms (tissue destinations) of lipid nanoparticles[35], antibody-dependent enhancement [43-46] and the impact of rushed, questionably executed, poorly regulated[47] and reportedly inconsistent manufacturing methods, conferring risks of potentially harmful impurities such as uncontrolled DNA residues[48]. The vaccines are not safe, either for recipients or for those who administer them or authorise their use. 5. Initial experience might suggest that the adenovirus-derived vaccines (AstraZeneca/Johnson & Johnson) cause graver adverse effects than the mRNA (Pfizer/Moderna) vaccines. However, upon repeated injection, the former will soon induce antibodies against the proteins of the adenovirus vector. These antibodies will then neutralize most of the vaccine virus particles and cause their disposal before they can infect any cells, thereby limiting the intensity of tissue damage.In contrast, in the mRNA vaccines, there is no protein antigen for the antibodies to recognize. Thus, regardless of the existing degree of immunity, the vaccine mRNA is going to reach its target — the body cells. These will then express the spike protein and subsequently suffer the full onslaught of the immune system.With the mRNA vaccines, the risk of severe adverse events is virtually guaranteed to increase with every successive injection. In the long term, they are therefore even more dangerous than the vector vaccines. Their apparent preferment over the latter is concerning in the highest degree; these vaccines are not safe.Sorry for the absence of links to the sources referred to, but live links can be found in the original: https://off-guardian.org/2021/05/05/covid-vaccines-necessity-efficacy-and-safety/Many of the companies putting out these vaccines have a record of serious safety violations.Richard Gale and Dr. Gary Null have written the following article just on Johnson & Johnson’s legal history. Among other examples the article gives, it states:Similar to its equally over-sized competitors Glaxo, Merck and Pfizer, J&J too has had to pay out billions of dollars over the decades for civil settlements and criminal activities. As the pharmaceutical giant receives applause across the mainstream media for the release and FDA emergency approval for its Covid-19 vaccine, Brazil’s Public Prosecution Service started an investigation

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
			into J&J's antitrust activities under the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (FCPA) for "possible improper payments in its medical device industry." This was part of an FBI bribery scheme investigation that included Siemens, General Electric and Philips acting as a larger cartel to illegally payoff government officials in return for securing contracts with Brail's national health programs. The charges also include price gouging, inflating prices up to 800 percent the market price to cover bribes.
Staff	Opposed to Policy	General Complaint;	This is a good example of why I don't trust the CDC:"Throughout the pandemic, CT values in excess of 35 have been the norm, with labs around the world going into the 40s.Essentially labs were running as many cycles as necessary to achieve a positive result, despite experts warning that this was pointless (even Fauci himself said anything over 35 cycles is meaningless).But NOW, and only for fully vaccinated people, the CDC will only accept samples achieved from 28 cycles or fewer. That can only be a deliberate decision in order to decrease the number of 'breakthrough infections' being officially recorded.Secondly, asymptomatic or mild infections will no longer be recorded as 'covid cases'.That's right. Even if a sample collected at the low CT value of 28 can be sequenced into the virus alleged to cause Covid19, the CDC will no longer be keeping records of breakthrough infections that don't result in hospitalisation or death." https://off-guardian.org/2021/05/18/how-the-cdc-is-manipulating-data-to-prop-up-vaccine-effectiveness/ But I'm sure there's a perfect good, benign, science-based reason for this policy discrepancy. Sure there ****ing is!
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety;	Honestly, I do not think that UNM should require anyone to take the vaccine. The vaccine has not been approved by the FDA officially. The FDA has only approved the vaccines for emergency use. The vaccines are still being analyzed and is not expected to be completed for Moderna in October of 2022 and Pfizer in January of 2023. The vaccine is still in its experimental phase and should not be considered as a requirement.We do not have all of the facts on how this vaccine will affect anyone long term, it is my understanding that all of the vaccines may cause blood clots.I also understand that these vaccines are using a new technology that has not been used as of yet. Typically the vaccines that have been created currently or in the past have used antigens, which the body thinks is foreign. These vaccines modifi the RNA to program our cells to make the antigen. We do not have enough data on how it will affect us long term and whether or not our bodies will consider it as self or foreign.I would really appreciate you taking all of this into consideration and not require that we get the vaccine. Thank you.
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety;	Our regulatory agencies cannot be trusted: https://alethonews.com/2021/06/12/fda-gives-emergent-biosolutions-a-consolation-prize-it-must-throw-out-60-million-vaccine-doses-but-can-sell-10-million-which-will-be-labeled-as-failing-gmp-standards/

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety;	Myocarditis in young male recipients of Covid-19 "vaccines," well above normally expected frequency."Vaccines and Related Biological Products Advisory Committee June 10, 2021 Meeting Presentation - COVID-19 Vaccine Safety Updates" https://www.fda.gov/advisory-committees/advisory-committee-calendar/vaccines-and-related-biological-products-advisory-committee-june-10-2021-meeting-announcement#event-materials But keep pretending this is about protecting the pack.
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety;	Top scientist warns about potential vaccine mid-long term issues: https://rairfoundation.com/nobel-laureate-luc-montagnier-warns-covid-vaccine-may-lead-to-neurodegenerative-illness-video/ If you force this on people you might be causing them serious health problems.
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety;	I am probably overdue for new eyeglasses. I am not having my eyes checked, because I can't afford new glasses now, in light of the potential that I will be fired in the near future for refusing this experimental gene therapy that you are pushing, and the financial uncertainty that goes along with that. You are already adversely affecting my health, by being all in on paving the way for whatever sick agenda is behind these "vaccines."Don't dare and send out any more staff wellness announcements. You are trying to push everyone at UNM to take ridiculous risks with their health via these "vaccines." Hopefully some of you will be ashamed some day, but that doesn't count for anything now.You are negatively impacting the well-being and morale of your employees.It's time to treat Covid-19 realistically and reopen fully, returning to normal--none of these masks which do not work, and no high-risk "vaccines" that might easily turn out worse than the disease.
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety;	"The COVID-19 spike protein may be a potentially unsafe toxic endothelial pathogen"Paul Elias Alexander, PhD; Parvez Dara, MD, MBA; Howard Tenenbaum, DDS, PhD https://trialsitenews.com/the-covid-19-spike-protein-may-be-a-potentially-unsafe-toxic-endothelial-pathogen/ With extensive reference to research, some of which I do not recognize at first glance.The emphasis here is on not giving these experimental gene therapies to children, but of course I think there is no legitimate reason to force them on adults either.There is now an avalanche of evidence that these "vaccines" are dangerous. You must not make a rushed decision on this issue, even if our unreliable FDA foolishly gives full authorizations to these experimental treatments.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety;	This is your assigned reading for the week:"Operation Warp Speed brought to market in the United States two mRNA vaccines, produced by Pfizer and Moderna. Interim data suggested high efficacy for both of these vaccines, which helped legitimize Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) by the FDA. However, the exceptionally rapid movement of these vaccines through controlled trials and into mass deployment raises multiple safety concerns. In this review we first describe the technology underlying these vaccines in detail. We then review both components of and the intended biological response to these vaccines, including production of the spike protein itself, and their potential relationship to a wide range of both acute and long-term induced pathologies, such as blood disorders, neurodegenerative diseases and autoimmune diseases. Among these potential induced pathologies, we discuss the relevance of prion-protein-related amino acid sequences within the spike protein. We also present a brief review of studies supporting the potential for spike protein “shedding”, transmission of the protein from a vaccinated to an unvaccinated person, resulting in symptoms induced in the latter. We finish by addressing a common point of debate, namely, whether or not these vaccines could modify the DNA of those receiving the vaccination. While there are no studies demonstrating definitively that this is happening, we provide a plausible scenario, supported by previously established pathways for transformation and transport of genetic material, whereby injected mRNA could ultimately be incorporated into germ cell DNA for transgenerational transmission. We conclude with our recommendations regarding surveillance that will help to clarify the long-term effects of these experimental drugs and allow us to better assess the true risk/benefit ratio of these novel technologies." https://ijvtpr.com/index.php/IJVTpr/article/view/231'm still working on it myself.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety;	Suppression of Ivermectin challenged in India, where it has had immense apparent success:"Dr. Soumya Swaminathan, the Chief Scientist at the World Health Organisation (WHO), was apparently served a legal notice by Indian Bar Association (IBA) on May 25, 2021, for her alleged act of spreading disinformation and thus misleading the people of India, in order to fulfill her own agenda, so the plaintiff's action declares. It is reported that Dr. Soumya Swaminathan deliberately ignored and suppressed the FLCCC and BIRD Panel's data regarding the effectiveness of the drug Ivermectin, with an intent to dissuade the people of India from using Ivermectin. Moreover, the nation of India has included ivermectin in their national protocol and, as TrialSite recently reported, in states where the treatment is in wide use an unprecedented reduction of cases is now reported. Of course, it's difficult to prove a direct correlation without a designed study but the turnaround in cases in Uttar Pradesh, one of the states with a population-wide ivermectin regimen, is indeed striking.According to entries in the Indian media, the notice is based on the research and clinical trials carried out by 'Front Line COVID-19 Critical Care Alliance' (FLCCC) and the British Ivermectin Recommendation Development (BIRD) Panel, who have presented enormous data that strengthen the case for the recommendation of Ivermectin in the prevention and treatment of COVID-19.However, the Indian Council for Medical Research (ICMR) and All India Institute of Medical Sciences (AIIMS), Delhi have refused to accept her stand and have retained the recommendation for Ivermectin under 'May Do' category, for patients with mild symptoms and those in home isolation, as stated in 'The National Guidelines for COVID-19 management' last updated on May 17, 2021.In order to stop Dr. Soumya Swaminathan from causing further damage to the life of citizens of this country, IBA has decided to initiate legal action against her and as part of the process, a legal notice has been served upon her.WHO Misinformation Campaign?TrialSite has evidence that WHO is suppressing data as, recently reported, they issued a report on the significant local effort of the public health operation in Uttar Pradesh yet omitted a fundamental fact, that ivermectin is in fact in widespread use as part of the very initiative they reported on their website. Why would WHO go to such great lengths to suppress this important information, that is a treatment that's actually working? What kind of agenda has this organization adopted where it appears to use misinformation to deceive and disrupt nations seeking to get this pandemic under control?"https://trialsitenews.com/indian-bar-association-serves-legal-notice-upon-dr-soumya-swaminathan-the-chief-scientist-who/I suspect the answer to those last questions is that the WHO is more interested in promoting the experimental Covid-19 "vaccines" than in controlling Covid-19.Once again, when you ask why people like me have come to be so distrustful of official health bodies such as the WHO, the CDC, and the FDA, here is a partial answer.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety;	New information on biodistribution of vaccines points in frightening direction."Recent studies indicate the spike protein, itself, may potentially be harmful.Recent studies⁴ suggest that the spike protein produced in response to vaccination, may bind and interact with various cells throughout the body, via their ACE2 receptors, potentially resulting in damage to various tissues and organs. This risk, no matter how theoretical, must be investigated prior to the vaccination of children and adolescents.Health Canada authorized the COVID-19 vaccines without biodistribution and pharmacokinetic studies on the virus spike protein.Given the concerns about the spike protein, it is important that we fully understand:• which cells are actually involved in the production of the spike protein, seeing that Pfizer's own study submitted to the Japanese authorities shows the deposition of vaccine nanoparticles in various tissues and organs⁵;• whether the spike protein is gaining access to the circulatory system and, if so, for how long;• whether the spike protein crosses the blood-brain barrier;• whether the spike protein interferes with semen production or ovulation,• whether the spike protein crosses the placenta and impacts a developing baby, or• whether the spike protein is excreted in the milk of lactating mothers.The same information is needed for the S1 subunit of the spike protein, which is the part that binds to ACE2 receptors; and which has also been detected in the plasma of individuals following mRNA-1273 (Moderna) vaccination (Ogata et al., 2021).The toxicity studies conducted with the Pfizer BioNTech vaccine do not allow for a safety assessment of the spike protein.Although Pfizer BioNTech conducted toxicity studies, including a reproductive toxicity study, they used rats as their animal model. Although rats have ACE2 receptors, these receptors have a very low binding affinity for the spike protein. In fact, of 14 mammalian species evaluated⁶, ACE2 receptors of rats and mice had the lowest spike protein binding affinities, while ACE2 receptors in humans and rhesus monkeys had the highest. So, while the current toxicity studies have provided useful information on the vaccine components, they provide little value in understanding the safety of the spike protein they code for."files.catbox.moe/k2miut.pdfhttps://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33053430/https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Sis1SddzbqkNote that the lack of biodistribution studies was called into question in this BMJ article: https://www.bmj.com/content/373/bmj.n1244And to repeat a statement of the obvious from that article: " [A]pproving a vaccine in order to legally support mandates or convince people of its safety arguably puts the cart before the horse."It's not too late to say: in light of new information, we cannot recommend these vaccines as safe (or particularly effective).

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety;	You have committed yourself to promoting "vaccines" which are experimental, were documentably rushed, and which pose serious health risks:https://trialsitenews.com/did-pfizer-fail-to-perform-industry-standard-animal-testing-prior-to-initiation-of-mrna-clinical-trials/More on absolute vs. relative risk:https://trialsitenews.com/vaccine-efficacy-questions-for-dr-anthony-s-fauci/You have no right to mandate these extremely problematic experimental biologicals! Maybe you are off the hook for liability now, but that could change in the future. If there is enough public outrage in the future, some of those who think they have a free pass to avoid liability may find that is not so. Laws change. Uprisings happen.
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety;	Here's your abstract for the day. Yes, this is a pre-print:"Vaccines based on mRNA-containing lipid nanoparticles (LNPs) are a promising new platform used by two leading vaccines against coronavirus disease in 2019 (COVID-19). Clinical trials and ongoing vaccinations present with very high protection levels and varying degrees of side effects. However, the nature of the reported side effects remains poorly defined. Here we present evidence that LNPs used in many preclinical studies are highly inflammatory in mice. Intradermal injection of these LNPs led to rapid and robust inflammatory responses, characterized by massive neutrophil infiltration, activation of diverse inflammatory pathways, and production of various inflammatory cytokines and chemokines. The same dose of LNP delivered intranasally led to similar inflammatory responses in the lung and resulted in a high mortality rate. In summary, here we show that the LNPs used for many preclinical studies are highly inflammatory. Thus, their potent adjuvant activity and reported superiority comparing to other adjuvants in supporting the induction of adaptive immune responses could stem from their inflammatory nature. Furthermore, the preclinical LNPs are similar to the ones used for human vaccines, which could also explain the observed side effects in humans using this platform." https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.03.04.430128v1
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety;	the COVID-19 Vaccinations now available are all experimental with no long-term safety studies. As found in the OpenVaers.com data as of 5/21/21, there have been 4,201 reported deaths as result of these vaccines (and it's estimated that VAERS only represents 10% of the actual total number of deaths because most people are not aware of this reporting mechanism), 1273 cases of Bell's Palsy, > 1000 anaphylaxis reaction, 12,625 hospitalization. and 29,707 urgent care visits. Forcing students or employees to get any vaccine goes against the Nuremberg Code. You will be held liable for any and all reactions, deaths and permanent disabilities that result from forcing people to get vaccinated. IN 1976, the Swine Flu Vaccine caused 25 deaths and it was pulled off the market. As of 5/21/2021, 4,201 deaths have occurred as result of these vaccines and nothing has been done by the CDC or FDA.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety;	In light of all of the below, in this piece in the BMJ, why should I trust the FDA's approval process for these vaccines? What kind of science is it to eliminate the control group in the midst of a trial? Why are so many standard protocols and norms being thrown out the window, for this non-existent emergency?"[A]pproving a vaccine in order to legally support mandates or convince people of its safety arguably puts the cart before the horse." More like inarguably. https://www.bmj.com/content/373/bmj.n1244
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Religious Accommodation	Please remove the religious exemption.
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety;	I have seen official communications from UNM stating that this vaccine was not "rushed." How can UNM claim this when animal testing was not completed, as recently confirmed in a Texas Senate Committee hearing? https://greatgameindia.com/covid-animal-trials-stopped/ What do you think you are doing pushing, let alone requiring, a rushed vaccine, with an experimental technology?What is the actual agenda behind all of this?Who do you serve?
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Religious Accommodation;	I am feeling an inordinate amount of pressure to conform to vaccination when it is clearly not aligned to my spiritual/religious beliefs. I feel discriminated and ridiculed by the general public, the media, etc. for my beliefs and traditional/alternative medicine practices. If you stand by the UNM land acknowledgement, then stand by traditional medicine practices, as well.I hope my comments are treated with respect and confidentiality.I appreciate your sincere regard for my dilemma.Thank you, [REDACTED]
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	I do not agree with a mandatory vaccine policy. An employer should not force employees to participate in any medical procedure. The COVID-19 vaccines are not FDA approved, forcing employees to participate in a medical treatment which is still experimental and in clinical testing, with unknown long-term effects is unethical and beyond the scope of any employers role, even during a global pandemic. As with any medical treatment or procedure, the right of each individual to choose for themselves and to exercise control over their own body should continue to be held inviolate.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	I have read the draft policy and the FAQs. For those who believe it is there right, through the First Amendment of the Bill of Rights of the US Constitution to determine what foreign bodies they will put into their body to have "free exercise, thereof..." what accommodation will be given to these individuals? This shot, that is not a vaccine, has not been fully tested and long term affects are not known. It has been proven to have fatal side effects for some, even those with no pre-existing medical conditions, and the effectiveness is being challenged across the international medical community. In light of the above, can individuals legally be held to this policy? I have grave concerns regarding implementation of this policy because of possible retribution of those who believe it is impinging on their rights and decline the shot. What recourse do individuals have based on the above? Can they sign a waiver?
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	I do NOT agree with mandatory vaccinations. We live in America where we have the freedom to choose what is best for our household. Is the University going to be held financial responsible for individuals that experience side effects or death from the vaccine? I do realize these are very rare, but even 1 case against the University could be financially disastrous. If students, staff, or faculty refuse to get vaccinated will they lose their job or be disenrolled from the University?
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	Thank you for making feedback available to all UNM affiliates. I ask that UNM does not make the COVID-19 shot mandatory. We are not all one size fits all when it comes to any vaccine. There are known risks, and known side effects. As we have seen in the past year, Operation Warp Speed has brought us several options of an experimental COVID-19 shot that has had no long term studies or research. With this in mind, individuals should be able to make an informed decision about this health procedure based upon the data that has been presented, their health history, concerns for future health issues, or even religious beliefs. I would hope that the decision makers in this process do realize that making vaccinations mandatory is discriminating. UNM is filled with a diverse population of students, faculty and staff that are different, and all of us should have a right to make our own medical choices. Thank you for your time and consideration in hearing my concerns.
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	-I feel it is wrong to try and require a vaccine that does not have full FDA approval. -APS opened back up and did not require everyone to be vaccinated. -Getting the vaccine should be someone's personal choice and not be required to work or go to school

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about PrivacyConcerns	I have some concerns with making this mandatory for a number of different reasons. I understand the goal is to achieve herd immunity, however the vaccine does not protect community members from transmitting the virus and or contracting the virus. Similar to the Flu vaccine it only reduces symptoms. It provides a false sense of security. Mandating community members to put something inside their body is fundamentally wrong, global pandemic or not. Will the University accept responsibility for any future side effects related to the vaccines? Even with potential FDA approval, trials have been limited. Staff was not and have not been required to provide immunization records when hired or in the past. Will UNM also mandate the measles vaccine and or other such vaccines that have been the source of prior pandemics? Are they not equally as dangerous? Regarding inclusivity: Students, staff or faculty that decide not to get the vaccine for whatever reason will no longer be allowed to use publicly funded facilities? Contractors and vendors will be allowed on campus without the vaccine but student, staff and faculty will not? That's hardly inclusive. Visitors vaccination status is unknown but may or may not be allowed to use publicly funded facilities? Students pay to use these facilities but now will be barred? Members of the campus community will not be allowed to use facilities while unvaccinated, but the general public will be good to go? Is this designed to vaccine shame members of the community for their personal choices? Allowing members of the community to wear items on their uniform, badge or other items stating they have been vaccinated is problematic. Yes, it is their free speech, however if unvaccinated members are banned from using campus facilities and vaccinated members are allowed to display their status, it is a form of vaccine shaming. You are now encouraging a rhetoric of division amongst community members. I thought this was an institution built on inclusivity. I find this whole proposal very disturbing and an overreach on the part of the University.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	My understanding is that you cannot require an individual to get a vaccine that is being distributed under an EUA, opposed to a full license this vaccine is not approved by the FDA. This would potentially delay Covid-19 mandates until the FDA approves the first vaccine under a biologics license. While UNM can certainly encourage their staff, students and faculty to be vaccinated, the Federal Law prohibits that, at least until the vaccine is licensed, individuals must have the option to accept or decline to be vaccinated. Knowing what an organization can or cannot do with respect to Covid-19 vaccines can help them keep employees and also save the organization from costly and time-consuming litigation.. Dr. Amanda Cohn, the executive secretary of the CDC's Advisory committee was asked if Covid-19 vaccinations can be required she responded " that under an EUA vaccines are not allowed to be mandatory. So, early in this vaccination phase, individuals will have to be consented and they won't be able to be mandatory". She affirmed this applies to all organizations, including hospitals.NM state law prohibits employers retaliating against an employee for refusing to participate in a violation of federal law. Organizations that require Covid-19 vaccination in violation federal law. Organizations are free to encourage vaccination thru internal communications to urge their employees to be vaccinated. They can take these measures as long as : (1) they are not viewed as coercive, (2) the organization makes clear the decision regarding whether to receive the vaccine is voluntary, and (3) the measures comply with the requirements in the EUAs and the related regulations for the products.I am sending my response hoping this will help with the decision on making a EUA vaccine mandatory or requirement of employment. I am hoping this response will be held in the utmost confidence and I am not retaliated against in any way shape or form for expressing my opinion on the vaccine.
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I'm against this plan. I prefer making my own medical decisions. I believe this is a violation of my body. I don't want anyone giving me a shot without my consent.
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	As a staff member, I absolutely disagree with mandatory vaccinations. I would 100% look for employment elsewhere. I do not think it's ethical to ask for mandatory vaccinations.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Hello, My name is [REDACTED] and I would like to say that I don't believe it should be mandatory for staff and students to have to receive the vaccination, By doing so you are not giving people the freedom to choose. People might feel like they will lose their job or education if they do not receive it. New Mexico has a big enough problem with unemployment and education, and this might just prevent a high school student or already college student from pursuing a higher education if they don't want the vaccination at this time. I want it known that I am by no means anti-vaccination but am looking at this from a different perspective. I do realize that you will only be allowed in certain areas if you received the vaccine, but how do you regulate an area as big as the PIT and verify that everyone on game night has received the vaccine and those that did not receive it will not spread the virus.
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Hello. I am a staff member as well as a student at the University. I find the suggested vaccine requirement to come on campus or affiliated work areas to be a infringement of human rights. I will not vaccinate myself against a virus that has a 99% survival rate and a need to re-vaccinate every year with a booster shot. I feel strongly enough about not getting the vaccine to say that if it is required, and I am not allowed to use an exemption form or work from home with the same rights as other staff members in my department, I will not only be finding a new place to work, but also a new place to go to school. I am fine working from home and doing school online like we have been this entire year, but there are times where I need to be on campus for work and for school. If you don't allow unvaccinated people to come to classes then you must offer more online options to finish degree programs. If you don't allow unvaccinated people to come to the office, then you must offer the option to work from home or it becomes a discrimination issue.
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I do not support requiring vaccinations this should be a personal choice.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about SafetyHealth Accommodation	From what I have been reading on the Covid-19 vaccines is the Pfizer and Moderna vaccines are not a typical vaccine but are synthetic gene therapy. The technology is new and there is no long term safety data to back up claims that it is safe. Another thing that I have read from multiple sources is that there are proven treatments and cures for Covid which makes a vaccine not necessary. I have an autoimmune condition that will not allow me to get the vaccine (gene therapy). The mRNA in the vaccine (gene therapy) are coated with a PEG-lipid coating. The autoimmune condition I have attacks lipids and causes blood clots. I suffered bilateral pulmonary embolism four years ago due to this condition. If I get the vaccine (gene therapy) I am afraid that it will cause massive clotting and I will not make it. This whole situation is so confusing. People get vaccinated to protect themselves from getting sick. In the past there was no mandatory vaccination. If someone was vaccinated they need not worry if someone else is not. Why is Covid different? Again, in the past, herd immunity was sought after as quickly as possible and people were not forced to lock down. To add to the confusion Anthony Fauci, Bill Gates, the World Health Organization, and the CEOs of Pfizer and Moderna have stated that the vaccine is not going to be enough. There will probably need to be a third dose, a booster, or even a new, more potent vaccine to help stop the spread of the virus. Masks and social distancing will not be going away any time soon. Joe Biden has even said that there will be another pandemic. Below are some of the websites I visited to learn more about the vaccines (gene therapy). https://www.nbcnews.com/science/science-news/what-mrna-how-pfizer-moderna-tapped-new-tech-make-coronavirus-n1248054 http://www.global-engage.com/life-science/synthetic-biology-mrna-gene-therapy/ https://www.angiesoptiongrm.org/blog/its-not-a-vaccine-its-synthetic-gene-therapy/ https://off-guardian.org/2021/02/22/synthetic-mrna-covid-vaccines-a-risk-benefit-analysis/
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I am appalled by this forced vaccination policy. What next? Mandatory chip by the antichrist? I don't think you have the right to do this and I see a very large class action lawsuit in the future against UNM.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice Concerns about Safety Impact to	I write to express my opposition to UNM's proposed vaccination policy. I object for the following reasons: 1. Even though the FDA granted Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) for three COVID-19 vaccines, they are not FDA approved to treat, cure or prevent any disease at this time. Clinical trials will continue for at least two years before the FDA can even consider approval of these vaccines as effective and safe. All people currently taking the vaccine are part of the phase 3 trials, which is explicitly stated in the vaccine information provided at the time of vaccination. As such neither the federal government, nor the state, nor a state agency can mandate that an employee must take the vaccine as a condition of employment. It is a violation of basic civil liberties and opens any agency that requires the vaccination up to potential lawsuits. 2. As the largest public university in the state if UNM required vaccination it would violate the civil rights of students as well. The requirement for vaccination would become an obstacle for attendance for students who are already underserved, and would again open the University up to potential lawsuits about inequality of access to education. 3. Normal vaccine trials take years to determine the long-term safety and side effects of the product. None of the vaccines developed in the last year have existed long enough to determine their long-term effects. The potential long-term effects to health are a significant concern to cancer survivors, such as myself, and violate our ability to make informed decisions about our own health care. The ADA, Americans with Disabilities Act, requires "reasonable accommodations," be provided based on an individual's own unique health situation. This includes rejection of an experimental vaccine intervention which may exacerbate known health problems and thereby cause harm. 4. Young adults are a healthy and immunologically competent and vibrant group that is at, "extraordinary low risk for COVID-19 morbidity and mortality." In fact most healthy adults under the age of 65 are at low risk of complications from Covid-19. 5. College and University students, however, are under significant mental health strain already from COVID-19 fears, circumstances, distance learning problems and the imposition of government health policy restrictions. 6. College-age women may be at unique risk for adverse events following administration of the experimental COVID vaccinations currently available. According to the CDC, all cases of life-threatening blood clots, subsequent to receiving the J&J vaccine, reported so far in the United States, occurred in younger women. The vast majority of cases of anaphylaxis have also occurred in women. In addition, "women are reporting having irregular menstrual cycles after getting the coronavirus vaccine," and 95 miscarriages have been reported to the U.S. Vaccine Adverse Effects Reporting System (VAERS) following COVID vaccination as of April 24, 2021. 7. Students and their families may justifiably believe these policies discriminate against individuals who aren't candidates for this vaccine, have pre-existing conditions, previous COVID-19 disease, cite religious objections, or are otherwise exercising their freewill choosing not to participate in this optional vaccine

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
			experiment. Refer to the Nuremberg code from WWII, which requires individuals, “to be able to exercise free power of choice, without the intervention of any element of force ...”⁸.Colleges and Universities should consider whether they might be liable for damages, poor health outcomes, and loss of life due to mandatory COVID-19 vaccination policies.⁹Informed consent is the standard for all medical interventions. The FDA factsheet for the healthcare provider reads, “The recipient or their caregiver has the option to accept or refuse (Pfizer-BioNTech) vaccine.”

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety;	The article goes on to mention support from another scientist (one of many who have made similar claims):Stephanie Seneff, Ph.D., an expert in protein synthesis, believes that Dr. Lindsay's hypothesis is correct. "I absolutely share these concerns," Dr. Seneff, who is a senior research scientist at MIT, wrote to me in a sobering email. "The potential for blood clotting disorders and the potential for sterilization are only part of the story. There are other potential long-term effects of these vaccines as well, such as autoimmune disease and immune escape, whereby the vaccines administered to immune-compromised people accelerate the mutation rate of the virus so as to render both naturally acquired and vaccine-induced antibodies no longer effective."Like Dr. Lindsay, Dr. Seneff believes we need to immediately halt Covid vaccine campaigns. "This massive clinical trial on the general population could have devastating and irreversible effects on a huge number of people," Seneff explains.Former Pfizer vice president Mike Yeadon has made similar claims. See, for example, this video, while it lasts on Youtube, before it's taken down to protect us:https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=m6fPJQcsP9sSucharit Bhakdi, MD and the group he is associated with called Doctors for Covid Ethics have raised similar issues. In an open letter from this group, they have written the following about the dangers of the vaccine (my emphasis):1. Just as smoking could be and was predicted to cause lung cancer based on first principles, all gene-based vaccines can be expected to cause blood clotting and bleeding disorders [33], based on their molecular mechanisms of action. Consistent with this, diseases of this kind have been observed across age groups, leading to temporary vaccine suspensions around the world: The vaccines are not safe.2. Contrary to claims that blood disorders post-vaccination are "rare", many common vaccine side effects (headaches, nausea, vomiting and haematoma-like "rashes" over the body) may indicate thrombosis and other severe abnormalities. Moreover, vaccine-induced diffuse micro-thromboses in the lungs can mimic pneumonia and may be misdiagnosed as COVID-19. Clotting events currently receiving media attention are likely just the "tip of a huge iceberg"[34]: The vaccines are not safe.3. Due to immunological priming, risks of clotting, bleeding and other adverse events can be expected to increase with each re-vaccination and each intervening coronavirus exposure. Over time, whether months or years[35], this renders both vaccination and coronaviruses dangerous to young and healthy age groups, for whom without vaccination COVID-19 poses no substantive risk. Since vaccine roll-out, COVID-19 incidence has risen in numerous areas with high vaccination rates[36-38].Furthermore, multiple series of COVID-19 fatalities have occurred shortly after the onset vaccinations in senior homes[39,40]. These cases may have been due not only to antibody-dependent enhancement but also to a general immunosuppressive effect of the vaccines, which is suggested by the increased occurrence of Herpes zoster in certain patients[41].Immunosuppression may have caused a previously

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
			asymptomatic infection to become clinically manifest. Regardless of the exact mechanism responsible for these reported deaths, we must expect that the vaccines will increase rather than decrease lethality of COVID-19 — the vaccines are not safe.
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	I do not think it is necessary or ethical to require any kind of vaccine in order to return to work, just like we encourage the flu vaccine but do not require it. This is especially true since the covid vaccines are not yet fully FDA approved- only approved under an emergency status. I believe that we should fully reopen with no masks or vaccines being required. Since the university is planning to require the covid vaccines, would the university be held liable if there are any adverse reactions to the vaccine?Wouldn't it be a HIPAA violation to require any sort of proof of vaccination?
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	Is this being done so our lovely tyrannical governor can brag about how many New Mexicans she has gotten vaccinated?

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	I am extremely disappointed and dismayed about the recent events of late, in our state, in our community and now the University. I am uncomfortable with inquiries about vaccination status, it puts people in an awkward position, not knowing what the "right answer" is. It seems any answer leads to having to defend either way, their personal decisions, It leads to fear. I feel that whether or not you choose to take the vaccine is a personal choice, a private choice, a choice that should be respected either way. It is the principal of invasion of privacy to inquire about vaccination status. Also I believe that it is an act of coercion to be sending out notices, advertising, and crafting policies that require or strongly suggest a person should get vaccinated, because if they choose not to then what does mean? Isn't that a form of discrimination? There seems to be an underlying implication. Even worse people feel pressured to do something that goes against what they feel is personally correct, conforming to policy out of fear. Isn't it our constitutional right to make a choice about our personal health and well being while being respectful of other people's decisions to do the same? Isn't this putting people who don't take the vaccine into a realm of being discriminated against? In addition, a person shouldn't have to provide a reason as to why they are making a personal decision about their own health and what they choose or don't choose to put into their bodies. Coercion is persuading someone to do something by using force or threats. I consider the policies and inquiries to have an underlying threat, if you don't get the vaccine then... I understand that we need to keep each other safe and practice good hygiene and take precautions, shouldn't that exist COVID or not? A vaccine is not a cure nor does it prevent the spread. It protects the individual who takes it only. I believe it to be too soon to adapt certain policies. And under no circumstances should there be a mandate for vaccination. We have only just begun to understand COVID and the long term effects of vaccine or no vaccine. Our current environment is sensitive. Policies for the University should strongly consider rights of individuals to make personal choice regarding their health. Adapt by providing alternative choices and allow individuals to feel safe and respected.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	I have a lot to say, I'm going to be level-headed and try to be as rational in my feedback. Please give it all a read, it'd mean so much to know my voice is heard. I sincerely thank you for your time. This isn't any kind of political talk or anti-vaccine talk even. Those who have a less than 95% chance of surviving Covid should be the ones with the vaccine. If the ones who are at risk are vaccinated, then there's no risk to anyone's health in the community. Sure, the virus can spread but it can still be spread even with the vaccine. Now to get personal, next school year will be my 6th year here at UNM. I've built a great education for myself, landed a great job where I get my masters paid for and all of these were things that I worked for and built myself. If you require this vaccine, you'll have destroyed everything I've done here. I'll be out of a job, out of a home, and have to search for a university that supports me. I want to give praise to UNM, you all have been this great place for me to start building the foundations of my life. The opportunities, the faculty, students are all another family to me. I'll always have a special place in my heart for the Lobos. When I heard the news that you may be requiring this vaccine, it broke my heart. It just didn't seem like the "Everyone's a Lobo" kind of mentality, it feels more like if you're not us then you're not welcome. But now my emotions are getting the better of me. What happened to freedoms of choice? Or looking at the statistics? Everybody is different, everyone is unique and everyone should get to choose to get something injected into your arm. Some numbers from the CDC: ages 20-50 which is about the age of college students have a >99% chance of making it through Covid. The best vaccine is only 95% effective. I'm just asking you to please look more closely at the numbers, don't listen to the politics that have absorbed this virus, and please please be thinking of your fellow Lobos. I'm sure theres so many more like me who are either afraid to speak out on this and feel the same way I do. Please try and advertise for both sides, everything I'm seeing is "Go get the vaccine" not "Do research and make your own educated decision" which is what I thought college was all about. I hope I get to continue my life here at UNM. Thanks for reading all of this.
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	The Nazis forced Jews in Germany to wear yellow badges, which meant they were excluded from society. From this point on, it was forbidden for Jews to appear in public without a yellow badge. Anyone who tried to hide the star - with a scarf, for example - was harshly penalized by the Gestapo, who monitored the visibility of the badge. When did we turn into Nazi, Germany?

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	As a staff member this is concerning. Is it okay to force someone to take a vaccine? Staff are not forced to take a flu vaccine; therefore, this should be the case with the COVID-19 vaccine. I am not opposed to the vaccine but it should be my choice as to when I receive it. The information on the effects of the vaccine is too new to consider putting something like this in my body. I would prefer to work from home as I have been this entire time or be required to wear PPE. I truly hope this does not become a requirement as I and many of my colleagues risk losing their job which is entirely unfair. I've been a loyal employee for over 20 years and feel that my livelihood is being threatened.
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	Hello,I personally believe that vaccination should not be a requirement but a choice of each individual. I don't agree with forcing everyone to get vaccinated. Let each person decide what is best for them. Thank you. [REDACTED]
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	The way the COVID virus has been handled has been backwards. Lockdowns did not help with herd immunity and the fear factor was pushed relentlessly which has caused a lot of people psychological problems. People are so afraid of the virus that even a so called vaccine will not subdue the fear. How is it that people who have received the so called vaccine are afraid of those who have chosen not to receive it? I have noticed the fear in many of the questions that have been asked the University. The idea of requiring the so called vaccine for everyone returning to campus is totally unconstitutional and, in some ways, it is discriminatory. People need to do their own research and come to grips with the situation. Does it not raise a red flag that there is a relentless push for vaccination? What other virus or bacteria has received such attention?Please read the following article: https://undercurrents723949620.wordpress.com/2021/03/16/covid-19-vaccines-are-gene-therapy/
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	Human's will/right cannot and should not be forced. Thus, it is up to each individual's decision whether he/she wants to be vaccinated. The purpose of vaccinated is to be protected and will not be infected even when surrounding people who are not vaccinated. Otherwise, the vaccine is only politically out there just to be called "vaccine", and does not work the way it supposes to be. UNM's mission and primary goal is all about educational oriented, and thus should not be involved with political related. UNM should not and must stands up against political control. Please take my feedback seriously. Thank you!

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	Hello, In my opinion, the better way to approach this would be to allow more time for other vaccine options that use different technology than MRNA and viral vector to be available before you implement this vaccine policy. It is most likely other COVID vaccines will soon be available that are more acceptable to those who are currently resistant to the COVID vaccine. In addition, waiting until Fall 2022 will give more time for those individuals to evaluate which vaccine is right for them.
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety;	https://twitter.com/AlexBerenson/status/1404408955149991939 https://dailynorthwestern.com/2021/06/12/campus/medill-freshman-simone-scott-dies-from-pneumonia-complications/Does she look unhealthy to you in that photo?Do you want to be responsible for tragedies like this? Are you just a bunch of psychopaths? I'm sorry, I know it's not helpful, but: are you just a bunch of psychopaths?
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceReligious Accommodation	I am an employee at UNM and I feel that if they mandate this vaccine they will be violating my religious beliefs and rights. There are others that feel the same, so will it be an easy process to get accommodations so that we don't have to be vaccinated.
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	As an employee of UNM, I have to express my deep concern for the conversation to mandate the vaccine. First, I'd like to mention that as an advocate of youth, women, and all individuals seeking guidance; I do not believe taking the right for individuals to choose is acceptable. I am not for OR against the vaccine, however when you propose to enforce something that should be a choice, that is something that should be frowned upon. I think it's important to protect the health and safety of all staff, patients, and faculty etc; however, I believe there are steps that could be put in place to ensure everyone is able to maintain their current status with the university: 1. For those not seeking to get the vaccine at this time, they could be subject to periodic covid testing that seems suitable. 2. A mask should be enforced when on campus at all times. 3. All individuals should stay home when sick.4. If the job title enables employees to work off campus and only attend the office/campus for mandatory purposes—it should be discussed with the direct supervisor of the department and allowed. I am passionate about my right to choose what I want or need in my body and I am in no rush to get the vaccine. I feel like if my right to choose what I want for my body is frowned upon, I would not want to associate myself with an organization that sees differently. I hope that you really think about the option to mandate this vaccine and exhaust all other available resources to try and accommodate all individuals in this matter. I love my job and would hate to have to leave or dismiss myself for not “falling in line” with the force of putting something in my body that I choose not to. Be blessed.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I disagree with the "required" policy in this draft. There are plenty of reasons and people who do not wish to get this vaccine. And I believe it is not the right thing to do, to force an employee to get a vaccine if they do not wish to do so. I am against this policy.
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I am a nurse at SHAC. I know that COVID is real and it has had devastating effects on many people, even people that I know and love but I do not believe it should be required of people to get the vaccine and I believe people have the right to refuse it. I myself have been vaccinated as well as 10 of 11 of my closest family members but my son is opposed to getting the vaccination and I do not believe he should be forced to. He is a student at UNM and a good one. He will make great contributions to the world when he finishes his education but he should not be forced to taking medicine he does not desire to take. It would be unfortunate for him to not be able to continue his education because of it. The youth have already had so many obstacles placed in their way. I think there is strong evidence that masks and social distancing work and students should not be required any more than that.
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Not only am I a staff member, but I am also a student. There is no reason for UNM to implement a vaccine policy. UNM does not force us to get the flu vaccine or our boosters. This is our health we are putting at risk and no one else. I have gone around for a year and haven't gotten any symptoms nor have I ever felt the need to get tested. The symptom check should remain in place, but nothing else. The vaccine does not stop the spread of this virus or the potential for me getting it, it simply reduces the symptoms. Please remember that only 10% of the entire US population actually contracted this virus. 80% of those that caught it lived, but there may be lingering deaths, but that is another topic. We don't even know the percentage of those that got sick had no symptoms or light symptoms. 4 million people die every year from diabetes, and UNM does not make their employees adhere to a diet. It is and should remain our right to decide what goes into our bodies. Florida has been open for the better part of a year and they have a ~10% positive rate and NM's is ~9%. While our death rate is 5% higher than that of Florida's. My point is-is that unless we are in direct contact with COVID positive people on a daily basis like our amazing healthcare team, it should not be a policy. Thank you [REDACTED]
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I am unsure of the vaccine at the moment. I am not comfortable being told that I must get the vaccine in order to return to work. Why must this be a requirement. Is there a way to encourage the vaccine instead of mandating it? I currently work from home but assume that we will go back to in person work.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I think it's absurd that you can make a health decision for people. Tables turned, imagine if I tried to require you to do something that you don't believe is safe. Everyone should be allowed to make their own decisions when it comes to their personal bodies. It's only right. Some doctors espouse a false definition of "herd immunity." Vaccines have never conferred herd immunity. Herd immunity only occurs after a population contracts a disease (and recovers). The effects of fevers and soreness to additives to rash, itching, fever, and joint pains (and these are the mild effects, not mentioning dire effects that have happened) from a vaccine isn't worth the risk of a virus that is 98% survivable.
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I strongly encourage the University to reconsider making vaccination a requirement. Even though you allow for religious and medical exemptions this does not take into consideration those people who do not believe in vaccinations and/or do not do well (physically) after getting a vaccination but still don't qualify for medical exemptions. Realizing the vaccine is currently considered safe, no long term studies have been completed to understand any potential long term effects, or effect to pregnant women or women who want to become pregnant. By making it a requirement and forcing everyone to take it, you remove their freedom of choice. By removing choice, you could potential open the university up to significant legal ramifications should any health risk be identified in the future (simply watch some late night TV and the trial lawyer commercials to understand, medical "solutions" sometimes create unintended consequences).If you believe the science, those who want to, and receive the vaccine, are protected from any serious COVID related health concerns. Those who choose not to take the vaccine understand their risks.Please allow us to make our own choices. Forcing a medical treatment on an entire community is immoral and potentially illegal. An alternative/compromise process must be addressed.Thank you for the consideration.
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceReligious Accommodation	I have major concerns about the University of New Mexico mandating employees and students to get a covid-19 vaccine. I am a believer and follower of Jesus Christ. I feel the University will violate my beliefs by mandating such a policy. I "DO NOT" believe in vaccines because I am protected and saved by the sacrifice of Jesus Christ. I am also a student. I feel this policy will take away my opportunity to further my education.
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I have very serious and steadfast concerns about UNM or any other entity REQUIRING me to put anything into my body and showing proof to said entity that I did. Whether or not I do, is of no business to UNM regardless of what "safety" concerns you cite.I urge you NOT to mandate this and instead, ask those of us within the UNM Community to please consider being vaccinated if we've not already been. We are all adults and capable of making adult decisions on what we ultimately decide to do.I appreciate your consideration of my views and do not plan on disclosing what I do to UNM regardless of what you do and do not "mandate."

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceImpact to Employment	It is appreciated that you have already included a few reasonable exemptions to being vaccinated. As a person that is still hesitant about the vaccine for a multitude of reasons I am not yet comfortable taking it and would rather use the Covid-19 safe practices that have been used this whole time and would gladly adhere to said practices until I might take the vaccine. Forcing individuals to take something they do not want and threatening their employment is a violation of many basic rights. Public health safety is important. However, I feel that society will look back on these days and understand that many human rights were violated and trampled on due to unnecessary fear. They will look back and wonder how can corporations unjustly bully people into doing something they don't want to do? In the end can places of business really threaten employment and violate the constitutional rights of their employees? You must allow employees an alternative to the vaccine. I myself would be more than happy to wear a mask, have a temperature check and perform a daily COVID screening the rest of my employment. In the end isn't the vaccine supposed to protect the individual that has received it? If an individual is that worried about getting the virus they should get the vaccine. The virus will not be eradicated by 100% vaccination. It has already mutated and they are coming out with booster vaccines. It is just like the flu and they will need yearly vaccines. I will not get the flu shot and I am not getting the Covid-19 vaccine
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	this vaccine should NOT be mandatory! it should be up to the person on whether or not they want to receive this. this infringes on the freedom to make personal decisions and forcing a vaccine on someone is unethical. the flu vaccine is not required, so the covid vaccine shouldn't be either!! be smart UNM.
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Garnett, if you do move forward on this Covaid's VaXXX requirement, make sure you find yourself a good lawyer!
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Hello, My name is [REDACTED] and I would like to say that I don't believe that the Proposed mandatory vaccine policy is a good idea, New Mexico is steadily improving with its Covid numbers and many counties are either in the green or moving in that direction. This is no longer a state of emergency, and I believe that we can still practice Covid safe practice without the requirement of all students and faculty being mandated to receive the vaccine. Thank you very much
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I am against the mandate of the vaccine. No other immunizations are required to enter campus and the COVID vaccine should follow suit. It is up to the individual whether they choose to disclose their immunization records.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about SafetyHealth	Just a comment: I do not believe we should be required to take the vaccination. I, unfortunately, know of several people who have had very bad side effects from the vaccination. Is UNM going to take responsibility for those issues? It seems like a huge liability for UNM. Also, my reason for not getting the vaccination could be a medical reason, which is considered confidential.
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	This is long but you should listen thoroughly if you're making life decisions for other people: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_NNTVJzqtY
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Hi,I don't think you can require vaccinations for all UNM faculty/staff/students, and I would definitely question the legality of applying such a policy to longtime, vested employees. As your write-up points out, none of the vaccines even have FULL FDA approval yet. None of them. Typically, vaccines take several years of testing to perfect, not several months. We have no long-term studies on these vaccines, since they have not existed yet "long-term."Albuquerque Public Schools and school districts around the State opened up last month, and they had no vaccine requirement, so why do it at UNM?I believe the University should recommend vaccines, but NOT require them. I am completely opposed to a vaccine requirement. You are asking for a huge, public legal battle/class action lawsuit if you require vaccines.
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;Concerns about Safety;	this policy would be bad for the employees that can not get the vax do to health, medical problems or employees who don't believe in it. If this Policy is to be used a lot of employees would lose their job. Forcing people to take an experimental vax goes against the Nuremberg Code.
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	I do not support a mandatory vaccination requirement. This is on account of what happened to my daughter who is now 25. When she was 18 months old she could talk in almost complete sentences. Coincident with her getting her MMR shot that stopped. She became unintelligible. We spend an enormous amount of time, effort, & money over the next decade to get her to be able to talk again. Out of pocket costs after insurance were \$5-6,000 annually. I spent enough time on the phone with my insurance company that I ended up with the direct number for their regional claims rep. Once I came to UNM, I was appalled to find out that UNM's health insurance refused to cover my child's speech therapy needs. Therefore I ended up as a [REDACTED] so that I could maybe prevent others from going through the financial and bureaucratic mess that we had to. In short, a mandatory vaccination requirement may be a case to prompt my resignation.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	I have questions and a comment. Questions:1) I have concerns related to the untested nature of the "vaccine" and its possible affect on our DNA based on the RNA components of the vaccine. What evidence is there that the vaccine is safe as I have heard that the deaths from the various forms of vaccine number in the thousands?2) Is the University taking financial responsibility for my health/life should the vaccine cause the loss of mobility or life?Comment: I am against the mandatory inoculation of anyone or forced testing or blacklisting of anyone who declines the vaccination
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	I don't think you should make this a requirement. I'm breastfeeding and my plan is to get the vaccine next year when I'm done breastfeeding. I am sure there are others who would not feel comfortable with this requirement. Please take that into consideration.
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	I am both a staff and student at UNM main campus. I do not feel it is in the best interest of the UNM community to require a vaccine. In my personal life I am a believer of Jesus Christ, a christian and feel that by requiring me to get the vaccine you are violating my religious freedoms and beliefs. Aside from that I am a nursing mother and have hopes of getting pregnant again soon. I do not feel that medically the university should require me to get the vaccine that I have no idea how it will affect my future unborn children and my infant that I am currently nursing. The University has no understanding as to what each individuals circumstances are and this is mine. I will not get the Covid 19 vaccine even if it is required by the university.
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	I feel its my body, my choice. I am concerned with taking the vaccination as it has its side effects such as blood clots amongst others. I've heard reports of individuals dying after taking the shot, obtaining COVID after the shot and the vaccine only valid for up to 6 months after taken. This is similar to the flu in regards to the multiple strands of the COVID virus. The flu shot isn't required and the shot is not guaranteed to prevent the flu (strands) and there is no guarantee that COVID vaccine prevents COVID.
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Privacy;	While I do not have any problem sharing my vaccination status, a key member of my team has flatly told me s/he WILL NOT provide this information in any form. S/he is already uncomfortable reporting symptom status every day. S/he has threatened to leave the university if reporting vaccination status - or vaccinations - becomes mandatory.I honestly don't know her/his status. It is her/his business. But we should be aware that there will be more people like her/him. There MUST be accommodations made for people with strong beliefs against medical information reporting. We should NOT be forcing people to choose between their privacy and their jobs.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Privacy	I feel making a policy like this is a violation of our HIPAA protective rights. Something like this cannot be administered and regulated in a fair and unbiased manner which will only lead to disparate impact and a prelude for prejudice and discrimination.
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Privacy	I am concerned about my personal medical information being stored, how it is stored, and who has access to this information. I would prefer that the University not store my personal medical information, and instead forms a system that keeps our community safe but also does not potentially violate my privacy. The policy is extremely brief as to how this information will be acquired, stored, and generally handled. I believe that for me to feel comfortable sharing this information, I would need to see very detailed information about how this private medical data will be handled by UNM. How will it be encrypted and held safe if it is required?
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Enforcement;Concerns about Process;	NO MASKS FOR THE UNVACCINATED EITHER! NO PUNITIVE POLICIES FOR THE UNVACCINATED!RCT's don't show benefit, and other research shows positive harm from mask-wearing. https://c2cjournal.ca/2021/06/re-evaluating-mask-mandates-part-ii-exposing-the-assumption-led-claim/ But what can we do if want rational policies, other than make plans to relocate to "Neanderthal" states and leave behind this wasteland where our leaders are bereft of common sense or a love for freedom?
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;Impact to Employment;	I have had my vaccines, so will not be affected either way, but I think it is a terrible idea to require individuals to be vaccinated as a condition of employment. We will lose many staff and faculty and the re-hiring of those positions will be expensive, time consuming and counter productive.Please reconsider this requirement.
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;Impact to Employment;	As an On-Call Retiree, I have been working remotely, and that seems to be successful. I am also immuno-compromised and do not want to take this vaccine. Also, I believe the vaccine rollout was accomplished in record time as compared to previous illness outbreaks, such as SARS, etc. I'm not anti-vaccine, but I do not trust any of these vaccines that have been rolled out, and have adopted a wait-and-see approach.When is the FDA Decision expected to be made?What "consequences" will be enforced if one refuses the vaccine, other than being banned from stepping foot on UNM Campuses, or their facilities? Can an employee be discharged for non-compliance?

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;General Complaint;	Do you have any concern about violating the Nuremberg Code?"Permissible Medical ExperimentsThe great weight of the evidence before us to effect that certain types of medical experiments on human beings, when kept within reasonably well-defined bounds, conform to the ethics of the medical profession generally. The protagonists of the practice of human experimentation justify their views on the basis that such experiments yield results for the good of society that are unprocurable by other methods or means of study. All agree, however, that certain basic principles must be observed in order to satisfy moral, ethical and legal concepts: The voluntary consent of the human subject is absolutely essential. This means that the person involved should have legal capacity to give consent; should be so situated as to be able to exercise free power of choice, without the intervention of any element of force, fraud, deceit, duress, overreaching, or other ulterior form of constraint or coercion; and should have sufficient knowledge and comprehension of the elements of the subject matter involved as to enable him to make an understanding and enlightened decision. This latter element requires that before the acceptance of an affirmative decision by the experimental subject there should be made known to him the nature, duration, and purpose of the experiment; the method and means by which it is to be conducted; all inconveniences and hazards reasonably to be expected; and the effects upon his health or person which may possibly come from his participation in the experiment. The duty and responsibility for ascertaining the quality of the consent rests upon each individual who initiates, directs, or engages in the experiment. It is a personal duty and responsibility which may not be delegated to another with impunity."
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	I am opposed to any "mandatory" vaccines. I have been on campus through 2020, and working around other people with no problems.I believe spread of the virus was through poor personal hygiene and lack of sanitation in high use areas.I believe if you work at the hospital with direct contact with people this would apply.since most staff have no direct contact with people on campus this is too much. I believe in personal responsibility and keeping myself healthy. I am not responsible for other peoples health.I have not seen any documents showing spread in an outside setting or through passing by another person.I think continued sanitation of common areas is a better solution than forcing us to have an unproven vaccine.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;Concerns about Safety;	Dear, President Stokes and all,I attended the Town Hall Meeting and have read the communications related to UNM potentially requiring the COVID-19 vaccine as a term of employment. This has been weighing heavily on my thoughts and in my concerns. I have done significant research on the vaccines and many doctors have come forward in speaking out against the vaccinations based on their knowledge, research, and based on the effects they have seen in their vaccinated patients. This information is not receiving mainstream media coverage. There have also been cases reported to the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS) and through media streams (including some in the mainstream media) and these effects are concerning. We all need our jobs but we should not be made to choose between our livelihood and our lives. We should be allowed to make informed decisions regarding our health and our employment should not be made contingent on being vaccinated. If vaccinations do what we have been told they do and are effective against the spread of COVID-19 or the new variant, then why do we need to force everyone to take the vaccine? If it works and people have taken it, as it was communicated in the Town Hall that many of our employees have, then there should not be an issue with faculty, staff, and students being un-vaccinated. If the vaccine is a health protective measure, similar to a seat belt if you will, and protects the wearer of the seat belt, how is the seat belt-wearer affected by someone else not wearing a seat belt? If someone is wearing a seat belt and they still are at risk of the same injuries or outcomes as not wearing a seat belt, then why are they wearing a seat belt?Who protects the vaccinated? By default, no one as Congress passed a law in 1986 that protects vaccine manufacturers from being sued in civil personal injury and wrongful death lawsuits from vaccine injuries. So should anything happen to us, we shall have to live (or die) with the consequences. How can a vaccine be truly effective or how can we have any idea of the consequences, both short and long term, when the illness and those that have taken the vaccines have not been around long enough to have had this information collected and understood? Is there ever anything good that comes from something that is so heavily pushed by so many avenues? Has history showed that anything good comes from that? Looking at our own lives, what examples come to mind of things that were heavily encouraged or forced from many avenues that ended well? Even if we did not run a risk in putting something experimental into our bodies, we should have the right to make informed health decisions. Vaccines are not a “one size fits all” solution. They never have been. We are not guinea pigs and the Nuremberg Code was an effort to maintain human rights in our history of human experimentation. There is a lot of fear related to COVID-19 and fear often leads to the removal of our rights but if we take pause, this IS human experimentation. UNM should not have a part in that part of history and in that part of the present. Thank you for your consideration. Please do not make these vaccinations required for employment and study. Very truly,A Concerned UNM Employee

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;Concerns about Safety;	This is going to be lengthy, or at least I hope so, as I have quite a bit to say. So let me offer some key points upfront. I could not be any more opposed to UNM requiring students and employees to take the rushed, experimental Covid-19 “vaccines.” Even if these “vaccines” had gone through the normal testing and approval process I would want to wait and see what sort of effects emerge long-term. Plenty of fully approved drugs have led to health disasters further down the line. But these “vaccines,” using a technology which is new to actual application, even if it has been in research for many years, have not gone through a normal approval process. They were given emergency use authorization. And what is the emergency? The emergency is a so-called pandemic which has an over 99% survival rate in the general population, and for which effective treatments already exist in the form of the well-established drugs ivermectin and hydroxychloroquine, with budesonide as a possible addition, apparently most effective in combination with vitamins and supplements like vitamin D, vitamin C, zinc, and quercetin. There has been a concerted effort to suppress the use or even discussion of these treatments in the United States, despite their widespread success in treating Covid-19 here and around the world. So why are we having a rushed vaccine, offered under emergency use authorization, forced upon us? It certainly appears that available Covid-19 treatments were suppressed for the sake of pushing this “vaccine.” Why? And why should I trust the same authorities that strongly discouraged even the discussion of existing treatments? Why should I trust any establishment health authorities (and particularly anyone with ties to the pharmaceutical industry), when the entire course of the “pandemic” has been marked by official lies and deception, and massive censorship on social media? Why should I trust establishment health authorities when the WHO quietly redefines “herd immunity” to exclude natural immunity and only refer to vaccine enhanced immunity? What about the pandemic itself? I do not want to dismiss the deaths and suffering which have occurred due to Covid-19, but I entirely oppose the idea that the pandemic has occurred on a scale that justifies the extreme actions which have been taken in response. The Covid-19 survival rate is over 99%, and even higher for younger age brackets than it is for the entire population. The more familiar flu is a greater threat to the young than Covid-19. It’s also crucial to note that the official number of Covid-19 cases and deaths have been inflated. PCR testing has intentionally been done at cycles that will produce false positives. Meanwhile, Covid-19 death numbers have additionally been inflated by counting many deaths as death from Covid-19 when they were clearly, at best, deaths with Covid-19. (And due to the unreliable PCR tests, some of those deaths might not even be genuine deaths with Covid-19.) Even the official number of deaths gives us a figure lower than past epidemics which we survived without the extreme measures that were put in place for Covid-19, and without attempts to force experimental “vaccines” on our population. I need to add something else upfront. I resent having to hurriedly try to pull together over a year’s worth of what I’ve

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
			learned following the Covid-19 story, in my attempt to argue against the “vaccine” mandate. I bitterly resent UNM hanging this threat over my head. This is not a battle any of us should have to be fighting. Imposing a “vaccine” requirement would undermine UNM’s avowed mystical, dialectical pluralism (or however you want to describe the “Each of us defines all of us” slogan): “We’re a community of unique perspectives embracing divergence – unafraid to let our colors run and blend – letting the very things that divide us become the things that connect us to each other.”
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	You totalitarian administrators who think you have the right to even consider forcing an experimental "vaccine" on your student body and your employees need to watch this: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6mxqC9SiRh8 You have no business dictating to us what risks we should take regarding our health.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;Concerns about Privacy;	I do not agree with mandated vaccinations for the following reasons.1. Violation of confidentiality and autonomous choice. Requiring staff to openly discuss vaccination choices interferes with HIPAA regulations. Medical choices require informed decision making, removing the decisive nature of obtaining a vaccine is unethical and unlawful. Requiring staff to obtain a vaccine forces them into coercion rather than consent, and may force some employees from being able to remain gainfully employed if they are not able to comply for personal or medical choices. 2. Lack of medical evidence. mRNA vaccinations are new technology without sufficient time to prove efficacy, complications, or known long term effects. All COVID-19 vaccines are only authorized under Emergency Use Authorization due to lack of evidence, safety and trials. The risk-benefit ratio is not fully determined and may force people into risk greater than benefit situation. Most COVID-19 vaccines are in stage I or stage II trials. All COVID-19 vaccinations stage III trials will take at least 1-4 years to be complete and the same amount of time for stage IV trials. Typically medical safety cannot be fully determined until after 10-15 years of robust evaluation and data collection. All COVID-19 vaccinations have been available to the public for less than 1 year, without adequate trials and testing to prove full efficacy, side effects and long term consequences. Mandating people to be vaccinated with a substance that may have long term, detrimental consequences, which may include functional impairment, poor quality of life, increased mortality and morbidity; is gravely unethical. 3. Poor evidence of efficacy. A growing number of people who have been vaccinated have still contracted and exhibited symptoms of COVID-19. It is unknown how effective the vaccine will be against additional strains, how long it is effective, nor what boosters may be required. Many people taking immunosuppressive medications may be not be fully inoculated for a sufficient protection, even as simple as a person taking ibuprofen or tylenol for post-vaccination symptom management. This is discussed in vaccine information and CDC website. Protection is adequate and scientifically high with social distancing, hand hygiene and mask wearing; which carries much less unknown or long term risk potential. 4. Low mortality rate. Although COVID-19 has high transmissibility, the American mortality rate is 1.8% per Johns Hopkins data. This showing a 98.2% survival rate if contracting the virus. The World Health Organization indicates that up to 80% of COVID-19 positive persons are asymptomatic or have mild symptoms; not requiring hospitalization. I chose to wear a mask, socially distance and comply to good hand hygiene to protect myself, my family, my colleagues and my community. I chose confidential, evidence-based medical decision making, and allowing the science and technology time to provide adequate data to support my decisions based on risks and benefits. I value my partner who is a medical provider working in the hospital and caring for COVID-19 positive patients, who shares these concerns and choses not be vaccinated either. I hope that considering potential legal and ethical consequences, supporting autonomy and evidence, and protecting

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
			staff rights and health will encourage reconsidering a vaccination mandate.
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;Concerns about Privacy;Concerns	If the university decides to make the vaccine a requirement and give punishments to those that choose not to be vaccinated the university is setting itself up for major lawsuits. First it is against the law on many levels to require Anyone to take a substance that is not FDA approved. All vaccines are in the trial period and therefore cannot be mandatory. Second, under HIPAA you cannot force an individual to disclose their medical status. Maybe they can disclose it to UNM health for their occupation but forcing individuals to wear a marker or wear a mask inevitably is disclosing protected statuses to everyone around them and is illegal. The university will then be responsible for the discrimination and hostile work environment that it has created. Thirdly, if the university is an advocate for individuals making decisions about their body for the benefit of their own health why is this situation any different? Why are individuals without measles vaccine labeled and forced to wear protective clothing? Or individuals with STDs forced to wear masks and wear markers expressing their status to the world? It is because their statuses are protected under many laws and the university would be sued. This is the same situation and the outcome would be the same. Lastly, forcing individuals to produce documentation before they enter buildings or stores is a clear violation of HIPAA and many other protected statuses. Dr.Fauci has stated that the only people that unvaccinated people pose a risk to is themselves. The vaccine will protect the user. If the vaccine is as effective as you are claiming the individuals that have taken it are protected from everyone else. Everyone should have a choice. My body my choice. It works with everything else in the liberal agenda. Why not here?

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;Concerns about Privacy;Health	Dear Dr. Stokes, I am writing to express my concerns as an individual with disabilities who also works in the field. My concerns are two-fold. One has to do with the practical issues surrounding health and education, and the other has to do with the issue of the right to privacy that our republican form of government is obligated by our Constitution to provide. I will begin with this second concern, and then address the issue of practicality. As an individual with disability, I have experienced the loss of privacy and the contradictions of a medicalized existence that claims to protect my privacy while simultaneously violating it. These violations are undertaken to marginalize and discipline individuals with disability and organize them into an othered group identity, where we are subjected to the gaze and the full power of governmentalities invented to manage us, and to make our unruly bodies fit into the societal norm. These practices violate our fundamental right to the security of our property, persons, and papers from the institutional gaze. Truly, the threat from those institutions is far more consequential than the threat of a random individual happening upon some private piece of our information. It is the institutional gaze that has the power to fundamentally destroy our privacy and threaten our rights. You are threatening exclusion from the public institutions that we finance should we choose not to disclose to the university whether we have taken a vaccination or contracted an illness or not. You also threaten sanctions in the form of visible marks of our right to refuse with physical tokens such as masks and isolation from the so-called healthy population. This also indicates your lack of faith in the efficacy of the vaccinations your office is promoting. There is a real contradiction here, as well as one between the commitment to HIPPA and FERPA and the demand for private information so that students and citizens can be present on a campus that we own. On a practical level, I believe that you will see a reaction to this on the part of students and their parents determining to take their business elsewhere. It is a fact that Universities like UNM have very large overhead. Beautiful and expensive buildings, housing, grounds, gymnasiums--these are costly. The university also has highly paid faculty and administration housed in the workspaces of the university. These things exact a high price. It is paid not only by the taxpaying citizens, but also by the students and their parents. They pay twice to experience a type of education that we believe they cannot get from online universities. They may not agree. I suspect that many students, natural rebels that they are, may balk at paying the high tuition it takes to provide such an education if they are also confronted with forced vaccinations and disclosure of vaccination status to obtain it. They may choose many of the less expensive online universities that streamline higher education to provide minimal liberal arts and a straight path to employment at a lower cost so that they can avoid the threat to their dignity and privacy the university proposes to impose on healthy people. I hope that you will consider that perfect safety is unobtainable in the real world, and that there are other values that the university can promote to help form independent, healthy, and happy citizens.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
			Thank you.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;Concerns about Safety;Impact to	While I have so far not been questioning the effectiveness of the “vaccines,” there is good reason to believe their effectiveness has been exaggerated. A recent peer reviews study concluded the following:A critical appraisal of phase III clinical trial data for the Pfizer/BioNTech vaccine BNT162b2 and Moderna vaccine mRNA-1273 shows that absolute risk reduction measures are very much lower than the reported relative risk reduction measures. Yet, the manufacturers failed to report absolute risk reduction measures in publicly released documents. As well, the U.S FDA Advisory Committee (VRBPAC) did not follow FDA published guidelines for communicating risks and benefits to the public, and the committee failed Medicina 2021, 57, 199 7 of 8 to report absolute risk reduction measures in authorizing the BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273 vaccines for emergency use. Such examples of outcome reporting bias mislead and distort the public’s interpretation of COVID-19 mRNA vaccine efficacy and violate the ethical and legal obligations of informed consent.Ronald B. Brown. Outcome Reporting Bias in COVID-19 mRNA Vaccine Clinical Trials. Medicina. 2021;57(199):199. doi:10.3390/medicina57030199. https://t.co/SZMDEXLmww?amp=1While I’m tossing out links, I strongly recommend the following group discussions of Covid-19 in general, one from the Canadian context and one from the Irish context. (I think sometimes it is helpful to hear a discussion of Covid-19 outside of the specifically American political context.)https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dIJZ424_AZkhttps://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zBoKsAAH6k*What am I supposed to do? I refuse to take this dubious “vaccine,” but now I am threatened with the potential loss of my job if I don’t. I have worked for UNM for almost twelve years. I am 55. My pension is all that I have in place for retirement, aside from social security. I might well have to cash out that retirement to survive losing my current position. I have been dealing with life-changing chronic health issues for eighteen years. I relocated to New Mexico solely to get away from the mold which was causing me severe symptoms in Philadelphia. (There were other relocation options, however, and I did prefer New Mexico to the alternatives, at the time, for various reasons.) After twelve years of working at UNM, I am still making a few thousand less than I was making working a similar, although not quite equivalent, job in Philadelphia in 2008. I have not been building wealth in New Mexico! My health issues have created extra expenses as I have experimented with alternative treatments, and herbs and supplements, out of pocket, since my MDs have been unable to solve my health problems.I cannot afford to make less money than I am already making. I have debt, not savings. The fatigue—an immense shrinkage in the number of hours in the day when I have what had been my normal energy level, before the downturn in my health—would make it that much harder to deal with suddenly having to start a new job. Ideally, at this point, I would like to relocate out of New Mexico, to a state with leadership that has more respect for basic rights and freedoms. But moving out and finding a new job would be doubly challenging, especially in such a hurry.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;Concerns about Safety;	I have worked in person at SHAC since the start of the pandemic, even when the majority of UNM staff worked from home. I feel very strongly that what I put in my body is my choice. I am not afraid of COVID-19, but I am very afraid of the unknowns and the long term side effects of a vaccine that was quickly put in place and not properly tested. Also, I can't imagine that UNM has adequate resources and technology in place to implement this.
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;Concerns about Safety;	My experience with chronic health issues leaves me all the more averse to taking risks with an untrustworthy "vaccine." I do not want to take on further health problems.UNM has created an unnecessary emergency situation for me, which is now making me lose sleep as my mind races in the middle of the night, trying to figure out how to deal with it. I had already felt trapped in my situation, with few options. Due to the current threat of a "vaccine" requirement, I feel even more trapped.The fact that I work for UNM does not mean I am UNM's slave. UNM does not own my body.In the bigger picture, if UNM supports required Covid-19 vaccination of its students and staff, it is helping to pave the way for the even more totalitarian regime of digital vaccine passports. The "pandemic" was used to promote any number of totalitarian agendas, and to transfer wealth to the already super-wealthy, crushing small business while boosting the power of megacorporations such as Amazon. I do not think the powers that be will stop until they receive a lot more pushback than they are currently getting. I say "No!" to the experimental Covid-19 "vaccines." [Was not expecting such limited space for comment, obviously!]

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;Concerns about Safety;	I don't see a point to this: -UNM covid reporting shows 28,882 people were surveyed, 573 positive cases. We're over a year into the pandemic now. How many deaths have we had? Let me guess: zero, or really close to it. Consider more draconian measures when we reach, oh, maybe fifty. -Big picture-wise. The news advertises some 500 - 600 thousand deaths from this. The fact is the vast majority of those deaths were people dying of old age anyhow. People 85+ account for somewhere around 80-90% of the deaths. Most the rest are 75 - 84 years old who were also on deaths door. By and large, hardly any young health people died of covid whatsoever. There is no significant increase in total number of deaths over a full year of the disease being released into the public. This is hardly a menace. (info based on USA)- People can get the vaccine if they want. Should one person be forced to get the vaccine to make another person feel safe? If the person who wants to feel safe received the vaccine, why don't they feel safe? If it works, they should be fine. If it doesn't work, why make someone take it?-Mandatory is a strong word. There should be some respect given to people who don't want some foreign substance in their system. UNM is a research University. Why be so hasty to do this? Maybe start by having some scientists reverse engineer these vaccines and see what they're made of. Many folks don't trust these substances.-To the best of my knowledge, these vaccines are relatively new. Think back over the last several decades. People were told DDT was safe. People were told asbestos was safe. The list goes on. Are we making the same mistakes again? You plan on forcing this on people? TLDR: these are draconian measures for what's been proven to be a minor threat compared to the advertisements. Sure, some people are scared but nobody's telling them not to get the vaccine. Don't make people do this. At a liberal university I've heard the mantra "my body, my choice" thousands of times. Practice what you preach.
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;Concerns about Safety;	It is ethically, morally, and physically concerning to require vaccinations for students, faculty, and staff. The vaccine is still currently an experimental therapy approved under emergency use status and there has not been enough time or studies to see how it will affect us and to know what effects it will have. The long-term effects of this vaccine are not known or understood, and it is those that take the vaccine that will have to live with its outcomes. It is also concerning to be taking away individuals' health sovereignty and right to make informed medical health decisions by requiring it for employment and by requiring it for study/career/professional development. People should have the right to make informed medical health decisions and it is not ethically, morally, or physically right to require this.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;Concerns about Safety;	I agree in keeping all UNM Staff, Students and Faculty at the University of New Mexico safe and healthy with certain measures and protection, however, making the vaccine mandatory/required in order to attend or work for UNM does not sit well with me. This new proposed policy will turn away many students, staff and faculty as it challenges their choice to decide what is best for their bodies. I personally am overwhelmed/stressed and pressured to choose between my career or my ethical beliefs/decisions based on what I feel is best for me and my family. This new policy violates FERPA and employee rights etc. And will most likely lead to many law suits. How many people will lose jobs, schooling etc. if this new policy is put in place. I hope this policy is not made mandatory but rather highly encouraged. I would hope that UNM Students Staff and Faculty have the right to choose what is best for them. With of course ample education on how to keep themselves and others safe. I take what is happening around the world and here in NM my home very serious and understand the need to protect everyone! but how can we do this without having to choose between ethical beliefs and free choice. I do not want to feel forced or misunderstood for choosing what is best for me. Thank you,

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;Concerns about Safety;	I have already sent a very long response about my objection to UNM's proposal for requiring the COVID vaccine. But I responded as a student, now I'm responding as staff. I think it would be wise for UNM to fully consider the ramifications of what they are doing and read this short article: https://childrenshealthdefense.org/defender/resources-federal-law-prohibits-mandates-emergency-use-covid-vaccines-tests-masks/ However, in case you don't click on it here is the most important part: The bottom line is this: mandating products authorized for Emergency Use Authorization status (EUA) violates federal law as detailed in the following legal notifications.All COVID vaccines, COVID PCR and antigen tests, and masks are merely EUA-authorized, not approved or licensed, by the federal government. Long-term safety and efficacy have not been proven.EUA products are by definition experimental, which requires people be given the right to refuse them. Under the Nuremberg Code, the foundation of ethical medicine, no one may be coerced to participate in a medical experiment. Consent of the individual is "absolutely essential."Does UNM really want to potentially be in violation of the Nuremberg Code? The code we have because the Nazi's experimented on the Jews? You know, that lead to the single greatest genocide in world history? The administration should seriously consider what they are doing. We are already seeing that these vaccines are having very serious adverse effects, do you want to be party to that? Make it a choice. Encourage, don't mandate. Make masks a choice. And let our community get back to normal. We are all adults. You don't need to lord it over us. We are fully capable of making our own decisions and keeping ourselves and our community safe. And this has nothing to do with politics. I know an African American man who is a full Biden supporter but he is refusing the vaccine because he knows what happened with the Tuskegee experiment. Are you willing to discriminate against someone else who feels the same way? Because how can you be a basin of minority rights and representation when you are potentially telling an African American student that they will be barred from any university activity or building because they made a personal decision about their health? Don't open that door. UNM is a decent university, don't lose that standing and allow the community the freedom to make their own decisions about their health. And also maybe don't potentially break a code that was established because an authoritarian government took it upon themselves to exterminate 6 million people. Because they were different.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;Concerns about Safety;	The provost says: "It's pretty simple. Get vaccinated. We have planned a largely in-person fall semester, and it's only possible with vaccination."Nonsense. Asymptomatic transmission is essentially non-existent, and pre-symptomatic transmission is minimal. Many people already have naturally acquired immunity. Even many of those who have not had Covid-19 will have cross-immunity thanks to previous exposure to coronaviruses.Regardless, there is no justification for turning everything upside down for the sake of a virus with such a high survival rate, particularly when there are effective treatments that can increase the chances of surviving and even quickly getting through symptoms.Watch as universities in "Neanderthal" states fully resume in-person education, without any disasters following as a result.Meanwhile, "adverse events" are being reported to VAERS on a scale beyond what has been received for previous vaccines, probably because this isn't a vaccine in the normal sense, but an experimental gene therapy drug which was rushed into use without adequate testing. Why should young people with such a low risk from Covid-19 be required to take an experimental "vaccine?" How can you justify this?The health establishment has done nothing but lie and mislead for over a year. Why should we trust it?
Staff	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;Concerns about Safety;	I absolutely do not agree with the enforcement of the Covid 19 vaccination proposed policy. I am a new mother and am breastfeeding my child and do not feel safe receiving the vaccination until I am done Breast feeing (I breastfed my 1st child for 3 years). I really do not feel safe with the vaccine until more research or observations has ocured.. What If I was one for the women who developed clots and could no longer take care of my children?I have my own personal/religious beliefs that will interfere with this proposed enforced rule.I have predominantly worked from home before the pandemic and will occasionally need access to campus during off hour times to scan or file needed documents. I am willing to undergo any extra measures needed to be considered exempt... I have taken every measure to be safe and I am super lucky that my current supervisor has been accommodating to me as a mother.I am fearful that i will loose my Job not from my Supervisors stand point but from UNM Policy if I do not adhere to the vaccination policy. I believe that I have the right to choose what goes in my body. UNM is a public institution and i am wondering how they will be sure that Non Students, Non Faculty, and Non Staff will adhere to this as well since there is large foot traffic on campus. Thank you for allowing my time and I hope that my comments/feedback does not place my job in jeopardy.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Question	Concerns about Enforcement;Concerns about Safety;	Thank you for the opportunity to provide feedback. I find this a challenging policy both as an administrator and an individual. As an administrator, the idea of policing this policy is daunting and the consequences on morale and employment challenging. I also am concerned about the culture and environment this will create in the institution. I understand and appreciate the need to find a safe path for returning to some sort of normal for students, staff, and the University as a whole. However, I think that there are valid concerns. Environment and culture. I am concerned about the culture this will create - one of social pressure, stigma, and forced self-disclosure. People with legitimate concerns and even qualified exemptions will feel stigmatized and under constant pressure to explain, self-disclose and justify why they are not vaccinated. People will feel pressure to self-disclose personal information that they may not otherwise choose to share. I think this has the potential to create a negative culture. As an individual, I have concerns. I believe in the value and importance of vaccines. My husband is vaccinated for Covid. I have always taken additional vaccines prior to traveling out of country. However, I personally feel uncomfortable at this time with the Covid vaccine. I read the published research and am informed. No vaccines are without risk but are valuable for the common good. However, when you are part of the small percentage in the statistics that experience the side effects, it is different. My daughter had a severe adverse reaction to the Gardasil HPV vaccine when she was in middle school. Years later as an adult, she still suffers from life-long neurological issues as a result. She now also has an auto-immune disease. I also have been diagnosed this year with an auto-immune disorder. There is some limited literature that suggests that people with my disorder are doing ok with the vaccine, but there are not specific studies targeting this population. Much is antidotal at this time. I am hesitant because of this risk. I believe that there are those with legitimate concerns that make them hesitant to take the vaccine at this time. They may not "qualify" for exemption but still have legitimate concerns. How will decide if the concerns that some raises qualify for exemption? What will be the basis for this decision? To force people with legitimate concerns to take the vaccine as a condition of employment or being able to return to work, is concerning. I am not sure that this is the culture and environment that we want to create as an institution. We can lead the way with safety and even vaccine numbers without creating this punitive and pressured environment. Thank you for the opportunity to share feedback.
Staff	Question		Will applicants for posted positions need to provide proof of vaccination with their application materials?

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Question	Concerns about Enforcement	I have lifelong SEVERE reactions to different types of medications so I am afraid to get the vaccine. Will this hinder my employment?
Staff	Question	Concerns about Process	How does the leave policy compare to President Biden's time off to get vaccine and not feeling well the day after the 2nd vaccine. https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/statements-releases/2021/04/21/fact-sheet-president-biden-to-call-on-all-employers-to-provide-paid-time-off-for-employees-to-get-vaccinated-after-meeting-goal-of-200-million-shots-in-the-first-100-days/
Staff	Question	Impact to Employment	What will happen if an employee chooses not to get vaccinated once this policy is in effect? Will their employment be terminated?
Staff	Question	Concerns about Enforcement	Under faqs, it state that staff "may face consequences." What are those consequences? Also, clinical trials for the vaccines will not be completed until 2023. Will this policy take effect after clinical trials have been completed?
Staff	Question	Concerns about Enforcement	Though I am currently vaccinated, I registered and received the vaccine on my own accord. As in medical care and treatment, I believe vaccinations are a personal choice not just limited to religion or culture. The expediency of the vaccine also draws concern for many as there are no true long-term studies which potentially opens the university to liability. It is reported by the CDC and NMDOH that the vaccine does not prevent the spread of covid, it only reduces the chance of being infected or re-infected. So, an unvaccinated person is doing no harm to a vaccinated person, only possibly to him/herself by making a personal choice. There is current discussion for a booster for those already vaccinated and even a biannual shot which would further make tracking and enforcement of this policy impossible. Additionally, the policy cannot be unilaterally enforced considering UNM is an open campus where there are many events and activities open to the public, and there are many vendors and contractors constantly on UNM properties. Would visitors and prospective students be required to be vaccinated prior to coming on campus? Would vendors and contractors be required to be vaccinated prior to bidding a job? Would those who attend a sporting event, play, or concert be required to be vaccinated before buying a ticket for the event? And what of the UNM golf courses that are open to the public? Adherence to existing covid-safe policies and the proper use of PPE and taking universal precautions to avoid infection seems to work just fine as the state is nearly re-opened with few exceptions and some restrictions in place.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Question	Concerns about EnforcementHealth Accommodation	What if someone choses to not get vaccinated due to low tolerance to medication with a high allergic response? "and may experience consequences as a result." This wording implies a threat. What consequences are you referring to?
Staff	Question	Concerns about EnforcementHealth Accommodation	I hope the university can provide guidance as to how to handle employees who do not want to get vaccinated but want to return to work, with an accommodation. I wonder if these employees will have to be sequestered somehow. Thanks-
Staff	Question	Concerns about Enforcement;	As a front line supervisor, how do you expect me to enforce such a policy when the individuals I supervisor are union and can't be held accountable for issues on the job? I appreciate what the institution is trying to do, as I got vaccinated early on, being an essential employee. My staff are also considered essential and have been working throughout all of COVID and if they have not gotten their vaccine yet, they probably won't and will want to "push the issue". So is this vaccine policy really enforceable? Thanks for your time.
Staff	Question	Concerns about Enforcement;	I submitted this question earlier but did not receive an answer. You state that there may be consequences for abstaining from the vaccine. What are those consequences? Also, I asked if UNM would be responsible for healthcare costs if there is an adverse effect, permanent harm and/or injury, or death since some insurances are not covering them.
Staff	Question	Concerns about Enforcement;	Hi, My understanding is that this policy (if approved) doesn't go into effect till the Vaccine gets full FDA approval, but it also wants people to be fully vaccinated (Both Shots plus the time for it to take effect, a 6 week process) by August 2nd. If the FDA gives full approval to one of the vaccines will employees be given time after that approval to get both shots before the policy goes into effect? Or will the policy go into effect the same day FDA approval is announced?Is approval of this proposed policy certain or will it remain merely a proposed policy?Thanks!
Staff	Question	Concerns about Enforcement;	I am the [REDACTED] and there are several questions regarding how we would acquire DOH data along with the information from other states and how that information would be used by UNM to identify non-compliance. If this policy is going to be implemented by UNM we would like to start the process of outlining the dataflow. Who would can we work with to start or participate in these conversations?

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Question	Concerns about Enforcement;Concerns about Privacy;	The Vaccination Status Reporting form does not indicate your previous response nor does it check for any logic, for example, if I already submitted an answer that I got my first shot, that should not be an option, etc... The design seems amateurish at best. I suggest at least to have a list of previous responses available.The information on covid vaccination is private so I'd expect it to be in the same area as my W-2, health insurance info, etc. not on a separate system. Can it be part of the Employee Dashboard?Finally, does the University plan to coordinate any enforcement of vaccination with NM DOH? As of now, this relies on an honor system (I say I'm vaccinated but did not provide a copy of my card to UNM). I certainly hope that at least 90% of people working in my building and vicinity will be truly vaccinated!
Staff	Question	Concerns about Enforcement;Health Accommodation;	Can you please define what are considered additional safety measures and asymptomatic surveillance and symptomatic testing? If reasonable accommodation is requested and approved, will staff be required to wear a mask even if the mask mandate from the Governor is released?
Staff	Question	Concerns about Process	My department area provides customer support to students, employees and retirees. Often, my team addresses planned and unplanned visits from such individuals to obtain information from one of our team members. It is not uncommon request for them to request that we accommodate including their spouse/partner/friend, and sometimes children in these meetings. Will there be a vaccination visitors' policy (family, friend or otherwise) for coming on campus? If we prohibit employees from coming on campus, how will visitors be addressed? Will the UNM retiree population need be required to follow these vaccination rules to come on campus?
Staff	Question	Concerns about Process	Question: Will this be added to the UNM Administrative Policies and Procedures Manual?
Staff	Question	Concerns about Safety;	If the policy is approved and goes into effect, workers compensation/UNM will provide support to the employees who experience an adverse reaction to the vaccine (especially of long term consequence), correct?Thank you for your consideration.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Question	Concerns about Choice;	It is wrong to force a person to either inject something into their body or lose their job (if no medical/religious/etc. condition is met but simply free will). A big mantra these days is "my body, my choice." Where did that belief go? What about people who have already had the virus and now have antibodies? Would they be required to vaccinate? If masks work, why enforce vaccinations that are not even FDA approved? If vaccinations work, then why continue the use of masks and social distancing (as written in the draft policy)? There are many freedoms that must be handed over in order to keep food on the table with such a policy. Will those not vaccinated one day be forced to wear a scarlet letter on their apparel to signify their defiance? What is wrong with personal choice? I believe those who vaccinate and those who don't are making their own personal decision. Shaming people into getting vaccinated is barbaric. Yes, I'm vaccinated. I also believe in free will. Thank you for your time.
Staff	Question	Health Accommodation	Will vaccination exceptions/accommodations be made for pregnant women? Will vaccination exceptions/accommodations be made for nursing/breastfeeding mothers? The CDC currently states that vaccinations are a "personal choice" for pregnant women. It also states that although the vaccinations are thought to be safe in lactating women, there are currently no studies in this population. In addition, due to the newness of the vaccinations, no long-term studies exist in these populations. I personally would be uncomfortable getting the vaccine during pregnancy or while breastfeeding due to the unknown potential long-term effects. I want to keep myself, my family and my co-workers safe, of course. I'd be open to getting the vaccine at the conclusion of nursing/breastfeeding. Where is the line going to be drawn? Some mothers breastfeed for years. Is there going to be a time limit of 1 year since the American Pediatric Association recommends breastfeeding for at least the first year? If the work can be reasonably done remotely, will this be allowed? Thank you for taking the time to read my concerns and answer my questions.
Staff	Question	General Complaint;	Is UNM able to provide a discount or credit on UNM health insurance policies for those who have been vaccinated? Is this being considered?
Staff	Question	General Complaint;	I cannot make an informed decision about working remotely or going back to the office until UNM has decided on the vaccine requirement. Your decision affects my decision. As I'm sure it does for others. An update on when you will be deciding would be helpful. Thanks.
Staff	Question	Concerns about Process;	How will the proposed policy affect the operations of programs that are offered by UNM Departments to minors (UNM Music Prep School, UNM String Lab School, Continuing Education, etc)? How will these programs be expected to obtain and store this information?

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Question	General Complaint	Hello there, We head up the UNM Event Coordinators Committee which is made up of folks from across Academic and Student Affairs, Enrollment Management, Popejoy, UNM Bookstores, and Athletics who plan events for their departments/divisions. We would be interested in bringing someone from the Vaccine Policy committee to our next meeting on Wednesday, May 19th at 11am to gather feedback and hear questions/comments from the group. The members of this committee plan most of the events on campus and would be a helpful resource for the Vaccine Policy committee. I believe we can provide important logistical questions to consider. Thanks! UNM Student Activities Center
Staff	Question	General Complaint;	A number of the herbs and supplements I regularly use, like NAC, which the FDA suddenly wants to pull from the market after an exceptional sixty year safety record*, are probably helpful in boosting my immunity to SARS-CoV-2. These supplements get expensive, and are not covered by my prescription plan. In appreciation of my efforts to take care of my own health, while working on the front-lines, on campus since late January, I would appreciate if UNM would refund those purchases.*Can't have anything that will compete with pharmaceutical profits!
Staff	Question	Concerns about Safety;	Hello,I'm extremely worried about the ventilation in our very old building and office. It's very bad during regular times and the ventilation is a major concerned. Also seeing students, faculty, and staff as they walk into our office regularly. This is a major concern since I will not know where they have been, if they are sick, etc. They are told to come back to my office without knowing anything about them. How can I feel safe? I cannot feel safe having strangers in my office with a pandemic going on.I am worried the office will not follow COVID protocols as hourly employees in my office have received no information. The office I work in hasn't been communicating very well during this past year and I'm very worried about communication when we return. So many issues to resolve in just a very short time period. How is UNM going to keep us safe from others who are sick? This is a major concern. Our office only has one women's bathroom for many women. How are we supposed to feel safe from COVID?Thanks.
Staff	Question	Concerns about Safety;	What if the women is pregnant and didn't get vaccinated, can she have access to the UNM facilities?
Staff	Question	Concerns about SafetyGeneral Complaint	Is it legal?Whether legal or not, if UNM were to require vaccination as a condition of employment or attendance, would the state be at risk of having to compensate affected individuals or classes for any resulting damages (allergic reactions, car crashes, deaths, infertility, tolerance/reduced effectiveness to vaccines of future strains, mutagenic reactions, etc.)?

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Question	Concerns about Safety	I am very glad that there will be a policy in place to address this concern. However, it is not clear how the university defines "sincerely held belief." I worry that this ambiguity will create a loophole through which any uninformed, selfish anti-vaccination zealot can apply for exemption, thus risking the health and safety of the UNM community. How are these beliefs defined, and how are they documented and verified? Will people who are exempt from the vaccination requirement be required to wear masks when on campus? This would certainly go a long way in sorting the people who genuinely are not able to take the vaccines from the people who want to die on this ideological hill (along with the vulnerable people they'll likely infect along the way).
Staff	Question	Health Accommodation	Good Morning - I would like this to be defined more. "A person who is granted a reasonable accommodation may be required to adhere to additional safety measures to ensure the health and safety of the individual and the University community, including but not limited to asymptomatic surveillance and symptomatic testing." 1. Does this mean the person would need to get frequently tested? 2. Could this possibly cause a person to be targeted since they are not vaccinated? 3. Would what need to be submitted for a reasonable accommodation? Such as a doctor note? Also - I am classified a staff/student - so my questions are being considered for both affiliations. Thank you.
Staff	Question	Concerns about Process;	What if you have already been vaccinated? Are we required to show proof to UNM?
Staff	Question	General Complaint	Is there a way to submit anonymous feedback?
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I am fully in favor of requiring Covid vaccination of all persons who learn or work on UNM's campus, unless the individual has a medical exemption. Thank you for drafting the policy and for seeking feedback.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I believe that it is perfectly reasonable to require vaccinations for all our students. Of course, there can be the two most widely agreed-upon exceptions: that of a medical exemption & and that of a religious (an established religion with published doctrine) exemption. In my experience I believe the vast majority of students and parents would agree and feel much more comfortable about returning to, or starting college at UNM. Thank You [REDACTED] [REDACTED] services

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	Thank you for doing this. My feedback is that all who step on campus must be vaccinated. To keep the campus population safe (which in turn keeps our family and loved ones safe at home), we all must work together and all be vaccinated. Those that are not vaccinated should not be given the option/luxury to work from home either (unless it is for health purposes with doctors written notice). Either you are part of the team/community or you are not. Again, thank you for all you are doing to Bring Back the Pack!
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I work at Residence Life and Student Housing. I am currently managing the designated isolation spaces on campus. Because of this, I have direct knowledge of the planning and expense necessary as well as the vital importance of adhering to protocol, when managing isolation spaces. Additionally, I have a basic understanding of the vast efforts UNM has taken to combat COVID and protect its community, and of the success it has reaped due to this effort. Personally, I am in favor of all responsible measures taken towards COVID mitigation. Because each member of the UNM community has their own opinion regarding the existence of COVID and the efficacy of the vaccine, this opinion affects their level of adherence, whether conscious or unconscious, to the UNM policies put in place to protect us all. I believe that the establishment of a mandatory vaccine requirement at UNM is necessary and prudent. Institutional standards, when reinforced, can and do become societal standards. As an institution of higher learning, we have a responsibility to lead by example, reinforcing the principles of science and fact. In this case, the fact is that COVID is very real, and in way too many cases, lethal. But like polio, MMR, and other deadly diseases, COVID can be contained and mitigated through vaccination. While an enforced requirement may be the deciding factor for some few not to attend, I believe it will be the opposite for many, many more, and could positively affect enrollment for years to come. I hope that UNM will lead by example and accept this responsibility, joining other universities in protecting their communities through a mandatory vaccine requirement. Thank you for giving us the opportunity to voice our views.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I would support a vaccine requirement. If there is not a requirement, I would support a strong recommendation, and an explicit policy affirming that being vaccinated is an important contribution to good citizenship at UNM, the USA, and the world.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	Vaccination of staff, faculty and students should be mandatory for everyone's safety.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I strongly support requiring vaccinations and having a waiver review process for those that have legitimate reasons not to vaccinate.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I fully support requiring all students, faculty and staff to be vaccinated. COVID-19 is a horrible virus with horrendous consequences. Vaccines have been proven to be safe and effective.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I am in support for a mandatory vaccination policy to return to working in person on campus or remote locations for UNM divisions.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I'm in favor of the vaccine policy, mandate vaccination if you choose to work in the office. I fear that many will be against it for many reasons some legitimate others to get out of work. I think you should make sure you listen to every reason that is against it to assure they feel they are heard. Those voices are the loudest and would create the most disruption. My opinion is for those that choose to stay home should have some sort of way to be accountable to be working during their workday. This may add cost of having a system like this. Maybe asking each department, space, division to come up with their way of that system. Our university is not set up to be an online university so we should do what is possible to bring everyone back safely. The mask requirement should be enforced. Through the feedback request, I don't think you would get enough feedback. I think there are many people that will not fill it out. I would suggest that you ask departments and units to also collect their staff feelings towards this. This can be simple as collecting opinions through a google doc or one drive doc.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	An alternative to the mandate could be incentives for staff and students to choose to get vaccinated. The University of Tulsa is giving all staff who get vaccinated an additional day of paid leave, which is a great way to motivate folks who are on the fence or have simply put it off. Similarly, out of state and international students who are vaccinated coming to campus in August will not need to quarantine.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I think now that the CDC has said it's safe for vaccinated people to be indoors without a mask, it is more important to make vaccines mandatory. I work at Valencia Campus and the people in Valencia County are more hesitant to get vaccinated so there is higher risk of spread here. Vaccinations are important for the safety of our campus and our community.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I fully support the proposed requirement to require staff returning to on site work locations be fully vaccinated from COVID 19 prior to returning. I will feel safer returning to my shared work space knowing that my coworkers are vaccinated.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I fully support requiring vaccinations to return to the office. I would not be at all comfortable sharing office space with unvaccinated people.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	As a staff member of UNM for nearly 20 years I feel that implementing this policy is greatly needed. I would be more inclined to return to campus knowing that the people next to me, walking from one building to the next, in front of me and behind me in line getting coffee, etc. have all been vaccinated. I have family who are of elderly age, my son is not of age to receive the COVID vaccine so knowing that anyone on campus has been vaccinated will ease my mind.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	Thank you for seeking comments on the proposed Vaccine Policy. I strongly support a requirement that faculty, staff, and students be fully vaccinated against COVID-19 before returning to campus in any capacity. I also support exceptions for the reasons included in the policy. My only concern about the draft policy is that it does not provide adequate protections when a person is granted an exception or exemption. The policy does not require unvaccinated individuals to participate in or implement additional CSPs to mitigate risk before coming to campus. Throughout the policy, "may" should be changed to "shall" so that unvaccinated individuals are required to take appropriate safety precautions before coming to campus. If they are unable or unwilling to take those precautions, they should not be allowed to access campus facilities, housing, services, programs or activities in person. Thank you.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I support this Policy
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I support the proposed vaccine policy. I hope UNM will offer vaccination on campus if we need boosters in the future.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	Hold a vaccination event on campus, like the ones for flu shots. That way students, faculty, and staff don't have to search for a way or place to get vaccines.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I am in full support of requiring students/faculty/staff to be fully vaccinated in order to access in-person services on campus. As an advisor who works in a very small office, social distancing is not really a possibility in our spaces. If we are expected to return to our regular in-person services, I would feel much more comfortable if there was a vaccination policy in place to ensure the spread of COVID is minimized even in these non-ideal spaces. I appreciate the upper administration taking feedback on this and am hopeful there will be some kind of vaccination policy in place prior to returning to in-person operations this fall. Thank you! [REDACTED]

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	Just do it.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I believe it should be required.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	People are dying and we don't want that to be our co-workers, students, friends or family. It is simply the responsible thing to do to make vaccines required for those to whom it is safe to take them. After all, we require vaccines for students to enroll, participate in sports, etc. Why would we not do the same for a global pandemic that is killing people?
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I have been fully vaccinated, my first shot was on February 24, 2021, my second shot was on March 17, 2021. I believe that UNM should mandate that all Faculty and Staff including students should be fully vaccinated when the Fall Semester starts in August 2021, so that we are all on the same playing field if you will. I understand that people may not want to take the vaccination because of either political beliefs or religious beliefs. This Pandemic has sidelined every aspect of our lives. So, regardless of political or religious beliefs, this is a health issue that affects all of us. This virus has no political affiliation or religious belief it is a health issue for all of us.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	As someone living with someone who is unable to be vaccinated, and with elderly family who are unable to be vaccinated due to certain health conditions, I am intensely uncomfortable with the notion that fellow staff/faculty/visitors will not be required to get the vaccine. I continue to do curbside pickup and online ordering, and have made minimal (less than five) trips into stores/public spaces since the start of the pandemic for the reasons above. When I read that masks will not be required and I may be required to be around people in office (vaccinated or not), it is terrifying. I absolutely feel that vaccines should be required for anyone entering a UNM space/building, whether located on or off campus. Thank you for considering a variety of viewpoints.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	We require other vaccinations (MMR, dTAP) for incoming students. I strongly agree to require COVID vaccination to be on campus. It's not enough to "bring back the pack", we have to actively work to keep the pack healthy and strong.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I am Staff and Parent of a student. Please require vaccinations of all faculty, staff & students as a condition of "Bringing Back the Pack." Please add the vaccination question to the daily check-in questionnaires. Please encourage departments to SLOWLY phase back over the summer. Some management want to rush back fully as of July 1 with with no clear justification for this expediency. Thank.you.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	THANK YOU!! I believe UNM can be a strong model and leader in the vaccination mission. This required protection will extend past the UNM community (those working/studying) to their families, friends, and the community at large.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I don't want to come work or interact with anyone who isn't vaccinated.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I agree with the draft policy. I believe all students, faculty and staff should be fully vaccinated before coming onto any UNM campus for any reason.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	Just expressing my appreciation for this policy, and urging decisionmakers to hold a firm line on limiting exceptions and on robustly enforcing the policy. I am so desperately looking forward to returning to campus, and a policy like this one will make it easier to do so with peace of mind and safety for all, including my as-yet unvaccinated young children. It is heartening to see UNM following a policy that supports public health and safety in this important way. Thank you!
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	Although it would be preferable to require everyone on campus to be vaccinated, I do see that the policy states that those that are not vaccinated will have restricted access to certain facilities. This is good because it concerns me in regards to large classrooms, the gym, the SUB, and Popejoy. Also, would if a wind instrument musician is not vaccinated how can they participate? Just thinking. I highly encourage that those who do not vaccinate based on religious or health reasons submit an official waiver and be required to wear masks. There are some who are vaccinated who will continue to wear masks in large groups, like me, who do not feel comfortable with those who choose not to vaccinate in my place of work. I say this especially when the Delta Variant is consistently escalating by at least 5% a week with positive Covid tested people. This is frightening and I think UNM should let everyone know that the vaccine helps against the Delta Variant, health officials have warned that young, unvaccinated residents are at the highest risk of contracting the Delta variant.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I think this policy sounds good and fair. I'll happy to go back to campus if this policy is in place and take effect by August 2nd. Otherwise, it will be hard for me to feel safe. The Covid is a high-risk illness, and the problem is that it is transmitted by air, which is something we can't see. Why we can't ask people if they are vaccinated? How wearing a mask can be mandatory but not to get proof or get the vaccine? Is it not a public safety concern? and therefore, should not it be mandatory? Thank you for your timeRespectfully, [REDACTED]
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I strongly believe that UNM should require vaccinations for all those working or learning on campus. Due to the emerging Delta variant, it is especially critical that everyone who can get vaccinated does so. Additionally, there are staff, faculty, and students who are immunocompromised and cannot rely on their own vaccination to guarantee protection from Covid-19. Requiring immunization for all those on campus will go a long way toward protecting the most vulnerable in both the campus and Albuquerque communities, and help ensure that herd immunity protects those who cannot have the vaccine.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I fully support the finalization, implementation and enforcement of the policy currently in draft status.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I feel strongly that vaccinations should be a requirement and that proof must be on record; those unvaccinated cannot be around others. There are still too many vulnerable people who are children, immune-compromised, etc. unable to get the vaccine. The variants, how they spread and how practices impact them is still unknown. Just this morning, I am reading that some places are reinstating indoor mask wearing because of the unknowns. I don't think restrictions should be relaxed so quickly, including indoors. There will be a stigma for those fearful who continue to wear masks to protect themselves and others. Remember early in the pandemic when they mistakenly said that masks weren't necessary- only to find that they are a huge protector? Being forced to return to the office, then care for my unvaccinated baby is unjust and unfair- and especially as a single mom- what else are my choices? UMM has spent the last year thanking and trusting employees, and now suddenly its hypocritically exclaiming that employees can't properly work from home? Not everyone benefits from office 'culture' and in fact many find it to be another daily example of inequity and injustice. This is more of the same. I also see many who privately convey on social media that they don't believe in masks or vaccines, and are fine with lying that they have been vaccinated. There is a lot of trauma from the past year and UNM policies seem to be heading that direction to create even more. Please listen to your staff, who are by nature of the hierarchy, already on the receiving end of inequitable treatment. You know, when they might get a 1.5% increase while some faculty are getting a \$30k increase- equivalent to the annual salary of some positions? After a year of equity discussions, there is a lot being missed in the current dialogue- and vaccines, pay, remote work, culture and opportunities are getting jumbled. Please think of all you preached and were so grateful for during the past year and ask yourselves if you are indeed on the right track.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I am strongly in favor of the draft vaccination policy as it is written.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	UNM should consider the community around the university and not just the welfare of students, faculty, and staff. Universities have a tendency to think they live in their own little world, but UNM is right in the middle of Albuquerque. Having a stronger policy that does not include FDA approval would be better for Albuquerque overall. Also, UNM should take into account its demographics. First, the age group of students falls into a demographic with a very low vaccination rate. Also, Hispanics/Latinos fall into a demographic, again, with a very low vaccination rate. These rates need to be considered when thinking about the policy. Statistically, UNM is a delta variant away from causing a local outbreak in Albuquerque.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I think the draft policy is fantastic and we would be very well served by requiring everyone who possibly can, to get vaccinated. The delta variant proves both that vaccinated people are not 100% safe (but the more there are, the safer everyone is), and that we as an institution need to leverage our voice and power to help ensure that a greater percentage of the population gets vaccinated.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I strongly feel that anyone who works in a patient oriented department should be required to be vaccinated.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	Please keep an eye on the variant ball. From Friday's Wall Street Journal: "TEL AVIV—About half of adults infected in an outbreak of the Delta variant of Covid-19 in Israel were fully inoculated with the Pfizer Inc. vaccine, prompting the government to reimpose an indoor mask requirement and other measures to contain the highly transmissible strain."We are experiencing a tremendous influx of visitors from neighboring states with low vaccination rates, increasing the risk of community spread of variants. Folks showing-up to campus unvaccinated can seed micro-outbreaks on campus and in the community. As a staff/faculty member who is also the caregiver of a vulnerable elder, I am very concerned about the data coming out last week showing reduced immunity in folks. Also as recent as Friday, the WHO urged everyone on the planet to sustain public health precautions even if vaccinated (distancing, masking, etc). It feels like we are playing a game of Russian roulette to open campus and classrooms without: a) a way to confirmation vaccination; and b) to ensure unvaccinated individuals comply with requirements. I'm very concerned for my family that I teach eight-hour days in classrooms with no windows. And how do we do this with campus visitors?? The 'honor system' is not a public health approach to ensure safety in a global pandemic.Thanks for considering.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I am all here for a vaccination Policy. I believe that UNM and especially on my side of the campus HSC need to lead the pack on being responsible for our health. This would give UNM a chance to be a good role model to our community, school and place of work. Perhaps an incentive can also be given to those who are still apprehensive of getting vaccinated. Could be monetary, a day of annual leave for employees, a credit in lobo cash, a meal, UNM Loot, care package after getting the shot [pain reliever, ice pack, gator aid, snack etc] or some sort of discounted service etc. that would not negatively effect the budget.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	Thank you so much for drafting a policy that requires all personnel at UNM to be fully vaccinated before returning to campus. This is the only way I will feel safe to return to campus full time. I think the drafted policy is great.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	As Professor Holloway wrote in a recent academic dispatch, "immunity protects your community" so requiring the vaccine should be an easy decision given there are no legal complications. A minority, although potentially vocal, should not compromise our communal right to safety. As a research university, UNM should be taking a lead in the state and joining the now 361 colleges and universities that currently require vaccination (https://www.chronicle.com/blogs/live-coronavirus-updates/heres-a-list-of-colleges-that-will-require-students-to-be-vaccinated-against-covid-19). If UNM does not, they should at least take the following steps until our community is closer to immunity from Covid-19. Register those who have been vaccinated with proof and find a way to securely identify vaccinated status. Allow those vaccinated to choose whether they will mask indoors. Require those who are not vaccinated to wear masks. Choices have consequences, and people who simply choose not to get vaccinated should not endanger those who are immunocompromised or allergic to vaccination or who have under 12 year old children at home. Hospitals have had similar procedures for staff who do not get an annual flu vaccine so there are models in place. Ultimately, requiring vaccinations for the UNM community will be easier and better for New Mexico overall.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I generally support this policy. It might be prudent to incorporate UNM's position on the need to get vaccine booster's, should the emerging medical literature determine that such boosters are warranted for continued efficacy against COVID-19.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I want to express my support for UNM requiring vaccinations for everyone to return safely in person in the Fall.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I fully support the idea of UNM requiring all students, staff, and faculty to be vaccinated.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	In agreement with a mandatory vaccination policy. The needs of the many should absolutely outweigh the objections of the few. As a university, we should stand with science and data-backed conclusions.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I have been impressed with UNMs proactive approach to the pandemic from the very beginning. While if felt as though the community/state/nation was slow to acknowledge the potential seriousness and implement steps to mitigate the path we were heading down, UNM got right to task with setting up strategies to keep its employees, patients, and communities safe. Even when some of these methods were not popular. It has been an enormous commitment, effort, and work. Thank you. It made me feel safe to continue to come into work and take care of our cancer patients. And though my site is located in southern New Mexico, our Medical Director is UNM Faculty and vigilantly monitored the latest data/recommendations coming out of UNM to direct how our facility was being run down here. So, yes again to what the UNM policy will be as things open up. They are smart and I support them. And thank you for caring about our community.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I think that vaccinations should be required. The schools require vaccinations in the elementary schools, why can't colleges required them as well. I support having vaccinations required for faculty, staff and students.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I am in full support of a fully vaccinated campus. I also support reasonable accommodation and adherence to safety measures as a requirement for those who are not vaccinated. Campus health is a priority. UNM is an open campus, so we are also at risk for guests and fellow Lobos who travel. Just as we had to show our vaccination records before attending K12 schools, so should be doing the same as we enter Post-Covid.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	The policy, now in draft form, seems a highly reasonable policy. I am in agreement with a vaccine mandate. Given the huge global impact with devastating losses across all facets of human life such a policy is the most rational approach for the public good.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I absolutely think the vaccine should be required this fall for all students and staff. It's just the reasonable thing to do.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I strongly support a mandatory vaccine requirement. Other universities are making it mandatory. The no mask requirement based on the "honor system" for those vaccinated is a bad idea. We all know this honor system will not work. I suggest UNM either mandate the vaccine or keep the mask requirement. I am vaccinated but certainly do not feel safe on campus under the current policy especially when the campus will be teaming again this fall. While we value individual rights, the university has to consider the safety of the entire pack. One's right ends where another's begins.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	It is vital that UNM requires UNM affiliates physically returning to campus this fall to be fully vaccinated. Yes, there are a select few who for medical or religious reasons can get an accommodation, but the overwhelming majority need to be vaccinated fully to return for the safety of the pack. This will also allow easier justification for going maskless in buildings and easing up on social distancing policies. There are precedents regarding Universities requiring vaccinations of students/staff/faculty such as the 1960s and on requirements for MMR vaccinations. I am a frontline worker and interact with students, staff, and faculty daily from all around campus. I hope that UNM cares enough about the pack to require vaccinations.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I agree with the draft policy and thank you all for proposing it. One note: I don't think it defines "fully vaccinated," and it probably should.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I strongly approve of a policy that requires all students/staff/faculty to be full vaccinated in order to return to campus. I hope that UNM views any possible exceptions through a very narrow lens. Thank you for making the giving of feedback on the policy so easy.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	Thank you so much for creating a vaccine policy and I do hope it will be implemented. As a public facing employee (staff) in CULLS, we've had to work throughout this pandemic with the public in our buildings. This gives me piece of mind that I and my coworkers will be protected with the vaccine requirement. I don't have any questions regarding this, but wanted to provide positive feedback about the implementation of a vaccine requirement.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Health Accommodation; Support Policy;	I support this effort 100%, I would like for our lives to return back to normal even if its the new normal. For those who cannot get the vaccine for whatever reason, I believe its fair to ask them to provide the proper paperwork for an exemption. I hope this becomes part of the normal policy, we cannot let another virus bring us down again!
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	This draft policy and related FAQs seem to be well thought out and realistic. I would support this policy, and believe many of my staff would as well, based on the questions and comments I have received over the last few weeks.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I am an academic advisor who has 30-minute, face-to-face appointments with students in a small, poorly ventilated office. Enacting this policy would make me feel more confident in my safety as we are asked to resume in-person services.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I am very glad to see that the University is planning to require vaccination! This past year has been full of uncertainty and honestly I'm concerned at how things are reopening considering New Mexico's COVID numbers are as bad as they were last Summer (at least according to covidactnow.org) and there are more concerns about variants every day. This policy will put my mind much more at ease as we return to campus.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I am very pleased to see that you are looking to require vaccines, it makes me feel much more comfortable with the idea of coming to campus in person again.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	As a Staff member and a student, I greatly appreciate this safety measure being taken for all students/faculty/staff. As someone in an area that is very customer facing, it provides a level of security that makes me feel more comfortable about the safety of my family. I hope that as considerations move forward, we continue to implement a strict mask policy for areas (like the Bookstore or the SUB) that see traffic from people who are not students/faculty/staff, to ensure the safety of the students and staff that work in those public areas.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	This policy looks well thought out. I appreciate you all doing what is best for the UNM community by requiring us all to receive the covid vaccine!
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	Thank you for implementing a firm vaccine policy. This both helps me feel safer at the prospect of returning to work in person, and sends a clear message about the importance of getting vaccinated.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	Vaccination of staff, faculty and student should be a requirement for opening operations in Fall (of course, expect for those with medical conditions). Specially making vaccination a requirement for incoming in-state and out-of-states students. According to scientists, heard immunity can be reached with 70% and 90% of vaccinated population, this includes adults and children. All of our campuses are part of the larger community in NM, therefore we are responsible for exposing the rest of the community to potential transmission of highly contagious variants through the potential flow of students. We have to consider that surrounding states have not had effective public health measures, and incoming students may hold views regarding the pandemic that may have them resist our measures on campus and the state. In most instance in which college campuses have opened before the vaccine or without vaccination requirement, transmission increased and the campuses eventually closed to contain the outbreak. A strong anti-vaccine or vaccination hesitancy campaign is necessary, and provide vaccination on campus for incoming students, staff and faculty. This could be coordinated with state and other higher ed institutions in the state. Staff should maintain a flexible, hybrid schedule that still reduces the contact with each other and others on campus. Also, we have to have contingency plans regarding staff and faculty having child care issues if outbreaks occur in local schools as districts open up in Fall. ID cards should somehow indicate the vaccination status in order to move through campus, while the pandemic is still uncontrolled.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	Thank you for this and I hope this goes into affect. Largely requiring the vaccine, and requiring surveillance testing for those who don't get it, seems like the right approach.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Concerns about Safety;Support Policy;	I would fully support a vaccination policy for return to in person activities--but there needs to be space for REAL medical exemptions (people with lowered immunity, chronic disease, etc, not anything ridiculous). Those people who can't get the vaccine for REAL reasons shouldn't have to stay away from school to accommodate morons. Maintaining high quality online options is a great way for deniers to participate and also great for participation for students with other challenges.I think this would be more challenging before the vaccine has full FDA approval-- and without herd immunity, or approval, I do NOT support "you don't have to wear a mask if you're vaccinated!" plans. Because we all know that the people who won't get vaccinated will absolutely lie about it and risk vulnerable people's lives so that they can feel "free." I don't think there should be very large gatherings indoors (400 people lectures?) without masks until we have herd immunity and death levels approximating that of seasonal flu.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	Totally in favor of requiring Covid vaccinations for faculty and students before they return to campus! As well as nursing students before they go on their clinical excursions....Thank you!
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I think a vaccine policy is a good idea and one that will help protect our UNM community and the community at large. I would like to see this move forward.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	This may be the right opportunity to review how UNM can enforce the policy that requires the MMR vaccine for all students entering UNM. During the past few year, the medical community world wide has seen an increase infection rate due to this important highly infectious but vaccine preventable disease. We are entering a new era of infectious diseases - we must prepare accordingly.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	Yes, we should have a vaccine mandate.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	Great idea! I approve of this policy
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I think this policy is appropriate and necessary. I appreciate the continued attention to the safety of the UNM community
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I strongly support the vaccine requirement and feel it is essential to provide a safe environment for a return to normalcy. Although I respect the need to be fair and just in allowing for exemptions on the basis of religion, I do fear that this will allow too many people to simply state "I don't believe in vaccines" and therefore avoid the policy. I understand it may not be legally feasible, but I think this language should be strengthened and there should be a greater burden to obtaining exemption based on belief. We're an institute of higher learning with a world-class Health Sciences Center. We should function on the tenants of science and proven interventions, not anti-vax dogma.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I strongly support the proposed policy and feel it would greatly contribute to safety to campus to have those guidelines in place, especially when in close indoor proximity with others.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I am a staff member and a student, please require all UNM personnel including students to be fully vaccinated. I take care of a public venue (the Bio Dept Greenhouse) and will not feel comfortable opening the greenhouse to students unless there is a policy requiring students to be vaccinated. In addition, it is a known factor that students come to class sick because they will miss subject material. I fully anticipate students who have been given a positive COVID test will still come to class. Implementing a required vaccine will reduce the number of students coming to class sick.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Concerns about EnforcementSupport Policy	I wholeheartedly support this policy. As someone who manages the Lobo Prevention Pack for our campus and has been actively involved in creating the #VaxThePack campaign, I have seen many people on campus fail to take the precautionary measures needed. While we continue to work on this, the requirement for vaccination will not only assist our students in the education and advocacy they continue to provide, but also shows the stance that "Each Lobo, Protects all Lobos". Public health matters and we as an institution need to take a greater stance on prevention on our campus. Fortunately, we are not alone in drafting these policies, today the American College Health Association send out the notification below advising college to do just this!(https://www.acha.org/ACHA/About/ACHA_News/ACHA_Recommends_COVID-19_Vaccination_Requirements_for_Fall_2021.aspx) With that being said, I noticed the policy starts off with the "requirement" of this vaccine in the first paragraph, this then transitions to "highly recommend" in the second paragraph. I feel that this can be confusing. Also, it is my understanding that there is no plan for enforcement in place, therefore how will we ensure that our campus community is vaccinated? There seems to be no repercussions mentioned in the policy. Thank you for allowing this to be a discussion with our campus community. Kudos, to UNM for putting this forth and providing a healthier and safer campus for all.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	Barring legitimate medical or religious reasons, I believe every UNM staff, student and faculty member should be vaccinated against COVID-19.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Privacy	Although I 110% support vaccinating everyone (and I am fully vaccinated already), I do not believe it is a wise decision to mandate, via a policy, a rule of 'vaccinate or you can't come to campus.' I believe that will damage morale campus-wide and create a 'us against them' mentality. Those walking around with a mask on under this policy could be shunned or discriminated against, or even worse harmed for their choice. I believe it infringes on each person's right to chose, just as many parents (although not me) chose not to vaccinate their children. It is a personal decision whether or not to get injected with that vaccine and a University policy mandating it creates an undue burden on the person.I do believe all non-vaccinated persons should be required to maintain CSP and wear masks on campus, but I do not believe it is fair to them to require them to take any additional measures above and beyond that. Nor do I believe it's medically necessary to have them take extra steps to protect others. The current steps we are taking are working and we've flattened the curve. Anything above current CSP's would be unreasonable.I support vaccination immensely, and encourage all those around me to vaccinate, but I do not believe it is a wise decision to have a University Policy mandating something that should be a choice. Not allowing the anti-vaccinators on campus would create great hostility within Departments and the Campus. I'd go as far as to say that I know many staff and faculty would quit over a policy such as this. We are coming into a world of hybrid telecommuting options and I know people would look for a job elsewhere if they were not allowed on campus.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Concerns about Enforcement	I believe the policy is a sound one but there needs to be clear description of policy enforcement. Given the language about waiting until the CDC I am concerned that the policy is toothless, and will remain toothless, for most of the academic year.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Concerns about Enforcement	While I am glad to see that such a policy is being considered in order to make all staff, faculty, and students safer and to help prevent any potential future outbreaks at UNM, I am wondering: if this is not going to be *enforced* until the FDA approves of one or more of the vaccines, then is it really *required*? In other words, is it really a requirement for all to be vaccinated in order to be on campus if, when people go against policy on this, nothing is/can be done to the violator?Again, I am fully in support of a policy truly *requiring* vaccinations (and I myself am thankfully fully vaccinated against COVID-19); but I am just wondering if anything is going to actually be done to those whom do not comply, before that point in time when the FDA approves a vaccine, in order to give this potential policy any strength at all until then? Thank you!
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Concerns about Enforcement	I believe that this policy should be implemented, as it is in the best interest of public health.I like that the policy includes steps that must be taken by non-vaccinated individuals to ensure health safety (including but not limited to asymptomatic and symptomatic testing).How will this policy be enforced?

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Concerns about Enforcement	LOVE this SO MUCH, thank you for continuing to take reasonable and prudent positions that expose you to static but are the right thing to do and protect us all. I could not be prouder of this university and its leadership for the way you have stepped up to the plate and steered us through these terrible times. So many times it would have been easier for you to duck and take the easy way out and you never have. Thank you for protecting me so that I can focus on doing my job!One minor question on the policy: In the second paragraph it says " Enforcement of the policy will be delayed until the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approves/licenses forregular use at least one COVID-19 (SARS-CoV-2) vaccine." Aren't there already 3 vaccines approved? Or are we waiting for something else? If this had been written last year this would make sense but since the date on this document is May 2021 it's very confusing.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Concerns about Enforcement	A COVID vaccine requirement for students and staff is a great move and helps provide a safe campus. The University will need to provide administrative support for monitoring/enforcement. Databases will need to be available to check vaccine status for out-of-state students, since they will not be in NM State Immunization Information System and cards documenting COVID vaccination are easy to forge.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Concerns about EnforcementConcerns about Process	Thank you for developing this policy. I support a vaccination requirement for everyone in the campus community, with appropriate ADA exemptions. Here are my questions - If a student or employee refuses to comply, is it likely that that individual would face some kind of disciplinary action? I work in the libraries, and we have had to use the ban process through the Dean of Students Office for individuals (UNM and community) who have severely or repeatedly violated various campus policies. Is escalating to a campus ban a likely outcome in this scenario for students? If it's an employee, would the supervisor be looped in to the conversation, and at what point? Would supervisors have to provide remote work for employees who refuse a vaccine without a medical exemption? Or does it become a disciplinary action? What recourse would an employee have? People will have reasons other than medical for deciding not to be vaccinated. If, or more likely, when, a booster shot is available for the current vaccines, do you anticipate UNM providing shot clinics, as we do for the flu shot? It's so helpful, and I appreciate that access. But I realize the scale of the vaccination campaign may make it more challenging to facilitate a booster shot for SARS-CoV2. I have some other questions about the phased return to campus so I hope there will be a similar way to submit questions!

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Health Accommodation; Support Policy;	I agree with the requirement, however I have several employees that have medical concerns. I would like to be sure we clarify what the requirements will be for those with exemptions so they can make the best decisions for themselves. I will likely lose one employee in a key area due to this possible requirement, but I believe that this is due to a lot of paranoia surrounding the vaccines. Possibly some accurate information sent out reiterating the safety from UNM may help. Thank you, [REDACTED] rounds Manager
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Concerns about EnforcementHealth AccommodationR	I strongly support this policy. I do not support personal exceptions for any reason other than medical or legitimate religious reasons (not made up religions). I have questions about enforcement, and about how we will know if the people in our sphere have been vaccinated. I believe I have a right to not be willingly exposed at work by someone who has chosen not to be vaccinated, and that I have a right to know who around me is not vaccinated and may be a vector so that I can avoid being in person with them.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Health Accommodation; Religious Accommodation;	I support a University vaccination requirement 100%. I am curious though what accommodations will be given to those who choose not to get vaccinations due to medical or religious beliefs. I support their reasons not to....but that still puts others at risk. Can you discuss how that will be managed please? Will those individuals all work/attend classes remotely?
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Concerns about EnforcementSupport Policy	I am happy to see UNM draft a policy that mandates vaccination. I think that it will go a long way to assure community members that it is "safe" to return to campus. I have many staff members that are nervous and this will quell some concerns. I look forward to explanations of monitoring of compliance. This is a brave statement and it is much appreciated.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Concerns about PrivacySupport Policy	(I am staff and student) I would feel much safer, in both work and class, if this policy is enacted. Please make sure that whatever method is used to collect vaccine proof from the UNM community is secure, as this is HIPAA-protected data. BTW the site where we are supposed to submit our current vaccine status doesn't provide information about where that data is going or who has access to it - people might be more inclined to self-disclose if they knew those things. This was raised in the HR forum yesterday.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Concerns about Privacy;Support Policy;	I am a UNM Staff employee who works at the UNM Comprehensive Cancer Center in the infusion suite. We care for the most severely immunocompromised patients possible. That is not hyperbole. We actively perform stem cell transplantation on this unit. That involves annihilation of the patient's bone marrow with chemotherapy, which would ordinarily kill a person, and then "rescuing" them with the stem cell transplant. The rooms in which this procedure takes place on the opposite side of the wall from the 4th floor employee break room, where unvaccinated employees are authorized to unmask and eat, during a respiratory pandemic. Is the HVAC system such that none of this air is shared? Additionally, this breakroom environment of mixing vaccinated and unvaccinated staff is exposing the vaccinated staff to possible infection, and endangering our patients. The science is showing more and more that this can be/is an airborne transmitted virus. Since we keep treating our patients as customers, I feel that our patients should be made fully aware of the vaccination status of the staff of the UNMCCC; to be perfectly clear, all our patients should be informed that vaccines are not required of UNMCCC staff during all appointment reminder calls, posted on the walls, etc. This way, our cancer patients can make a truly informed choice about where they choose to receive their oncology care.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Concerns about Process	Thank you for proposing mandatory vaccination for UNM. I FULLY SUPPORT MANDATORY VACCINATIONS! I'm the Operations Manager at KUNM Radio, I oversee about 100 community volunteers who currently produce their music and public affairs programming from home due to COVID, but will eventually return to live, in-studio broadcasting. As part of our "bringing back the KUNM pack" set to start in early June, we've decided to mandate proof of full vaccination for all our DJs wishing to broadcast live from our studios in Building 156. I see language pertaining to "UNM personnel" for people who will be required to be vaccinated, but it would be nice to include language from the FAQ section that indicates volunteers will be included in this too so I can point to this language if there is any pushback from our volunteers. As the staff member who will be in charge of verifying vaccine status for our volunteers, any additional support and guidance for safe return to operations will be greatly appreciated. Thank you!

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Concerns about ProcessSupport Policy	I fully support the implementation of this requirement, which would explicitly recognize that comprehensive COVID-19 vaccination is the most effective way for UNM to return to a safe, robust on-campus experience for students this fall semester. Without it we will have two categories of students with very different risk profiles and limited ability to differentiate between them when needed, such as for exposures to positive cases. Also, current CDC recommendations for COVID Safe Practices are different for the two groups, and can reasonably be expected to continue this way for the foreseeable future. A COVID-19 vaccination requirement will require significant new administrative processes that currently do not exist in order to verify vaccination status and to ensure compliance (including processes for opting out).
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Concerns about ProcessSupport Policy	I think we should absolutely require all employees to get the vaccination (and like HSC/Health System requiring flu shot) require proof and tracking. It will be difficult to make employees that are coming back to feel comfortable being around those who may not be vaccinated.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Religious AccommodationSupport Policy	In order to further reduce the abuse of the religious exception policy, the university can require a letter discussing their beliefs from the individual's religious leader. Outside of a very small selection of groups, there are no objections by any major religious group.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Concerns about Safety	I *am* encouraged by the fact that a Vaccine is required, but I am also incredibly troubled by the fact when someone is granted an exception it's kept confidential... I understand the need for privacy, but bear in mind that this comes at the cost of increased potential exposure for folks that are still at risk even after receiving the Vaccine (the risk is greatly lowered, yes, but that's not the point). I have type 1 diabetes and along with that I am immunocomprised (tends to be alongside type 1). It's not uncommon for a flu, pneumonia or even a 'bad' cold to put my life at risk (I wish that was an overstatement). I also understand that not vaccinating is a *choice* and my medical condition is not... some folks don't appreciate the difference. If UNM is going to allow for anonymous exceptions to receiving the vaccine, please consider taking some sort of effort along side it to notify any folks that may be at higher risk of being near an area where unvaccinated folks are present (and offer them a remote option where possible). Please find a balance: I understand people don't want their unvaccinated status known, but I don't want to die because someone else's preference to not vaccinate, so I need to keep away from anyone that's unvaccinated. I *will* ask and I *will* tell folks they may not approach me closer than 6 feet and even then it better be *with* a mask. Maybe a badge indicator meaning "don't approach unless vaccinated" would be appropriate... again, I'd like to point out that I do not have a choice in having type 1 diabetes, BUT it is a choice a person can make to not be vaccinated. My life matters to me. Please, don't make me choose between my life and my job.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I love it, let's do it

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Concerns about Enforcement Concerns about Process Health	I am an academic advisor. I have a small office with poor ventilation and no windows that open. I have been very fortunate that UNM has been so flexible allowing staff to work from home in this time. Technology has allowed me to continue to meet with my students with little interruption. I hope flexibility is continued. As a working parent, I hope I will be allowed to work from home if my son has an exposure and his school or classroom closes. I am very nervous to return to work, in general. In typical times, I meet with upwards of 12 students a day. Not to mention other staff and faculty coming and going from our office. Considering how often advisors get colds and other minor illnesses from students coming to appointments ill, I am concerned about students (and others) bringing in COVID. Vaccinations and other practices are the only way to return to the office, in my view. As long as there are robust avenues for those that cannot get vaccines and those with other credible reasons for not getting the vaccine, I support the mandate. I also hope that masks and outside or remote appointments and meetings are embraced. In addition, while I have only just received my second dose, for some, they are nearing 6 months, and it will be past 6 months by August. I hope there is research being conducted and plans being made for those that are concerned that their vaccine benefits have worn off. I wonder how buildings will be monitored? In typical times our building is open to the public. Perhaps badge access only? And potential students can make Zoom appointments. Thank you for the courage to put out a policy that puts the wellness of the pack above the rants of the few. I hope it is approved.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Religious Accommodation;	I fully support a COVID-19 vaccination mandate at UNM and all its facilities. I do not, however, agree with religious exemptions, but do agree with exemptions for those who are immunocompromised or have other types of legitimate medical excuses.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I believe this policy to be an excellent idea. Setting up appointments and receiving the vaccine is readily abundant and available for free, and promoting herd immunity at UNM shows an initiative towards the security and health of all those who attend UNM.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I just wanted to submit my STRONG SUPPORT for this policy. I appreciate UNM taking a stance to protect the staff, faculty, and students. I appreciate not leaving it up to each individual. I think this is the best policy to make sure we don't have outbreaks on campus and to ensure the safety of our families and loved ones who are too young to access the vaccines. I STRONGLY support this policy and will happily show my vaccine card on campus as needed. :)
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	Everyone needs to be vaccinated. Thank you

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	Sounds like a wonderful idea to keep all Lobos safe.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I love the draft vaccine policy. Please vote on it and enforce it. I want all students, faculty, staff, volunteers, contractors, EVERYONE setting foot on campus to be fully vaccinated. This includes children once the vaccine is approved for ages 2+. Thank you for drafting the policy. The FAQs are excellent as well. You 100% have my support in this endeavor.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I appreciate the well-thought approach the President and her leadership team are taking to "bring back the pack" in as safe a way as possible while respecting privacy, individual choice and providing accommodations. Given the significant health-risk to other individuals, I am in favor of the proposed policy. My husband is immune-compromised and as our oncologist said yesterday, while we are both vaccinated, the level of protection he may receive from the vaccine is unknown and initial research is showing it can be minimal or none for some people who have a compromised immune system. So, the best protection he can have is that COVID is reduced to very minimal transmission. COVID is like second-hand smoke - if someone chooses to smoke and I have to be in that environment to work or go to school, I can't completely eliminate the impact of someone else's choice on myself and in this case, in exposing my husband to a virus that could be life-threatening. So, while I understand there will and should be reasonable accommodations, in this instance, I think the health risk to all individuals of people not getting vaccinated outweighs the individual choice. Thank you for taking the time to put the policy out for comment and using it to guide the University's decision.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I support vaccination being required for our campus community.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I think that this is a good policy. However, I also believe that UNM should be using soft influence tools such as rebates on student fees and education to encourage students and staff to get vaccinated over the summer. The way in which this policy was rolled out seems to use mostly the stick and not so much carrot.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I think having a mandatory vaccine requirement is an excellent plan and really shows UNM cares about it's community. COVID-19 has been devastating to so many people, and having a mandatory vaccine requirement will help keep the community safe. Thank you.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I think the policy is a good idea. I will be extremely difficult to ensure the safety of the staff, faculty and students without it. It also will prevent the chaos of closures of space due to a "possible" exposure.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I support the policy to require vaccination for students, faculty and staff coming to campus, as important to our workplace health protection. Thank you.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	Please make everyone be vaccinated
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I support requiring students, faculty and staff to be fully vaccinated against COVID-19.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	Yes. I support all students, faculty, and staff being fully vaccinated against covid prior to fall 2021 semester start.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	Hello,I feel that it is important to all persons that UNM provide and expect a respectful and healthy environment as possible for all. I would support UNM in making it mandatory for students and staff to be vaccinated with the exceptions mentioned in the draft.Working remote for about a year now (about 40% and 60% office), thankfully none of the staff that I work with have been affected directly/physically by Covid19 that I am aware of. It is concerning when we return to "normal" work life, as we can never control what others do in their day to day lives and we being to interact closely with students, parents, and retirees.Regarding this vaccine, at some point, trust is met with requirements and policies. Thank you for considering these challenging issues and I look forward to seeing more Lobos in the Fall! Go Lobos!Respectfully [REDACTED]
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I agree with the draft policy, as written. Thanks for the opportunity to provide feedback! [REDACTED]

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	Dear President Stokes and upper administration, I line up on the side of vaccine advocacy. As a former Peace Corps volunteer, I saw what life is like without easy access to healthcare that we in the U.S. take for granted, and it's not great. There are many things that employers require of their staff. For example, when we drive UNM vehicles, we must wear our seat belts and drive carefully. When we work in an office, we act professionally to create a constructive work environment for everyone. There are certain protocols and procedures that ensure that our production is sustainable and improves the campus for living, working, and scholarship. Generally speaking I fall on the side of education and encouragement for health goals. We do not require flu shots, but we make the case for them and make it easy to get them. We do not require folks to quit tobacco, eat healthy, exercise, and keep our work and our personal life balanced, but we encourage this by role modeling, the benefits UNM offers, and general atmosphere. Peer pressure goes a long way. Incentives work too. For something that is so critical as a highly infectious, unpredictably dangerous disease, I personally feel it should be a requirement of UNM employment to either have a full set of Covid-19 immunizations, or a note from a healthcare practitioner that that person cannot or should not be immunized. The UNM Campus is porous so in some ways it would be ineffective to require all the campus community to have themselves vaccinated. We are not safe until every human on the planet is immune to Covid-19. But it's a start, and it's a reasonable place to make a stand.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I think it is a great idea to require the COVID vaccination. With so many people interacting on a daily basis it makes the most sense to keep people safe. I fear without this requirement, many areas/classes will have to shut down for various periods of time due to small outbreaks of those who are unvaccinated. There is a small portion of the population who cannot get the vaccine and we should require vaccinations for those who can so that small portion that cannot will be protected as well. The vaccine is proven to be safe. Please require it. I will feel much safer coming back to work.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I support a vaccine requirement, for students, faculty and staff. However, the requirement alone is not sufficient to mitigate risks. We need to retain social distancing, and masking inside, and reinforce measures such as cleaning offices. The risk points include, For example, 1 - it is easy to counterfeit covid shot results, at present. So if I, as a higher risk individual, rely on others honesty in providing covid shots records -- I am still at risk. 2 - Due to privacy statements, we staff, in close quarters, will have no idea who works in close proximity to us, who is not vaccinated. In my case, a co-worker who has documented medical reaction to other vaccines, works a couple feet from my desk. I am high risk, and this additional exposure is scary to me. I will continue to wear my mask, but since it is proven to be airborne, and we do not use / have shields, this is part of the answer, not all the answer. 3 - Vaccine expiration and boosters -- who is going to keep up with whether staff, students and faculty follow guidance and timeline for boosters? 4 - Office air flow -- Our building is known for terrible air handling. Nothing has been done to address this risk, which CDC has highlighted repeatedly. I do not have a window that opens, and neither do most of my co-workers. 5 - Business continuity concerns - with my staff also in offices with shared and poor air flow as well as myself and my co-workers -- and close proximity to each others, and to many resident students who come in and out of the building daily -- to assure business continuity there should be some thoughtful alternating of staff who work "in" and staff that work "out" of the office, day to day. I could lose multiple people due to one exposure due to our poor air handling. 6 - Cleaning -- I currently go in to the office at least once per week. It is not clean. The oxiver that used to be in the office for voluntary use by office occupants disappeared and was not replaced. And no one is checking, or cares. I brought this to admin attention. The garbage in our offices is not emptied nor the recycling overflowing in the copy room for many weeks -- which tells me, the suite and office are not being cleaned or wiped down. 7. Mandatory vax for resident students would make me feel better, except I will not be informed of the % of residents who are not vaccinated, but wander in and out of buildings un-masked. 8. We have some staff who routinely cheat with when or how to wear their mask, who want to give hugs, who are not vaccinated and just don't get it. 9 Many students do not believe the science of COVID and actively "cheat" . They are young, not the best judgment or risk takers, and, understandably tired of their isolation, and that is a stronger motivator, than following the safety guidelines to protect others. And not even believing their own positive tests, and acting as they should. But - that increases risks around them. 10. Until time has elapsed enough for science to have more data that is honestly provided and followed, I want to continue to not work all day near multiple other staff, not interact with large quantities of students in person, and alternate who is in the office. I have seen enough to know it is a risk to rely on the judgement or honor of people around me on campus.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	As a member of a vulnerable health population, I appreciate this effort. I see students and attend classes with them, so I would like Vaccines to be required until they have a reasonable exemption.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I agree and support this proposal, I believe this naturally falls in line with how well NM as a whole (UNM included) has been managing the pandemic. It also will ensure that we as a University Community keep the whole pack safe and healthy, setting standards for others to follow. If K-12 schools can require vaccines, why can't we?
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I think this is prudent. We need to be aggressive controlling COVID-19. I'm glad to see this.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	Hello,I would like to have an additional provision be made upon those who are granted ADA or Religious Accommodation and are approved to return to campus unvaccinated. For the safety of fellow colleagues who are and are not vaccinated, I would like to recommend that those who are unvaccinated must follow masking protocol while on campus and it should be stated in the policy in an effort to keep our entire Pack safe and healthy.Thank You, [REDACTED]
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I think this is a good idea.It keeps all lobos and their families safe.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I strongly recommend and support requiring the Covid 19 vaccine for everyone who plans to be on campus in the Fall 2021 semester (or sooner). Many of our students, staff, and faculty have people in their lives who can't get the vaccine (for medical reasons or because of age). In addition to protecting those who can't get the vaccine, the more bodies available for this virus, the more mutations we will see. If a vaccine requirement encourages students, staff, and faculty to get the vaccine, we are all safer as a community.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I support a COVID Vaccination Policy that requires vaccination. I recognize this vaccine was approved under emergency conditions and the consequences of that. That said, in order to register and attend public school in NM and the nation, children must show proof of immunization. This would be a similar policy.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I am also a student. I am in full support of requiring vaccinations for the return to campus. You are going to get some very loud and obnoxious pushback. Please ignore it. This semester my term project was on vaccine hesitancy, and it's going to take requiring the vaccine to make sure enough people get it to ensure everyone's safety. This vaccine is safe and effective. I suggest partnerships with HSC to provide town halls where pro vaccine docs and nurses can answer questions and concerns from students who may be misinformed. Even though I am vaccinated, I would not feel comfortable returning to campus as a staff member or a student if vaccines are not required.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	As someone who is both staff and a student, I would like to say how appreciative I am of the efforts by the University to keep our community safe. I do have a preschooler who (at this time) is not able to be vaccinated against COVID-19, so these steps to mitigate the chances that I could unknowingly transmit the virus to him are essential to me being able to comfortably work in an office with other people again. I also appreciate that additional safety precautions will be required of those who are not able to receive the vaccine for medical or religious reasons. I applaud UNM for the policies and procedures put in place (and with so little notice) which I feel have helped to keep our students, staff, and faculty safe even when those decisions might not have been welcomed by many.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	Hi:I'm also a student at UNM.I don't have any problems requiring students, faculty, staff or vendors to be vaccinated for COVID. I think it's prudent policy, and embodies the U's mission of enacting data-driven best practices [REDACTED]
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I am vaccinated and hope that UNM will be able to require vaccinations of all students, staff, and faculty, only allowing those with a medical or religious reason to decline. For those who do decline, I hope that UNM will required weekly COVID-19 tests and that there would be a disciplinary penalty for those who do not meet the requirement. My staff work in all the buildings on campus and should continue to be protected from this deadly disease.Thank you
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I am a healthcare provider at SHAC. I STRONGLY agree with the policy of requiring covid vaccination for students attending any in person classes, living in dorms/student housing affiliated with UNM, athletics, staff and faculty.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I really think everyone should be vaccinated except if medical conditions don't allow.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I think it's a smart move on the University's part although I'm sure quite a few people are making a fuss otherwise.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I agree with the policy. I would be leery of returning to work knowing that there may be other staff members who may not be vaccinated. I had never received the flu vaccination until a few years ago when it became a job requirement in a prior position. People can still get COVID even if they are vaccinated so it would put those people who are vaccinated at risk. I did not receive the vaccination right when it was administered at UNM. I was hesitant but then I began to worry about my safety around those people who had received the vaccine. There are still many unknowns with the vaccine but I believe the risk out ways the overall safety for those who have received the vaccine. We should be able to return to work confident that it is safe.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I am in full agreement of the vaccine requirement. I have been directly involved with the rapid response team at UNM, Medical Director at SHAC, and involvement with Lobo Athletics, this would allow in person classes to return to normal. It alleviates time spent contact tracing and unnecessary time spent in quarantine if a close contact. It will make travel with athletic teams much easier and practices within teams to return to normal. Thank you all for considering this mandatory vaccine! Go Lobos!
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I completely support this policy. As a public health professional who researches in the Department of Emergency Medicine, I recognize that we are in an unprecedented pandemic. We must do everything we can to ensure the health and safety not only of the UNM-affiliated community, but of all New Mexicans and world citizens. It makes a great deal of sense to me to require full vaccination for any person using UNM facilities. If a person cannot be vaccinated due to religious affiliation or disability, although no contraindications to the vaccines have been found in current research, they should be required to undergo additional testing and monitoring. Given that the newly identified SARS-CoV-2 variants are even more contagious than the original SARS-CoV-2 that created the pandemic, it is responsible to do whatever necessary to limit the spread of the virus. A policy requiring vaccination and/or testing and monitoring is the responsible course of actions for all institutions, such as UNM, that bring people together. Thank you for drafting the policy. I look forward to it going into effect.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I am a proud UNM alumnus and staff member. I strongly support the effort to require vaccination for all students, staff, and faculty at UNM. Vaccination is our most powerful tool to fight the pandemic and prevent the emergence of even more dangerous variants. We are incredibly fortunate to have access to safe, effective vaccines that are currently out of reach for most people around the world. With crucial exemptions in place for people who cannot be vaccinated due to ability/health status or religious beliefs, this policy will maximize opportunities for a safe return to campus. UNM must do our part to encourage widespread vaccination as much as possible, both because of our responsibility to protect the health and safety of our UNM community and our civic duty as an institution to the State of New Mexico and the USA as a whole. This policy is a powerful tool to set the expectation that vaccination is the norm (with reasonable exceptions) and serves to protect not just oneself but others. This policy imposes a reasonable burden on individuals to do their part in fighting the pandemic in order to access University facilities and services. Please adopt the proposed mandatory vaccination policy as written.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I support this policy because if everyone who can be vaccinated is, it means that people who cannot be vaccinated for medical or religious reasons are going to be better protected (as well as the full campus community).
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I personally am glad to see such a policy. It makes me feel more comfortable about returning to campus from remote work.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I am also a student. A vaccine requirement is not unheard of or unreasonable. As the only Level 1 trauma center for hundreds of miles, the premier children's hospital in New Mexico and the main treatment center for cancer patients in the area, it is our responsibility as healthy citizens to protect the immunocompromised through our ability to vaccinate. Any other argument puts others at risk on the basis of anti-science political rhetoric. Please maintain the goal of education and do not ignore our own alumni's research.
Staff	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	This is an amazing idea!

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Neutral	General Complaint;	What plans does UNM have in place to mitigate the spread of the Delta variant? The WHO has recently recommended that vaccinated people continue to wear masks, as fully vaccinated folks in the U.K. and other nations are contracting the variant and are still experiencing serious complications. New Mexico has not reached herd immunity, and the Delta poses an even graver risk to the unvaccinated population than did the original virus. Additionally, disabled and immunocompromised UNM community members are at incredibly high risk of being harmed by this variant, and that risk needs to be addressed and accommodated. As we have seen with the devastation experienced by the Navajo Nation, this pandemic is exacerbated along racial and class lines, and this new Delta variant poses a serious risk to the lives of various marginalized communities. As a campus that claims to pride its diversity, it needs to be a leader in mitigating the damage of the Delta variant that will disproportionately target this campus's populations.
Student	Neutral	General Complaint;	Why are staff, faculty and students being required to adhere to face covering, social distancing if vaccinated as well as the state is 100% open on July 1st, 2021. If you're following NMDOH guidance than vaccinated individuals should not be required to have face coverings or social distance. The current policy states if vaccinated masks are optional.
Student	Neutral	Support Policy;	In all honesty, I think it's a little weird that you want to require COVID vaccines, when you never required MMR vaccines or other communicable diseases like meningitis. I would argue that MMR is far more deadly than COVID, so I don't really like this idea of cherry-picking which vaccines you want us to have. I'm not opposed to the new rules, but if you really care about the well being and safety of your students and staff, you'd maybe do a little more than require us to get the COVID vaccine when no other (to my knowledge) vaccine was ever required for us to attend in person school.
Student	Neutral	Health Accommodation	After seeing the policy and frequent questions I still feel uncomfortable going back to campus. I understand and support the policy but I'm concerned on accommodations. I don't feel comfortable knowing that some people would not have the vaccine due to the fact that classes are too small to practice social distancing. There is also a lack of a cleaning policy for UNM. I don't feel safe because UNM can assure I would not be spreading more germs if I use the common areas or even the classroom facilities. Even though the vaccine policy is good I would be feeling more comfortable if UNM made a bigger effort on assuring the cleaning of the school facilities, dorms, classrooms and common areas. I'm especially concerned on the fact that dorms' parties could still be a thing and people can spread the virus and get accommodated students sick.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Neutral	General Complaint;	Dear Lobo family who are in charge of making the final decision on whether to mandate the vaccines for students to be able to fulfill our education at UNM. We are a group of concerned students, some of us have had the vaccine and some of us haven't. We wrote a letter, but this feedback form would not allow us to put it here so we are sending you a link to a google document: https://docs.google.com/document/d/1HIsPxM36lpuBqjyYIRvdSfyq5bbDzwjLArSHNN5QYw/edit?usp=sharing Please let us know if you are able to open it and read the letter, If you are not able to do it, could you kindly let us know where we can send this letter? Thank you
Student	Neutral	General Complaint	UNM should remain online next semester. To require all students to be vaccinated in this timeframe is unreasonable.
Student	Neutral	General Complaint	I'm sure my opinion is vastly different than the majority on staff and at UNM as a whole. I'm sure it will fall on deaf ears because my opinions are not very popular with many in this day and age. Being almost 50 years old, my life experience is seen as less valid by many I've encountered at UNM, but that's okay. I feel my opinion is based on solid facts and concerns.
Student	Neutral	Concerns about Choice Concerns about Safety Impact to	I think if you go through with this proposal you will lose many students and staff at all UNM facilities. You cannot force people to take a rushed vaccine that has proven no benefit, seeing as how people still get and spread COVID-19 after the fact as well as the Johnson & Johnson recall. This isn't fair to the students who have already signed leases or registered for classes.
Student	Neutral	Concerns about Choice	I don't have a lot to say except that I feel as though the people who want to get the vaccine that is up to them, for those who don't want to get them that is also up to them as well... and I wish for UNM to respect that and people's freedom of choice. Thanks...
Student	Neutral	Concerns about Choice	Thank you for the chance to offer feedback on this policy. Personally I am not interested in receiving a Covid vaccine, however I do think it is important for those that are predisposed to receive it. I think that is the choice of the individual, I believe the institution has and should promote the vaccine but not require it. I believe that is an overstep of personal freedom and privacy. The argument that it's not for me I don't feel applies, however if you do then the fact you promote abortion despite the child's life it's hypocrisy. I sincerely hope that you would take value in others ability to choose.
Student	Neutral	Concerns about Safety	This is a very strict new policy, and I would feel a lot more comfortable with it if there was more time before we are required to be fully vaccinated. I want to wait before I get my vaccine because it is so new and there are many unknown factors.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	I don't think the vaccine should be required. I have my own rights and reasons as to why I don't want to get the vaccine. I really hope that you can respect my rights and my privacy and not make it a requirement. I pay a lot of money for my education at UNM and the last thing I need right now is to be forced to get the vaccine. I hope that you can understand. Thank you for allowing me to provide feedback on this.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	I believe that this is a violation of HIPPA laws and my rights as an American citizen. I do not want to reveal private medical information with the university or anyone else other than my doctors. If individuals wish to social distance, or still wear masks they should be allowed to do so.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	Trust is a choice. When I give my trust to someone or something, I am choosing to give that trust. If I don't trust someone or something, then I choose not to bestow my trust on that person or thing. No external entity, that being the mayor, the governor, the University of New Mexico, or any person, has any right whatsoever to force me to bestow my trust on any person or thing. Trust is an internal and personal choice. If I do not trust that the vaccines are indeed 100% safe, then I choose not to bestow my trust on the vaccines. Therefore, the University of New Mexico has no right whatsoever to force it's students and faculty to bestow their trust on the vaccines and I therefore submit that students and faculty should not be required to be vaccinated.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	Are you offering online classes for those who don't want to vaccinate? are you going to provide transportation for those who cant? Your policy feels forced on students and staff. Have you considered why some don't want to get vaccinated? trust in the vaccine and public health concern from past government mistreating people who look like them?A lot of people lack trust in the vaccine because of past events. have you tried to understand this?
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	While I understand the intention behind the policy of trying to keep the campus COVID free, I do not think this method is the best option. I know there are many people who have not received the vaccine for different reasons, and I fear that blocking people from accessing education due to the vaccine will only increase already existing tensions and will not be fair. I do not see anything wrong with encouraging people to get the vaccine, but I think making it mandatory is not a good decision.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	I know full well my opinion alone won't sway y'all, but you asked and shall receive. As far as others go, I completely understand why they'd want it! Many have weaker immune systems already and I support their decision. But as far as I go, I know that my lack of vaccination vs. their partaking of it will not change their quality of life (i.e. their body should be able to fight the virus more efficiently whether or not I give them a strain), nor will it change mine... proof being I haven't dropped dead from the flu after 6 years of being unvaccinated. And no, I suppose I don't know everything. I do, however, know many within the medical industry who aren't very fond of the vaccine just yet. I dislike this possibly being a "requirement". I also am uncomfortable knowing we are guinea pigs in a grand-scale experiment. You may say I am wary of the rumors, and despite who is right, there simply isn't enough information to prove one way or the other. I will refrain from the vaccine until we know more about short/long-term effects. I am prepared to abide by what the school decides. I hope whoever needs the vaccine will take it and be thankful. TL/DR: Please just know I will not partake of the vaccine until there is more information gained on its short/long-term effects. Thank you!
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	Seeing as there are different risks for both the virus and the vaccine and there are relatively large numbers of people being hospitalized due to both, I think it is better to let people make their own choice based on their own personalized risks. As a young, healthy young person, I do not think that the risks of getting the virus outweighs the risks of the vaccine. The vaccine was also produced very quickly, a feat of modern medicine, but, that also means that we know little about it. Not to mention that in order to get the vaccine you are participating in a signed medical trial. Forcing students to participate in a medical trial is not a good idea especially when elsewhere it is a personal choice based on personal risks.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety General Complaint	Requiring a vaccine when it isn't a year old is really silly in my opinion. I am planning to get it after it turns a year old. I don't like that UNM is requiring it for the fall. I have no problem if it is required by fall 2022, but fall 2021 is very rushed. Please reconsider.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	I feel that mandating the vaccine goes against our individual rights. I think that we should be allowed to make our choice freely. If masks are required of unvaccinated students then that is a choice that individual will have to weigh on themselves.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	I don't agree with making this a mandatory policy. I work at the department of health and it's not mandated. I wear an N95 mask and get tested regularly. I would suggest doing something along these lines that way people have a choice with what to do with their bodies.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	I think it's very unnecessary for UNM to forcefully impose this vaccine upon its students. Let those who want the vaccine get it and they will be protected. If others don't want it, that's their choice. Can't say I would continue my undergrad at UNM if this was forced.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	(Picked up from 2nd comment)I will conclude with a brief statement about the benefits of preserving free choice in this area. First, and most critically, it upholds the right to bodily autonomy for everyone at the university. It leaves everyone, from the youngest freshman to the oldest professor, free to consider the situation on their own from all sides, take into account all the available information, and make their own decision on the matter without any kind of looming pressure in the background. There should be no threats of impaired education by online classes or reduced experience in teaching or research labs that impacts medical decisions. These should be made solely based on an individual's own risk assessment, since all the consequences of that treatment, whether good or ill, will ultimately fall on him. It accommodates those who aren't necessarily opposed to the vaccine out-of-hand, but who have adopted a "wait-and-see" attitude. Freedom honors the intelligence of humanity.Mandating any medical procedure fails completely at all of this. The decision is made for everyone, regardless of personal situation or concern, simply because someone else said so. No attempt is made to change the mind of the reluctant or concerned. They must simply conform, or else. Mandates are what you give to slaves, not to citizens. Mandates are tools of oppressors to crush the oppressed simply for disagreement.Freedom is also fundamentally, inherently fair. If I were to reject this vaccine, contract SARS-CoV-2, and die of it, then I'm reaping the consequences of my actions. A vaccinated person likely wouldn't have died from that exposure, but the cause-and-effect pathway is clear: I rejected the vaccine, I got the disease, I died. Even in the case of a person who voluntarily took the vaccine, this holds up: I took the vaccine, I contracted the disease anyway, I died. Alternatively, in the case of an adverse reaction: I took the vaccine, I suffered a negative reaction, and I died from it. This is still fair, because in this example, there is no second party involved.Where this fails is when a mandate from a second party is involved. Now, suddenly, it's: You threatened my education or livelihood if I didn't take the vaccine, so I took the vaccine, suffered an adverse reaction to it, and died. That negative consequence is no longer applied directly to the person taking the shot. That's on you now, because you thought you knew better than the person getting the needle put in her arm, and you were so convinced of your position that you were willing to threaten your population and insult their intelligence.As a side note, to again cut off a potential counterargument for a second party being involved in the case of a vaccinated person being infected: If it is possible for a person who has received the vaccine to contract the disease, present symptoms, and succumb to the illness, then it is equally possible that they acquired the disease from another vaccinated person in the same situation in the first place. In effect, this argument asks everyone to get a vaccine by appealing to the poor efficacy of the vaccine, and I find it more self-defeating than compelling.(Concluded in 4th comment)

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	I do not think that the vaccination should be a requirement. If someone wants the vaccination let them get it, if they do not they shouldn't be forced or penalized for their decision.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;Health Accommodation;	I would not recommend requiring vaccinations. The University should still strongly recommend COVID-19 vaccinations along with scientific explanation and education. Requiring vaccinations or other medical interventions for students, faculty, and/or staff to participate in the University community can be viewed as discriminatory. I greatly admire the University of New Mexico for its diversity and inclusion initiatives. Requiring vaccinations would go against this. An analogy of this may include that persons above a certain BMI or persons with preexisting health conditions should not be allowed to participate in campus life because they are unhealthy and more likely to acquire and transmit illness or disease. The University of New Mexico should continue to fight against any type of discrimination and continue to move towards equality and inclusion. This equality and inclusion should include persons that are unvaccinated regardless of their reasoning.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;Concerns about Safety;	Page 2You may think that because I am presenting not mainstream media news articles that I consider them to be “fake news.” That is neither here nor there. It should concern everyone that news networks and newspapers are not covering every aspect of this issue. Is it not their duty to present all the facts and let the public decide? We should not be told what to do, we should be able to make our own decisions and be allowed to weigh in on all the options. If all of these reports were false, would it not make more sense for the mainstream media to be ridiculing and presenting irrefutable data that would convince us that we need this vaccine? Because it’s been a year and we all know that this virus has a 99.97% survival rate. If these alternative news sites are presenting “fake news” why are they being censured rather than laughed at? Because I would be more inclined to believe outlets like CNN if they were doing that than watch Mayor Bill de Blasio awkwardly eat a hamburger and trying to persuade NYC that if they get vaccinated, they too can have a free burger. If this vaccine were truly so safe and effective, I would not need to be threatened or bribed. Also, if this vaccine was meant to completely protect the receiver, why did Bill Maher (left-wing political activist and comedian) contract Covid after getting both doses as well as six players of the NYC baseball team?https://www.forbes.com/sites/brucelee/2021/05/15/bill-maher-tests-positive-for-covid-19-coronavirus-and-is-fully-vaccinated/?sh=12e100837ebfNow let us move on to Dr. Fauci. Not two days after Senator Paul Rand cross-examined Dr. Fauci and it came to light that not only was Fauci’s lab funding the Wuhan Virology Lab (corroborated by their chief scientist Dr. Shi Zhengli) over half of his own staff have not received the vaccine and yet are allowed to continue working despite this.https://nypost.com/2020/04/29/dr-fauci-backed-controversial-wuhan-lab-studying-coronavirus/https://twitter.com/kerpen/status/1393022731373973504So, you would potentially require this of me and my family members, but Dr. Fauci’s own employees are safe from this requirement? Both of these issues should give us pause. This response is already too long, but Fauci has contradicted himself, gone back on his word, and made an overall mess of this entire situation. On top of this, the evidence is clear: Texas’ cases continue to fall now that they have fully opened and removed their mask mandate. Why is that? Why are they doing so well? I doubt it is because the entire population of Texas suddenly got a vaccine. And why has Florida done so much better than NY this entire time despite almost never locking down and never having a mask mandate? Numbers can be found here:https://www.dailywire.com/news/leftists-condemn-red-states-handling-of-covid-19-but-the-numbers-show-that-blue-states-are-still-the-worst-in-the-nationhttps://www.breitbart.com/politics/2021/03/27/florida-texas-continue-report-fewer-recent-cases-coronavirus-blue-new-york/On a much scarier note, there are two instances that I would like to bring to your attention. One is that of a Texas State Senate

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
			hearing about the tests that were run on the vaccines before they were released to the public. I will link both hearings here. I HIGHLY suggest that these hearings be prioritized above everything else I have shared. It is very disturbing.https://tlcsenate.granicus.com/MediaPlayer.php?clip_id=15926https://tlcsenate.granicus.com/MediaPlayer.php?clip_id=15934

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;Concerns about Safety;	[REDACTED] Page 42)What about those who cannot physically get the vaccine? Will UNM condemn them to a life of online isolation and treat them like a pariah whenever they go to campus? Will you discriminate against them? If those people are not afraid and willing to take that risk, should it not be up to them whether or not they engage with society? Or will UNM take a paternalist approach and treat them like uneducated children and decide for them what's best for them? Because I thought when the Conquistadors did that with the Native populations in the 1600s that was considered a bad thing.3)Why did UNM not require a vaccine (which Bill Gates did develop) in 2007 with the outbreak of HIN1, which the CDC has stated as being far worse than Covid? Dr. Drew, who got the virus and treated it, has to this day, stuck to his stance that the media has created a false narrative of hysteria that does not justify any of these extrema measures to this virus.https://www.cdc.gov/flu/pastseasons/0708season.htm https://trendingpolitics.com/dr-drew-doubles-down-against-hysterical-coronavirus-coverage-media-should-be-ashamed-of-themselves/4)When did "A-symptomatic" become sick? In 2019 that was considered healthy. (FYI Fauci and the CDC have both confirmed there is no such thing as an a-symptomatic spread.)https://www.israelnationalnews.com/News/News.aspx/286920 One final issue that I am going to bring up is how those who will submit the religious exemption paperwork. Plain and simple, UNM has no right to forcibly quarantine me or test me against my will. It's illegal and you know it. Public health emergency does not equal law. There is no law stating that you have the right to do this. Let me ask this: is UNM going to start forcibly testing students for STDs regularly? STDs can lead to HIV, which is a HORRIBLE way to live and eventually die. Will you start monitoring student's sexual activity? If you open up this door, where does it stop? At what point does UNM say, ok that's outside our authority? If I start feeling like I was coming down with something, I will stay home. Just like I would with any other flu or virus. Getting sick is an excuse to stay in bed and watch movies all day. Why would I come to campus and potentially spread anything? Does UNM not think I'm capable of being an adult? We also all know now that masks make no difference in limiting the spread see link below.https://www.acpjournals.org/doi/10.7326/M20-6817The mainstream media is also censuring this report. So, there must be something credible in that study about how useless masks are. UNM is creating an atmosphere of modern-day segregation by separating vaccinated and non-vaccinated. UNM is one of the better universities when it comes to inclusion and being sensitive to diverse backgrounds. What if a large portion of Latino students or Native students do not get it for their own personal reasons? Are you willing to open yourselves up to the claims of discrimination? Because you know that will happen.I am a Ph.D. I have been at UNM since 2012. I cannot express what this university means to me. I received a dual bachelor's and a master's here. And now I am trying to get a degree that less than .5% of the

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
			population will pursue. But if you make this vaccine a requirement, I will withdraw and my children, nor any of my family members, will ever enroll at this university. We will seek our education somewhere that respects our medical privacy. Respectfully, [REDACTED]
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice; Concerns about Safety;	Unvaccinated people pose no risk to vaccinated people, never have. There is no asymptomatic spread. Proven by the largest medical study and tracing study in Human history (China 11, 2020). To mandate any vaccine unapproved by the FDA (only approved for Emergency use) and still untested, puts UNM in a possible liability situation. Anyone harmed by the Vaccine who takes it based on this order should have legal recourse to sue UNM for any damages for Mandating this vaccine. Involuntary Medical testing on humans is immoral and illegal. I do not consent to be a part of any medical experiment. We now know there are alternative treatments that are effective (over 270 peer-reviewed studies) making a test vaccine unnecessary. I was planning on attending however, I will delay my application and not attend if this policy is enforced.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;Concerns about Safety;	I am against UNM making the C-19 vaccine mandatory. If UNM does, I will be withdrawing from my Ph.D. program. This is an invasion of our medical privacy as well as reckless, dangerous, and irresponsible. On their website, OSHA has updated their guidelines to state that if anyone is seriously injured or dies because an employer required them to get the vaccine, that company is liable, not the pharmaceutical company. If anyone (God forbid) died under UNM's policy or was seriously injured, UNM would be open to a lawsuit. Also, I would hope that UNM knows that it is illegal to require a vaccine that has been distributed under a EUA and designated for emergency use only. The FDA has not approved any of these vaccines so potentially UNM would violate the law. If even one life was lost, would that not be one too many? It has been reported during a very recent Texas Senate hearing (https://tlcsenate.granicus.com/MediaPlayer.php?clip_id=15926) that there have been an estimated 4k deaths from the covid vaccines. Does UNM want to add to that number? What about all of the countries worldwide that have paused AstraZeneca because of the blood clotting issue? It is unacceptable and scary to think that UNM would require something that could potentially be more deadly than a virus with a 99.97% survival rate. Also, if this vaccine were truly so safe and effective, I would not need to be threatened or bribed; Mayor Bill de Blasio awkwardly eating a hamburger did not persuade me I need this shot. And why did Bill Mahar contract it after receiving both shots? It has recently come to light that possibly the manufacturers of the vaccine could potentially be violating the Nuremberg Codes. Doctors in Canada have signed a declaration stating that they are being threatened with the loss of their jobs if they discuss informed consent with their patients (https://canadianphysicians.org). Now let us move on to Dr. Fauci. Not two days after Senator Paul Rand cross-examined Dr. Fauci and it came to light that not only was Fauci's lab funding the Wuhan Virology Lab (corroborated by their chief scientist Dr. Shi Zhengli) over half of his staff have not received the vaccine and yet are allowed to continue working despite this. So, you would potentially require this of me and my family members, but Dr. Fauci's employees are safe from this requirement? Also, Fauci has stated that the masks were never necessary and that it was all political theater. If we are following his lead, then UNM has no right to enforce a mask mandate. Additionally, if masks were effective why has Texas just reported no deaths since they lifted their mandate two months ago? Should not have everyone died if they're not wearing masks? A few closing thoughts: if an African American student declines the vaccine because of the history of the Tuskegee Experiment, will you be willing to open yourselves to claims of discrimination? Because that is precisely what UNM would be doing, by "othering" those who don't—and in some cases CANNOT get a shot due to medical reasons—get the vaccine. Discriminating. Why did UNM not require a vaccine in 2007 for H1N1 (which Bill Gates did develop)? When did "A-symptomatic" become "sick"? In 2019 that was considered healthy. (FYI Fauci and the CDC have both confirmed there is no such thing as a-

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
			symptomatic spread.) One final issue: UNM's proposal of how it will single out and discriminate against those who will submit the religious exemption paperwork. Plain and simple, UNM has no right to forcibly quarantine me or test me against my will. That's illegal and you know it. This is modern-day segregation. Public health emergency does not equal law. There is no law stating that you have the right to do this. Do not treat us like children; paternalism is bad. Give us the freedom to choose what we think is best for our own health. We are capable of taking care of ourselves and the community. If you infringe on our community, this will hurt UNM. Bottom line.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;Concerns about Safety;	Texas and Florida have clearly shown that the whole covid lockdown and masks and mandatory vaccinations do nothing, because they required none of that and opened back up and they have low rates of infection and texas has already reported days with zero covid deaths. I have no idea how you think you can mandate a non-approved vaccine with a very high VAERS reporting rate. If this was actually a pandemic, would the death rate have changed ZERO? no. If you don't mandate the flu vaccine, which is FDA approved and causes serious illness including in people of college age, unlike Covid which has a 99.97% survivability in people under 65, why would you mandate a covid vaccine? You're playing politics with our health, and withholding our education from us if we don't comply. Thats not equitable. Thats not inclusive. That's bullying. This policy is junk. It does not include a philosophical objection. why is that? because someone having power over there own body to make their own choices is somehow wrong, but a religious exemption is okay? Do you understand how backwards this policy is? Whatever happened to "my body my choice"?
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;Concerns about Safety;	I think that requiring vaccinations is a safety threat to young adults and infringes on a persons rights to informed consent, this is a major step in the wrong direction. I do not consent to being a test subject of big pharma who have repeatedly shown a lack of concern for the safety of the public.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;Concerns about Safety;	As an incoming transfer student, I am scared that the vaccine will be mandatory for everybody.I do not appreciate someone telling me what to inject into my body. Let it be my choice if I want to get vaccinated or not. Especially since this vaccine has only been FDA authorized. If UNM makes it mandatory for student and staff members to get the COVID-19 vaccine, I will reconsider attending UNM.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;Concerns about Safety;	UNM should not require students to be vaccinated in order to return to class. This is extremely illogical and an all around bad idea. If an individual feels that they are at risk of covid complications, or that their life is in danger, nobody is stopping them from getting the vaccine. If you say anything otherwise, you are contradicting yourself, because if the vaccine won't protect people who have it then it is not effective at all (that's the logical sequence I am following). I have had the virus, and I did not have a single side effect. Because the vaccine is so new, and the long term effects have not been studied, I would prefer to stand my natural immune chance against a virus that felt less impactful to my body than allergies. I am writing to request that you please not require (FORCE) individuals to be vaccinated upon return to campus. If you don't want it, you should not be required to get it; if you feel at risk or would like that vaccine, then just get it. I would prefer to keep the medical decisions I make, to myself.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;Concerns about Safety;	To UNM Administration:First of all, I want to extend a hearty thank-you to UNM’s administration for providing an opportunity for feedback on the proposed vaccination policy. Considering the opinions and concerns of your staff, students, and faculty instead of making a unilateral decision with no chance for discourse, debate, or opposition is to be commended. Because of this, I will make an effort to extend the same courtesy to you. Bear in mind that what I’m about to say may be uncomfortable to read. It’s intended to be uncomfortable, but it is my heart’s desire to deliver my thoughts to you on this in a way that is devoid of malice or ill will.I want to preclude my comments on this statement, first of all, by telling you what I’m not going to say. I’m not going to cite any statistics about vaccine efficacy, or rate of adverse reaction, or the effect of masks at preventing transmission, or anything along those lines. Nor am I going to invoke any legal argumentation, so there will be no court decisions referenced, no statute numbers, or anything of that nature. The reason for this is the widespread conflict and dispute over the statistics concerning this issue, and the fluid legal situation concerning mandates like this, both in this state and in others. Instead, I will focus primarily on the ethical question, which, I think, is the root of the problem. I will refer to the Patient’s Bill of Rights, as it is used to provide guidelines for ethical treatment of patients.The Patient’s Bill of Rights specifies the right of the patient to refuse treatment, which is closely tied to the necessity of informed consent before treatment can begin. This means that a doctor presents a treatment option that he believes will be effective for the patient and must include the benefits, costs, risks, and side effects that may arise from the treatment. It is then up to the patient to decide whether or not he wishes to partake of this treatment, if he wants a second opinion to see if treatment is even necessary, or if he wants to explore alternative treatments. This holds up a high principle among human ethics; namely, the right to bodily autonomy. It is not the doctor’s decision what happens to the body of his patient. The patient must first agree, because it’s her body, and she has ultimate jurisdiction over what happens to it. It is particularly unethical to coerce someone into accepting a medical treatment. If you consult your dictionary, you will find the verb “coerce” defined in the following manner: “to compel by force, intimidation, or authority, especially without regard for individual desire or volition”. UNM administration, let me be as blunt as I possibly can: restricting access to campus facilities for unvaccinated people is a form of coercion. If you commit to the proposed draft policy, you will have effectively held the education, and by extension, the future, of your students in jeopardy. You link their medical condition directly and inextricably to their education. This is a decidedly unethical way to practice medicine, as you have added non-health-related factors to a decision that is primarily focused on the health of the patient in the first place. You use your authority to threaten the education of your students who are reluctant to be vaccinated, and you completely override their say in the matter, despite the fact that it’s not your body to make a

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
			decision over. On top of that, I remind you that you are in no position of authority over your students, staff, or faculty that overrides their own bodily autonomy. You are not the parent of these people. You are not the owner or slavemaster of these people. You are not even the doctor of these people. Based on this, I have two questions: first, does “my body, my choice” no longer apply? Under what conditions is the right to bodily autonomy suspended? (Continued in second comment response)
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	I do not think it will be in the best interest of the school to publicly mandate vaccines to all students. Many people have differing views regarding the vaccine and forcing everyone associated with the University of New Mexico to take the COVID 19 vaccine should not be the place of an institution of higher learning such as UNM, where people of different beliefs, races, experiences etc can come together to promote learning and knowledge with another one. It is controversial to mandate the vaccines to everyone who wants to go to school and will make it harder on those who do not want to take the vaccine for their own reasons (medical, religious, personal, etc) to pursue their education in peace. Please consider.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;Concerns about Safety;Religious	Page 3Both are very long, but there are two main things that I hope very much UNM will take under advisement when considering their policy on this vaccine requirement. 1) The animal test was discontinued when the animals started DYING. They stopped all testing and then turned the vaccine out to the public when the animals were dying. The doctors (all medical doctors, these aren't just average Joes off the street) said they'd never seen a clinical trial stopped when the animal test subjects started dying and then be turned out to the general public. As the Texas legislature accurately says, "The American people are now the guinea pigs. This is the test program that is going on. They didn't do the human testing and they stopped the animal tests because the animals were dying. And then they turned it out for the public." HOW IS THAT OK? Is UNM truly going to partake in this testing phase? 2) I will just quote the Texas Senator: "As of 2021 we've had more Covid 19 experimental vaccine deaths than all the vaccine deaths for the 15 years between 1997 and 2013 . . . In 1976 over 45 million people were vaccinated for the swine flu a mere 15 people died . . . but the program was immediately halted because it was considered too risky. . . We've had approaching 4000 [deaths] with the Covid [vaccines]." That should be an unacceptable number. UNM is potentially going to require something that has killed that many people? Are you willing to add to that number potentially? And remember, UNM will be liable for those deaths. Another issue that goes hand in hand with this hearing is a medical Declaration signed on 30 April 2021 by a group of Canadian physicians that, among MANY other things stated that because the vaccines are being pushed and patients are not encouraged to give their informed consent before receiving it, the companies, as well as those who administer them, may violate the Nuremberg Codes. Which in case it's not clear what that means I will quote them here: "The Nuremberg Code, drafted in the aftermath of the atrocities perpetrated within the Nazi concentration camps—where horrific medical experiments were performed on inmates without consent—expressly forbids the imposition of any kind of intervention without informed consent." These Canadian doctors claim that they are being threatened if they attempt to discuss all of the pros and cons of the vaccine with their patients they will be fired. I can't imagine what would happen to institutes like UNM if it did come to light that the manufactures of these vaccines were in violation of codes that were put in place after one of the worst genocides in human history. Let that sink in. The full article, as well as the Great Barrington Delectation which spoke out against lockdowns and vaccines for this virus, can be found here:https://canadianphysicians.orghttps://canadianphysicians.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/Great_Barrington_Declaration.pdf There are other issues that I will only mention in brief: 1) The Tuskegee Experiment: will UNM be willing to discriminate against an African American student that submits the religious exemption paperwork because they are nervous about getting something that

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
			could potentially repeat that horrible moment in history? Will you be willing to force them to become “other” because they do not want to get an experimental shot? Because that is precisely what you will be doing. Discriminating.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	Picked up from previous response: And second, if this right can be suspended, what could possibly give you specifically the right to supersede it with a vaccine mandate, which, by design, is intended to have effects that extend far into the future? Again, I remind you, you are neither parent, owner, or doctor of the people that attend this university, so from where do you derive your authority to mandate—not recommend, not suggest, not even strongly encourage—but mandate a medical procedure for your entire population? Even if we forfeit the principle of bodily autonomy under certain conditions, what right do you have to mandate anything related to your population’s medical conditions, since, to the best of my knowledge, you lack a license to practice medicine? Now, I will cut off the counterargument here, namely, that “my body, my choice” doesn’t apply when there are other people involved. In other words, if I am not vaccinated, then I could infect someone else, so therefore, the mandate is justified. This is true, but the critical component of the sentence here is the word could. I could do a lot of things. I could infect someone else if I’m not vaccinated, and that person could become critically ill and die. But, by the same token, I could get in a car accident one day while I’m driving to or from campus next semester. It’s possible. It hasn’t happened yet, but it could, right? Your vaccine mandate’s logic would dictate that this possibility be treated in the same way, and that I would need to show my driver’s license to a police officer before I get in my car every morning, but even that doesn’t remove the possibility of death by traffic accident. This is effectively the same as jailing people before they’ve committed a crime out of the fear that they might do so one day. It’s unjust and unethical. Now, someone might choose to live a life cut off from society as much as possible out of the fear that they might commit a crime, or choose to drive as little as possible to avoid getting in an accident, or choose to take a vaccine to avoid infecting others, but these are all decisions made by an individual person with his own particular scruples and concerns. You might consider them a little strange, sure, but it honors the innate dignity of all human beings to make their own decisions without duress. The proposed mandate does not do this. It presumes that you, the university administration, know what’s best for every single student. Again, I will be as blunt as I possibly can: you do not know what is better for your population’s lives than they do, and to assume that you do is blatantly fascistic and tyrannical. In the case of requiring a long-lasting or permanent medical procedure from them, it is also incredibly unethical, as described above. I understand that you are moved by a desire to keep the people at your university safe, and I applaud your sentiments, but ultimately, the safety of your students, staff, and faculty is not up to you. These are adults that you are dealing with, not children. They are capable of performing a risk analysis for themselves, based on their own information, their own research, and most importantly, their own conscience. Treat them with the respect that they deserve, and let them make their own decision. (Concluded in 3rd response)

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;Impact to Enrollment;	I think it is wrong to require a shot that causes so many people anxiety and worry. We are all about my body, my choice until now. Many would be happy to continue wearing a mask and social distancing and are much more comfortable with that than a shot that is not FDA approved or that has gone through long term studies. I worry attendance rates will drop dramatically at UNM... Especially when other states are not requiring the vaccine at public universities. Will all classes be offered in person and online to accommodate those who refuse the shot?
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;Impact to Enrollment;	I don't think it is a good idea to require this vaccine to participate in normal student activities. This decision would isolate so many students who aren't comfortable with getting it for whatever non-medical or religious reason. Not all classes that students need would be offered online as well, so those students may need to come in person.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;Religious Accommodation;	I respectfully express my strongest disagreement with the proposed policy of mandatory vaccination requirements to attend class. This is based on personal, religious, beliefs, and the disregarding of individual rights.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;Religious Accommodation;	Students should have a choice. If they do not have the covid shot they should have to option to wear masks. This is unfair to those students and faculty members who refuse the shot due to religious beliefs. Covid Vaccine should not be mandatory to attend college courses.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Religious Accommodation;	If it's against my religious beliefs to be vaccinated, will I still be forced?

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;Concerns about Safety;	I already submitted feedback, but recent data for adolescents is alarming and also makes it clear that the FDA should not be trusted. We all know that the virus is not deadly to anyone who is in remotely moderately good health under 40 or even 50. But let me make my case with some more recent data. Trials in adolescents 12-15 years old found 4 or 5 serious adverse (they did not disclose what exactly those were) reactions to Pfizer while only 1 in the trial group had such a reaction - and only a little over 1000 kids were in each group. Additionally, 35 of the Pfizer group had fevers of 102-103, and one over 104. A total of 3 placebo participants had fevers of 102-103, and none above that. 41 of the Pfizer group reported "severe" fatigue, compared to only 12 in the placebo group. Similarly, 25 of the Pfizer group reported "severe" chills, and only 2 in the placebo group did. And what did the FDA do? They approved its use for 12-15 year olds, despite no public briefing book or real safety data otherwise to speak of. Why? Can you show me anywhere that young adolescents, close to the age group of UNM, are high transmitters of COVID? Or that they're likely to have major symptoms themselves? And why should we trust the FDA's judgments at all when they're making decisions like this? Tell me what more data you need on this to show this requirement is a terrible idea for college students. Faculty over 50? Sure. Let them get it and their symptoms will be significantly lessened, and the side effect profile for the older demographics appear to be much better. The immune systems of college age students, however, are more than enough to 1) defeat COVID easily, and 2) cause heavy side effects from an experimental treatment. For those who have a deficiency in their immune system, let them get the vaccine on their own as they most likely already have. Everyone truly at risk who wants the vaccine has gotten it and has protection - therefore, the only people this requirement applies to are those who the vaccine poses a far greater risk than COVID. You might say "they can spread it" - to who exactly? Most of their fellow students aren't at any risk, but some definitely might, and those who are at risk would be my concern as well - but they're most all vaccinated now (and certainly would be by the start of the fall) and can deal with COVID safely. "We don't know they can deal with COVID," you might say - so then why require the vaccine if its effectiveness is so questionable that someone who's gotten the vaccine might not have any protection? "It's mostly effective, but a few people don't get that protection" - who are these few people, and are they really more valuable or numerous than the people who will suffer major side effects as a result of the vaccine? (Please let me know if the words in quotes don't accurately represent the thought process of the Board of Regents and President Stokes - I don't want to strawman.) The President's Weekly Perspective that just came out said that "if you wanted to meet at the Duck Pond, or on Johnson Field, you were in masks, sitting six feet apart." Honest question - have any of you actually been on campus over the past 6-8 months? Because nobody has followed any sort of social distancing protocol since last summer. Well over half of people I

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
			see on campus aren't wearing masks. And guess what - we haven't had an outbreak or a death as far as I know. Because we know that life does not revolve around COVID any more than it revolves around colds or the flu. This past year has not just "happened to us" - it was because of us.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;Concerns about Safety;	DO NOT PASS THIS POLICY.This is a ridiculous policy.This is still an extremely experimental vaccine. It is ridiculous that a brand new vaccine that is not FDA approved is being so heavily pushed on otherwise completely healthy young people anyway. To withhold the right for students to attend classes, visit the bookstore, study at the library, or even receive basic assistance from any UNM program JUST because they have chosen caution is completely ridiculous. Do not discriminate against a healthy minority who refuse to take this risk.This policy is unacceptable. DO NOT PASS THIS POLICY.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;Concerns about Privacy;Concerns	I strongly oppose the adoption of a proposed policy of MANDATORY COVID-19 vaccinations by the University of New Mexico on a number of grounds. To begin, all of the COVID-19 mRNA injections or vaccines have received only an authorization of emergency use (EUA) by the Health and Human Services (HHS) Secretary and the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Under an EUA, individuals must be allowed to decide for themselves whether or not to receive the product, i.e., "consent." Furthermore, much remains unknown about the safety and efficacy of these vaccines, and even though clinical trials that the FDA will rely on to license these vaccines are presently underway, these trials are designed to last for approximately two (2) years in order to collect adequate data to evaluate the safety and efficacy of these products, i.e., "informed." For an employer, or in this case, an educational institution, to adopt a policy of MANDATING that their employees/students receive an EUA COVID-19 injection/vaccine is in direct violation of the Nuremberg Code of 1947, which states that "informed" and voluntary "consent" is an absolutely essential condition of an "experiment" on a person, and that the "experiment" should be based upon data obtained in laboratory studies on animals, combined with knowledge obtained of the history of the development of the disease or other related/connected issues. Since these injections/vaccines are not yet fully approved by the FDA, individuals MUST have the option to accept or decline the drug. To require, i.e., "MANDATE," that employees/students must receive an "experimental" vaccine as a condition of their continued employment or education is coercion and is not permitted under the Nuremberg Code, which is recognized by and is enforceable in U.S. courts. Finally, it is also of great concern that because of this "MANDATE," people will be required to divulge personal medical information, which is not permitted under HIPPA law. While I fully support a recommendation that employees/students receive the vaccine, the choice of whether or not to partake of the drug MUST remain with the individual, based on professional, ethical, and lawful standards and regulations that are already set in place. Thank you for your time.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	From 3rd comment: Finally, I will remind you of one more complexity in this scenario: all laws or mandates are ultimately backed by threat of violence, up to and including the death of the perpetrator. Consider the following: you implement this vaccine mandate. One of the students at your university ignores it and comes on campus anyway. You find out about this. What do you do? Disenroll him? He comes in anyway. Send campus police after him? He refuses to cooperate, citing his bodily autonomy, and that being a student at a university does not rob him of that right. Do you arrest him? What crime has he committed? Who has he hurt? What damage has he done? Yes, you've made your mandate, but is it a just mandate? If his sentence were to make restitution, who would he have to repay? What if he resists arrest, and dies because of it? Simply because he held to his bodily autonomy? What if he's a person of color, killed by white police officers simply because he believed he had a right to come to a school that he paid for without surrendering his right to control what happens to his own body? Is that something you're prepared to face? Is that a speech you're prepared to give? That the black man is dead because he wouldn't follow the mandate that you put on him? Free choice carries none of this concern. It simply allows people to choose as they will, and deal with the consequences of their actions. You as the administration are immune to any of the implications of your students choosing as they see fit, since you played no role in those decisions one way or the other. Therefore, you stand upon the edge of a knife. Presented before you are two options: preserve the freedom of everyone who comes to your school, respect their individual decisions, and uphold the right of every human being to make their own medical decisions without outside coercion, or sacrifice the bodily autonomy of thousands of your fellow human beings "just to make sure everybody is safe" and plunge your institution into a fascistic, authoritarian mockery of medical ethics and human dignity. How would you prefer to be remembered?

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;Concerns about Safety;	I want to highly object to this policy. From my understanding, if/when a vaccine is FDA approved or licensed I'll be penalized for not getting one.(Per the FQA "barred from in-person access... , and may experience consequences as a result.")While I understand that keeping cases down is the goal, you are asking students to make a fundamental and life altering decision- go through with a vaccine with unknown repercussions (20, 30, 40+ years down the line) or get a higher education. Universities are already 'out of reach' for some in the sense that they have to choose between taking loans that could cripple them financially for years should they not be as successful as predicted or going straight into the work force. Considering that there isn't any true idea what this will do to the body over the long term students and faculty are risking being potentially severely disabled from vaccine side-effects that are yet to present themselves. The vaccine alters the DNA to specifically target aspects of the Covid-19 virus- it messes with one's biology and that is all but impossible to predict accurately because of the many factors that influence how one's body will react to certain treatments and situations. If someone isn't 100% certain on their decision to receive one, or doesn't want to get one, it isn't anyone's right to force someone into that decision. The whole idea of human rights is that someone else's rights stop when they're impeding on others'.It also pushes students toward lying as a result. If someone (like myself) who is uncomfortable with getting the/a vaccine, desperately wants to go to University but doesn't fit into a 'reasonable accommodation' category, they'll be pushed toward claiming beliefs or attempting to get falsely diagnosed with a qualifying disability to receive approval. That is opening the door to scholarship fraud, academic fraud and all kinds of other lies.As it stands, the grand majority (pre-covid) of classes were in-person. There were very limited, near none, or flat out no online options. The only way for this proposal to work- in my opinion- is to have the classes be online as well. As an art major, my classes are rarely if ever offered online- this proposal the way it is would be catastrophic to my education. Even if students have extra work to be online vs in person that would work out for every one. Not only would it allow for the college to take more students in remote learning, but those who are vaccinated and are in agreement with the proposal still get the most important aspect (limiting/keeping non-vaccinated students off campus) of the proposal implemented.Something like having the teachers livestream/zoom the lectures for those off campus, then having those students somehow document what would be the rest of the in class time (such as for art, recording or periodically taking pictures with a timestamp to turn in).Being 100% blunt and honest, there is nothing in this world that could force me into the decision to take the vaccine. Nothing. As it stands, I refuse to be one of what are essentially test subjects for a vaccine that has unknown side effects; those that are known are more than enough to turn me away. (changes in vision, anaphylactic shock in some cases, and so on.) My career doesn't require a degree, I'm simply taking classes to quicker learn

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
			technique- all of which is available elsewhere if need be. If this policy passes as it is, I'm forced to take the classes mandatory for my degree in person-there is no question about it.As someone who loves to learn, I refuse to have my education held hostage to try and force me into a decision I don't want (via 'consequences'). Coming here to learn was due to a WANT to learn, not a NEED for a degree. If it comes down to it I will not hesitate to drop out/ transfer/ look elsewhere for the education I would like.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;Concerns about Safety;	As a student and health care provider I'm concerned with mandating a very new and widely untested vaccine. It is impossible to know the long term effects of this vaccine, and there have been some serious side effects to date from this vaccine. As an employee of UNMH and a student at UNM I'm grateful that the hospital has not required me or many of my fellow doctors and nurses to get a vaccine that we all have had some apprehension about. So if the hospital doesn't mandate this where I have COVID (+) patients daily why will the school be mandating this? I graduate this Fall and both my Summer and Fall classes will be conducted fully remotely. I do technically have one class that is listed as in person but have made arrangements with the professor to complete the class remotely. That being said as long as I'm completing both my summer and fall terms online/ remotely (and can provide proof/verify this with my one in person professor) will I be required to get the vaccine? I will not be accessing any university services in person. Thanks for your feedback! [REDACTED]
Student	Opposed to Policy	General Complaint	Personally being vaccinated as I work in healthcare and being pro vaccines, yes it is a good idea to encourage people to get vaccinated for COVID 19. Should it be required? No. The testing and research are still being investigated and something to consider is that there are people who are anti vaccinations. This is a college campus, everyone is adults and you can not legally force someone to get vaccinated. If it does become required, UNM could lose some business with students as they do not feel it is needed to get the shot to attend classes. There is herd immunity. COVID 19 gets more attention than it should as media portrays it worse than what it is. I see COVID being in the same ranking as flu as it's just another slightly worse version of the flu. Society has already started reaching herd immunity so what difference is it going to make by making the vaccine be a requirement?
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	No thank you. Not enough data.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety;	Hi,I'm sure many people have brought up this concern, but what if there are long term side effects to some of the vaccines? It's not possible to know if in a few years it may begin causing issues. I recognize the odds are low but the goal is risk mitigation. There is a large percentage of people getting vaccinated and I think it is important to recognize that this is essentially a large scale human experiment, and whether someone chooses to get the vaccine or not it is a gamble either way. In this "pick your poison" scenario I'd personally be more likely to get the vaccine if it's not required. I think there is value in allowing people to take the risk they are most comfortable with, because again they are gambling with their future. Thanks for your consideration
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety;	As a student of UNM, I am deeply concerned for the viable enforcement and utility of this vaccination policy for both Staff/Faculty members as well as students like myself. I would like to highlight in the Draft Policies' second paragraph under the headline Purpose: "Enforcement of the policy will be delayed until the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approves/licenses regular use of at least one Covid 19 (Sars-CoV-2) vaccine." While there is much debate around these treatments these days, I would like to call upon the integrity of UNM's medical department to consider this matter. Recognized UNM's own decision proposal, there has been no trustworthy regulation set in place by the FDA for the use of the various vaccine treatments for Covid 19. Maintaining all educational standards with rigorous attention to the likelihood of success of UNM's students, I am disappointed to see UNM loose their integrity with their students by this proposed vaccine requirement. Without integrity to trust students to make decisions about their own health, their community's health, and their own doctors' advisement, how will UNM maintain integrity in New Mexico through this requirement placed on students to get access to their education? While appeals are graciously allowed in ADA Accommodation, "If a covered individual cannot receive the Covid-19 vaccine due to a qualifying disability/serious medical condition or medical contraindications or precautions recognized by the FDA or CDC..." May I emphasize, as stated previously, according to UNM's own recognized standards, that the FDA has not approved or licensed any vaccine for regular use. If the FDA can not approve of any vaccine for regular use at this moment, may I ask how students, faculty, and staff, can put their trust in any vaccine? If any individual can not put their trust in these vaccines available, how can we put our trust in the University of New Mexico's decision to restrict access to education and job stability by way of a vaccine requirement?I would ask UNM to reconsider the impact and actions of this policy decision. I would ask UNM to consider the long term credibility they will hold with current students, prospective students, and their current and future staff if this policy would go into effect. This is not the New Mexico way, and this is not the standard for the University of New Mexico. Consider doing better, for New Mexico, and for our communities' trust.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety;	I am apprehensive about a mandatory vaccine as a requirement for in person learning. I am approaching graduation, and most of my classes would not be offered on line as well as in person. I am reluctant to get the vaccine until such things as FDA approval and more information about its safety are brought forward, and I do not want my personal health concerns to affect my academic life if possible. The purpose of a vaccination is to artificially create herd immunity. This can be done without 100% involvement. I would begrudgingly get vaccinated to complete my degree, but I ask you to reconsider making a vaccination a requirement instead of a strong recommendation. Thank you
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety;	As a future UNM student for the upcoming fall semester, I am adamantly against a COVID vaccine mandate for a number of reasons. I will not, under any circumstances, be taking the vaccine, and a vaccine mandate is currently a major factor on whether or not I will attend UNM. I have a family history of severe autoimmune diseases and I have my own immune diseases in the form of several severe allergies which I fear may be worsened by a largely untested vaccine. Furthermore, current research on the vaccine from multiple sources has revealed that there are risks to my age group that may outweigh the benefits. An Israeli study observed that the vaccine may be linked to myocarditis among males 16 to 19 years old, which the CDC has recently confirmed (the committee has acknowledged 1200 cases in 16-24 year olds). The World Health Organization recommends that young people not take the vaccine at all. According to the VAERS, as of June 11 there have been 352,386 adverse events and 5,993 deaths following vaccination. And as the vaccine is a fairly recent development, no one actually knows what the long-term consequences will be. Frankly, as an 18-year-old in good health, I'm at a pretty low risk of suffering from COVID should I contract it and as most officials are unsure of whether the vaccine would prevent the virus's spread, I see no point to getting the vaccine at all. And finally, I don't like the thought of not having a choice in whether or not I take any substance (recommended or otherwise), a sentiment supported by the Right to Refuse stated in the first principle of the Nuremberg Code. I hope you reconsider your stance on forcing people to take vaccines that they do not want.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety;	As I understand it, religious exemptions may be applied. How is this process accommodated for by students wishing to attend classes in person? Are these students going to be segregated? On line only? What is the policy on keeping a student's medical history private. Are students to present their vac card everywhere they go? That is a violation of privacy. How are you going to prove vaccinated vs not. My personal preference is that it not be mandatory. Vaccinations are not mandatory for kids to be in school and religious exemptions apply and are accommodated. Students aren't singled out for their preferences. I feel any policy of this sort leads to discrimination and would hope UNM would seriously reconsider this as many other universities have.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety;	I am hesitant to submit this because it is not something I enjoy talking about or acknowledging, but here I am. My family has /very/ strong feelings about the COVID vaccine. I live at home with my parents and am not in a situation where I can comfortably move out (moving out would involve me using my college money.) They are very against the vaccine, so much so that I am under the impression I would be kicked out if I went and got the vaccine and they found out. (I am also closeted so this is another thing I am avoiding them finding out about and thus probably getting kicked out over.) I understand the importance of the vaccine, but if I were to get it, I believe I would be kicked out. If it is mandated at UNM, they would unenroll me from UNM and have me get a full-time job or go to college elsewhere. (Or this would be the point where I would have to find a way to move out myself.) I am aware that I have a unique case (at least I believe) and that I am revealing a lot of personal information to UNM, but I just wanted to express my situation instead of sitting here waiting to see what UNM decides to do. I'm also aware that I am a full-blown adult and that my parents should not affect me to the extent that they do, but living in their house does, unfortunately, limit a lot. I wanted to put my case out there, I doubt anyone else is in the same situation I am, but maybe other people are and are also scared to speak up about their situation. I hope you guys have enjoyed my oversharing of my personal life and will consider that maybe some people out there are in tight situations that don't allow them to get the vaccine without turning their entire life upside down and over a cliff.
Student	Opposed to Policy	General Complaint	Having a covid vaccine requirement is just ridiculous. Hope you can't actually just force every faculty member at UNM to take it.
Student	Opposed to Policy	General Complaint	I do not support mandatory vaccinations.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	General Complaint	The vaccine is not FDA approved. EUA is not approval. EAU is not approval and again EAU is not approval. How in the world could you mandate a non-approved medication for everyone? Do you have religious or contentious objections?The vaccine is rushed, VAERS reporting shows severe issues across the population and using the CDC's own numbers even if I get Covid-19, I have a 99.997 chance of surviving it. Look at the numbers - the states with the highest rate of vaccination have the highest rate of infection and deaths. States like Texas and Florida have decline rates and ZERO mandates. This is all just aside from the fact that the drug companies made the gov shield them from liability. Look at how many fines (deep in the billions) they have paid, a lot of them punitive based on wanton and grossly negligent behavior. They poisoned baby powder for 40 years before finally admitting they knew for a long time that it caused cancer. This is just cigarette science 2.0. This is media driven fear. I could type a 100 page sources cited dissertation on this but we all know UNM is just going to follow lock step in with what MLG and her handlers want. sincerely, [REDACTED]
Student	Opposed to Policy	General Complaint	Requiring vaccines is really awful. We are not science experiments we are people.
Student	Opposed to Policy	General Complaint	This guidelines are ridiculous and should be illegal
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about SafetyImpact to Enrollment	UNM, I will not be receiving the vaccination, and I do not support the motion to make it a requirement for on-campus enrollment. This vaccination is NOT FDA approved. An EUA by the CDC is not the same thing as FDA approval. As a woman in her early 20s, not knowing how this vaccination will affect things like fertility, is nerve wracking. Not nearly enough research has been done on it regarding things like fertility. I can tell you that you will be losing enrollment, not only by me, if this is enacted, and I know that you have been losing it already.
Student	Opposed to Policy	General Complaint	If you make me get the vaccination I will not attend UNM for graduate school. I will head out to Florida.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	I do not feel comfortable being administered a vaccine that has been around less than a year. In my opinion not having an option to receive the vaccine is wrong especially because there is no long term research on it.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	General Complaint	Do you really think it is safe to force a community to take a vaccine that would be deemed illegal to the CDC if we aren't in a "crisis". There is a reason as to why an mRNA vaccine has never been passed by the CDC and I think it is ridiculous that this policy has to even come up. Also, the people pushing for this policy clearly have not read anything about how the vaccine works. Even if you are vaccinated you can still transmit the virus so what the point of pushing for a vaccine that will only help people who have terrible immune systems. You should be pushing for a healthier lifestyle, not a drug that could cause long-term harm to the users. If this policy passes and students are forced to take a vaccine against their will, you should at least implement an immunity pass for students who don't feel comfortable or are clearly more than healthy enough to go without the vaccine. And since i didn't include any actual data in this post, I wanted to compare covid deaths to murder deaths in ABQ. More people in the state of New Mexico are murdered each year compared to covid deaths. But I don't see a mandate for bulletproof vests, or any sort of action to decrease crime in our state from UNM. This policy is clearly not about saving lives or protecting others, all this policy will do is show how much leverage a nongovernment entity has over citizens. It's not UNM's place to force this upon students, if our government forces us to then so be it but UNM has no place in this matter.
Student	Opposed to Policy	General Complaint	Hello,I am a current graduate student at the university. I am unable to take the classes that are needed to obtain my degree online. If there is no offer to take all the same classes online as there was during the height of the pandemic, then there should not be a force to be vaccinated. All the students who want to continue their education in programs that they started before the implementation of this policy will be forced to get a vaccine or delay their degrees because UNM is not offering an alternative to the face-to-face classes that are needed to obtain a degree.
Student	Opposed to Policy	General Complaint;	It is wrong if there is no peer review. As a medical research university, there should be more than blindly following others.
Student	Opposed to Policy	General Complaint;	I am vaccinated personally but don't think students should be required to be vaccinated. The University should recommend unvaccinated (or not fully vaccinated) students and employees where face coverings. Making vaccinations a requirement will definitely minimize the number of students enrolled at UNM. In general students are typically young and not within an at-risk category, e.g. where the covid 19 virus could result in death.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Health Accommodation	I recently had a medical issue and I worry that this puts me at risk for side effects and other health problems related to the vaccine. I have already had issues with the ARC association on campus being less than helpful. Would my inability or aversion to getting the vaccine prevent me from getting access to school events, and facilities? I do not want my disability to be the reason I have to switch schools
Student	Opposed to Policy	Impact to Enrollment	I was looking forward to attending the main campus in the Fall, but no longer. I will now be applying to NMSU, and hopefully, they will choose not to require a covid vaccine.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Impact to EnrollmentReligious Accommodation	I will not be getting the vaccine just so I can attend school, it does against my religious views and my rights as a US citizen. If it becomes mandatory I will transfer to a different school. Getting the vaccine should stay a choice for all students and staff and no one should feel pressured or threatened to getting this vaccine.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Impact to Enrollment;	I wrote in over the weekend, but hit the character count. My main 'gripe' I did not get to address previously is with the FAQ moreso that the policy itself and if one refuses to participate. It states: "Efforts would be made to encourage participation by receiving education and either receiving the vaccine or declining the vaccine after receiving an approved exception or medical exemption. Those who, following these efforts, fail to participate entirely, would be barred from in-person access to university facilities and programs, and may experience consequences as a result."The main piece of this that is bothering me is the 'encourage participation by receiving education'. Going to college comes with the expectation that one is going to be getting that. It is no different than saying one is encouraged to work by getting a weekly pay check- that is the expectation and (un) spoken agreement. Students go through an entry process and PAY on the expectations and agreement that they'll be receiving an education once accepted. Universities are made solely for that purpose- there is nothing else that a student can recieve that is fractionally close in value to what they are being asked to spend. There is no other way to look at the situation than a student's education being held hostage (which they're paying for) if they disagree and being forced to take a vaccine unless they can get one of the few 'reasonable accommodations' that has been listed. The other part is the word/phrasing of "may experience consequences as a result". Consequences is 'a result or an effect of actions' usually with a negative connotation. Here in this context the usage of consequences reads as a threat of "Do what we say or else". In conjunction with education being used as an item of encouragement, that leads to the conclusion that students won't be given what they have/are/are being asked to pay unless they obey. Students would then be penalized multiple times over- not being allowed on campus (especially if their classes are in person only), being denied the education they're paying for, along with whatever other unnamed consequences are to come up should the policy pass. It is forcing the students into the position of either: being forced to take a vaccine they don't want (if they don't meet a 'reasonable accommodation'), lying to get into one of those categories, going to another school (and being forced to pay extra in housing and travel if they applied to UNM as their local university), dropping out, or completely forgoing college/university if they are fresh out of high school. None of those options are ideal for anyone- especially not the colleges/universities. Like I mentioned in my other submission, that opens the door to fraud, but also to a drop in enrollment. That drop in enrollment would impact the programs' funding and availability should the drop on enrollment be statistically significant- which being barred from in person programs was one of the specifically listed consequences of refusing. The main summary of this is that this is such a huge policy change for something that will (hopefully) be something under relative control in the near future. If there aren't any accommodations considered or put into place for those who don't

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
			wish to participate, the school is unfairly forcing students into a corner and only giving regards to the fraction of the student body who wish to abide by these guidelines.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Religious Accommodation	As someone who was living in shelters throughout high school and had no family or home outside of the dorms, someone who is devout in his religion because it was one of the ways he coped with not having this family or position of privilege getting into university I, you can imagine how alarming it is to hear about it all being threatened to being taken away over a covid vaccine when I've followed every single other requirement and safety protocol. It's quite insulting to hear that we essentially have no choice and if my accommodations paperwork gets rejected I would lose everything. You guys should reconsider this policy because it's really worrying as someone who doesn't have a home outside of the university."just taking the shot" is tantamount to saying that the religion I lean heavily on for my well being and mental health throughout eating disorders and losing my family is not worth anything to me, only some shot is.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Religious Accommodation;	I am Messianic (that is, my faith combines Christian beliefs with Jewish practices) and, as such, I am strongly opposed to this policy. Some Christians believe that COVID vaccine is the mark of the beast. While I, myself, am unsure one way or the other, the fact that I am unsure makes me want to avoid it at all costs since I would rather be safe than sorry. I do recognize that "not" taking a vaccine leads to a different kind of risk: namely, catching COVID. But, on a balance, I would rather risk getting sick here on earth than risk going to hell for eternity, since eternity is a lot longer than the time here on earth. I have already applied for religious exemption against that vaccine, in case the policy would get passed, and I am really hoping they will grant it for me. However, the fact that there is no guarantee that I will get the exemption that I requested, and also the fact that it might not cross everyone's mind to apply for one, I believe that this policy is unfair because it infringes on religious freedom of people on campus. So I really hope that you will not enact this policy and leave the vaccine to be on voluntary basis. Since enough people are willing to take that vaccine voluntary, one might argue that the herd immunity can be reached without making it mandatory. The other option is to give people a choice between wearing a mask and getting a vaccine, so that the people that are opposed to vaccine can simply continue to wear the mask.I really hope you will consider either these or some other options, in order to protect the religious freedom of Christian students on campus.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Absolutely not! If this becomes a requirement I will be withdrawing from the university and receiving my education elsewhere. Me not having a vaccination does not impede on others who have it and want to. The vaccine works by giving immunity to those who wish to have it. By me not having it doesn't lessen those who do's immunity to the virus. Along with taking my education elsewhere, once I receive my degree, my skills will be taken to a different community and will not serve the one who impeded on my rights from the start. I'm honestly disgusted that this is even a thought.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	I do not think that UNM should require anyone to be vaccinated. That would violate the rights of citizens to not be able to decide for themselves if vaccination is right/wrong for them. In turn, to not allow the students/staff to attend classes is just denying people the opportunity to seek higher education.
Student	Opposed to Policy	General Complaint	UNM should not mandate vaccines until vaccines are FDA approved.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	I disagree with this policy it's not fair to force this vaccine on anyone it's not FDA approved. No student should be punished for not wanting to take the vaccine. This vaccine does not protect anyone from getting the virus or transmitting it. The gas not been enough time to insure the safety of this vaccine. What if a student dies because they were forced to take the vaccine? Are you going to pay the students family for pain and suffering? I disagree with this policy and don't think I should have to move schools because UNM can't respects someones decision on this. Especially being a student for 5 years. If want to keep the students safe make them wear a mask and social distance.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Enforcement Concerns about Process Impact to	I couldn't disagree more with forced vaccinations of students. I agree that vaccinations are a good thing however forcing them on people is removing a freedom from the students. If this bill goes through how will UNM track vaccination status? The logistics alone seem ludicrous and I could easily see UNM further raising tuition to put needed infrastructure in place. I don't believe many students will respond well to this bill and it will only act as a reason to NOT attend UNM.If you want to diminish the already decreasing student population this bill is the way to go.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Privacy	I do not want to share any of my medical information including which vaccines I have taken with this university, and as such entirely reject any requirement to be vaccinated to be on campus, as enforcement of these requirements will require disclosure of such information.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Privacy;	It violates student and faculty HIPPA rights to require anyone to be fully vaccinated to attend school. I am a fully vaccinated student but it is unbelievably wrong to demand people get vaccinated to recieve a higher education. I would be shocked and would start to consider a different school if this goes through because this completly violates our rights to choose to get vaccinated and HIPPA rights.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Privacy;	I have a problem with showing my vaccine status to the University of New Mexico, but I will do so under protest. I think that we should do as much as we can to protect the privacy of our Lobo Pack. To me, the demand to see our vaccine cards shows a fear on the side of the University of their students, faculty, and staff. Because, if a person is already vaccinated, then there is a unlikely chance of contacting Covid-19 or obtaining a severe case of it. This is also the same of those who have had their influenza vaccine. My elderly aunt, several years ago, did get her flu vaccine, but got a mild case of the flu later in the season. I personally feel confident being on campus with Covid-19 because I am sure about my vaccine status. And I have been on campus throughout the whole pandemic with out problems.I am a graduate student and I have performed human research for my graduate work and I have been through the Institute Research Board training. I feel that conducting research on your student body and staff is the same as human research to investigate a medical question that you, the university, might have. By demanding that we publicly show our private medical information, the University might lose its accreditation from the Human Research Protection Programs. The University of New Mexico is a Research University. The University requires that professors, graduate students and staff conduct research. We do much of our research on human subjects and we need to protect any new human subject research we do in the future.Please reconsider demanding to your students, faculty, and staff to show our medical information for the Covid-19 virus.Thank you for your consideration [REDACTED]

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Privacy;Concerns about Safety;	From: [REDACTED] Sent: Sunday, May 16, 2021 5:04 PM Subject: Vaccine requirement: Page 1 To Whom It May Concern: I am writing this to express my deepest concerns that UNM is proposing to mandate the covid vaccine for staff and students. I find it very troubling that UNM would mandate something that is not FDA approved and that UNM would attempt to infringe on the community's right to medical privacy. However, I know that my opinions alone will not persuade you, therefore I am going to list the issues that Dr. Fauci himself has raised, as well as other issues that UNM seems to be ignoring with this proposal. The first should be enough to give UNM pause immediately. The federal Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) has updated their website to state that if an employer that requires any of the various COVID vaccines to work if there is any serious harm to the receiver of the vaccine (or death) the employer will be liable. Indeed, according to the Star Newspaper, it is illegal for employers to require vaccination with a Covid vaccine distributed under a EUA. These vaccines have only been authorized for emergency use which means that getting them is voluntary. Legally UNM isn't even allowed to do this. Now whether or not I am in the wrong, I am opened to being corrected, but I know that none of these vaccines are FDA approved. Therefore, it does not seem like I should be forced to get it. Links to OSHA's and breakdown for what this means for employers who require this can be found here:https://www.osha.gov/coronavirus/faqshhttps://www.lifesitenews.com/news/employers-may-be-liable-for-any-adverse-reaction-from-mandated-coronavirus-shots-oshahhttps://childrenshealthdefense.org/defender/vaers-vaccine-injuries-climb-pfizer-seeks-full-approval/?utm_source=salsa&eType=EmailBlastContent&eld=4d3a5a92-4213-46f8-a5f5-c14b564d90cfhttps://www.statnews.com/2021/02/23/federal-law-prohibits-employers-and-others-from-requiring-vaccination-with-a-covid-19-vaccine-distributed-under-an-eua/ Additionally, it is reported that between 160k injuries from these vaccines as well as almost 4k deaths have been recorded since December. Also, no one seems to be talking about the fact that multiple countries like Norway, and now Halifax, Novia Scotia, have paused AstraZeneca due to the severe blood clotting issues that it has caused. It only took one case of the clotting issues in Norway for them to put a pause on this vaccine. And only three days ago, the BBC published an article saying that it is now "normal" for women to experience changes to their periods after it was stated that this was not a side effect and that women who reported that were lying. Articles can be found here:https://news.yahoo.com/norway-not-astrazeneca-covid-19-094539176.htmlhttps://www.thestar.com/politics/2021/05/12/a-dvocacy-group-calls-ns-covid-travel-ban-unconstitutional-says-should-be-scrapped.htmlhttps://www.bbc.com/news/health-56901353I have also heard MANY stories of people having adverse reactions almost directly after receiving one of the various options. You might say, well that's only hearsay, but I must ask, is

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
			every person on this planet a liar, or is there some truth to these claims? But what I want UNM to consider is this: is one destroyed life worth you mandating something that I know a large portion of the population is against? What if (God forbid) a young person died? Or what if they experienced such physical damage that they couldn't carry on with school? Not one of these companies is liable if anything adverse happens. UNM would be. Again, see the OSHA link above. No amount of money could ever undo such damage, however, parents or family members would be open to a lawsuit against the university. Seeing as UNM is already a struggling school, that does not seem like an issue that the university would want to potentially face. But more so, the loss of even one life should be enough to make you rethink this policy.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Process	What is the legal basis for requiring vaccinations? Isn't this a violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act and federal law as the University accepts federal funding?
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	The COVID 19 vaccine is classified by the CDC as an experimental, there is no evidence to prove it's safe long term. Given this vaccine is experimental, I don't believe it is ethical to make it mandatory for staff, faculty and students. I believe everyone should have the right to choose whether or not they want to vaccinate. If this policy goes into effect, will there be online options for students who do not want to get the vaccine?
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	For this being such a new vaccine with no idea what the long term affects are, how in the world is it appropriate to make it mandatory? A person should not be "required" to obtain this vaccine if they want to further their education. Especially a vaccine that is not FDA approved.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	You shouldn't require a vaccine to resume in person classes.. especially one that's only emergency-use authorized and not even fully approved by the FDA. Not every student at the university subscribes to Western medicine and a university comprised of our demographic should be aware of that. Faculty should learn to be sensitive and accepting of alternative modes of health. Besides, as of the CDC website at this current moment, the only thing the CDC can assert is that the vaccine reduces symptoms but there's insufficient evidence at this moment that states that the vaccine prevents Covid. Let your students exercise freedom of choice while their government still allows them to do so.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	How can the University or any institution require vaccinations when they are listed as EUA? I understand we have a global pandemic, but seems very experimental to require one at this point, especially with billion dollar companies being exempt from any lawsuits should their product be proven defective.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	To Whom It May Concern,I am a student and research assistant at the University. UNM's proposal to force students and staff to take the experimental COVID vaccine concerns me. Should this become the policy, I plan to discontinue my assistantship and graduate studies. Three of my younger siblings are currently at the University or plan to study at the University, and they would find a different school if the experimental vaccine were mandatory. Medical choices are personal. Individuals should feel free to make these decisions without fear of discrimination or discontinuation of their education. It should be the right of each individual to partake or decline in the experimental COVID vaccine.Thank you for your consideration.Sincerely, [REDACTED]
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	I don't think the vaccine should be mandatory because we don't know enough about it yet and there still a chance it'll be a yearly required vaccine. I'm fine with masks for now but if I have to I will get the vaccine.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety;	I am currently a freshman and soon to be sophomore. I wasn't able to physically go to school at UNM and I am very excited for August because I will be able to finally get the full college experience. There is one hold back, the requirement for the vaccine. The long term consequences are not yet known and I don't feel comfortable putting the vaccine in my body. This is my body, I feel as though this requirement is going against my rights.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	I would be heavily opposed to having the vaccine as a requirement. Having a history of bad reactions to past vaccines. I'm not comfortable taking the vaccine that hasn't been out at least 12 months. I believe unm should have a heavy mask requirement of course and have guidelines of social distancing just like restaurants and stores have . I know many people who are uncomfortable with getting the vaccine so soon and would be devastated if we were to not be allowed to attend UNM and finish our degree if we didn't have the vaccine.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Enforcement	I do not believe that this should be a requirement for in-person learning if all other vaccinations do not require proof as well.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	I am against mandatory vaccine. The primary reason is that these vaccines have emergency authorizations. When these are lifted by FDA and when they are approved after following their normal procedure, I will be more confident. I dont want to be the puppets where these vaccines get tested. I understand that there exists a pandemic and I am doing my part by social distancing anf wearing a mask. If you want I can take classes from home since I am not vaccinated. But this should not be made mandatory.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	Hello, My name is [REDACTED] I hope this message is well received by the reader! I am very against this policy. I am more afraid of getting this vaccine than I am of getting COVID. We, as a country, have never pushed a vaccine out o quickly (Largely in part because we haven't previously had the proper technology). We do not know how this vaccine will affect us in the years to come. Often times with experimental medications unforeseen side effects precipitate. I whole heartedly want us to be able to put this pandemic behind us. I want my fellow students, and my professors to feel safe. Ultimately I will do what I have to do in order to continue my education, however a policy of this nature effects my life in more ways than just education. I intend on taking the vaccine after sometime has passed and we know more about the long term effects. My health is very important to me. Like I stated previously I am more concerned about the vaccine than I am about the actual virus when it comes to my personal health. I also believe I will have a better chance at fighting off future strains of this virus if I end up catching it rather than being vaccinated. I hope the individuals who are making this decision are truly taking into consideration my view point. I know many others feel the same as I do. I know this is very difficult for all of us and sometimes we have to make decisions that are for the greater good. I am just concerned that we do not know enough about this vaccine to say that mandatory vaccination is for the greater good at this stage in the process. Thanks for your time! All the Best [REDACTED]
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	You must not allow experimenting on our college students when it comes to the mandatory vaccine when it has not been tested. To make it mandatory is against federal law. When Covid has killed less than 1/2 of a percent, and it mainly kills the elderly and the sick, it is not necessary to vaccine much less make it mandatory. I myself am more afraid of the vaccine then I am the Covid. Heart disease, blood clots, respiratory problems, and death are now been proven to be cause by the vaccine. Let it be on a voluntary basis. UNM must not act as dictators. [REDACTED]
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	If UNM imposes this rule I will certainly be leaving school. For the past two years I have enjoyed myself learning and learning who I am this very institution. Attempting to impose a vaccine entry requirement would drive away many of your students not just me. Why would you make students take an experimental vaccine with no tested long term effects? If the mandate were to take place could you at least wait a year or two to do it so people's confidence in the vaccines could be more supported rather than having the first mRNA vaccine ever be injected into us with less than a year of testing for a disease less that .001% of people die from
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	I do not agree with the vaccination policy. Mandating a vaccine which is barely a year old is not only a violation of choice but also of self. I do not agree with this policy and it should not be pressed on people.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	This fall will be my last semester at UNM. I don't believe any vaccine should be made mandatory at all, especially not until it is approved by the FDA. There are few legal protections in the case of a vaccine injury, so it is a high risk in taking something that legally protects corporations, not individuals.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	This policy is absolutely ridiculous. You cannot require students to get a vaccine that is still new and we don't know the long term requirements. They should not even need a reason beyond "I do not trust it yet" as an excuse. Do you require everyone to get a flu shot? Didn't think so. This is completely unnecessary and many kids will be attending online instead of you want to employ this absolutely ridiculous policy.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	This is absolutely wrong and goes against bodily autonomy. As a former student pursuing a nursing degree, UNM will not be my primary choice of school. This vaccine has not been FDA approved, it is experimental, and still in trials. It has had over 2,000 deaths and thousands of adverse reactions reported to VAERS (Vaccine Adverse Effects Reporting System). For this to be a requirement is shameful. If anything should happen to students or faculty for UNM requiring this, I hope you all are held liable. Shame on you all and I will never pay tuition/support this.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	I do not feel comfortable with this proposal. There has not been nearly enough research on this vaccine. So how am I suppose to get an education if I can't even go to school. This is ridiculous. I have never taken the flu shot and have never had the flu. If it is such a problem and the vaccine works so well then other people getting the vaccine should be enough. It doesn't make sense, even if a person does get the shot they can still contract the virus. I do not think it is fair for those who do not feel taking the vaccine.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	I don't think it's fair to require students to get the vaccine in order to get an education. I've already had COVID-19 and I'm fine and I just want to go to school to get my education. For one it's not even approved by the FDA yet and it hasn't even been in testing for that long and I don't know what kind of long term affects it's going to have. It's my body and it's my choice and if UNM is going to require me to get the vaccine to get an education then I am more than willing to take my money to another college.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	As a student I personally feel as if I should not be subjected to getting a vaccination that has most definitely not been around long enough for us to understand the possiblity towards later life side effects. I understand the desire to want everyone to be safe and trust me I agree. But I personally do not feel comfortable with the vaccine just yet, and I feel as if it should be up to me when I want to get it without my education being at risk. Especially due to the fact that attending a university is not free. I have to pay for it with my own money, and it makes me feel really nervous about the entire situation. I am a person who practices social distancing (especially in environments where there are people who I do not know), and I wear a mask in any store/restaurant/etc., that I go into. I personally find it should not be forced.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	How to y'all expect to mandate a vaccine that is not even approved by the FDA?
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Safety	My son has accepted a Woodward scholarship and plans to enroll in the engineering dept in fall of 2019. However, upon hearing that UNM is considering a vaccine mandate for enrollment, our family is considering cancelling his acceptance and enrolling in a school that will not mandate the current covid vaccines. We consider the vaccines to still be experimental, due to the fact that their longterm effects are still not known. Our family has a history of severe autoimmune diseases and immune issues, with my son having multiple food allergies (he's anaphylactic) with asthma, but these conditions are still not considered contraindications of receiving the vaccines by the CDC. In fact, the CDC website only states that "no data are currently available on the safety of COVID-19 vaccines for people with autoimmune conditions". The UNM vaccine policy draft as currently worded will not allow him to be medically exempted from getting the vaccine. The national VAERS (Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System) database has recorded 3,544 deaths and 12,619 serious injuries between Dec 14, 2020 and April 23, 2021 due to the covid vaccines. Although this is a small fraction of the millions who have received the vaccine, we do not wish to take the chance of being one of the few who may be at risk of suffering these irreversible and lifelong adverse effects.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Hello, I am a first-year student here at UNM and am now looking to leave UNM. I am looking to leave UNM because of discriminatory policy of mandatory vaccination. I believe in the freedom to choose my own health care actions and by enforcing this policy you are infringing on my right to choose. I was looking to get a master's degree in mechanical engineering at UNM, and now, do not know if I want to pursue even a bachelors at a school that is so far gone to their own agenda and pushing for less freedom in the student body. I am disappointed that those that push for "my body my choice" and are now not allowing for me to make the same decision. It is my body and therefore my choice to decide whether to receive a vaccination or not.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I feel as though this proposal is a violation of a UNM student's right to have free choice of their body and health. There are a variety of reasons for a student to be wary of, or medically incapable, to get the vaccination. Though the draft proposal states that there would be no need for students to display their vaccination status there is alot of verbage in the proposal that indicates that students who are not vaccinated would be at risk of having another year of college experience taken away. This seems to imply an ultimatum that students who are, for whatever reason, wary of the vacine (medical or personal) must decide between putting their lives or their educaiton at risk. This concern cannot be understated and seems like a violation of students ability to make thier own health decisions. I love this university and do not want to be forced to leave and seek a college experience somewhere else because of this proposition inhibiting my ability to enjoy college and my health.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I personally hope that the COVID-19 vaccine will not be required. Majority of the student body has received the vaccination, while the other either has had the virus or has a healthy immune system. I fall into the group of has already had the virus therefore making it equivalent to reviving the vaccine while the virus has been through my blood stream. Many students are like me and are against the vaccination, because we already have the antibodies to fight the virus if we were to catch it once again.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I do not think it's fair to mandate vaccine requirements. You guys have absolutely no idea if there will be side effects in 5-10 years. Hopefully there won't be, but if there is, if this causes infertility or any other long term side effect, people could potentially suffer because you mandated a vaccine. The flu vaccination is not mandatory, covid vaccination shouldn't be either. For those who feel comfortable getting the vaccination, and if masks and vaccinations work, then why should those people be worried about contracting covid? I absolutely am not getting vaccinated, I also already had covid in April 2021. I will leave UNM if this becomes a requirement and exceptions are not made.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	getting the vaccine should never be required to attend classes. that's taking away the right to a students education, for someone who does not want to get the vaccine. someone like me, i would never get the vaccine even if a school required it
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I believe the vaccine should not be mandated. The University of New Mexico is already facing lower enrollment rates every year and mandating the vaccine will exacerbate that trend. I also believe the overwhelming majority of New Mexicans are on pace to be vaccinated, therefore, encouraging the vaccine but still allowing freedom of personal choice is best practice. It aligns with, "My body, my choice." movement ideals. Taking a less forceful approach and focusing on a more positive educational approach seems more appealing.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I absolutely do not approve of the requirement of vaccines on campus. I believe that there will be high percentages of student and faculty vaccinated by the fall semester. Placing any requirements on vaccines is taking away peoples right to their body, and as a campus I believe we are above that.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	while i understand i have gotten other vaccines in the past as a baby, those vaccines took years to develop to be effective and safe and i am now 18+ and believe it is my body my choice of what goes in it. i will do everything else, (mask up, social distance and quarantine, etc)in my ability to stop the spread of covid with out getting the vaccine. i am not comfortable putting it into my body with out adequate amount of research in my opinion.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	The Covid-19 vaccine should NOT be a mandatory requirement. COVID-19 has less than a 1% mortality rate. As a public university, UNM should not be limiting the rights of students and staff. Everyone should have the right to make their own choice to receive the vaccine. How dare this university violate this freedom. How dare this institution force anything on an individual. The mandating of this vaccine is immoral and dangerous. The motivations of UNM are not trustworthy. Even if an individual receives an accommodation based on "religion" they will have to be under surveillance and testing and possibly even more "safety" requirements? This is discrimination. Period. This is retaliation against those who plead their religious constitutional right. Bullying will occur to these individuals. Unfortunately this mandate is where I draw the line. If this proposal passes it will be my deal breaker. I will not further my education here. I will not get my Masters here. I do not recommend UNM to anyone because they are abusing their role as a public university. They do not care about education. Please go to a community college, out of state, NMSU, or NMT. Not everyone is a lobo.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I don't think vaccines should be mandatory to attend in person.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I think that it should be up to each individual person whether or not they chose to get the vaccine. Making it mandatory to pursue your education should not be up to the school but each student. I understand that making it mandatory would help protect many student who go to UNM. But I personally have a few anaphilactic allergies to medications and have been recommended by my doctor to not get the covid vaccine because it could induce anaphylaxis even on the first dose which could mean I risk my own life for the vaccine and still not be able to finish both shots and actually be vaccinated. I am still attempting to continue my education at UNM but if the covid vaccine becomes mandatory in order to attend I may change schools.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I do not agree with making the vaccine mandatory for students, staff, or facility. It is very important to uphold personal choice. If this mandate goes into effect it will affect minorities' higher education. Please take this into consideration. I recommend sending all students a survey too!
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	While my personal opinion is that everyone should get vaccinated, I do not think the requirement should happen. I think people need to do their own research and come to their own decisions about getting the vaccine. I do not think a public university should be able to dictate a person's health choices.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	This policy would violate our constitutional rights to bodily autonomy. As is preceded in the Supreme Court cases Griswold v. Connecticut (1965), McFall v. Shrimp (1978), and Roe v. Wade (1973), an individual's rights to bodily autonomy are protected within the U.S. As was also decided in the court case Cruzan v. Director (1990), a competent adult has the legal right to refuse medical treatment. I strongly oppose this policy on the primary basis that I am the sole person who decides what health care I receive, not an academic institution. I am in no way an anti-vaxxer, I know vaccines are effective at safely preventing diseases. However, the decision of heath care is not one for a university to make. The University needs to back up, take a seat, and shut your mouth because you don't own our bodies and you don't get to make health care decisions for us.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	If UNM goes forward with the proposal to mandate the Covid vaccine in order for students to return to learning, then I will unfortunately have to look elsewhere to finish my studies. It is unethical to mandate a vaccine onto students and the faculty as a whole. No one should be forced to get a vaccine that they do not want or cannot get. Please do not mandate this vaccine!

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I love the diversity of the University of New Mexico. The diversity of New Mexico in general is something that makes this state the state I love. I believe that requiring one to get a vaccine to attend the University of New Mexico is unfair and inconsiderate to those who have strong beliefs against getting the vaccine especially in today's fragile climate. I am all for wearing masks and being socially distanced and with my job I have to enforce it every day, but I believe that something that is injected into your body should be a personal choice based on ones own beliefs, not based on whether or not they can be able to attend in person schooling. Furthermore, students pay thousands of dollars a year to attend this University, and giving those same students an option to not be forced to inject their body with something just to get an in person college education should be taken into heavy consideration. I am so proud of how we have handled covid-19 so far, but this proposed vaccine requirement is a step in the wrong direction.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I don't think we should have to choose between getting the vaccine or taking classes online or else where. It's hard for some people to get the vaccine because they don't know how it's going to affect them like if they have lip injections it doesn't work well and causes irritation and inflammation in those parts of the body as well as it can hurt the chances of somebody wanting to become pregnant or is pregnant and could cause a loss of a child and I don't think it's fair that you're forcing this on us when it should be our choice and we shouldn't have to change schools because we chose not to get the vaccine. For as much money as we pay for tuition we shouldn't be forced to take classes online if we chose not to get the vaccine. Even some medical professionals are also advising people not to get the vaccine.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I think requiring vaccines for students and staff is an abuse of individual rights that are protected by the law. In my opinion, it is not the university's business whether or not someone chooses to receive a vaccine. If vaccines become required, expect to lose students. Flu vaccines have never been mandated and Covid vaccines do not need to be mandated either. I believe this to be a huge overstep by the UNM leadership to control people and their lives. I personally will be transferring if this goes into effect.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Do not enforce this policy. This who have chosen not to receive the vaccine due to personal concerns, caution, or otherwise do not deserve to be discriminated against and refused services. Those who have refused to receive the vaccine do so at their own health risk and do not pose a risk to other vaccinated persons.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	As both a senior student and current staff, I would not continue with my schooling semester at UNM if this policy was approved. I will not be forced to take a vaccine I fundamentally disagree with. I will find a different place to graduate/work if this happens. I strongly suggest you don't make it mandatory, this is still a free country and this is not an action a truly free country would enact on. This may be a blue state but there are still plenty of conservatives and republicans that WILL NOT get this vaccine by choice. Definitely not by force.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	No, I will not attend if vaccination is a requirement. No, a public institution may not require such a medical procedure as a condition of service.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Do NOT forcibly require students get this vaccine "or else they can't learn for the school they pay for." Students pay for this school to operate through tuition and fees and we don't even get a say about what we inject in our bodies for a flu that has a 0.005% chance of mortality for people aged 18-29? I'm more likely to get hit in crosswalk on campus. If this follows through, I will not be going to grad school here at UNM, I will gladly go somewhere else. Let people who want to be vaccinated take it, and they will be protected. Let the people who don't assume the VERY LOW risk of even contracting it. What happened to "my body, my choice"
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Please do not make this vaccine a requirement, there are people with religious, cultural, and health related issues that go against this vaccine. I for one can't get the vaccine because I have been prescribed an epipen, people with those are among the population who are recommended not to get the shot. I can't keep doing this online, it's too difficult, I'm really struggling, I really need to be back on campus.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	As a student at UNM, I STRONGLY OPPOSE the idea of a mandatory COVID vaccine for students. First of all, it's inconsistent with the science behind virus's which is that they are constantly mutating to become resistant, making continual new vaccines for each strain necessary for full protection. Just as the flu virus is a vaccine that must be taken each year, the COVID vaccine will need to be redeveloped and readministered on a semi-regular basis to be effective. Second of all, the COVID pandemic is moving towards a model similar to the flu in which the threat to society is present but easily manageable. Making this vaccine mandatory is not necessary. Third of all, the COVID vaccine is a relatively new technology that currently requires a double set of shots and can cause severe adverse reactions. For individuals such as myself with extreme phobias of needles and injections, this is a very mentally challenging situation. I personally am waiting for a vaccine to be developed that can safely be administered in one dose with no major side affects. Until this is possible, I will not be receiving the vaccine because of my severe phobias. Fourth of all, assuming everyone can get the vaccine is extremely classist. Even in New Mexico where the vaccine is readily available for adults, simple issues like transportation or having the financial stability to take off work for a whole day to recover from the vaccine can inhibit a person's ability to receive it. Fifth of all, I believe that an individual has a right to chose what happens to their body. Bodily autonomy is an issue of great importance to me, and I as well as most other people value having autonomy over their own bodies. This would be a breach of the Univeristy's rights to our bodies. I know that I as well as many other students feel extremely uncomfortable with the mandatory COVID vaccine proposition. Personally, if the University decides to make this mandatory I will be transferring schools. This makes me uncomfortable.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	This is one of the most asinine policies that I have read throughout this pandemic. I am not an anti-vaxxer, I am quite the opposite of it actually. I fully support the state and countries effort to get as many vaccines into the arms of people who want them as possible. With that being said, I DO NOT support the vaccine being a mandatory requirement for attending classes or having the ability to access university facilities. The age group of most students on campus is the least likely to develop severe complications from the virus unless a student has severe health conditions, and those students were some of the first on the priority list to be vaccinated earlier this year. This potential policy strips peoples ability to choose what they want to put in their bodies, and shames those who would like to wait to see if there are any long term health effects from the vaccine before they get it. A policy like this strips people of their constitutional right to make decisions for their own body, and forces them to comply with an institutional policy that many people will not agree with. I am frankly disgusted that this is being proposed, and you all should be ashamed of yourselves.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I absolutely do NOT think there should be a vaccine policy. It is ridiculous to require students to take a new vaccine (if had been around a while, then maybe). Some students, like myself, will feel coerced into taking the vaccine rather than forfeit our education (or resort to strictly online). This policy is the wrong decision for UNM. I understand that health and safety is important to the University, but this is not the best way to go about it. Those that want the vaccine can get it, and those that don't should not be coerced into getting it. Also think about those who cannot take the vaccine for health related reasons. Is the university really going to pry into their medical history?
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I absolutely disagree with this draft. I don't think that anyone should be required to get a vaccine to be able to attend school in person. For many classes the in-person aspect is essential for quality education and to force someone to put something into their body to attain that is wrong.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	REQUIRING vaccination is a violation of a student's civil rights and would cause students to drop school and impede on their graduation or disrupt them from completing their final semester(s) of classes. It defies common sense. If a person is ill they simply do not attend school. We have somehow gotten into a bizarre habit of punishing healthy people that take care of themselves and have following protocols (even masks that hundreds of science study show simply do not stop the transmission). Students that prefer not to take the vaccine for any reason will be denied their right to finish school and the college experience which transcends studies. Let's hope UNM does the right thing and does not violate civil rights. There will be lawsuits that will probably demand UNM reimburse them for all costs related to college since they would be denied.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I am against requiring the Covid-19 vaccine. It is still not FDA approved and the J&J vaccine that is approved may go against some students religious beliefs because of the aborted fetal cell line. This age group is not at high risk. The people that feel they are high risk should get the vaccine and protect themselves. There is a 98% survival rate. We live in America and we should have freedom to choose what is best for ourselves. Thank you [REDACTED]

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I do not believe students should be required to get the covid vaccine in order to return to in person classes in the Fall 2021 semester. It is against students, facility, staff, and all persons rights. This should be a personal choice, if someone would like to get the vaccine, do the research and get it. If they choose not to get the vaccine, do the research and don't get it. Students and other persons choose this school for the academics, not to be forced to get by a vaccine. As a member of the science community, having a bachelor's degree in biology, I do not believe people should be forced to get a vaccine. Those who do not get the vaccine and decide to go back to in person classes also should not be discriminated against by being surveillanced. The draft states that persons can reject the vaccine for religious reasons, but would be monitored. This is discrimination, a person should be able to reject the vaccine even without religious reasons, that is their right. If you will not monitor those who receive the vaccines, then you have no right to single out others and constantly monitor those who decide not to take the vaccine. A person has the right to decide what they put into their bodies, food, drinks, drugs, and even vaccines. If a woman has the right to abortion, then every person had the right to take a vaccine or not to. My body, my choice.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I do not think that it should be mandatory to get the vaccine. I believe that just sounds bad to some people.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice Concerns about Enforcement Conc	UNM should NOT require anyone to be vaccinated to attend in person classes- especially if there are no online classes offered for specific courses. The courses I am registered for in Fall 2021 (graduate classes) do not have an online option, I had to choose the in-person option. If UNM is going to have necessary precautions in place (social distancing, masks, limited capacity), why is the vaccine necessary? Will UNM require everyone to get the booster vaccine every year like these vaccine companies are recommending? We were not required to get the flu shot or any other vaccine to attend campus in previous years. This is taking away the freedom of the students who want to pursue higher education and the faculty who are employed. Are there HIPAA concerns in forcing students/faculty to prove vaccination records? Will refunds be issued to students who already paid for classes if they are not vaccinated? Will faculty be terminated if they are not vaccinated? Will more online classes be available for students who are not vaccinated?
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	Getting a vaccine is a choice. Requiring it is a form of control and should not be implemented. Those who need the vaccine should get it, however those who do not want the vaccine should not be required to get it. Not being able to attend school due to not being vaccinated is not okay.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	This vaccine should be optional. Especially being that it is not fully approved just as an emergency authorization. Students that are healthy should not be expected to get something that they do not believe that they need. Getting the vaccine does not make people immune to this virus so if someone believes that they do not need the vaccine their actions only affect them and no one else.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I do not feel that it is ethical to force individuals to get the vaccine. It should be the individuals choice. It is not morally right to force people to get a vaccine that is new and has shown harmful side effects to individuals.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	If the vaccine does not prevent someone from getting it, prevent someone from spreading it, still requires the person to wear a mask and social distance then what is the point of requiring the vaccine? I understand recommending it but I don't think the requirement is necessary.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I think a better way to encourage full vaccination on campus would be to increase outreach and education about the vaccine along with incentives. An on-campus COVID vaccine drive, similar to a flu vaccine drive may bring out more people because of how easy vaccine drives are compared to making an appointment. If rules/laws allow, students and staff could report if they got the vaccine or not to the University. If they have not gotten it, pestering emails and calls could help persuade them or address their concerns. These emails and calls should have relevant information addressing religious, health, and political concerns, and show how easy it is to get vaccinated. Incentives could include free stuff from the SUB or Bookstore or fun events exclusively for vaccinated people. Unvaccinated students/staff would be missing out on deals and excluded from social events that their friends may be going to, hopefully persuading them to get vaccinated. I don't believe someone's quality of education, and thus future, should be in jeopardy because they are too misinformed, brainwashed, or stupid to get a vaccine. UNM shouldn't punish people because they have been lied to. We need to remember that the point of vaccinating people is reaching herd immunity, which does not require 100% vaccination. There is no reason to punish a few idiots just to get to 100% when that isn't even necessary.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I think it is not fair for UNM to force vaccines on anyone, including students. The university should consider giving students the OPTION of staying online in Fall 2021 or face-to-face instead of forcing face-to-face classes on everyone and then requiring them to get vaccinated. I do not agree with UNM's Vaccine Policy in any way, shape, or form.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I am registered to attend 2 in-person classes for the Fall 2021 semester. However, I do not want to receive the COVID-19 vaccine. If the vaccines become mandatory, will there be online classes that will be offered so that I can take those instead? I only have 1 more year of college left and I don't want this vaccine requirement to affect my graduation date.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	As a UNM student I am disgusted in your new proposed policy. This shouldn't even be a thing that is considered. My health should be my business. I am paying a lot of money to get an education, and I am very capable in taking care of my own health. Staff and students shouldn't be coerced or practically forced into getting vaccines or being subjected to getting tested. People can have enough common sense to stay home if they are sick. What you should be offering is continued online learning for students who wish to pursue that option instead of going back and dealing with this nonsense. Enough is enough already. I never thought my education would end up in a quid pro quo situation.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I think forcing anyone to take a risky vaccine that has not been fully tested and has been shown to have extremely debilitating side effects for some people is immoral and wrong. I am not anti vaccine in the slightest but forcing us to take a vaccine for a virus that has a 99 percent and up survival rate is foolish. Also the vaccine has not been fully tested in all regards such as long term effects and that to me is very important. Also if people want the vaccine then thats fine but I don't understand why I should be forced to get it if it doesn't even provide a complete immunity. i would hope you reevaluate your decisions on forcing people to take a potentially dangerous vaccine if you truly care about the well being of the students.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Looking at it another way...Worldwide, in 2019 (a one year time span), there were 523 million cases of heart disease and 18.6 million deaths. This is a yearly occurrence, with numbers doubling over the last 30 years. No one has ever shown any interest in these death rates or in preventing these deaths. In a one year time span, there have been only 150 million cases of Covid-19 and 3.2 million deaths worldwide. This is approximately only one-fifth (1/5) of the yearly heart disease cases and one-sixth (1/6) of the yearly heart disease deaths. To hold individuals' future education over their heads and threaten them by saying they can't attend a university if they don't get a Covid-19 vaccine (for an illness with a 98% recovery rate) is truly insulting and a clear violation of one's human rights. As an institution of higher education and a source of knowledge, universities should be empowering individuals with the knowledge and critical thinking skills to freely make their own decisions instead of forcing them to conform to the misguided information provided to the masses. Thank you.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Our human body is the most sacred thing that God has given us. Our body has to do with dignity. If we cannot make an informed and personal decision about our bodies, I think our dignity as human being is violated. Please reconsider mandatory vaccines policies.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Don't discriminate on the basis of private medical information. I don't want to be treated differently because of my personal beliefs.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Hello, I am emailing you today regarding your attempt at making the covid-19 vaccine a requirement for students and staff. Mandatory vaccination is discriminatory and as human beings, we have the right to make our own medical choices. Forcing a vaccine is comparable to forcing someone to practice medicine without having a license. Is this what UNM is encouraging? What about individuals who have already had the virus and have natural immunity? Aren't we all entitled to make our own choices for our own health as we see fit? As an individual who has family who attends school at UNM and someone who has been considering furthering my education at UNM, I am strongly petitioning against a move like this. This policy would lead me to disenroll and cause us to not participate in supporting UNM in any way. Please consider the personal choice and decisions of others and the affect your decision will have on our community and our local economy. Thank you for your consideration.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I do not believe forcing students to receive the vaccine is the way the university should take. 60% of New Mexico will be vaccinated by June or July, meaning when classes start, the state has decided to relax any and all restrictions.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	No. I think the vaccine should be highly recommended but not forced upon students to return back to school.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Hello. Respectfully, I am writing to please ask that the vaccine not be required, at the very least not for students who are commuting to campus and do not use campus housing. I understand recommending that people get the vaccine but I do not see how anyone can force someone to get a very new vaccine with little research on its long term effects. I do not personally feel comfortable getting the vaccine right now because there is limited research on it. I think It is against good American values and doesn't even seem legal that someone can be forced to get a vaccine. You are discriminating by whether someone has injected something in their arm or not. I ask that you please respect my choice and others choices and respect their confidential medical history by not allowing this proposal to go through. Whether or not I got a shot that may or may not prevent me from spreading COVID-19. Thank you.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I think that the vaccine should not be required and that we should be able to decide ourselves if we want to get vaccinated. Those who want to be protected will go get the vaccine and that is fine but it should not be forced on everyone. Thank you for your time and consideration.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Absolutely terrible idea. If you require the covid vaccine, you MUST require ALL vaccines. There is no "fairness" in this. Flu shot needs to be mandatory as well as polio/tdap/hep b/etc. you're proposing to take away health freedoms from those who pay to be there. If you end up requiring it, you need to lower tuition costs for those who make the choice to attend online.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I believe that because this university is government funded and willing fully takes federal grants which are meant represent the entire population of students and staff, it is unethical to force every member of the community to get vaccinated in order to earn an education or maintain a job. By doing this not every community member's beliefs and personal life choices of how they chose to care for their own body is represented or even given consideration; "My Body My Choice". This policy states "efforts would be made to encourage participation by receiving education". From this line, in its simplest form, this university is stating that even though the state of New Mexico and the U.S. Federal Government are not requiring each citizen get vaccinated (it is of their own volition if they chose to), for paying students to continue to receive their education and work towards their diploma they must bend to the will of the university; and if they don't oh well too bad you are no longer allowed on our campus and your countless hours and hard earned money spent here are wasted. If the university proceeds with a mass mandate requiring every member of the community to receive a vaccination (with the only counter arguments being medical or religious conflicts) or their job and diploma are on the line, then this institution is not worthy of government funding or accreditation.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I will not and do not want to take the vaccine.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	This policy is radical and an infringement on student rights. I am completely in favor of people getting vaccinated, but not by force. This is a decision individuals should be allowed to make for themselves and it is not fair of the school to decide what is and/or is not a valid excuse. With that being said, people who don't want to get it, can't get it, or are unable to due to religious beliefs should not have to justify themselves as to why they are not getting it. It is their right as a U.S. citizen to chose whether or not they get the vaccine. As a first-year student at UNM, I am really disappointed that this policy is something being considered. I understand wanting to keep people safe, but this is morally and respectfully not the way to do so.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	The Covid vaccine should NOT be required to attend school. Not everyone feels comfortable getting the vaccine and they have every right to not receive it. Just because students do not want the vaccine doesn't mean they shouldn't be allowed on campus. If you feel comfortable getting vaccinated, then great. But getting the vaccine is a very personal decision and those who choose not to receive it should not be treated unequally. Thank you- [REDACTED]
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	To whom it may concern,I do not believe that a mandatory vaccination requirement is lawful, accepting, or constitutional. Since the start of my college career at UNM I have heard numerous times that our campus is a safe space and that all are welcome regardless of race, religion, sexual orientation, and creed. By the University enforcing such a policy would go against everything the university has professed to stand for. I believe as Americans and students of this university we should have a right to decide what we put in our body and the personal medical decisions we make should never hold our education hostage. As a third year student at the University of New Mexico I look forward to my senior year and graduating as a Lobo and hope that the university reconsider this very important and life altering decision for the University. Thank you [REDACTED]
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I feel that this is impeding on our rights to education and our personal choices of our bodies. This would be forcing us to take a shot to be able to better our education. Why this vaccine and not all other vaccines? Those are just as bad but yet singling out covid and forcing a vaccine on us is not right it is our decision not others what we should do with our bodies. It is our right to be getting an education and we PAY for the education.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Students and faculty shouldn't have to be mandatorily vaccinated to participate in school activities. Vaccination should be a choice. My body my choice.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	This vaccine is highly experimental, it is not FDA approved, and no long term affects are known. It is very inappropriate to try and make this mandatory. You're going to see a drop in students attending. Why make us pick between our education and health. Like do we just get kicked out if we refuse a vaccine that may harm us in the future? Let's not get political about this, let us have freedom. This is supposedly a "free thought" University.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Forcing students to be vaccinated would be both a disgrace American civil liberties, and a slap in the face to our intelligence. While I do not believe the vaccine is dangerous and think that people in at risk groups should take it, it is indisputable the COVID-19 is not dangerous to young people. The argument that it benefits the greater good for young people to get vaccinated is a lie because the vaccine is readily available to people in at risk groups. The goal of the university is to teach students objective thinking skills and forcing people who are not at risk to get vaccinated does "follow the science". I am a proud for Burqueno and a Lobo football player. I love the University of New Mexico but the liberal bias within the institution is disgraceful, and forcing students to get vaccinated would be an incredible fatal mistake for the university.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Hello,Speaking as an out-of-state student, I must say that the proposal is disappointing and I'm displeased. I can appreciate how UNM wants to return to a "normal" as before but I don't anticipate this ever happening. The COVID-19 Pandemic was such a ever-lasting change where my college experience is no longer the same and I don't have the same intentions as a student within the UNM community.Vaccinations are available but hesitancy is also there and so requiring students to obtain the vaccine in order to return to a campus that's no longer "normal" isn't fair. Just as it has taken time to adjust to remote learning then it will take time to adjust back to on-campus residency and on-campus learning culture. I believe UNM should remain understanding and allow students to stay home and remote learn if desired. By living in Chicago, IL, there's more planning and financial accessibility needed to return to the UNM campus, I ask the person reading this to visualize themselves in my position and contemplate how much of a change and displeasure one would have after being unsafe because of COVID-19 which led to the University's actions of removing students from their dorms and having movers clean out their belongings (without much choice) to starting and ending their Sophomore Year remotely at home (home is where one's support and comfort is) to wanting said student to relive the feeling of fear and uncertainty (leaving their home and returning to campus where activites, learning, and the entire environment isn't befitting anymore) because the University wants normalcy.I'd like to at least see myself completing another remote year, if not, then another remote semester for Fall 2021. Be patient with me and others when instituting this Vaccination Policy.Thank you, [REDACTED]

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Thank you, UNM for your efforts in trying to keep all student, faculty and staff safe. I write to express my opposition to the proposed COVID Vaccine requirement. I believe that the decision to get vaccinated is one that each individual must make on their own without commandeering from the university. I believe a much safer alternative would be to offer students the opportunity to decide between coming to campus or remaining remote. (We have been remote for a year, I believe we can continue to be remote). My desire to remain remote stems from my understanding that covid-19 strands continue to mutate and while there is a vaccine known to be somewhat safe and effective, this vaccine is not one known to protect us from future variants. Let's not put our guard down just yet. Thank you for reading my comment. Thank you also for caring for our health and safety.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice;	As we all know, this past year has been one I think we would all love to forget. Covid has effected us in ways we never would have thought. I am pleased to see the university taking action to make sure campus is as safe as possible. With that being said, I strongly disagree with the proposed mandate to require vaccines to attend in person classes for the fall semester. I believe that recommending them and trying to be as safe as possible is smart in this time, but forcing someone to have a shot for covid goes against the freedoms of the staff and students on campus. The university does not require a flu shot to attend classes. Additionally, if this proposal is passed, it is only reasonable to have the university waive the online fee for classes, so that the students that do not wish to get the vaccine, have an alternative that will make everyone feel safer in the end.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	I would hope UNM takes into consideration peoples personal rights on what they put into their own body. Everyone attending UNM knows the risks into entering in person learning. The fact of the matter is we DO NOT know any long term effects on the body. I have been following a study on how the vaccine is effecting women's menstrual cycles that was never previously reported as a symptom. I am begging you do not make the vaccines mandatory. The state is expecting 60% vaccinations by June and the state to open so doing this makes no sense for Fall semester in August. Keep masks mandated as it does not enter into your body and alternate anything. Thanks.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	While I understand the impulse to require vaccination to return to "in-person classes," I would ask that some restraint be shown in this area. Considering UNM has not required any vaccine to simply attend school in the past, this is an unprecedented move that has potentially alienating consequences. I am not an anti-vaxxer, I am not a right wing conspiracy theorist. I do believe in science. Because I believe in science, I recognize that the COVID-19 vaccines are potentially miraculous, as they are a development of new technology. That being said, this new technology has not gone through anything close to long term studies on the affects it might have. No matter what our institutions tell us, this is a fundamental part of drug research and glossing over this is irresponsible. There are many students here at the UNM who will consider the risks of not knowing the long term data of taking the vaccine and take it anyways, and that is perfectly okay. There are other students who will consider the risks and want to wait out of caution, and that should be okay too. Many will do so for personal reasons, religious reasons, and skeptical reasons. Let us not forget the history minorities in this country have with government promoted "medical" treatments, which understandably cause many to rethink their trust. Denying the student body access to education and enriching their future should they choose not take a vaccine that they may not be comfortable with is wrong, and I feel compelled to call it as such. If what our institutions are telling us is true, that the vaccine is highly effective at both preventing the spread of the virus as well as contracting the virus, we should encourage those who would like to get it, to get it, and allow those who are not comfortable with it, to wait for the time being. I ask that the University of New Mexico show respect for bodily autonomy in the sea of confusion. Thank you.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	Given the multitude of uncertainties about the vaccine, I, alongside many others especially female, are very nervous to take the vaccine with the major contender being the shockingly high risk of sterilization. While the campus needs to be at a healthy vaccinated population, I think the vaccine should still be encouraged but not mandatory

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	Good Morning,I am not only a UNM Alumni but also a current graduate student at Anderson. I do not feel it is appropriate to force a vaccination on students. I am currently half way through my graduate studies and will not be receiving the vaccination at this time. Although, I am not opposed to vaccinations I do not feel there is enough long term research on the effects of the vaccination to force it upon people. Once there has been long term data on the effects, and side effects, of the different vaccinations I will happily get it. At this time, however, there is already reports of uncontrolled bleeding, mensural dysfunction, and infertility for both men and women associated with the vaccination. Currently 1 in 4 pregnancies already end in miscarriage and infertility is plaguing our nation, including myself. I do not feel even the most remote change of fertility is a sacrifice that young men and women should be forced to take to gain an education. I have been told that because I already have fertility concerns I, and my partner, should not take the vaccination. There are also neurological components that are not suitable for all individuals. My families' doctors has voiced concerns for my household receiving the vaccination due to other conditions within my household. Mandating the vaccination as condition of enrollment is unethical for those of us that have already been admitted. If you do wish to make a change in policy I would suggest that for new applicants and students this may be a reasonable condition. To make this change in the middle of a course of study I feel is a breach in contract. Possibly a waiver for those that are unable or unwilling to get vaccinated would be a bridging step at this time?Please do not make me chose between my education and the possibility of a family, this is a huge reason why I am furthering my education. Thank you for the opportunity to provide feedback on the proposed policy. I am willing to answer any follow up if you so choose. [REDACTED]
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	I believe requiring every student to get the vaccine is a completely inappropriate request. It is against our constitutional rights and freedom as Americans to require something that not everyone is okay getting. Where is the data that will show us how we will REALLY be affected? When are we going to know if it's going to give us health issues 10 or 20 years down the road? This may "fix" the problem right now, but who knows how many of us will be affected by a vaccine that does not have the history to keep us alive, healthy and safe. I ask that you continue to consider what kind of money you will loose, how many students will drop out, and where the Universities reputation will stand if you require students and faculty to get this vaccine.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	How can you attempt a mandate for an unlicensed biologic? Under OSHA's updated COVID vaccine policy, you requiring the vaccine makes any adverse event or reaction to the shot a reportable event under the CFR. Will you put in writing that you are responsible for all legal and medical costs if there is an adverse reaction? The vaccine inserts are also blank, so there is no true informed consent. This is coercion in a sense for students who have no choice, but to live on campus, have scholarships or faculty who need to keep their job. There is no reason to require this immunization or make students request for accommodating courses when many courses aren't offered online. I have one semester left and will be withdrawing formally and attending another institution of this is the case. Regardless of 'exemption' options this is completely unnecessary. You could teach proper health/nutrition and hygiene instead of mandating an untested/unlicensed biologic that has proven to be ineffective. From personal experience I have family & friends who have gotten covid after being fully vaccinated. I highly encourage you to reconsider. This segregates students & cheats many out of the already overpriced state education you offer.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	This vaccine policy is well written and presented. However, there are several considerations that should be made prior to enforcing this. I am a student who attends this university, and I am also currently working as a licensed nurse in the state of New Mexico. While the safety and health of the students, faculty, and community are important, this vaccine should not be a requirement. There are obvious benefits to getting this vaccine, however, I am very hesitant to get it. I think that vaccines are incredibly important and necessary, but I do not feel comfortable with the idea of getting this vaccine. I do not feel there is enough research and data that would make me feel confident in getting it. I feel like there is a lot of unknown about the virus itself and the potential long term effects of this vaccine. I am not saying that I would never get this vaccine, however, until there is more data, research, and knowledge on this particular virus and vaccine, I will not be putting myself at risk. I am still very young and have a long life ahead of me. I do not feel that the benefit of this vaccine outweighs the risk for me at this point. If I were older or had more serious health conditions, then I would get the vaccine. This is not the case though. This is just my personal belief. While there are several students and members of the community who have received the vaccine, there are still many people who do not wish to be vaccinated yet. I believe that this is something that people need to consider based on their own circumstances, rather than be forced into something they may or may not be comfortable with. This should be a personal choice not a requirement. I understand the desire of getting back to "normal" and "bringing the pack back." I want this as well, but I will not put myself in a situation that I am not comfortable with because it's a requirement to do so. I think it is acceptable to encourage people to get vaccinated and follow the CDC's guidelines, but it is not acceptable to require the vaccine. Whether or not this requirement is passed, I will remain responsible. I will continue the Covid screening process, wear a mask, get tested if symptoms or exposure occurs, and remain at home if symptoms or exposure occurs. I very strongly feel that this should not and cannot be required. If I am not required to get the vaccine as a healthcare professional, then I should be required to get the vaccine to attend school. Here's another way to think about this situation. If I am not required to get the flu vaccine to attend school, then I should not be required to get the Covid vaccine to attend school. I realize that most people may be on board with this policy, but I know that there are many out there who are not. Please take this into consideration before moving forward with this decision.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	I cant state this enough I don't feel safe going back to campus even with forcing everybody taking the vaccine. I believe that is wrong in many ways our body our choice and we can choose to either take or wait on taking the vaccine. For my example I am still waiting on my doctor to set a appointment to get my allergies tested and see if It is safe for me to take the vaccine because even before I have had many different allergy reactions to medication.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	I don't think that it should be required to attend school. There is belief by the CDC that Herd Immunity will come into play if enough of the population gets vaccinated. It is a very new virus and new vaccine that we do not have sufficient information on long term effects. It's a new type of vaccine that very well could be better for us but we do not know how long it will last or the effects it will have after a year. I think that it's unfair to require a vaccine when normal vaccines like the flu or HPV when medical vaccination status is not required to attend the school before this. I understand that a lot of people are getting sick but college age kids are not the most compromised. We should be able to choose to be vaccinated and not be deprived of traditional education. Thank you for listening.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	I must voice my opposition to requiring a vaccine to finish my degree at UNM. These vaccines are not FDA approved vaccines and I am unwilling to risk my health on an experimental mRNA therapy. The vaccines do not prevent the spread of the virus. There have already been adverse side effects of the vaccine to members of my family including blood clots and pneumonia. The vaccine is also a result of abortion sourced stem cells which violates my religious conscious. If UNM adopts such a policy I will not comply. I am not opposed to vaccines in general but this one is not safe yet. When it has passed the normal FDA protocols I will be willing to take it. If the choice is a degree or my health, I choose my health.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about SafetyHealth	While I understand the University wants to be as safe as possible, I do not think that there should be a vaccine requirement policy in order for students, staff, and faculty to go back to in person. The vaccine is not even a year old and should not be required if a student wants to resume in person classes. We shouldn't force students to have to take a vaccine in order to experience the college life. There are also some people who cannot take the vaccines for a specific reason and we would be discriminating against those individuals by putting this policy into place. No one is required to take the flu vaccine even though globally so many people die from it. I do want UNM to continue to be as safe as possible, but requiring a vaccine is not the way to go. I honestly think we can resume to in person classes starting Fall 2021 by maintaining the mask mandate and having a one seat separation of social distancing while not requiring anyone to take a vaccine and discriminating against those who cannot take the vaccine.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about SafetyHealth	My son was accepted by UNM in engineering and plans to enroll this Fall. However, in light of UNM considering mandating a vaccine approved by the FDA only for emergency use authorization (EUA), we are reconsidering the University of Utah as Utah has passed a law to prevent vaccine mandates. Our family has a history of autoimmune diseases including my son. However, his conditions and our family history would not exempt him based on the current (and often changing) CDC recommendations. The CDC website admits that "no data are currently available on the safety of COVID-19 vaccines for people with autoimmune conditions" As I read the proposed UNM policy we could not exempt our son. While all of the vaccines have been rushed into use due to the pandemic, the mRNA vaccines are very new technology and there has been no time to study the long term effects on a large sample size. That experiment is being conducted on the public this year, and it is unethical to mandate it (and perhaps illegal to mandate as EUA drug). A family friend developed narcolepsy after an H1N1 flu vaccine, which disabled him. While such reactions are very rare they are life altering. Those under the age of 25 are in more danger from the flu than COVID-19, and we see no reason for my son to bear the risks of vaccination given his risk from COVID is so low. Those who are at greater risk can voluntarily get vaccinated.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about SafetyHealth	I attended UNM for 4 years and had plans to return this coming year. I think that the proposed covid-19 vaccine is absolutely ludicrous, unlawful, discriminatory, and all around completely wrong. I do not support this proposal, and rather I STRONGLY disagree that this is the way forward towards health as a community. To withhold an education from people who may have medical, religious, personal or genetic reasons to not receive this vaccine is so disheartening and messed up. To receive any medical treatment someone must be informed to its risks and side affects. This is such a new vaccine there is no way to predict the side affects in the long term, and there have already been documented tens of thousands of negative and adverse reactions. People may not want to risk their life, or long term health over an experimental procedure and they should not be vilified, discriminated against or disregarded for doing what they feel is best for their own bodies amd health. Even were the FDA to approve this "vaccine" to require it directly contradicts a persons privacy in regards to HIPAA and directly disregards the Nueremberg Code. I DO NOT CONSENT. I STRONGLY disagree with the universities proposal. I urge you to stand in solidarity with ALL individuals and leave it up to each individual to make their own choice if they do or do not want to receive the jab. Thank you.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	Requiring a vaccine for students especially this early into the vaccine cycle is unconstitutional, and infringes on the rights of everyone that does not want to be vaccinated. To say it is required by everyone to remain safe is absolutely false. This would be a massive mistake, and a step in the wrong direction for communities. The government should allow people to make up their own minds in choosing to be vaccinated, and now college institutions are forcing whoever does not want to take the vaccine into to submission based on inclusion alone. With policies like this, there is now no choice for regular people. In example, I myself have been exposed directly to the virus. I have taken care of multiple people who have had corona and have been in close proximity and absolute direct contact with people who got sick and tested positive for corona. I have never gotten sick, never had symptoms, and never tested positive for corona despite constant testing when I was in contact. My personal opinion is that I don't need a vaccine for a sickness that I have been in direct contact with and has no issue. I am also someone who has never had the Flu, and as a result, never taken a Flu shot. No need. Despite my personal experiences and beliefs, my university is going to force me to get a vaccine if I want to participate in ANYTHING. This is criminal. No government, institution, or private business should have the power to make this decision for people. The thousands and thousands of dollars that I have invested in my education at UNM holds me hostage to, even if this proposal passes, but this is something I would seriously consider dropping out over. On behalf of a student body working hard, earning higher education degrees, and smart and capable enough to make our own decision, let us make OUR OWN DECISIONS. No mandate is necessary for the vast majority of students to be vaccinated. This will happen without such a proposal.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceImpact to Enrollment	Before I registered for Fall classes, UNM stated that they would not require the covid vaccine for in-person students. Now, I am reeling from the news that they are considering making it a requirement. I am faced with making a major decision whether to adhere to my personal beliefs or being forced to put something in my body that I don't agree with just so I can finish my education. Unfortunately, I must now consider alternate routes if UNM makes the vaccine a requirement. I feel blind-sided by an institution that I have given my last 3 years to.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I think this is a violation of human rights and freedom of one's body. I am opposed to this policy and will not continue at UNM it is something that does get passed and is mandatory.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	REQUIRING students to be fully vaccinated for access to education is a discriminatory policy. I can't see how the administration would be legally allowed to enact such a thing. As long as people comply with the CDC guidelines for things like mask wearing (which I assume is going to still be required regardless of vaccination status) employees and students of UNM should be allowed unrestricted access to the campus. No long term data exists for these vaccines. As long as infection rates remain in the 'green' levels, a policy like this should absolutely NOT be considered. I am getting vaccinated (2nd dose in two weeks) but only because I have an immuno-compromised loved one I need to be able to see. Denying education to those who choose, for whatever reason, not to be vaccinated at this time would not be UNM acting responsibly, it would be them acting like fascists.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceImpact to Enrollment	it's is completely unfair, to ask students to have to vaccinate. you wouldn't ask LGBTQ+ students to be required to be tested for AIDS/HIV before returning on campus. enrollment is already an issue, UNM needs to figure out a middle ground. Offering more online courses, especially upper divisions so that students have more flexibility to be able to attend, since not everyone is able to attend courses on campus, either due to work commitments or any other issue.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceImpact to Enrollment	This is actually disgusting and a complete invasion of our rights. You are the same people who push the idea of "my body, my choice" until it doesn't fit your agenda. This is very idiotic. If you guys decide to push through with this idea, you will lose even more students and your enrollment rates are already at an all time low. I will also not be attending class semester if this is the case.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceImpact to Enrollment	My son is in his Junior year at UNM, he has been on lottery scholarship every semester since he started. It would be a shame if he could not finish his degree, and he's so close. Our family has decided we are not comfortable with getting the vaccine. We've had a family member who had severe, near death complications from the vaccine and we do not feel this is right for us at this time. Unfortunately, if you made this mandatory we would need to find another college, less restrictive, for him to finish out his degree. Thank you for your understanding and please do not make this experiment mandatory. We follow all the guidelines on safety, our son has worked in the family business all during Covid (since we are essential), we have not had Covid and continue to take all precautions necessary to stay safe and to keep those around us safe. Please.Regards, [REDACTED]
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about SafetyImpact to	I will leave UNM if this policy gets passed I am all for getting the vaccine if you want it but enforcing a policy for others to get a vaccine to get a higher education is 1. Not legally right it goes against HIPPA my rights to choose my health protection 2. This vaccine is not FDA approved.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceImpact to Enrollment	I'm currently a student at the University of New Mexico. I find it very unfair that students who have their vaccine can come back to campus and students who don't aren't back allowed. I think implementing the right process and procedures would allow for everyone to possibly come back. I WILL NOT ATTEND THE UNIVERSITY FOR GRAD SCHOOL IF THIS IS IMPLEMENTED. I don't mind wearing a mask and social distancing but I will not step down to discrimination of my medical rights. Please hear the voice of students who refuse to get a vaccine that is not FDA approved.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about SafetyReligious	Hello, I hope that this feedback of mine will be taken in consideration. I am of the opinion that requiring to be fully vaccinated is not correct. First of all no institution has the right to violate the fundamental right if a human being to be vaccinated or not. Second, there are numerous civil rights attorneys who are making Colleges in USA aware of the implications of violating these fundamental rights by the administration and regents body of universities. Third if you read the results from VAERS or VEARS that is the institution elected by the CDC to keep statistical record of issues with vaccines (it is public records you can go an read it yourself) there are almost 4,000 covid-19 related death after administration of the 3 vaccines brands. Fourth in lieu of this I really hope that this university is not going to force and obligate people to attend school. Fifth, there is no emergency anymore. Not even the president is talking and congress is passing this up anymore. In medicine heard immunity is reached when 30% is vaccinated. Is the school reached that already? I think so. Sixth, i have a blood disorder called deficiency of factor V. Instead of clotting i bleed. Now the vaccines sides effects are bleeding in the brain, blood clotting by the reaction of plasma towards the spike protein injected with the vaccine, strokes and heart disease so you can imagine how concerned I am if i get forced to do this. I hope to be exempt from. I was an I am an essential worker and not inly i never got COVID-19 (i get tested at work every 2 weeks) but I not required to get the vaccine by Walmart either now nor by Avis Budget Group before so I want to hope that this school now will let go this idea of forcing a vaccine.I am graduating in spring 2021 and it will be upsetting if I will not be granted access to my final term by this body of school officials. Please let people decide by themself. Each and one of us have the best interest for this upcoming term. Do not force something that is in violation of the state statue and the constitution. I am sure the school doesn't like the idea of a class action law suits for civil right violation when in NM there is NO law that mandates vaccines.I like my school. I like being here in NM. As an immigrant i would like to hope that this school will abandon this socialistic ideas. I escape Europe because of ideas like this and definetly I wouldn't expect to find it here now.Sincerely, [REDACTED]

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceImpact to Enrollment	I believe requiring a vaccine in order to attend class here at UNM is not only a infringement on our medical privacy, but it would also drastically limit the number of people who would attend classes on campus. Our age group is by far one of most safe from Covid-19 and around the country high school and colleges are allowing students back without the need of a vaccine. It is unfair that some universities and school require the vaccine while others do not. One of the best preventions of Covid-19 is to be an healthy individual who regularly exercises, spends time outdoors, and eats healthy foods. During the spring and fall semesters of 2020 and 2021 the University of New Mexico encouraged behavior that was opposite of this; by encouraging students to stay indoors, limiting their access to fitness centers, and drastically limiting healthy and alternative meal opportunities for students. These actions have put UNM students at a greater risk for Covid-19. In summery, I believe no student should have to provide any proof of a Covid-19 vaccination to the university as it is a direct violation of our medical privacy, I also believe The University wrongfully pushed for action around campus that put students at a greater risk for Covid-19 and action should be taken to correct these wrongs

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceHealth AccommodationR eligious	This proposal is creating a slippery slope of an “us and them” environment. Everything I have ever had to read as an employee of UNM, as an instructor or as a member of the clinical staff at the EMSA and UNMH in my previous career, was always about inclusion, equality, equity, non-discriminatory behaviors etc. Now, as a student, retired from a career of 24 years, a disabled veteran, pursuing a second career, I read the same things in every email, syllabus, and classroom. I’m forced to endure rants from instructors about social justice issues that in no way have anything to do with the subject matter at hand. Yet the message is always the same; equality, justice, equity, etc. Much of these rants and “discussions” create yet another environment of “us and them.” Which in my opinion, is contrary to everything UNM claims to be about. This proposed policy, with the few exceptions does not allow for someone to simply decline a “vaccine” for the simple sake of their right to autonomy. Much like the seasonal flu vaccine, it is a choice someone makes for what THEY feel is the right choice for THEM. There is currently no policy that mandates students with the exception of the HSC to provide proof of vaccinations. I know as a student when I enrolled at UNM I did not have to provide any sort of proof of the “recommended” vaccines such as MMR, etc. The same applies to CNM as a student there. What happens to someone’s right to choose what medical procedures or so called therapeutics they want performed or administered? I spent a career in healthcare. I know first hand of the legal implications regarding consent, refusals, etc. So how can someone making a choice for their own health be discriminated against? Forced vaccination for the sake of accessing a PUBLIC university is pushing the line of medical tyranny. When TB killed roughly 1.4 million people in 2019, I didn’t hear anyone calling for mandatory testing, chest X-rays, etc. yet given NM’s native population and the prevalence of IV drug users, there is a fairly large population of TB patients here in NM to include many of the homeless. But the biggest issue is a persons right to body autonomy. The pro-choice community has used that model for decades now. Making an INFORMED decision based on facts, data, and logic is how we solve matters using the scientific method is it not? Well, given the demographic of the student body at UNM, say, 18-29, nationally there has only been 2097 deaths from SARS-Cov-2. In NM, a total of 49 in that same demographic. In contrast, in the age bracket 15-24, there were 6031 deaths by accidents in 2019 nationally. Any death is tragic, I know more so than many, however, is that enough to take away someone’s right to choose what’s right for them and for them to not be discriminated against? I hate to say it, but the idea of buttons or any sort of other identifying devices to show who has been vaccinated is just as bad as identifying someone who hasn’t been. Again, an “us and them” environment could easily be seen as an “us VERSUS them” environment. UNM is a public university which receives state and federal dollars to function. If the state is not mandating any sort of “vaccine passport” then how can UNM? If the federal government is not pursing, at least at the moment, any sort of “vaccine passport”

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
			then how can a public university? Who makes the decision on who receives the exemption and who doesn't? If someone has a religious reason yet the person evaluating the exemption application differs in opinion or ideological point of view and believes everyone NEEDS the vaccine regardless of their reason to not receiving it, is it their rights or the person's seeking the exemption? I believe safety of the student body and staff is paramount. However, there is the major issue of someone's right of autonomy. Autonomy is not trumped by what someone else feels is best for another. This is a difficult concept for many to grasp, but it's fact.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceHealth Accommodation	What is UNM doing for students who do not want to be vaccinated? If you are going to force students to be vaccinated to return to campus, then every single class should be offered online. There are students who do not want to be vaccinated such as myself and feel that UNM is entirely taking away our individual freedom to make that choice. Thanks!
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceReligious Accommodation	It remains a very concerning fact that the University is trying to regulate our bodies. For religious reasons I have opted to not be vaccinated. The university is overstepping by requiring such a vaccine, it hasn't even been in circulation for 2 years. We have no idea the long term side effects, this is a very irresponsible choice to be making. I am now considering transferring to another university. This university clearly has no concern over what could happen to students in the long run.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceGeneral Complaint	I don't think vaccines should be mandatory just as I don't believe going in person should be mandatory. Some still do not feel comfortable taking the vaccine. I also don't feel comfortable going back in person either. Vaccines do not guarantee not getting sick and going back in person may increase the risk of COVID being in the classrooms and getting the virus.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	It's not fair to require vaccines. I don't trust it nor do I want to take it. I hope UNM doesn't make it required.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceImpact to Enrollment	The right to decide whether or not to take any sort of vaccine should never be infringed and should be 100% up to the person who will receive the vaccine. Making it a requirement to get the vaccine in order to attend UNM will be a terrible decision and may result the loss of many great students who value their freedom and choices over a degree from this university. If this policy passes I will no longer support this university and what it stands for. I value my health and freedoms too much to allow any school to determine what I do to myself and my body. I do not support this policy proposal in any way, shape, or form. I strongly encourage the board to re-think this policy and what negative effects may come from passing such a terrible rule. Thank you.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I have not read your draft. However if you are going to require vaccination to attend the university. By fall I will have three semesters left for my degree. I have not decided to get a vaccine yet and I am leaning not to. It seems since I have invested in my education with UNM some accommodations should be made to allow students who may not wish to get vaccinated to continue taking classes remotely. Taking a vaccination should be a choice not a mandate. Even if you decided to allow students back in the fall. I would still want to be able to socially distance myself and I would want to wear a mask with out feeling like I was an outcast. This would be the case if I would be fully vaccinated also.Thank you for allowing me to make my input.Sincerely, [REDACTED]
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Privacy	I think this is a horrible and ridiculous idea.1) K-12 schools have been open for months. Do children follow directions and socially distance better than adults?2) The CDC has already recommended schools fully open long ago regardless of age or grade level.3) I will not submit to random surveillance testing for COVID and forcing any students to do so is a HIPAA violation. 4) "Allowing" students to wear badges, pins, etc. to show their vaccination status is oppressive. This is nothing more than social peer-pressure that will ostracize students who can't be vaccinated whether due to medical conditions or religious beliefs. This is exactly what the Nazi's did! UNM students will have different shapes or colors but the badges will be little more than Swastikas and yellow stars. Can we make people with AIDS or Herpes wear badges so we know who to Avoid too?This is supposed to be a University of higher learning, these types of policies are the exact opposite.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Privacy	It is against HIPAA to add any type of badge, pins, or other identifying mark. You cannot by law require this identification. Requiring the COVID vaccine to attend school without it being fully vetted is asking for a lawsuit if anyone gets extremely sick or dies.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	This is an absurd idea. Forcing students to take an experimental drug in order to continue their education is heartless. Will UNM be taking legal responsibility and liability for any and all negative reactions that can be possibly attributed to the experimental vaccine they are considering requiring?
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Mandatory vaccine requirement? Is this a way to keep out the "poor" students who don't have access to the vaccine? Please honor the human right of bodily autonomy. No to your vaccine mandate.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	I STRONGLY disagree with the proposed draft of to require a COVID-19 vaccination to access UNM's facilities. This is for several reasons. They are:1.) This untested a-typical vaccine was pushed thru with an emergency exemption, and therefore may have many side effects that are unknown2.) This vaccine is of a new MRNA type, which is more of a DNA therapy and has never been used before in this capacity on a national level.3.) The COVID-19 "pandemic" has a slightly higher death rate then the common flu, with similer risks based on the age of the person, state of health, and if they are immuno compromised already.5.) Coronavirus's have been around for a long time, and we have not let that stop us from experiancing a full and free life with people and getting our needs met for love, connection, and free expression.6.) If people are vaccinated, then they should be be protected from COVID-19, and shouldn't have to worry about catching it7.) If there is any institution that should be encouraging young people to fully explore and express themselves in their pursuit of higher learning (i.e personal automony over their own bodies and what goes into it), it should be the universities8.) I WILL NOT attend a college nor help fund one that requires it's students to take an untested vaccine, paving the way for "vaccine passports" and a very orwellian future where this will be used a tool to control people even more and have them "living" in a constant state of fear.9.) I believe that after all is said and done. we will find more people have died from more a lack of connection due to this "pandemic" then the actual cure itself; i.e. the cure does more harm then the good it's supposed to do.10.) People's need for connection and meeting their emotional needs is more important then this false sense of "safety", and requiring a policy where people have to worry about "the other" more then they do already will create a fear based atmosphere not conducive to learning, connection, and free expression.In closing, I strongly disagree with this draft. I also feel symptomatic testing for those who are not vaccinated is very invasive and an overkill response .Thanks for your time, [REDACTED]
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Privacy	Unm students and staff should not be forced to disclose private health data in order to protect their employment or education. The desission to get the vaccine should be one that its personal and private because an arbitrary decision from a governing body cannot possibly take into account the finer details of the decision. People have the personal responsibility for their own health and no one, including this university, should take that right away. I myself believe that everyone should get the vaccine but it is not my place, nor anyone elses, to push that upon anyone else who may disagree.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I strongly disagree with the mandate for all students and faculty to be required to be vaccinated. I think it is unfair to pressure people into getting a vaccine because they are pursuing their education especially when research is still coming out about the safety and efficacy of such vaccines. I don't think it is an institutions place to determine such choices for people.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I don't think we should be required to get the vaccine. That feels like discrimination. I as a student work hard and pay for my school and telling me I need a vaccine to attend feels like discrimination and I don't feel like discriminating othes on health choices is the Lobo way. To make people get vaccinations is not legal just so they can attend school and learn.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	That is absolutely ridiculous, I should not be forced to be vaccinated just so I can go to school in person again. What happened to "my body my choice" or does that not apply here for some reason? Please do not make this a real thing, I want to go back to school in person, and to force people to have to get a vaccination is unfair, and quite frankly unethical.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I strongly disagree with implementation of this proposed vaccine policy. With the information provided, newly updated, and currently available from UNM, CDC, and Department of Health for students and those to whom this would apply, they are informed and capable take decisive action which is in their best interest.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I absolutely will not be receiving the Covid-19 vaccine under any circumstances, and I will not be forced to. Here at the University of New Mexico, we students are educated and mature adults who can make decisions for themselves and do not need to be forced to do anything. Students who are afraid of the virus can choose to stay home, I do not support or think that the University has the right to take away the other student's learning experiences who are happy to return, simply without a vaccine. Should the University chose to implement this infringing policy, I may have to start considering another school willing to support me on my academic journey, and the decisions that I make for myself. I hope this comment is met with open mindedness, and consideration for appeal to this proposed policy [REDACTED] The University of New Mexico
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I feel this is unfair as some of us prefer not to be vaccinated for personal reasons that are not included in your draft. It may not be for medical, or religious, it is a personal preference - "such as my body , my choice." You're trying to mandate this in order to be able to attend in person classes. I feel it goes against all ethical issues

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I don't think it is wise to require everyone to get a vaccine that requires that recipient to sign a paper giving consent to a medical trial. If the vaccine was fully tested and not still in the trail phase, I think having everyone getting the vaccine would be reasonable. However, with how thing stand right now not knowing the long term effects of all of the vaccines, I believe it would be better to let people decide based on their own situation and weigh the risks themselves.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	I feel like we should all have an option to get the vaccine and it is not fair to the students trying to get an education that they be under these laws. Even though it hasn't been approved yet its my right as an individual to choose something for my health. I want to continue school and it's so hard when there are terms placed on us like this one.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	Any individual that does not get a vaccine will at the very most, only increase the potential that they, and others who have opted to not be vaccinated, could get covid-19 in other words, each and every individual that DOES NOT want to get covid-19 will have had the opportunity to become vaccinated, thereby eliminating their chances of being infected. As such, implementing the proposed vaccination policy will only be infringing on those that do not wish to be vaccinated, while providing no benefit to those who do not wish to be infected.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	It is disgusting for force students to get a vaccine. It should be recommended or heavily recommended but not required for students or faculty to get a vaccine. The time line for students to get the vaccine is not realistic and causes stress and anxiety for students to be pressured to get a vaccine or they can't participate in any on campus activities. This is harmful to mental health as it is a big deal for some students to put foreign substance in their bodies, especially for religious reasons. If it is still too soon to fully open, then a hybrid in-class and online option should be provided. If students are paying thousands they should be able to attend class if in-person is offered. The vaccine is not a 100% guarantee from the virus and should not be forced on students. Reduce tuition for those that are not able to attend class in person.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	Please do not take away our constitutional rights and force us to get a vaccine that is still in the testing phases!! Our body, our choice!! Let us return and just like the flu, let us decide whether we want the vaccine. My whole family already had covid and we had mild symptoms.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	As a student I see the requirement of returning to campus vaccinated by this fall as a type of discrimination. This vaccine has not been FDA approved and even if it was it does not guarantee complete immunity from COVID-19. College is a service I pay and work for. The university is not paying me to go to school, I am paying the university through scholarships, awarded to me due to good grades and work ethic, my own money and government funded aid. When we went online due to the pandemic we still had to pay full tuition even though we were not receiving the same types of services provided by the university, such as, hands on learning, face to face teaching, interaction with professors, access to facilities that is included in our tuition, and much more. This did take a toll on my learning and education, however, I have learned to adapt to the changes. Therefore, the university should offer an online learning option for all students who do not feel safe getting the vaccine. We have been having online platforms for all classes during this time and paying full tuition, why not offer that option to those who do not feel comfortable getting vaccinated? If the university does require mandatory vaccinations without FDA approval and promise of full immunity from the virus, then it will be seen as discrimination in my eyes and I suspect there will be peaceful protest in response.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about Choice	UNM should not require students to get the vaccine to attend. It is people's choice whether or not to get it, just like it isn't for other vaccines. Yes, I got the vaccine myself, but that was my personal decision for me. UNM should not and cannot force students to do that.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Privacy	I don't believe that it's fair to impose a required vaccination mandate for students attending classes in the fall. If I am accepted and attending school and paying my dues I don't believe that it is fair for the school to require something that doesn't benefit the school in the long run. Other colleges and universities across the United States are not requiring this- so to be a strict outlier will only push people and students away. Along with their tuition payments. This is a violation of my constitutional rights, HIPAA privacy laws (as I am not legally required to show you proof if I have received it or not) and my rights as an American Citizen. Please reconsider and try to remain open minded for all people and try not to serve only one mentality. Thank you for your time.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	Requiring vaccinations that we don't know longterm health effects for seems irresponsible. Getting a vaccine should be a person's own personal choice and should not affect whether or not they get an education or can use the university's resources. (This is coming from someone who got both doses of the COVID vaccine!)

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	There is no point in requiring students, the far and away vast majority of whom are at no risk for COVID anyway, to get an experimental treatment that should be considered gene therapy rather than a standard vaccine. Considering long-term effects are completely unknown of any kind of mRNA injection, much less this particular one that hasn't even been around for a year, the school is endangering far more students than the few who are at risk. Further, how would this policy work if (as we seem to be heading in this direction) COVID shots require regular boosters? Nobody requires flu shots every year to be back on campus on in an office.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	I think if the vaccine gets approval beyond the FDA's emergency use, it will be okay to require the vaccine. Otherwise, I don't think it's fair to require it. Also, what are the school's current requirements for other vaccines? I'm not aware of any. I know it's unprecedented times and all, but to have not cared about vaccine status for other diseases before makes it more difficult to justify requiring a vaccine now. I definitely prefer that everyone's vaccinated, as I'm vaccinated myself, it's just a difficult issue.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Safety	I highly urge you to reconsider entering into mandatory vaccines until the FDA approves the Vaccine. I am a health care worker, who is allergic to the main ingredient - polyethylene glycol, or commonly known as anti-freeze. I also weigh 115 lbs, and the vaccine is made for a 250lb person. Like the Department of Health nurse told me when my work was pushing me to get the vaccine, our Governor or anyone else, cannot legally force employees or anyone else to get the vaccine. None of us know what the vaccine will do to the health of our population in three years and you may literally be killing your staff and students by a mandate such as this. I'm quite sure a mandate is illegal and will bring lawsuits and quite possibly many sick people. The basis of the Moderna and Pfizer vaccines is to trick the immune system. Now as a healer, who works with integrative health, this sounds very dangerous to me. Our immune systems are what fight off disease, so why on Earth would we want to trick what allows us to be in health? I have had many clients who have become very ill from the vaccine and this is just the beginning, with the boosters and more and more - how much poison can a body stand is the question and every individual is different. I also have had covid and my experience was much milder than any flu I've had, which is many. If you can guarantee the vaccine will not take the life of anyone you force to get it - including making financial reparations, than okay - but until all are FDA approved, this policy is illegal and out of line. I love and support our Governor, with exception to her telling her constituents that the vaccine is safe - she has no idea, nor the training to know the level of safety. If it were safe, the FDA would approve it and the pharmaceutical companies would be held accountable for any wrongful deaths - which they are not being currently. Once again, I beg you to reconsider your stance on implementing this policy, due to the illegality of it and taking away our freedom of choice. Maybe we should really be looking at our lifestyles and how we care for our bodies, rather than a false fix. Sincerely, [REDACTED]

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about Process	I believe it is unfair to require the COVID vaccination to go on campus. You don't require students to get the flu vaccine every flu season so why require the COVID vaccination? According the the New York Times in New Mexico we had 199,054 total cases and only 4,091 deaths (May 7th 2021). That's a 2% mortality rate and a 98% recovery rate. You shouldn't have the power to force students and staff to get vaccinated to go back to campus when the threat truly isn't there. Also if the governor wants to open New Mexico up and lift restrictions once the population reaches 60% vaccinated, then you shouldn't be able to further impose any sort of restriction. Wearing a mask is one thing but forcing a population to vaccinate themselves on a non FDA approved vaccine seems cruel at best. https://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2021/us/new-mexico-covid-cases.htmlhttps://www.google.com/amp/s/www.krqe.com/health/coronavirus-new-mexico/new-mexico-officials-to-provide-covid-19-update-2/amp/https://www.fda.gov/emergency-preparedness-and-response/coronavirus-disease-2019-covid-19/janssen-covid-19-vaccinehttps://www.pfizer.com/science/coronavirus/vaccine/rapid-progresshttps://www.modernatx.com/covid19vaccine-eua/
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about PrivacyImpact to	There are so many reasons why this should NOT happen. Each human being should have the right to make their own health decisions without coercion or force. It's really as simple as that. All consenting and informed adults should have the choice. I am a sovereign being, and I should get to decide what does or does not get put into my body. Whatever I choose is my personal decision and it should be recognized and respected as such. When we give up our medical freedom and our privacy, we are giving up the right to make educated decisions in our best interest. UNM, I love and respect you but this is a terrible idea. The vaccines being administered right now are experimental. Moderna has never ever had an FDA approved product. We do not know long term effects and those companies are not liable if anything goes wrong. Additionally, the vaccines were not tested on pregnant or lactating women (it's in the reports), so you would be putting many students and their children at risk.If it's about the money for you, take a moment to think about how your enrollment will decrease significantly. There is also a great legal risk in requiring vaccines, and many colleges and universities have been sued already as a result of their mandate. Please do not take away our medical freedom, our privacy, or our right to make our own health decisions.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about PrivacyConcerns	With the increasing complications seen with the available vaccinations, I think it unwise for a university to require such sweeping regulations for their students. Johnson and Johnson is evidence that the available vaccines, while very much useful, are not infallible and therefore the school should not require them. Unless the school is willing to provide reassurance or financial compensation for any adverse effects it is not reasonable to force students to take the vaccine. And yes, it is forcing. This is not as much a choice as many might think. For students who have already spent tens of thousands of dollars for an education, online courses have proven to lessen our learning ability and increased the strain mentally and emotionally already present from being away from family and friends. Also, transferring is difficult in the current school climate and a student who is, in all other cases, happy with the university experience should not have to weigh whether to give up their education or their safety. This decree also comes at a weird time. Why not require it sooner when the issue is still present? Why require it as it is going away? Were masks and social distancing not proven effective by the last year and a half of schooling? And if not effective how come we were required to do it? I am all for promoting vaccination and requesting that students get it. However, the university is toeing a fine line when it comes to requiring it. I think more effort should be put into finding a work around that doesn't require individuals to divulge personal information after already being placed into a legally binding agreement with a corporation. I am eager for your reply

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about PrivacyConcerns	I understand that we are in a global pandemic, but this proposed plan is ridiculous. First, if I understand this correctly, this policy would enforce everyone to get a vaccine but would not change any of the preceding safety standards? By these guidelines, some classes already won't be able to attend in person anyways. This semester, I had multiple classes that wanted to be in person. Due to the social distancing of the classroom, there were not enough seats for the students. The social distancing of the classroom will make it impossible for classes larger than 10 to attend in person. Unless every class is held in an enormous lecture hall. If the entire class is vaccinated, why would there be a need for these safety precautions? Secondly, how does the school plan on keeping students information HIPPA compliant when we will have to where a signal that we are vaccinated? Requiring those vaccinated to where a badge, sticker, pin, or other signage seems that does the actual opposite. I say again, I understand that we are in a global pandemic and you are doing your best to keep the community safe. That does not mean that the best way to keep us safe is to implement these tyrannical policies. If we are not supposed to ask other students if they are vaccinated, then why make us where signage to notify everyone around us of that answer? This will lead to a new form of discrimination that has yet to be seen. And I fear that this discrimination will be tolerated and enforced in order to obtain "safety" for everyone. If I have a class that does not have an online option, then I am either forced to not take that class or forced to get the vaccine against my will. It is not the place of a public university to implement and enforce such rules governing what the students do with their own body. A global pandemic does not excuse logic nor the rights of a human being.
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about PrivacyConcerns	While requiring other vaccines may be legal, this vaccine has only been approved by the FDA under an emergency situation. Having those who have received the vaccine wear buttons or stickers, while the University says this would be optional, would be a violation of HIPAA's privacy rule that protects "all individually identifiable health information" especially if the university hands out stickers or in any way tries to encourage people to wear them. It is unethical to make medical decisions for other people. It is my body, and my choice. My medical decisions should be my own, and private. If UNM puts this policy into effect, I will be disenrolling and looking into my legal options.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Opposed to Policy	Concerns about ChoiceConcerns about PrivacyConcerns	I respectfully request that no such policy be implemented. A mandatory vaccination that may pose undue risks is completely unnecessary and unacceptable. People should be allowed to choose their own level of risk and weigh the risks of different choices. Some vaccines have been known to make people sterile, others have opened people up to autoimmune deficiencies, and still others may make people more susceptible to disease or other side effects instead of protecting them. Additionally, vaccines rarely protect people from mutant variations and in some cases may even cause mutations as the viruses try to find a way around the vaccine. The Covid vaccines have not been around long enough to know all of the risks involved. There are also many other ways of building one's immune system. Some people choose to be vaccinated instead and that is their right. But those who prefer to build their immune systems using natural, reliable and time tested methods should be allowed to continue to do so. Such a policy of would be discriminatory against those who are already immune to this Coronavirus by other means.Additionally, given the current level of fear and ostracization towards those who do not choose to be vaccinated for coronavirus, I believe that one's Covid vaccination status should be considered Protected Health Information and as such, not allowed to be shared without someone's express permission under HIPAA laws.For all of these reasons and more, I am strongly against a mandatory vaccine policy.
Student	Question	Health Accommodation;	Good Afternoon,What will the policy be for students who are unable to receive the Covid-19 vaccine due to a pre-existing health condition that would be triggered by the vaccine?Will students be allowed to attend class in-person or will there be requirements to take courses online only?Regards, [REDACTED]
Student	Question	General Complaint	Would mask wearing still be required if everyone was fully vaccinated?
Student	Question	General Complaint	What if we are not vaccinated, will we still be able to attend in person?

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Question	General Complaint;	Approaching my last semester at UNM after the pandemic is difficult and I have elected to take my classes online. I have to take one hybrid class and that concerns me. How is the University going to ensure that COVID safe practices are being upheld in classrooms that are constantly being used for multiple different classes. The perfect example of this would be the Language Learning Center. These classrooms aren't large and multiple different language classes in them, each day. How are cleaning and sanitizing/ social distancing going to be upheld in these situations? How can students contact trace if you are in constant contact with others? Additionally, I suggest that for classes offering hybridity, to also allow the option for full online. If a class has the capacity to be online at least half the time, students should be able to chose to stay home 100% of the time in the name of personal safety, while not missing course content. This is a large concern, as the only course offered to complete my second degree is hybrid. I, as a student, do not feel comfortable coming in, even one day a week if the concerns enumerated in the above paragraphs aren't addressed.
Student	Question	General Complaint	Is this a proposal for a policy, or does this policy actually exist? What I mean is does this policy have to pass through legislature, or is it already in place?
Student	Question	Health Accommodation; Religious Accommodation;	What would qualify as an exemption from getting the COVID vaccine?
Student	Question	Impact to Enrollment	Will there be options available for those who would like to finish their degree but are not vaccinated? I am a few classes away from completing my MBA. The remaining classes I have left are only offered in person. What will my options be to complete this if vaccination is required?
Student	Question		Will the University supply antibody tests as an alternative to three various vaccines? How often will boosters be required? Will this new policy start to include other previously voluntary vaccines? Will boosters need to be updated every time there is a newly discovered variant? Will the vaccine producers continue to be exempt from restitution in the (currently rare/uncommon) event of adverse reactions?
Student	Question	Impact to Enrollment	I will be coming to UNM as an international student in August on an exchange programme. I hope to be fully vaccinated by then, but this is not certain. Will I be treated differently if I am not able to get the vaccine by this time? It would be very upsetting if I missed out on welcome events, meeting new friends and in-person classes due to this policy.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Question	Concerns about Safety;	Will you take into consideration that none of the COVID-19 vaccines have received approval from the FDA and still are under the designation of emergency use authorization in your decision?
Student	Question		I am an incoming freshman, currently live abroad. I am planning to come to the main campus by Aug 14, 2021. I have received AstraZenca-Oxford vaccine which is not approved by the CDC yet. What should I do?
Student	Question	Support Policy	If UNM students and faculty reach a 60% or more vaccination rate, will that satisfy this proposed policy plan?
Student	Question	Concerns about Process;	I'm a new international student for Master's at UNM (Fall 2021) and I have an important question regarding Covid-19 vaccination. Is it mandatory for me to be vaccinated before attending UNM? In my country, vaccinations are given only after 3 months after recovery from Covid. I have recovered from Covid in the first week of May and I could not get vaccinated in my country as per the rules.
Student	Question	Concerns about Choice	Will there still be the option to take the classes completely virtually or is everyone who doesn't want to get a vaccine just not allowed to take classes? What is an example of a reasonable accommodation and who gets to decide if it is reasonable?
Student	Question	Concerns about Choice	I have a few questions regarding the vaccine mandate that UNM wants to implement for the up-coming Fall 2021 semester:1) Since I've had COVID-19 at the beginning of this year, not as bad as a lot of people have been making it, since my body has produced anti-bodies, why should I have to take the vaccine? Shouldn't my anti-bodies be enough? If the answer is no, why isn't my anti-bodies enough? It would be the same as if I got the vaccine, correct? 2) If you are vaccinated, are you still going to require students, faculty, and staff to wear a mask? If the answer is yes, then what is the purpose of getting the vaccine if you still have to continue to wear your mask? Since the CDC supposedly says that masks protects everyone from the virus, the vaccine shouldn't be necessary, right? Basically, that's a double standard. I just feel like that this mandate is stripping my rights and freedoms away as a student as well as a citizen. I should be able to make the choice if I want to get the vaccine or not rather than someone forcing me to take it. Thanks for your time!
Student	Question	Concerns about Choice;	If vaccination becomes a requirement for in person learning at UNM, will you be offering online curriculum in pursuit of degree programs to support a student's individual and personal choice of whether or not to receive the vaccine?

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Question	Concerns about Enforcement	Am I reading the draft correctly? The draft states this policy cannot go into effect until the FDA has approved one of the COVID-19 vaccinations for regular use. My question exactly- If Pfizer, Moderna, or Johnson & Johnson transition from "emergency use" to having the FDA's full approval, that is when the policy will go into effect? What happens to the students if they do not show proof of vaccination? Will they be expelled from the program? Will they receive reimbursement for tuition paid? Will they be allowed time to begin the process of getting vaccinated, if appropriate?
Student	Question	Concerns about Enforcement Concerns about Process	(1) How will UNM account for those that have acquired natural immunity to COVID-19?(2) Seeing, as of now, that the vaccination only affords 2 to 3 months of protection, how many times a year would UNM expect students and faculty to receive the vaccine?(3) Regarding question (2) above, how are students and faculty supposed to keep up with lectures and school work every time they get sick as a result of the vaccination?(4) How would constant/weekly Covid-19 tests even be helpful, considering the high false positive rates these tests have?(5) Would this requirement only take effect if the vaccine is FDA approved (which technically should take YEARS to do) and not under EUA status as it is now to allow individuals to choose whether or not they want to be vaccinated?(6) Is it even legal for a state institution/university that receives state funds to require vaccinations in order for individuals to attend?
Student	Question	Concerns about Enforcement;	I am an incoming math PhD student with a teaching assistantship, so I will have to arrive by 11th August. As I will be flying in from the uk (Northern Ireland) I will not be expecting my first vaccination until late July or early August. This is because the NHS is deploying vaccinations to the most vulnerable groups first and as I am under 30 years old I will not expect to receive mine until this time. Thus I may or may not have my first vaccine by the time of travelling to ABQ and I believe as a TA my unum health insurance holds retroactively to 17th August. What can I do to get fully vaccinated by the proposed 2nd August?
Student	Question	Concerns about Process	Will we have to wear masks even though everybody will be vaccinated and protected?
Student	Question	Concerns about Process	I currently live abroad, and I'm planning to come on campus this Fall 2021. I have received the AstraZeneca-oxford vaccine which isn't approved by the CDC yet. What should I do?
Student	Question	Concerns about Process	I take online classes, but if I wanted to attend my graduation after I finished in fall 2021 would so need to be vaccinated?

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Question	Concerns about Enforcement	Feedback: I am confused on the verbiage. Many of the FAQ's state 'will be asked to participate in the program'. Yet under "How would enforcement work for failure to participate in the program" has very finite language, stating "those who fail to participate would be barred from in person access and may experience consequences as a result". Yes, these are trying times and I completely understand the consideration for proof of vaccination. However, the language needs to be consistent and not threatening. Many are still unsure of the safety of the vaccines and 'forcing' someone to have it in order to avoid 'consequences' is not an appropriate nor effective approach. Questions: Will those who choose not to participate have access to online instruction? How does the University plan on enforcing this policy with the general public?
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	Please enact this policy. I do not have a problem with any of it. I am a PhD student and I would feel much much safer teaching in person classes and returning to my office and lab in the fall if this policy was in place. Thank you for soliciting feedback.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I want to thank UNM and its leadership for developing this policy. I think the proposed policy follows accepted scientific data, research, best practices, and seeks to protect the community - all of us! Your policy still provides some leeway for those within our community who cannot or choose not to participate in vaccination. Currently, there is no evidence to suggest that being vaccinated leads to any kind of detrimental or long-term side effects and I applaud you for standing strong during this public health crisis. We need to take care of each other and this proposed policy does just that. On a side note...I personally am not concerned or fearful of the virus. Regardless, getting vaccinated and following the public health orders are essential to our well-being as a society. I cannot in good conscience put my own individual needs, desires, and beliefs ahead of anyone else's. Plus, quite honestly, the science is clear as of now. Continue in this vein. I applaud your leadership and fairness.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I fully support mandating COVID-19 vaccination to attend and teach and work at UNM. We need to protect all Lobos!
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I think a mandator vaccine policy would be amazing and would make me feel much more protected and safe while attending in-person classes. Vaccines have been mandatory to attend school before so what makes the covid vaccine any different. I support 100% thank you!
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I wholeheartedly agree with this policy. Vaccination is the only way to ensure everyone's safety, and we should not let conspiracy theories overtake common sense.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I think the proposed plan is great! I have felt a lot of anxiety about everything returning back to normal due to a fear of people lying about their vaccination status or refusing to wear masks. I am in full support of UNM requiring the vaccine in order to use in-person facilities, this will not only keep everyone safe but it will also make me feel more comfortable in class.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I think everyone who will be on campus should be fully vaccinated (if medically/religiously able) and should have to provide proof of vaccination.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	Please make vaccinations mandatory so we can all feel safe at school
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I appreciate and support the policy.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I am in full support of a mandatory COVID19 vaccination policy for UNM students and staff. I believe that this policy will serve to protect not only the UNM community, but also the Albuquerque community. As New Mexico's flagship university, I believe it is UNM's responsibility to set a good example for our community and the state of New Mexico. We can do this by placing a developed understanding of science and public health at the forefront of a mandatory vaccination policy, as this draft policy does. A mandatory vaccination policy will help life at UNM return to normal as quickly as possible, and I'm looking forward to that as much as the next person!
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	This is absolutely necessary and I believe UNM should implement this policy.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I would feel much safer to be a student and work as a GA/TA if vaccinations were mandatory. I have spent the last 3 years working in Manzanita Counseling Center and our clients are often of vulnerable populations, such as houseless, elderly, disabled folks, as well as children. Mandating vaccination for on-campus students, staff, and faculty would help ensure our counseling clients are safe on campus.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I think it is good. People have their opinions, but if they want to return to campus that is what they will have to do. Enough people have died and got sick from this pandemic. UNM is doing what it has to do to keep students, faculty and, employees safe.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I believe that being fully vaccinated should be required for those who want to attend on campus classes. In order for students and staff to be as safe as they can be, the vaccination is the best option. Classes are too big in quantity to be adequately “social distancing” in rooms that aren’t big enough. If you choose to not get the vaccine, there are online class options.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I have no problem requiring all students and faculty be vaccinated in order to return to in-person learning in the fall. It really shouldn't even be a question.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	Requiring a “COVID passport” is in the best interest for our campus and is in line with the university’s mission. Students are meant to learn about civic duty, cooperation, and community all while understanding research and scientific methods. Excuses for not to getting the free, widely available vaccine are few and far between.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	The University of New Mexico should ABSOLUTELY REQUIRE* vaccinations for anyone coming onto any UNM campus.*Except documented medical exemptions
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I believe UNM, is making the right step towards creating and enforcing vaccine policy for the well-being of its staff and students attending UNM. I hope that the enforcement and creation of this policy will create a closer in-person learning environment and a safe atmosphere for all who visit the campus regularly and or visiting. My only concern is addressing the demand of receiving a vaccine if there would be one on-campus inculcation to make it convenient and comfortable for all. Thank you. [REDACTED]

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I agree that UNM should require a student to be FULLY vaccinated before returning to campus and in-person classes. My reasoning behind this is that we cannot limit the individual to what they do in their personal life such as eating indoors at restaurants, going to entertainment places, etc where they could potentially catch COVID. If they're vaccinated, they have a greater chance at fighting it than spreading it. As someone who takes this pandemic seriously, I would want the people i am around indoors for hours at a time to be vaccinated. I go home to my parents, my grandparents, and my siblings who I do not want to give the virus to. Another reason I would want the vaccine required is due to the struggles of online learning. These past 3 semesters have been chaotic and stressful. Learning hasn't been the best and most times, our questions do not get answered. We have a chance of returning to in person classes where we will be able to get the quality education we are paying for. I do not understand why UNM would jeopardize moving forward and returning to normal. Lastly, UNM has the obligation to keep students, faculty, and staff safe on the campus. Not requiring a vaccine where a deadly virus could spread around campus does not sound like a safe environment.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I am so glad you are requiring that in-person personnel be fully vaccinated for COVID-19. Thank you for caring about the UNM community's health and safety.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I strongly support the proposed vaccine policy. As someone who graduated from UNM with their 4-year degree and as a current master's program student I would feel far safer and more comfortable being on campus for classes and to access resources if I knew that the majority of people around me are also vaccinated.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	Vaccine should be mandatory for in class. This will give classes less likelihood of having an outbreak. I would feel much safer.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I think this policy is really important to implement. This policy would set an example in Albuquerque and protect the current residents. People around the country come UNM- and as far as I am aware New Mexico is opening up more and more because we have been taking COVID safety seriously. The return to face to face classes will attract out of state students and potentially spread the virus. Also, there is a science to the vaccine and those seeking a higher education should know that.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I like the proposal. Classes should have hybrid options for those who refuse the vaccine, but they shouldn't be allowed in to class. If you were to allow anyone into class, that forces the responsible students to choose between removing themselves from the learning environment (punishment for being responsible) or to suck it up and put themselves in danger around unvaccinated ppl.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	As a graduate student at UNM, I fully support the proposed plan for my personal safety and the safety of my peers and colleagues. I must work in several labs on campus in order to conduct my research and complete my degree. I will also be teaching an in-person course this fall. I do not feel comfortable interacting with peers or students that are not fully vaccinated. I know this pandemic and vaccine have been heavily politicized. I am proud UNM is considering this policy, as it is the only way to ensure the safety of all students, staff, and faculty.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I think this is a great policy to help stop the spread and a safer way to return to face to face classes. However my concern is that a percentage of people that visit UNM are non students/staff will people be required to be vaccinated if visiting UNM campus and cafeteria?
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I am very strongly in favor of this policy.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	Hello,I am a Ph.D. student in the Biology department at UNM, and I am in full support of the UNM Proposed COVID vaccine requirement. As a graduate student, I conduct my research on campus and will be taking in-person classes this fall. I also travel for fieldwork, and volunteer with the UNM-affiliated Bosque Ecosystem Monitoring Program. Requiring the vaccine for students, staff, and faculty is the most effective way to ensure my own safety as well as the safety of those I interact with in these different settings.I am proud that UNM has been and continues to prioritize the safety of its students and employees. Thank you for the opportunity to submit feedback, [REDACTED]
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	As a student I am 100% behind the suggested policy. It provides significant peace of mind to know that my fellow students, staff, and faculty will be safe and not contributing to a public health risk. I thank you for your efforts to keep our pack safe and sound!
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	Having a mandatory vaccine is a great idea. It will help to keep faculty and other students safe, and to ensure that we reduce the spread as much as possible.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I approve and support the measures to ensure that every person on campus is vaccinated. However, additional language may be considered to include what constitutes "vaccinated" status, as most COVID-19 vaccines require more than one dose to be effective and might also require booster shots. Thank you for the efforts in this policy.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I fully believe that everyone should be vaccinated IF they want to be in person. They have the option of being online so if they don't care enough to stop the spread then they should stay at home. The vaccine is the only thing, right now, that is helping slow down Covid and would be very beneficial for in person. Honestly a lot of students don't feel comfortable for the school to open up without everyone being vaccinated, and I agree.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I think the vaccine should definitely be required to return to campus.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I would feel much safer returning to campus with this policy in place. If vaccines weren't mandatory I might reevaluate my choice to take in-person classes in the fall and instead take only online classes in order to protect an immunocompromised family member. I am anxious about the large number of people who seem to be refusing vaccines, which would make me very hesitant to attend classes if they weren't required. I approve of the proposed vaccine policy.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I absolutely think UNM should institute a vaccine mandate. I would not feel comfortable returning to in-person instruction, particularly as a graduate instructor myself, without the assurance that my peers and students were protected against the virus.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I would feel more comfortable being a teaching assistant this Fall if I could assume that my students were vaccinated.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	Please make the vaccine mandatory.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	Thank you so much for putting this policy into place. There are already many required vaccines to attend universities and work at medical facilities so I am tired of people complaining about this nonsense. I appreciate your care for our well being and I feel so much safer going back to classes if the vaccine is required. Thank you again.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I would think that it's a great idea for all students to be vaccinated so we could all be back to normal and all safe in the process. I'm not very worried either way but I think it would be a great idea
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I am in full support of the vaccination requirement. As a student, I should feel comfortable going to classes without having to worry about contracting COVID from someone who chose not to get vaccinated. I decided to get vaccinated because I don't want to be a vector transmitting the virus to others. With a vaccinated population, these chances are less likely, and I would feel much more comfortable attending in-person classes and conducting research.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I really hope this gets implemented and passed. I would feel safer, on-campus knowing everyone requires a vaccine, and also student life will be more fun without a mask on!
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I believe it is a great idea to require COVID-19 vaccines for on-campus attendance
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	Yes! I absolutely love this. This will help us engage on campus while staying safe and not transmitting the virus (recent research is showing vaccinated folks don't shed the spiked protein and therefore do not transmit the virus!) I am happy y'all are considering this, as it makes me feel safer to come back in person on campus. Science doesn't lie <3
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I support mandatory vaccinations.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	Please please please require everyone to be vaccinated in order to attend UNM. This will help make sure more people in general are vaccinated which is very important, and will also help protect people who are too immune compromised to get the vaccine themselves. I understand people will be upset about this, but it really comes down to the safety of the community. UNM has a great opportunity to help save more lives by requiring the vaccine.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	Please please please require everyone to be vaccinated in order to attend UNM. This will help make sure more people in general are vaccinated which is very important, and will also help protect people who are too immune compromised to get the vaccine themselves. I understand people will be upset about this, but it really comes down to the safety of the community. UNM has a great opportunity to help save more lives by requiring the vaccine.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	As a Registered Nurse and Grad student apart of the MSN Program I would recommend that it be required for others to recieve their vaccinations to attend class. Everyone being vaccinated keeps our community safe, and boost vaccination records for the state that will only help us to increase our states ability to open up. Thank you for being transparent about your decision making process and giving students a voice about Campus policy.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I think that making the vaccine mandatory for the UNM campus is a great idea that will not only keep staff, faculty, and students safe, but will also allow for a more comfortable mask-free learning environment.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I think making the COVID vaccine mandatory is the best health measure the university can take to make sure everyone is safe and not in risk of getting the virus from another person. I also think the policy for mask wearing on campus facilities and buildings should be added to the proposed policy. If it will be optional, it would be good to add it as well to the draft. We are a big university with a big student, faculty, and staff population and if the vaccine is not required I would be scared to return to campus full time in person as I have various vulnerable family members. So, I totally agree with the measures and the whole policy. I really like that even though is a risk, the university is still respecting other people's religion or practice. Although I would prefer for the vaccine to be required for everyone, I do admire this given respect to people of certain religions or backgrounds to be given an accommodation. However, I would explain what is a reasonable accommodation that will be granted. Thank you!
Student	Supportive of Policy	Concerns about Enforcement;Concerns about Process;Support	I support this policy.I would like to know how individuals will prove that they have been vaccinated. Will UNM accept purchased(fake) vaccine cards or will there be other methods of verification?Visitors should be required to show proof of vaccination, also. If the government lifts the mask mandate for vaccinated individuals, I also think it would feel nice to allow vaccinated students to return to school unmasked. :)
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I completely support this plan. I want school to go back to in person as much as possible, but frankly I would not be comfortable without a vaccine requirement. I want to know that I will not be sent home part way through the semester. I have been vaccinated for quite awhile, and with the new mask policy I am seeing just how many people are not vaccinated but walk around without masks. The amount of people I see without masks does not match up to the data. I do not want to be on a college campus that has that kind of environment, and I fear that without a vaccine policy this will be the case.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	Great idea for a requirement. Lets not let idiots who are stubborn and ignorant set back our progress. We need everyone in this together

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I believe that a requirement for vaccinations among all students, faculty, and staff is the best method for controlling the spread of the virus. Vaccinations come at no cost to the individual and vaccination sites are very common. I can understand if there are exceptions that could be applied for. This would also make me feel much safer at campus, since masks do not protect the wearer, only people around the wearer.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I think this draft is perfect and makes me feel completely safe returning to campus considering they go through with the proposed plan.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I think requiring the vaccine is great. I personally feel like the state should mandate everyone get vaccinated so I support this.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I support a mandatory vaccine for anyone who is in-person on campus, whether attending classes, working and/or living there. I think our community has suffered enough from Covid-19 and having non-vaccinated people allowed to congregate indoors is a terrible idea that will lead to more suffering. I personally do not want to attend in-person classes with unvaccinated people.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I think this is a great idea, and that barring religious, health, or other real and justified exemptions, everyone who is on campus regularly should be required to have their vaccination for covid. This just seems like the only logical thing that anywhere, but even more so a place of learning, could do to help keep all of us ahead of covid.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	A vaccine requirement policy makes sense and will encourage hesitant students to make the right decision. NM has sufficient vaccine supply to meet demand. The next step is for public institutions to promote public health with sensible measures. Many colleges in Colorado have already announced a mandatory vaccination policy, something which is overwhelmingly common on the East Coast and in California. New Mexico should not be slow in delivering a strong and effective message about vaccination. UNM must implement a vaccine requirement.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	Requiring UNM students and staff be vaccinated before returning to campus would greatly reduce my anxieties about attending in-person classes.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I think it is a great idea to only have those that are vaccinated come to campus. By next fall, it seems like most of the students will be fully vaccinated anyway unless they are antivaxx. Most of my classmates and I are either fully vaccinated or have at least one dose, so it seems like people are getting vaccinated at a fairly good rate. This is a matter of safety not politics. It would be much safer for everyone if only those vaccinated were able to use UNM facilities in any capacity. Go lobos!
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	Please require the vaccine, I would only feel comfortable returning to campus if I had reassurance that everyone was vaccinated.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	This is the right thing to do! Thank you.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I fully support requiring UNM community members to be fully vaccinated. This would be a responsible path forward that would benefit the university community from both educational and public health perspectives [REDACTED]
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	Please make the covid vaccine a requirement.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I wholeheartedly support the proposed vaccine policy.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	Overall, I am really happy to see these are the steps being taken by UNM! It's important to create a healthy space and I think this policy also does a good job of not pointing fingers or making any moral/political statements about the vaccine that could dissuade someone from getting the vaccine. However, in regards to those who cannot get the vaccine for any valid reason, I think it would have been better to offer a wider variety of online or hybrid courses. I felt like we suddenly had almost no options, which kind of forces people to return to campus even if they are not comfortable with that yet, either because they are high risk or live with people who are or any other reason. Since the vaccine is not 100% guaranteed to provide safety, especially to those with severe underlying health conditions, I feel like we should have been presented with more online or hybrid options. One other thing is that I feel like people who cannot get vaccinated/who seek accommodations should have to wear a mask on campus, because I know I want to minimize contact and closeness with those who cannot be vaccinated just because I live with two high risk, older individuals, and I want to prevent as much exposure for them as possible. But! Overall, I think this is great, and I am really proud to be a Lobo and to be part of this community. I feel as though the UNM community is predominantly made of compassionate, empathetic, and courteous people, and I am honored to be part of that group especially during these times. Thank you for potentially implementing this and for reaching out for community feedback!
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	Absolutely, it should be required that students, faculty, staff, and everyone else should be vaccinated before 100% in-person activities resume

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I think that anyone who will be on UNM premises need to have proof of receiving BOTH doses of as vaccine, and due to the political nature of everything regarding the pandemic I think that there needs to be a very thorough process for those wishing to obtain an exemption from getting the vaccine, so that way people who have politicized the pandemic and are now refusing to get the vaccine don't risk exposing the community, because it is likely that those people are going to be the ones who are most dangerous. I appreciate the dedication to keeping everyone safe but it is imperative that everything is followed through with and no one is allowed to pose a threat to the entire UNM community because they want to act selfishly and not get fully vaccinated.I think it is also important that people get FULLY vaccinated and those who are not fully vaccinated are treated the same way as those who are not vaccinated, because they are actively posing a threat to the UNM community and also run the risk of causing the virus to mutate.Also, just as an aside, I am not too happy with the jump to go from nearly entirely remote to entirely online. I personally am not the most comfortable with being in only in-person classes in the fall despite having both of my vaccines, but none of the classes I needed to take had any online options. or even hybrid options. I think this is jumping the gun a bit and could result in exposing a lot of people to the virus, especially if vaccinations are not as enforced as the proposed requirement is making it sound.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I am writing to voice my support for a vaccine requirement for return to classes in the fall semester. It is simply the best way to ensure that UNM's campus continues to be a safe place to learn, live, play and work while also increasing the abilities for staff, faculty and students to resume face-to-face meetings, classes, labs and office hours. It's been clear both anecdotally and through data that online-learning, though a blessing in many ways, has also been a hindrance to obtaining a good education. Ensuring that folks all feel safe on campus will require this policy to be enacted.Thank you for your consideration of my comment, 
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I think this is a really good idea. I know I would feel a lot safer coming to campus if I knew that everyone was vaccinated, and it would be one less thing to worry about so I could focus on my school work.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I would just like to send feedback in support for mandating being vaccinated against COVID. I believe this would be the safest way for students to return completely in person to campus, and would give greater peace of mind in that they are in a safe and low risk environment.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I strongly approve of the proposed vaccine policy and disapprove of the decision to allow vaccinated students to not wear masks in the classroom and dormitories. Not requiring all students to wear masks provides an opportunity for "anti-mask" "anti-vax" folks to get away with not wearing their masks without consequence, unless there is a concrete system in place to confirm vaccination status. We know that people who are not interested in following these rules will take advantage of this policy. This directly affects and endangers immunocompromised people, folks with allergies to specific ingredients, and those who abstain from medical care for religious purposes. All of these people deserve to live just as those of us who can be vaccinated. I personally was excited to return to school in the fall with mask requirements in place, however, I will be reevaluating my decision if masks and vaccination are not required, as frankly, I do not feel safe in an environment with unvaccinated people who will not be wearing masks.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I feel the 'honor system' that we traditionally utilized, if you are not feeling well - stay home, which prior to COVID-19 was used for the common cold, flu, etc. would be the best practice for UNM. We have seen through Fall 2020 and Spring 2021, it is possible to have simultaneous online and in-person learning options for students - teachers have the ability to live stream and catalog lectures.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	YES! Please do this

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	As a student who is still questioning if they want the COVID vaccine, I would still like to return back onto campus. I am not opposed to the idea of getting the vaccine; I would like to learn more about the vaccine before I do get it. I need to know both pros and cons from qualified doctors about the vaccine before making my final decision. However, I am still very cautious about my safety. I continuously wear two masks, I do not feel comfortable with people wearing masks incorrectly, I am cautious who I'm around and where I am, I clean up after myself, wash my hands very often, so on and so forth. I feel like restricting students and staff who do not have this vaccine would do more harm than good. Going to school full time online is more challenging academically. Multiple peers of mine feel like having school online did not validate our work. We would put our time into an assignment just for little to no feedback and receive an automatic high grade; with some peers of purposely not performing at all and still receiving the same grade! Again, we do not feel like our work online is not being validated, we feel like we are not learning, and we are hardly retaining any information we're taught. With that in mind, I was fortunate enough to go to UNM in person prior to the pandemic, and I feel like learning in person fulfilled my academic needs and wants rather than online. School online only made me question my knowledge and took away multiple opportunities. Opportunities such as lab work, clinical observations, outside studies, and more. Overall, I think making the vaccine mandatory would effect the overall performance at UNM in a negative manner. We are all there to improve ourselves and help each other learn, having that divide and restriction would hinder the success of multiple people, including myself.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	Everything looks fine to me on the vaccination policy. I have already been vaccinated, and I feel like it would be best for the community if everyone is. While I hate to see anyone forced to get a shot, I feel like at this point the evidence is overwhelming that the vaccines are safe and effective, and that they are really our only way out of this thing. Personally, I will be attending graduate classes in a little room in the Speech and Hearing Sciences clinic that has no windows, and so little ventilation. Even with masks, any case of covid is sure to spread amongst the unvaccinated, and possibly create some break through cases in the vaccinated. I'm not sure yet what we will be doing with clients at the clinic who are children or often adults with not only speech & language issues, but often medically fragile as well. We really can't risk some of them getting covid, or they will have little chance of surviving. We have a responsibility to create as safe an environment as possible for them, and I believe that necessitates everyone, staff and students, being vaccinated. My only concern is that the vaccination cards we received can be reproduced. I could do it myself with time and effort. There are no embossed seals, holographic images, or anything else that would require special equipment and advanced skills to reproduce. Hopefully the DOH can verify or something. Thank you.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	Please implement this policy. This would make me feel safer and much more comfortable in returning to campus. I would ask that UNM not allow unvaccinated people on campus as they pose as huge risk to everyone working at UNM or attending classes. We have been stuck in this pandemic for over a year and this is in large part to the people who don't want to do their part. How is any of this going to change if UNM allows them to run around on campus and risking the lives of others? Please ignore the naysayers. There is no real reason NOT to mandate vaccines.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	This policy could protect students who can't get the shots due to medical reasons. It should be approved.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I fully believe in mandatory vaccine requirements. I am fully vaccinated and definitely recommend it. There's nothing more important than the health of students, faculty, employees, and everyone on campus.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	As an immunocompromised individual I approve of the draft for this requirement and hope that there is a vaccine requirement

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I full support the requirement of the students and faculty being fully vaccinated before returning to campus. I (fully vaccinated) would still not want to come to campus or attend an in person class if vaccinations were not required. Question: If I don't feel comfortable coming back to in person learning will there be an option to have online classes?
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	The proposed policy is a common-sense measure to promote the safety and security of all campus members. Vaccination is a responsibility to each other person we interact with as a community.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I think that it should be a requirement for everyone on campus to be vaccinated. Without this in place, there will be fear placed on the mental health of students.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I think that all students and staff that would like to attend completely in person/on campus classes should be fully vaccinated. Those who chose not to be vaccinated can continue with online education as we all have been doing this school year.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	A required vaccination to be on campus is the safest way to return. As someone that is vaccinated, it is important to me that people remember it does not provide 100% protection. I would rather not be in enclosed spaces with students that are not also vaccinated.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I think requiring the Vaccine is a good idea. I would much rather have a safe community than to coddle those who have succumbed to propaganda.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	i think requiring people to be fully vaccinated to be on campus is an excellent idea and a necessary one for the safety of the students and teachers.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I think mandating a vaccine to use university services and facilities is a great idea. I don't think it's fair for any Lobo to simply choose not to get it when so many have. We have already endured so much as a community in the last year; this is a fantastic way to get back to normal. To reach normalcy, everyone has to do their part. It's not unusual or unreasonable to require the vaccine for the safety of everyone on campus. I will say that for religious exceptions, the university should pay close attention to those requests. I know many will claim that it goes against their religion when really it just goes against their politics. Of course, some will be honest, but I think it's a good idea to really evaluate who is genuine in those exception requests. It's really no different from the flu-shot (I have not turned into a monster) and quite frankly, universities have the right to require it. Other illnesses like measles and chickenpox have been eradicated because of vaccines, and I think this one will do the same. Again, everyone needs to do their part!

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	Please do this, it would make so many students and families feel safer. Many other state schools are already requiring it.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I'm in favor of a vaccine requirement for on campus students and staff. In a effort to return to normal as soon as possible, and protect those using campus facilities, I feel a vaccine mandate is appropriate.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	As an upcoming student, I would definitely feel safer living on campus if everyone was full vaccinated. There are other vaccines that are required to attend public schools, let alone college. It's not required to go to college unlike K-12.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I think that requiring all student who wish to be apart of in person classes to have been vaccinated against SARS-COVID-19 is a fantastic idea. It makes me feel valued as a student and that the university I attend cares for the well being and safety of all of its students and faculty. Please push for making this idea a reality for all those who want to stay safe on UNM's campus. This is a matter of personal safety and well being for all of UNM's students whether they believe in the vaccine, or covid in general, or not and I think that requiring vaccinations with legitimate proof of vaccination should be enforced 100%. Thank you for caring for your students.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I have gotten my vaccine and I would appreciate if the UNM committee overseeing this would estate a mandatory vaccination policy for in person classes.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	A vaccine requirement is the best decision! I fully agree and support this. Vaccines should be required.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Concerns about Safety;	I agree with the proposed mandate about vaccination, to protect every one of us.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	Hi there, I believe that in order to have classes in the fall it should be a requirement for students and staff to get the vaccine. I personally have already gotten the vaccine, but I worry about attending class face-to-face in the fall if not a majority but all of the campus is vaccinated by then.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I fully support the vaccine policy. Thank you for being proactive with the health and safety of everyone on campus. I'm proud to be a Lobo.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy;	I wholeheartedly support this statement and proposed requirement. I would not like to be on campus with some students, staff, and faculty remaining unvaccinated. For those that cannot for religious or medical reasons, a facemask should suffice. I did wonder about the enforcement: the policy says enforcement is delayed until at least one passes FDA approval, for those that received a different vaccine, will that be sufficient? I think it sort of says that but I think it could be clarified. Thank you, [REDACTED]
Student	Supportive of Policy	Concerns about Choice	I am comfortable with the with the vaccine protocol. I would urge you to consider keeping a close eye on the protocol for religious exceptions, as these can be dangerous and can get out of hand. Thank you for taking a firm stance for vaccines.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Concerns about Choice	This is a fantastic proposal that should absolutely be implemented to make sure that COVID-19 does not surge again on campus or within the state.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Concerns about Enforcement;	I think if the university is going to require vaccinations, they need to be incredibly transparent on what will get an individual exempt from the requirement. I also find it incredibly concerning that other vaccines like MMR or meningitis are not required, as these vaccinations are incredibly important, especially in close contact spaces like university campuses. Overall, the vaccine policy is an important issue, and either way there will be individuals who are not happy. As long as there are support systems in place for all individuals, I think this could benefit the university.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Concerns about Enforcement; Health Accommodation;	I think vaccinations should be required for faculty, staff, students, etc. accessing any place on campus (with the appropriate exceptions for medical and religious reasons). I do wonder about how that can be enforced to make sure everyone on campus has been vaccinated, but it should be a requirement.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Concerns about Safety;	Above everything, UNM as an institution has a responsibility to protect its faculty, staff, and students who are utilizing/accessing its facilities. I don't see the requirement of a COVID-19 vaccine as any different from requiring an array of immunizations for toddlers before entering the public-school system. Though I recognize how historical violence in health sciences and polarization among party lines over a vaccine have led to distrust, UNM should lead with science and ensure that safety is top priority.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Concerns about Safety; Support Policy;	I agree with the fact that everyone on campus should be vaccinated. I am unsure about the no masks required policy. I think it may be a little too soon for that, but perhaps I will feel differently in August. I also hope that moving forwards, we still learn to utilize online resources in our classes, because they do still have their positives even when we are in person.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	Good morning. I am a Ph.D. student of developmental psychology and I am also pursuing a graduate minor in public health. I've got to say that this is an enormous relief to see a policy like this being considered. I'm a caretaker for a wife that's prescribed immunosuppressing medication and who is permanently disabled due to an acute encephalitis and chronic encephalopathy associated with a different virus 4 years ago. We have a 4-year-old son who we are extremely careful with because we know that long-COVID is affecting a huge proportion of children that have clinically recovered, and long-COVID will be an enduring pandemic all of its own. I have been feeling tremendously anxious about returning to campus and teaching classes, especially since so many people are incredibly hesitant about getting this vaccine and a large percentage also hold an anti-mask position. I applaud the efforts that UNM is taking towards requiring COVID-19 vaccinations. It's literally a matter of life and death for us and our families, and some of us are much more vulnerable than others. If a student or other employee got me sick with a variant of concern that was able to break through the efficacy and protection of the vaccine and I passed the virus onto my family, I fear that the outcome would be severe and irreversible. My odds would be so much better if both I and those I came into contact with at UNM had the vaccine. Sincerely, [REDACTED]
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I fully support a vaccine requirement to return to campus. It offers those who have been vaccinated increased safety and those who aren't due to religious reasons are unlikely to get Covid-19.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I am both a graduate student and a graduate student employee. I feel strongly that UNM needs to require vaccination for all students and employees of UNM in order to make in-person classes in the 2021-2022 year feel safe and secure.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	As a graduate student who will take, and teach classes in the fall I think we should have vaccine requirement. The class I teach is capped at 150 students, and is located in a theater style classroom. I don't see anyway to safely hold a face-to-face class unless they are all vaccinated. As a student, I would not feel comfortable attending a class with unvaccinated students. I think that a vaccine mandate is the best way for us to have a face-to-face semester, something that I think is essential for learning. While we were able to make remote learning work while we had to, I feel like my educational experience and that of my students has been harmed by two and a half semesters of remote learning.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I emphatically support an all campus vaccine requirement. As a Health Communication PhD candidate and someone who holds a Master of Public Health I am well versed in the importance of herd immunity as the single best strategy to save lives. As a graduate student I feel strongly that I should not have to risk my health and the health of those I live with because of a possible workplace exposure. We still do not know what the long term repercussions are for those who test positive and the liability to the university is too great if it could have done something like require vaccinations and it chose not to. Thank you for considering this. By requiring vaccines we will be following the best practices of other research I institutions and setting an evidence based example for the entire community. Sincerely [REDACTED]
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I fully agree and support the vaccine policy for faculty and students at the University of New Mexico. I believe to create the safest environment for everyone this is one of the best things the university can do. This policy will not only benefit our UNM community, but the entire community of New Mexico.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	My daughter is an incoming Freshman and is fully vaccinated. We are happy to fully support this policy.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I would feel safest returning to campus with a vaccine mandate to protect the community. Go 'bos:)
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	Agree with the policy. Thank you for working on this important safety policy.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I fully support the proposed policy. Requiring vaccinations by public schools and universities is nothing new. This will allow UNM faculty, staff and students to return to where they do their best work in the safest possible way. I am vaccinated and hope I get to start medical school in-person!
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I approve of the proposal but would like it to also pertain to people visiting campus. I am aware that many people go on campus for photo shoots at the duck pond or are homeless and wonder from central without permission from the university and could potential pose threat to us students if they are carrying the virus. What will unum do to provide security outside of the classroom?
Student	Supportive of Policy	Religious AccommodationS upport Policy	I am fully in favor of this. I would like to feel completely safe on campus, and knowing that the people in my classes are doing all they can would help. I am not familiar with religious exemptions from vaccines, and I am honestly a little concerned about it. What religions prohibit vaccines?

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I definitely agree with the proposed vaccine policy. I am an Oregon-based transfer student. I have been fully vaccinated since March, but I would be much more comfortable attending UNM with the vaccine policy. I really hope this policy will be implemented.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	i think mandatory vaccines are 100% the way to go. we have to be vaccinated against meningitis, chicken pox, etc. to attend public schools and covid should be no different.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I think this is great to make it mandatory to get the vaccination to attend sessions in person. I personally would not feel comfortable being on campus or in classrooms with anyone who has not been vaccinated. I had a severe case of COVID-19 in December and was hospitalized for it following weekly antibodies infusions at the hospital and oxygen for a month. Being that this is a life threatening illness I would not like to experience again, it makes me feel at ease knowing I'll be in attending school with other students and faculty who are vaccinated as well. No one knows everything about this virus and I'd rather do everything I could in prevention of getting it again. Making this mandatory will ensure my health safety for myself as well as my family who lives with me. I would not attend school if this is not mandatory and will seek online classes or other alternatives of not being on campus at all for any in person involvement.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	Students shouldn't be required to take the Covid Vaccine in order to return to school in the Fall. If getting the Vaccine goes against the students morals, then UNM will be losing a student. And all the work the student has done to get to UNM will be forfeited just because of the proposed requirement. I say No! It shouldn't be a requirement.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	Please for the safety and success of this university and its members, implement the vaccination policy. I live, sleep, eat, work, and study on campus. This is home. One of us defines all of us, and I pray UNM is represented by students who value science, data, and public safety. Not "personal choice". That phrase is a cover up for antivaxers who do not wish to be recognized as such. The vaccine is safe, free, and not dependent on one person. This is a group effort. Make the tough decision so we ALL can be safe as a pack.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I think requiring students and staff and anyone who wants to come on campus should be required to be vaccinated. This is because it is a choice and a privilege to be on campus, not a right (just like you must stay in good standing with the university) and so we should all be doing our part to keep everyone safe by getting vaccinated.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	As a PhD student and instructor at UNM, I fully support this policy. Students, staff, and instructors need a safe environment that is conducive to learning, and this policy appears to foster this environment. As a student and instructor who has missed being in-person, UNM must provide the needed tools to safely return; this policy appears to accomplish that goal.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	Considering the magnitude of the situation we are currently living, I fully support this proposal.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I would feel more comfortable going back if vaccines were required! If they're not required I would expect there to be an alternative to in-person classes to allow for flexibility if some students don't feel comfortable being in-person with their unvaccinated counterparts. I would also hope that if they are required that there be adequate access to the next dose that may be required after the initial 2 (1 for J and J).
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I'm really excited to get back on campus and finish out my senior year in person. However, I'm also really nervous about going back! I would feel a lot more comfortable if I knew everyone around me was vaccinated so I think this is a wonderful policy to implement!
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	As an incoming freshman who is already fully vaccinated, I think requiring vaccination of school personnel and students is a good idea. It will make the campus a safer place for students and faculty in the coming semesters, and will classes and on-campus life to continue more normally in spite of the pandemic.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I believe that it would be most beneficial to require students to get the vaccine before returning to campus with exemption to those that cannot get it due to a medical condition.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I agree with the idea that all students attending in-person classes should be vaccinated. It makes me feel much safer. I am hoping that those who attend in-person class unvaccinated have actual reasons for not taking the vaccine (not just being anti-vax) and that the university will strictly enforce that.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	Please require vaccines I don't want to get sick ☹️
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	Please make everyone get vaccinated. I have a pre existing condition. I would like to be in person because I have severe adhd.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I really think vaccines should be mandatory as an RA and a trip guide at recreation services it makes no sense for us not to require the vaccine. Science supports it and I want to stay safe.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	UNM should absolutely make the COVID-19 Vaccine a requirement for all students, faculty, and staff who are going to be on the main campus. This is an institution that follows facts and trusts science. If this is a place that wants to protect and serve the public, UNM needs to be a part of the solution and not the problem and join the other universities around the country who are ensuring that their students and staff have a safe environment to go back to.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I think this policy is fair and well thought out, and will help keep the UNM community safe.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I absolutely think a vaccine requirement is vital to bringing back students at UNM. Requiring face masks is simply not enough. I've worked for the University Libraries since 2017. It has been offensive to see that our student patrons do not comply with the face mask requirements while using the facilities during the pandemic.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I believe that requiring the vaccine is an affective way to keep the campus safe. I see no problem with this requirement. I believe it is showing that the campus cares for our safety.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	everyone should have a vaccine.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I would really appreciate if being vaccinated was a requirement for going back to in person classes on campus. It helps ensure the safety of staff and students and would make me feel much better about returning.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	Vaccines should be mandatory, especially if we are going to be sharing close spaces with our classmates (eg. buses, in class) It seems like, Atleast for my major, I have to attend a few classes in person, so I would really feel safer if the vaccine was mandatory.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I think it is a great idea to require people to be fully vaccinated who are on campus! I would feel a lot safer and I think it would really help keep any covid numbers down.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	Hello, I agree with the proposed plan. I believe students and faculty should be fully vaccinated prior to attending campuses or in-person classes. Thank you.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I'm not sure if I understand the reasoning of why COVID vaccines wouldn't be required for everyone stepping foot on campus in person, regardless of whether or not the FDA has approved at least one of the vaccines. UNM is a public university that serves many different communities and populations; therefore, requiring COVID vaccines ensures the safety and protection of everyone. This is a matter of public health and protection of our community, not one of politics or personal beliefs. Public universities require other types of vaccines (tetanus, diphtheria, etc) and that students are up-to-date on their vaccines before coming to campus, and the COVID vaccine should be no different. Thank you for your time and consideration.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I think requiring students to be vaccinated would make me and others feel a lot better about their wellbeing on campus. if most of campus was vaccinated it will make it easier for events to happen and put less strain on covid practices. I would feel safer getting to know more people. The university would also be able to reach herd immunity on campus atleast
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	This policy is essential to getting student life on campus back to normal. I only have my senior left and I would love to have a sense of normalcy in my life again as a student. The vaccine is safe and effective, as most reasonable people know, so it makes sense to require students and staff to have the vaccine. The sooner UNM is open and students can attend safely with the comfort of knowing their fellow Lobos are vaccinated, the better. I hope this policy is implemented so we can get back to enjoying UNM the way we did before the pandemic shut it all down. Thank you.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I think this proposal is a really good start to bringing everyone back to campus in a safe way. I would love to be able to see my grandma without worrying that people are unvaccinated and spreading the virus more than is needed. I think this is something that is very important to keep everyone including students, faculty, and staff safe come fall. Hopefully people will finally realize how serious this is and follow the guidelines so we do not have to return to online learning!
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I think students should be required to have proof of vaccinations, especially if they do not want to wear masks. Fall 2021 will have a lot more in-person classes, and the last thing the university wants is a second wave that re-closes the school. Confirming people that aren't wearing masks have been fully vaccinated will help prevent the spread of the virus and we can get back to normal faster.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I believe that if there are requirements to be vaccinated, it will provide the very less likelihood of a covid outbreak. Thus ruining not only my chances but the other students and staff's chances to socialize and be human. I know there are people out there who don't really like this but i believe in not only protecting ourselves but also protecting others. I havent even experienced college as a social setting (and to get to know my instructors) here at UNM. And truthfully, it sucks to not get to know new people...
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I believe the vaccine should be mandatory for staff, faculty and students to get if they want to be on campus. I support mandated vaccination.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	While I want everyone at UNM to be vaccinated, I don't think requiring the vaccine is right. Especially for out of state logos who haven't had the ability to get their shot yet. I do support requiring vaccines for all employees and those who want to live on campus though.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	Hello,Please institute a vaccine requirement for the Fall 2021 semester. I do not feel safe whatsoever having people with an exponentially higher chance of spreading covid around me in classrooms and buildings. I have family members who are immuno compromised. Don't bow to the selfish anti-vaxxers and push for an option that will keep our community and students safe.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	Yes!!! I would feel so much safer if I knew everyone around me was vaccinated! I love the idea of this policy!
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I think you should absolutely require vaccines. People have been required to have vaccines for years to attend school. What makes this different than getting the measles vaccine for kindergarten? They exist for a specific purpose: to keep us and others safe in a closed environment. If kids weren't required vaccines for elementary school there would be small kids dying left and right from completely preventable diseases. Technology has advanced and so should we.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	It would make me feel much better if everyone was vaccinated on campus. It would make me feel safe and I believe a fully vaccinated community would be a much more conducive environment for learning. Without being worried about COVID, if everyone were vaccinated, we would be able to focus on our learning. Thank you for taking this into consideration.
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	I appreciate the vaccine requirement.

Affiliation	Sentiment	Category	Comment
Student	Supportive of Policy	Support Policy	This is a great proposal and I will feel much safer on campus with the majority of people I interact with being vaccinated.